

Weekly / Vol. 60 / No. 43

# World Pneumonia Day — November 12, 2011

Pneumonia kills more children than any other illness; among approximately 9 million children aged <5 years who die each year worldwide, 1.6 million die from pneumonia (1). At the 2010 World Health Assembly, a resolution on the prevention and control of childhood pneumonia was passed (2). The resolution stated that leaders in each country should implement comprehensive plans to reduce pneumonia deaths. This effort will support United Nations Millennium Development Goal 4, which states that childhood mortality should be reduced by two thirds from 1990 to 2015 (3).

Illness and deaths from pneumonia can be reduced with the use of Streptococcus pneumoniae (pneumococcus), Haemophilus influenzae type b (Hib), influenza, and measles vaccines; antimicrobial treatments; and supportive health care, among other strategies.

To raise awareness of the effects of pneumonia globally, the third annual World Pneumonia Day, November 12, 2011, is being promoted by a coalition of approximately 120 major health, humanitarian relief, advocacy, faithbased, government, and other organizations; CDC and United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF) are providing technical assistance. Events are scheduled around the world. More information is available at http://worldpneumoniaday.org.

# References

- 1. Black RE, Cousens S, Johnson HL, et al. Global, regional, and national causes of child mortality in 2008: a systematic analysis. Lancet 2010;375:1969-87.
- 2. World Health Organization. Treatment and prevention of pneumonia. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2010. Available at http://apps.who.int/gb/ebwha/pdf\_files/wha63/ a63\_26-en.pdf. Accessed October 25, 2011.
- 3. United Nations. Goal 4: reduce child mortality. New York, NY: United Nations; 2010. Available at http://www.un.org/ millenniumgoals/childhealth.shtml. Accessed October 25, 2011.

# Invasive Pneumococcal Disease and **13-Valent Pneumococcal Conjugate** Vaccine (PCV13) Coverage Among Children Aged ≤59 Months — Selected U.S. Regions, 2010–2011

On March 12, 2010, the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) published recommendations for use of a newly licensed, 13-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine (PCV13) to replace the 7-valent vaccine (PCV7) for all children and for a supplemental dose for those aged 14 through 59 months (1). PCV is given routinely to children at ages 2, 4, and 6 months, and a booster dose is given at 12–15 months (1). PCV13 includes antigens of six pneumococcal serotypes in addition to those in PCV7 (1). Children only vaccinated with PCV7 are susceptible to those six serotypes, which can cause invasive pneumococcal disease (IPD) and death. During 2010 and 2011, CDC evaluated available data to assess the occurrence of PCV13-type IPD cases and PCV13 vaccination coverage among children aged ≤59 months. During May 1, 2010–April 30, 2011, 63 vaccine-eligible children with IPD caused by a serotype that would have been prevented by PCV13 were identified within 12 study regions. Most of those children were aged 24 through 59 months and were vaccinated completely with PCV7 but had not received the recommended supplemental dose of PCV13. Immunization Information System (IIS) sentinel site data from March 2010–June 2011 indicated that the proportion of PCV7-vaccinated children who had received the PCV13 supplemental dose was only

# **INSIDE**

- 1482 Progress Toward Poliomyelitis Eradication India, January 2010–September 2011
- 1487 Vital Signs: Overdoses of Prescription Opioid Pain Relievers — United States, 1999–2008
- 1493 Announcements
- 1495 QuickStats



**U.S. Department of Health and Human Services** Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

37%. Similarly, among children aged  $\leq$ 59 months requiring additional primary series doses, PCV13 coverage was only 46%. Given the potential for missed PCV13 vaccination, health-care providers should recommend PCV13 vaccination for all eligible children aged 14 through 59 months during all visits, and continue to ensure receipt of the full PCV13 primary series for younger children.

In June 2011, a girl in California, aged 2 years, died of IPD caused by serotype 19A, one of six serotypes included in PCV13 but not in PCV7. The child had received 3 doses of PCV7 but had not received PCV13. The California Department of Public Health identified an additional 30 PCV13-eligible children who had developed nonfatal IPD caused by the pneumococcal serotypes not covered by PCV7 and who became ill after PCV13 was recommended by ACIP. In August 2011, a health advisory was sent to California healthcare providers to remind them of ACIP's recommendation for PCV13 use (2). To determine if other areas of the country were identifying cases of PCV13-type IPD among children eligible to receive PCV13 and to assess PCV13 vaccination coverage among children aged ≤59 months, CDC assessed data from 12 geographic regions participating in its ongoing PCV13 Vaccine Effectiveness Evaluation (3) and from eight IIS sentinel sites (4).

The PCV13 Vaccine Effectiveness Evaluation used data from Active Bacterial Core surveillance (ABCs), an active, population-based and laboratory-based surveillance system for monitoring invasive bacterial pathogens, and the Epidemiology and Laboratory Capacity for Infectious Diseases (ELC) network, a group of U.S. sites supported by CDC to strengthen their capacity to address infectious disease threats (3). Children aged 2 months through 59 months who 1) were identified as having IPD by routine surveillance at ABCs and ELC sites, 2) were recommended by ACIP to receive PCV13, and 3) had a pneumococcal isolate available for serotyping were eligible for inclusion in the PCV13 Vaccine Effectiveness Evaluation. The catchment area for the evaluation comprised the 10 ABCs sites, (Connecticut, Minnesota, and New Mexico, and selected counties in California, Colorado, Georgia, Maryland, New York, Oregon, and Tennessee), plus Utah and Los Angeles County from the ELC sites, with a total population of 3.2 million children aged  $\leq$ 59 months (5). Cases included in this analysis occurred in children enrolled in the PCV13 Vaccine Effectiveness Evaluation who had IPD caused by one of six serotypes included in PCV13 but not PCV7 and a complete vaccination history available at the time of analysis, and who were eligible to receive PCV13 at least 2 weeks before diagnosis of IPD.

During May 1, 2010–April 30, 2011, 135 cases of IPD caused by serotypes unique to PCV13 were identified among children aged 2 through 59 months. Among those, 81 (58%) had a complete vaccination history; of these, 66 (81%) had no previous PCV13 dose recorded. Three of the 66 cases involved children who were ineligible to receive PCV13 because of dates of PCV7 receipt and timing of disease diagnosis. Of the 63 remaining cases, 43 (68%) involved children aged 24–48



1478

months. Nearly all of these children (94%) had no underlying medical conditions. Among the 63 children, 48 (76%) were hospitalized; no deaths were reported. Thirty-nine of the children (62%) had received a full, 4-dose PCV7 series but had not received a supplemental PCV13 dose, and 11 others (18%) had received 3 doses of PCV7 but had not received a fourth pneumococcal vaccine dose, which should have been PCV13 (Figure 1) (1).

To assess coverage with PCV13, CDC collected data from the eight state and city-based IIS sentinel sites (4). Those collaborating sites include parts of Arizona, Colorado, Michigan, Minnesota, Oregon, and Wisconsin, all of North Dakota, and New York City, and include nearly 2 million children aged <6 years. Data on receipt of PCV13 were collected from March 2010, when PCV13 was first recommended, through June 2011 on children aged 0 through 11 months, 12 through 23 months, and 24 through 59 months as of March 2010, by primary PCV7 series completion status. A complete primary PCV7 series was defined as  $\geq$ 4 doses, if the fourth dose was administered at age  $\geq 12$  months; 3 doses, if the third dose was administered at age  $\geq 12$  months; 2 doses, if both doses were administered at age  $\geq 12$  months; or 1 dose, if administered at age 24 to <60 months. Overall estimates were calculated by averaging unweighted site-specific estimates. Additionally, to evaluate the overall transition from PCV7 to PCV13, all sites reported the total number of doses administered weekly, by PCV type, during March 7–August 21, 2010.

Among approximately 850,000 children aged 12 through 59 months with a complete PCV7 series as of March 2010, 37% subsequently received the supplemental PCV13 dose as of June 30, 2011. The proportion of children receiving the dose was lower among children aged 24 through 59 months (32%) compared with children aged 12 through 23 months (58%) (Table). Among nearly 700,000 children aged 0 through 59 months with an incomplete primary PCV7 series as of March 2010, PCV13 coverage reached 46% by June 30, 2011. Similarly, children aged 24 through 59 months were less likely (14%) than younger children to receive a PCV13 dose (0 through 11 months: 71%; 12 through 23 months: 45%). Initial coverage with PCV13 occurred quickly after vaccine recommendation (Figure 2). By the week of April 18, the number of weekly PCV13 doses administered exceeded the number of weekly PCV7 doses administered. However, PCV7 continued to be used for a small proportion of children through August 2010.

## **Reported by**

Kathleen Harriman, PhD, California Dept of Health. Tracy N. Thomas, MPH, MSc, Maureen Kolasa, MPH, Karen Cullen, PhD, Laura Pabst, MPH, Abigail Shefer, MD, Immunization Svcs Div; Chad Cox, MD, Matthew Moore, MD, Ruth FIGURE 1. Invasive pneumococcal disease (IPD) resulting from pneumococcal serotypes unique to 13-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine (PCV13), exclusion criteria applied, and characteristics of affected children aged ≤59 months — CDC's PCV13 Vaccine Effectiveness Evaluation,\* United States, May 2010–April 2011



<sup>\*</sup> Twelve areas across the United States participate in CDC's ongoing PCV13 Vaccine Effectiveness Evaluation, representing a population of 3.2 million children aged ≤59 months.

Link-Gelles, MPH, Div of Bacterial Diseases, National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases, CDC. **Corresponding** *contributor:* Tracy N. Thomas, tct5@cdc.gov, 404-639-8542.

# **Editorial Note**

Within 2 months of the ACIP recommendation, PCV13 accounted for more than half of the weekly PCV doses administered. In spite of this rapid transition, not all children eligible for a supplemental dose are receiving it, leaving them more likely to develop IPD secondary to one of six serotypes

TABLE. Percentage of children aged ≤59 months who received an ageappropriate 13-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine (PCV13) dose, by primary 7-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine (PCV7) series completion status and age group — Immunization Information System (IIS) sentinel sites, United States, March 12, 2010–June 30, 2011

Enrolled in IIS in birth range	Comj PCV7	oleted <sup>†</sup> series*	Did not complete <sup>§</sup> PCV7 series*				
No.	No.	Received PCV13 <sup>¶</sup> (%)	No.	Received PCV13 <sup>¶</sup> (%)			
288,671 297,285 953,295	 160,565 694,135	(58) (32)	288,671 136,720 259,160	(71) (45) (14)			
	Enrolled in IIS in birth range No. 288,671 297,285 953,295	Enrolled in IIS in birth range PCV7 No. No. 288,671 — 297,285 160,565 953,295 694,135	Enrolled in IIS in birth range         Completed <sup>†</sup> PCV7 series*           Received PCV13 <sup>¶</sup> No.         (%)           288,671         —           297,285         160,565         (58)           953,295         694,135         (32)	Enrolled in IIS in birth range         Completed <sup>†</sup> PCV7 series*         Did not of PCV7           Received PCV13 <sup>¶</sup> PCV7           No.         (%)         No.           288,671         —         288,671           297,285         160,565         (58)         136,720           953,295         694,135         (32)         259,160			

\* As of March 12, 2010, when the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) published recommendations for use of PCV13.

<sup>+</sup> Defined as ≥4 doses if fourth dose was administered at age ≥12 months, 3 doses if third dose administered at age ≥12 months, 2 doses if both doses were administered at age ≥12 months, or 1 dose if administered at age 24 to <60 months.

<sup>§</sup> Incomplete as of March 12, 2010; includes children who received no PCV doses. All children 0 through 11 months have an incomplete PCV series because a complete series requires at least 1 dose at ≥12 months.

<sup>¶</sup> On or after March 12, 2010. Unweighted average across sites.

uniquely covered by PCV13. Current PCV13 vaccination trends suggest that the majority of children not receiving the supplemental dose are aged 24 through 59 months rather than 12 through 23 months.

Health-care providers rapidly transitioned from PCV 7 to PCV13 administration. To facilitate the rapid transition from PCV7 to PCV13, the manufacturer accepted returns of PCV7 from public and private providers. In the public sector, PCV13 was available as of March 18, 2010, and all unused PCV7 had to be returned by May 10, 2010, to receive credit from the manufacturer. By July 2010, Pfizer reported that >90% of its private shipments of pneumococcal conjugate vaccines were for PCV13 (P.L. Alexa, Pfizer Inc., personal communication, July 2010). The underlying factors contributing to PCV13 coverage differences across age groups could not be determined with certainty. Lower coverage among the oldest age group might be related to fewer preventive care visits and vaccination opportunities compared with younger children (6), decreased perceived risk for invasive disease among the older children (7),

# FIGURE 2. Pneumococcal conjugate vaccine doses administered to children aged 0 through 59 months, by vaccine type and week — Immunization Information System sentinel sites, United States, March 7–August 21, 2010



Abbreviations: ACIP = Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices; PCV7 = 7-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine; PCV13 = 13-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine.

\* CDC. Licensure of a 13-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine (PCV13) and recommendations for use among children—Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP), 2010. MMWR 2010;59:258–61.

### What is already known on this topic?

On February 24, 2010, a 13-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine (PCV13) was licensed and in March 2010, the Advisory Committee for Immunization Practices (ACIP) published recommendations to use PCV13 exclusively in place of the 7-valent vaccine (PCV7) and to administer a single supplemental dose of PCV13 to all children aged 14 through 59 months who have received an age-appropriate series of PCV7.

### What is added by this report?

Children are developing invasive pneumococcal disease (IPD) caused by serotypes that could be prevented by PCV13 but not PCV7. In June 2011, a child, who had received 3 doses of PCV7 died of IPD caused by one of six serotypes to which PCV13 uniquely provides protection. In an evaluation of data from CDC's ongoing PCV13 Vaccine Effectiveness Evaluation, 63 children eligible but not vaccinated with PCV13 developed IPD caused by one those six serotypes. Vaccination trends at eight Immunization Information System sentinel sites indicated that receipt of the supplemental PCV13 dose is <40% among PCV7-vaccinated children.

### What are the implications for public health practice?

Immunization programs and vaccination providers should encourage parents of all children aged 14 through 59 months who have received an age-appropriate PCV7 series to have their child receive a single supplemental dose of PCV13. Providers should take advantage of all office visits to vaccinate all eligible children with PCV13 to increase vaccination coverage.

and health-care provider lack of awareness of or inconsistency in implementing PCV recommendations for older children (8).

Estimates from the National Immunization Survey show coverage with  $\geq$ 4 doses of PCV increased from 80% in 2009 to 83% in 2010 and remained high at 93% for  $\geq$ 3 doses of PCV among children aged 19–35 months. Although coverage levels for PCV continue to increase, careful monitoring of coverage levels overall and across subpopulations (i.e., older children) will be important to ensure that all children are protected adequately (9).

The findings in this report are subject to at least three limitations. First, although the ABCs, ELC, and IIS systems are important sources of U.S. population-based data for surveillance of invasive bacterial disease incidence and patterns of vaccine coverage, they represent a select sample of U.S. regions and the findings might not be nationally representative. Second, although IIS sentinel site data are monitored for accuracy, some PCV13 doses might have been misclassified as PCV7 in IIS, thereby underestimating PCV13 coverage. Finally, although no reports of difficulty in obtaining PCV13 have occurred, some vaccination providers might have experienced a delay in receipt of vaccine. To prevent IPD among children, health-care providers should administer a single supplemental dose of PCV13 to all children aged 14 through 59 months who have received an age-appropriate number of PCV7 doses to provide additional protection against the six serotypes unique to PCV13 (*I*). Additionally, health-care providers should complete the PCV7 series with PCV13, and continue to ensure that all children receive timely receipt of the full primary series as recommended by ACIP. No PCV7 should be used at this time. To increase PCV13 coverage, health-care providers should take advantage of opportunities to provide the supplemental dose of PCV13 to age-eligible patients during any health-care visit.

# Acknowledgments

Principal investigators and surveillance officers at the participating Active Bacterial Core surveillance and Epidemiology and Laboratory Capacity for Infectious Diseases sites, Erin Garcia, Deborah Aragon, Michelle Wilson, Monica Farley, Ramon Guevara, Lee Harrison, Rosemary Hollick, Corinne Holtzman, Karen Scherzinger, Salvatore Currenti, Jennifer Rosen, Jamie Thompson, William Schaffner, Jonathan Anderson. Kathleen Winter, California Dept of Health. Staff members at the eight IIS sites.

## References

- 1. CDC. Licensure of a 13-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine (PCV13) and recommendations for use among children—Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP), 2010. MMWR 2010;59:258–61.
- 2. California Department of Public Health. Health advisory: fatal vaccinepreventable pneumcoccal disease, August 18, 2011. Sacramento, CA: California Department of Public Health; 2011. Available at http://www. cdph.ca.gov/programs/immunize/documents/healthadvisory pneumcoccal201108.pdf. Accessed September 9, 2011.
- 3. CDC. Evaluating the effectiveness of a 13-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine among children. Protocol 09PRT/8166. Lancet Protocol Reviews. Available at http://www.thelancet.com/protocol-reviews/09PRT-8166. Accessed September 9, 2011.
- CDC. Q&A about sentinel sites. Atlanta, GA: US Department of Health and Human Services, CDC; 2010. Available at http://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/ programs/iis/activities/sentinel-sites.htm. Accessed September 15, 2011.
- CDC. Invasive pneumococcal disease in young children before licensure of 13-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine—United States, 2007. MMWR 2010;59:253–7.
- Luman ET, Chu SY. When and why children fall behind with vaccinations. Missed visits and missed opportunities at milestone ages. Am J Prev Med 2009;36:105–11.
- 7. CDC. Preventing pneumococcal disease among infants and young children: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). MMWR 2000;49(No. RR-9).
- Davis MM, Ndiaye SM, Freed GL, Clark SJ. One-year uptake of pneumococcal conjugate vaccine: a national survey of family physicians and pediatricians. J Am Board Fam Pract 2003;16:363–71.
- 9. CDC. National and state vaccination coverage among children aged 19–35 months—United States, 2010. MMWR 2011;60:1157–63.

# Progress Toward Poliomyelitis Eradication — India, January 2010–September 2011

The Global Polio Eradication Initiative was launched in 1988 (1). In 1995, when eradication activities were initiated in India, an estimated 50,000 polio cases were occurring each year (2). By 2006, transmission of indigenous wild poliovirus (WPV) had been interrupted in all countries except India, Afghanistan, Pakistan, and Nigeria (1). During 2006–2009, India annually reported 559 to 874 cases of confirmed WPV, with cases centered in the northern states of Uttar Pradesh and Bihar (3). These cases accounted for 43% of confirmed cases of WPV reported worldwide during this period. However, in 2010, only 42 WPV cases were reported in India, and in 2011, only one WPV case had been confirmed as of October 31. This report updates previous reports (2,3) and summarizes progress toward polio eradication in India during January 2010-September 2011. Throughout India, the most recent confirmed WPV type 3 (WPV3) case occurred on October 22, 2010, in Jharkhand, and the most recent confirmed WPV type 1 (WPV1) case occurred on January 13, 2011, in West Bengal; WPV2 has not been reported in India since 1999. Importation of WPV into India is a risk, and undetected low-level WPV transmission is a possibility, requiring high vaccination coverage in all states, continued focus on children in migrant and underserved populations, sensitive surveillance for prompt detection of any WPV, and preparedness to mount a robust emergency vaccination campaign in response to any WPV cases.

## **Immunization Activities**

The most recent population-based survey from 2009 found that nationwide routine vaccination coverage with 3 doses of trivalent oral polio vaccine (tOPV) was 70% among children aged 12-23 months (4). This percentage is similar to the reported estimates of nationwide routine vaccination coverage in 2010 with 3 doses of tOPV by age 12 months (5). The survey estimates for coverage in Bihar (62%; increased from 51% in 2006) and Uttar Pradesh (54%; increased from 50% in 2006) were among the lowest in the country, whereas coverage was higher in Jharkhand (70%) and West Bengal (74%) (4).

For the past several years, increased attention in India during supplementary immunization activities (SIAs)\* has

focused on vaccinating 1) populations at high risk for polio in areas where polio has been endemic and 2) large populations of migrants, who contributed to the persistence and spread of WPV transmission (3). In January 2010, bivalent OPV (bOPV) types 1 and 3 was introduced for use in SIAs, largely replacing monovalent OPVs (mOPVs) (3).

SIAs conducted in India during 2010–2011 included two national immunization days each year. In addition, six subnational immunization days (SNIDs) and four large-scale (multidistrict) mop-up<sup>†</sup> activities were conducted during 2010, and six SNIDs and one large-scale mop-up were conducted during January–September 2011 (Figure 1). The response to the WPV case in West Bengal in 2011 included three SIAs, using a combination of mOPV type 1 and bOPV conducted within 7 weeks of notification of the case (the first SIA was held 7 days after detection), followed by monthly SIAs using bOPV for 6 months (Figure 2).

Monitoring data<sup>§</sup> are used to estimate the proportion of children missed in each SIA. In 2011, the mean percentage of missed children in the general population aged <2 years based on these SIA surveys was 0.3% in Bihar, 1.8% in Uttar Pradesh, 3.7% in Jharkhand, and 6.1% in West Bengal. Efforts to identify and vaccinate urban slum dwellers and specific migrant populations (e.g., construction laborers, nomads, and brick kiln workers) were enhanced further during 2010-2011. The percentage of missed children per SIA in the migrant population aged <2 years, based on surveys conducted after SIA rounds in 2011 in nine states with large numbers of these populations, was 0.4%-12.3% (mean: 2.3%). In Uttar Pradesh, the mean percentage of children missed in migrant populations (1.3%) was comparable to the mean percentage of children missed in the general population aged <2 years (1.8%). In West Bengal, the mean percentage of children missed in migrant populations aged <2 years (7.3%) was higher than the overall mean among migrant populations in eight other states (2.0%) and remains higher than the mean percentage of children missed in the general population in that state (6.1%).

<sup>\*</sup> SIAs are mass campaigns conducted over a period of days in which 1 dose of OPV is administered to all children aged <5 years, regardless of vaccination history. Surveillance data analysis determines the geographic extent of campaigns (i.e., national or subnational).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Mop-up rounds are intensive house-to-house SIAs conducted in a limited area (groups of districts) with evidence of recent transmission.

<sup>§</sup>SIA monitoring data are obtained from systematic surveys conducted after every SIA in high-risk areas to identify children aged <2 years who were missed with vaccination.





\* Data as of October 31, 2011.

# **WPV Surveillance**

Acute flaccid paralysis (AFP) surveillance. In India, the national nonpolio AFP (NPAFP) rate,<sup>¶</sup> a proxy measure of polio surveillance system sensitivity, was 12.7 per 100,000 children aged <15 years in 2010 and 12.1 per 100,000 (annualized) during January–September 2011. During that period, the highest state-level NPAFP rates were in Bihar (37.9) and Uttar Pradesh (23.9); three of 35 states and union territories had NPAFP rates <2 per 100,000. Adequate stool specimen collection\*\* in India was 83% in 2010 and 84.1% during January–September 2011; in five states, adequate specimen collection was <80% during January–September 2011.<sup>††</sup>

**Environmental surveillance.** Weekly testing of wastewater for poliovirus began in Mumbai in June 2001 and in Delhi in May 2010, and biweekly testing began in Patna, Bihar, in

April 2011. Both WPV1 and WPV3 were detected in wastewater at Delhi sites during 2010; WPV3 was last detected in July 2010, and WPV1 was last detected in August 2010. The most recent WPV from wastewater in India was WPV1 isolated in November 2010 in Mumbai. No WPV has been isolated from Patna wastewater. All WPV1 and WPV3 isolates from wastewater during 2010–2011 were genetically related to WPV1 and WPV3 circulating in central Bihar during 2009.

# WPV Epidemiology

During 2010, a total of 42 WPV cases (18 WPV1 and 24 WPV3) were reported in India in 17 districts in seven states (Figure 3). During January–September 2011, only one WPV case (WPV1) was reported in India, in West Bengal, compared with 40 WPV cases (17 WPV1 and 23 WPV3) from 17 districts in seven states during the same period during 2010. All WPV1 isolates from patients during 2010–2011 were related genetically to WPV circulating in central Bihar during 2009.

The most recent confirmed WPV1 case in India occurred on January 13, 2011, in a child from a community in which the rate of vaccine refusal was high during previous SIAs in Howrah District, West Bengal. The most recent confirmed

The NPAFP rate is the number of AFP cases not associated with WPV per 100,000 children aged <15 years. India's operational target for each district is two or more AFP cases per 100,000.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The percentage of reported AFP cases with two stool specimens collected within 14 days of paralysis onset with at least 24 hours between the two specimens (target: ≥80%).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>††</sup> The eight polio laboratories in India processed 109,057 stool specimens during 2010 and 85,161 stool specimens during January–September 2011.



FIGURE 2. Number of wild poliovirus (WPV) cases (n = 17), by type, week of onset, and vaccine used in supplementary immunization activities — selected districts, India, January 2010—September 2011

Abbreviations: mOPV1 = monovalent oral poliovirus vaccine type 1; bOPV = bivalent oral poliovirus vaccine; tOPV = trivalent oral poliovirus vaccine.

WPV3 case occurred on October 22, 2010, in Jharkhand. During 2010, simultaneous transmission of WPV1 and WPV3 occurred in Jharkhand and West Bengal around their common border (Figures 2 and 3). However, the WPV1 isolated from the patient in Howrah was not directly related genetically to WPV1 circulating at the border in Jharkhand and West Bengal during 2010, but was related genetically to WPV circulating in Bihar in September 2009 and also isolated from wastewater in Delhi in August 2010. Among the 43 WPV cases reported during 2010–2011, 30 (70%) occurred among children aged <2 years. Of these children, six (14%) had received 1–3 OPV doses, 11 (26%) had received 4–7 doses, 24 (56%) had received >7 doses, one (2%) had unknown vaccination status, and one (2%) had not received any OPV doses (the child with WPV1 in West Bengal in January 2011).





\* Data as of October 31, 2011.

## **Reported by**

Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India. National Polio Surveillance Project, World Health Organization, India; Regional Poliovirus Laboratory Network, Immunization and Vaccine Development Dept, World Health Organization Regional Office for South-East Asia. Polio Eradication Department, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland. United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF), New York, New York. Div of Viral Diseases, National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases and Global Immunization Div, Center for Global Health, CDC. Corresponding contributor: Jalaa' Abdelwahab, joa4@cdc.gov, 212-326-7672.

### **Editorial Note**

During 2010 and 2011, India made substantial progress toward polio eradication. A year has passed since the last confirmed WPV3 case, and >9 months have passed since the last confirmed WPV1 case. The absence of any reported WPV cases since January, including during much of the June-November high-transmission season, is unprecedented. WPV was last detected in sewage in Delhi in August 2010 and in Mumbai in November 2010. The subsequent lack of detection of WPV in any samples from any site is further indication that WPV transmission might have been interrupted. No WPV cases have been reported for >17 months and >12 months in the previously polio-endemic states of Uttar Pradesh and Bihar, respectively. If no WPV is identified throughout the high-transmission season in 2012, India will be regarded as polio-free. This would put the World Health Organization South-East Asia Region, of which India is a member, on track to be certified polio-free as early as 2014.

The introduction of bOPV in SIAs beginning in January 2010 likely contributed substantially to the simultaneous reduction in WPV1 and WPV3 cases in India. Previous SIAs were conducted predominantly using mOPV type 1 and occasionally using mOPV type 3; a clinical trial demonstrated the superiority of bOPV compared with tOPV and noninferiority compared with mOPV types 1 and 3 (6). The special attention focused on vaccination coverage of children in high-risk endemic areas and migrant populations during the last several years likely increased and sustained the levels of immunity needed to stop WPV transmission. Rapid response SIAs

### What is already known on this topic?

India is one of four countries (the others are Afghanistan, Nigeria, and Pakistan) where wild poliovirus (WPV) remains endemic. Until 2010, most polio cases in India were reported in Uttar Pradesh and Bihar, two states with low routine vaccination coverage, lower vaccine effectiveness than elsewhere, and large migrant populations that require frequent supplementary immunization activities (SIAs) to control WPV transmission.

### What is added by this report?

As of October 31, only one confirmed WPV case had been reported in India during January–September 2011, compared with 40 WPV cases during the same 9-month period in 2010. A year has passed since the most recent WPV3 case in India and more than 9 months since the last case of WPV1. This unprecedented finding is corroborated by the lack of any WPV isolation from wastewater samples since November 2010, and likely resulted from a combination of factors, including introduction of bivalent oral poliovirus vaccine types 1 and 3 in SIAs in 2010, targeting of migrant populations, and rapid outbreak response.

## What are the implications for public health practice?

In India, the risk for importation or undetected WPV transmission remains, particularly in migrant populations. To ensure interruption of all WPV transmission, strong surveillance and high population immunity are needed in all states (with specific focus on migrant populations), as well as rapid response SIAs after any detected WPV cases. Elimination of WPV in India will establish that WPV transmission can be interrupted even in the most challenging of settings, remove the threat of importation from India, and provide impetus to the Global Polio Eradication Initiative goal of interrupting all WPV transmission.

contributed to successfully stopping WPV transmission after report of the case in Howrah, West Bengal. This response, led by the government and partners,<sup>§§</sup> included massive mobilization of human and financial resources, revision of detailed subdistrict SIA operational plans, retraining of vaccinators and supervisors, increased community outreach and mobilization, and enhanced monitoring in the outbreak areas. Of note is that routine vaccination coverage improved in both Bihar and Uttar Pradesh over the last several years at the same time the two states conducted almost monthly SIAs and frequent large-scale mop-ups.

AFP surveillance indicators have reached or greatly exceeded targets in the majority of states and territories since 2005. Continued vigilance is needed to ensure that all states and union territories reach targets for surveillance indicators and that high-risk populations are adequately included to achieve the highest sensitivity required for detecting any WPV circulation. Appropriately targeted environmental surveillance can be more sensitive in detecting low-level WPV circulation than AFP surveillance (7). Sewage sampling is ongoing in Mumbai, Delhi, and Patna, Bihar, and is planned to begin in Kolkata, West Bengal, late in 2011.

Despite the absence of WPV cases in India since January 2011, the risk remains for WPV circulation among migrant populations and residents of high-risk areas in western Uttar Pradesh and central Bihar and in migrant populations in other states. In West Bengal, families within certain migrant populations continue to have higher proportions of undervaccinated children than families in migrant populations and the general population aged <2 years in other states, according to 2011 directed surveys of these populations.

Although India has served as a reservoir for importation to neighboring countries and some distant countries (8), the country also is at risk for WPV importations from other polio-affected areas. The recent polio outbreak in neighboring China resulting from WPV importation from Pakistan (9) is a reminder of the need for continued vigilance to ensure high population immunity in all states (with specific focus on migrant populations) along with rapid response SIAs after any detected WPV cases. Elimination of WPV in India will establish that WPV transmission can be interrupted even in the most challenging of settings, remove the threat of importation from India, and provide impetus to the Global Polio Eradication Initiative goal of interrupting all WPV transmission globally by the end of 2012.

# References

- 1. CDC. Progress toward interruption of wild poliovirus transmission worldwide, January 2010–March 2011. MMWR 2011;60:582–6.
- CDC. Progress toward poliomyelitis eradication—India, 1998. MMWR 1998;47:778–81.
- CDC. Progress toward poliomyelitis eradication—India, January 2009– October 2010. MMWR 2010;59:1581–5.
- 4. United Nations Children's Fund. 2009 coverage evaluation survey. New Delhi, India: United Nations Children's Fund; 2010. Available at http://www.unicef.org/india/health\_6679.htm. Accessed October 31, 2011.
- 5. World Health Organization–United Nations Children's Fund routine immunization coverage estimates. Available at http://apps.who.int/ immunization\_monitoring/en/globalsummary/timeseries/ tswucoveragepol3.htm. Accessed October 28, 2011.
- 6. Sutter RW, John TJ, Jain H, et al. Immunogenicity of bivalent types 1 and 3 oral poliovirus vaccine: a randomised, double-blind, controlled trial. Lancet 2010;376:1624–5.
- 7. Deshpande JM, Shetty SJ, Siddiqui ZA. Environmental surveillance system to track wild poliovirus transmission. Appl Environ Microbiol 2003;69:2919–27.
- CDC. Outbreaks following wild poliovirus importations—Europe, Africa, and Asia, January 2009–September 2010. MMWR 2010;59:1393–9.
- 9. World Health Organization. Outbreak news: confirmed international spread of wild poliovirus from Pakistan. Wkly Epidemiol Rec 2011;86:437–8.

<sup>§§</sup> World Health Organization, United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF), Rotary International and CORE Group.

# Vital Signs: Overdoses of Prescription Opioid Pain Relievers — United States, 1999–2008

On November 1, 2011, this report was posted as an MMWR Early Release on the MMWR website (http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr).

# Abstract

**Background:** Overdose deaths involving opioid pain relievers (OPR), also known as opioid analgesics, have increased and now exceed deaths involving heroin and cocaine combined. This report describes the use and abuse of OPR by state.

Methods: CDC analyzed rates of fatal OPR overdoses, nonmedical use, sales, and treatment admissions.

**Results:** In 2008, drug overdoses in the United States caused 36,450 deaths. OPR were involved in 14,800 deaths (73.8%) of the 20,044 prescription drug overdose deaths. Death rates varied fivefold by state. States with lower death rates had lower rates of nonmedical use of OPR and OPR sales. During 1999–2008, overdose death rates, sales, and substance abuse treatment admissions related to OPR all increased substantially.

**Conclusions:** The epidemic of overdoses of OPR has continued to worsen. Wide variation among states in the nonmedical use of OPR and overdose rates cannot be explained by underlying demographic differences in state populations but is related to wide variations in OPR prescribing.

**Implications for Public Health Practice:** Health-care providers should only use OPRs in carefully screened and monitored patients when non-OPR treatments are insufficient to manage pain. Insurers and prescription drug monitoring programs can identify and take action to reduce both inappropriate and illegal prescribing. Third-party payers can limit reimbursement in ways that reduce inappropriate prescribing, discourage efforts to obtain OPR from multiple health-care providers, and improve clinical care. Changes in state laws that focus on the prescribing practices of health-care providers might reduce prescription drug abuse and overdoses while still allowing safe and effective pain treatment.

# Introduction

In 2007, nearly 100 persons per day died of drug overdoses in the United States (1). The death rate of 11.8 per 100,000 population in 2007 was roughly three times the rate in 1991. Prescription drugs have accounted for most of the increase in those death rates since 1999 (2). In 2009, 1.2 million emergency department (ED) visits (an increase of 98.4% since 2004) were related to misuse or abuse of pharmaceuticals, compared with 1.0 million ED visits related to use of illicit drugs such as heroin and cocaine (3). Prominent among these prescription drug-related deaths and ED visits are opioid pain relievers (OPR), also known as narcotic or opioid analgesics, a class of drugs that includes oxycodone, methadone, and hydrocodone, among others. OPR now account for more overdose deaths than heroin and cocaine combined. OPR frequently are diverted for nonmedical use by patients or their friends or sold on the street. In 2010, 4.8% of the U.S. population aged ≥12 years used OPR nonmedically (4). Nonmedical use of OPR costs insurance companies up to \$72.5 billion annually in health-care costs (5).

States regulate the use of prescription drugs, such as OPR, and the practices of prescribers and pharmacists. States also finance and regulate health care for Medicaid populations, which are at greater risk for overdose (6). States therefore have a central role in ensuring that OPR are used legally and safely.

Comparisons among jurisdictions in drug overdose mortality, nonmedical use of OPR, and OPR sales can help identify risk factors and effective prevention measures. Among the states, OPR sales varied fourfold in 2002 (7), and death rates for overdoses involving OPR varied from 1.8 to 15.6 per 100,000 population in 2006 (2). More rural and more impoverished counties tend to have higher prescription drug overdose death rates (8,9).

# **Methods**

For this report, death rates are based on the National Vital Statistics System multiple cause of death files (*10*). Rates were age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. Census population using bridged-race\* population figures. Drug poisoning deaths, referred to as

<sup>\*</sup> Information about bridged-race categories is available at http://www.cdc.gov/ nchs/nvss/bridged\_race.htm.

drug overdose deaths in this report, were defined as those with an underlying cause of death classified by the International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision (ICD-10) external cause of injury codes as X40-X44, X60-X64, X85, or Y10-Y14. Rates include injury deaths of any intent (unintentional, suicide, homicide, or undetermined) for U.S. residents. Among deaths with drug overdose as the underlying cause, CDC identified the type of drug involved using ICD-10 codes: prescription drugs (T36-T39, T40.2-T40.4, T41-T43.5, and T43.7-T50.8), including prescription opioid pain relievers (T40.2-T40.4); illicit drugs (T40.1, T40.5, T40.7-T40.9, and T43.6); or only unspecified drugs (T50.9 alone). The prescription drug category includes some over-the-counter medications. Some deaths involved prescription and illicit drugs and are counted in both drug categories. Years of potential life lost (YPLL) before age 65 years were calculated by subtracting age at death from 65 years and summing to get the total YPLL.

Rates of nonmedical OPR use in the past year by state were obtained from the 2008–2009 National Surveys on Drug Use and Health (NSDUH) (11). Nonmedical use was defined as use of a prescription pain reliever without a prescription belonging to the respondent or use for the experience or feeling the drug causes. The prescription pain reliever category includes OPR and selected barbiturate combination products used for headaches.

Annual drug sales for 1999–2010 were determined from the Automation of Reports and Consolidated Orders System (ARCOS) of the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) (12). For this report, ARCOS sales data were used as a surrogate for OPR use. DEA provided data on sales to pharmacies, hospitals, and practitioners for codeine, fentanyl, hydrocodone, hydromorphone, meperidine, methadone, morphine, and oxycodone (Kyle Wright, personal communication, April 11, 2011). Sales of drugs to substance abuse treatment programs were not included. Amounts of drugs were standardized to morphine milligram equivalents (13).

The percentage of the state population below the federal poverty level by race and ethnicity during 2007–2008 was provided by the Kaiser Family Foundation (Rachel Licata, personal communication, August 10, 2011). Trends in substance abuse treatment admission rates were based on the Treatment Episode Data Set (14). Rates were calculated for admissions for treatment of substance abuse where the primary substance was an OPR.

Spearman's correlation coefficient was used to correlate the absolute increase in the non-Hispanic white overdose death rate during 1999–2008 with poverty level by state. Fisher's exact test was used to compare the proportions of states above and below national rates. Test results are statistically significant at p<0.05.

# Results

In 2008, a total of 36,450 deaths were attributed to drug overdose, a rate of 11.9 per 100,000 population (Table 1), among which a drug was specified in 27,153 (74.5%) deaths. One or more prescription drugs were involved in 20,044 (73.8%) of the 27,153 deaths, and OPR were involved in 14,800 (73.8%) of the 20,044 prescription drug overdose deaths. Rates varied by sex, race/ethnicity, and age. For deaths involving OPR, the rate among non-Hispanic whites and American Indians/Alaska Natives was three times higher than the rates in blacks and Hispanic whites. All death rates were highest among persons aged 35–54 years. Overdose resulted in 830,652 YPLL before age 65 years, a number comparable to the YPLL from motor vehicle crashes.

Rates for all outcomes studied varied widely by state (Table 2, Figure 1)<sup>†</sup> Overdose death rates ranged from 5.5 per 100,000 population in Nebraska to 27.0 in New Mexico. The prevalence of nonmedical use of OPR during 2008-2009 ranged from 3.6% in Nebraska to 8.1% in Oklahoma. The rate of OPR sales ranged from 3.7 kg per 10,000 population in Illinois to 12.6 kg in Florida. The highest sales rates were clustered in the Southeast and the Northwest. Among the 27 states with overdose death rates above the national rate, 21 (77.8%) had rates of nonmedical use above the national rate. Among the 24 states with death rates at or below the national rate, six (25.0%) had rates of nonmedical use above the national rate (p<0.001). Among the 27 states with death rates above the national rate, 21 (77.8%) had rates of OPR sales above the national rate. Among the 24 states with death rates at or below the national rate, five (20.8%) had rates of OPR sales above the national rate (p < 0.001).

During 1999–2008, overdose death rates, sales, and substance abuse treatment admissions related to OPR increased in parallel (Figure 2). The overdose death rate in 2008 was nearly four times the rate in 1999. Sales of OPR in 2010 were four times those in 1999. The substance abuse treatment admission rate in 2009 was almost six times the rate in 1999. The rate of sales of OPR in 2010, 7.1 kg per 10,000 population, was equivalent to 710 mg per person in the United States. The percentage of the non-Hispanic white population below the poverty level during 2007–2008 correlated positively with the increase in overdose death rates among non-Hispanic whites from 1999 to 2008 by state (r = 0.54; p<0.001). Louisiana, Mississippi, Kentucky, and West Virginia had some of the largest mortality increases and some of the highest poverty levels among non-Hispanic whites.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> For this report, District of Columbia is grouped with the states.

	Age-adjusted rate*										
Characteristic	All drugs <sup>†</sup>	Prescription drugs <sup>§</sup>	Opioid pain relievers <sup>¶</sup>	Illicit drugs <sup>**</sup>							
Overall	11.9	6.5	4.8	2.8							
Sex											
Men	14.8	7.7	5.9	4.3							
Women	9.0	5.3	3.7	1.4							
Race/Ethnicity											
White	13.2	7.4	5.6	2.8							
Hispanic <sup>††</sup>	6.1	3.0	2.1	2.5							
Non-Hispanic	14.7	8.4	6.3	2.9							
Black	8.3	3.0	1.9	4.0							
Asian/Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1.8	1.0	0.5	0.6							
American Indian/Alaska Native	13.0	8.4	6.2	2.7							
Age group (yrs)											
0–14	0.2	0.2	0.1	§§							
15–24	8.2	4.5	3.7	2.2							
25–34	16.5	8.8	7.1	4.4							
35–44	20.9	11.0	8.3	5.3							
45–54	25.3	13.8	10.4	6.0							
55–64	13.0	7.3	5.0	2.5							
≥65	4.1	3.0	1.0	0.3							
Intent											
Unintentional	9.2	4.8	3.9	2.6							
Undetermined	1.1	0.6	0.5	0.2							
Suicide	1.6	1.1	0.5	0.1							

TABLE 1. Drug overdose death rates by selected characteristics — National Vital Statistics System, United States, 2008

\* Rate per 100,000 population age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. standard population using the vintage 2008 population. Because deaths might involve both prescription and illicit drugs, some deaths are included in both categories.

<sup>+</sup> Deaths with underlying causes of unintentional drug poisoning (X40–44), suicide drug poisoning (X60–64), homicide drug poisoning (X85), or drug poisoning of undetermined intent (Y10–Y14), as coded in the *International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision.* 

- <sup>§</sup> Drug overdose deaths, as defined, that have prescription drugs (T36–T39, T40.2–T40.4, T41–T43.5, and T43.7–T50.8) as contributing causes.
- <sup>¶</sup> Drug overdose deaths, as defined, that had other opioids (T40.2), methadone (T40.3), and other synthetic narcotics (T40.4) as contributing causes.
- \*\* Drug overdose deaths, as defined, that have heroin (T40.1), cocaine (T40.5), hallucinogens (T40.7–T40.9), or stimulants (T43.6) as contributing causes.

<sup>††</sup> Non-white Hispanics are included in the other racial groups.

§§ Rate is not presented when the estimate is unstable because the number of deaths is less than 20.

# **Conclusions and Comment**

The epidemic of prescription drug overdoses in the United States has worsened over the last decade, and by 2008, drug overdose deaths (36,450) were approaching the number of deaths from motor vehicle crashes (39,973), the leading cause of injury death in the United States. Parallel trends in deaths and OPR sales between 1999 and 2008, combined with continuing upward trends in ED visits (4), OPR abuse treatment admissions (14), and OPR sales after 2008 suggest that the death rate also has increased since 2008. Preliminary 2009 death data are consistent with such an increase (15). These

# **Key Points**

- Death from opioid pain relievers (OPR) is an epidemic in the United States.
- Sales of OPR quadrupled between 1999 and 2010. Enough OPR were prescribed last year to medicate every American adult with a standard pain treatment dose of 5 mg of hydrocodone (Vicodin and others) taken every 4 hours for a month.
- Abuse of OPR costs health insurers approximately \$72.5 billion annually in health-care costs.
- State-based prescription drug monitoring program records and insurance claims information can identify and address inappropriate prescribing and use by patients. State laws and regulations based on these data need to be enacted, enforced, and rigorously evaluated.
- Additional information is available at http://www.cdc. gov/vitalsigns.

increases occurred despite numerous warnings and recommendations over the past decade for voluntary education of providers about more cautious use of OPR (*16*).

Differences in OPR overdose mortality by race/ethnicity match the pattern for medical and nonmedical use of OPR, with the lowest rates for medical and nonmedical use among Asians and blacks and the highest rates among American Indians/Alaska Natives and non-Hispanic whites (4,17). Differences in OPR overdose mortality by race and ethnicity cannot explain the wide variation in death rates among states, given the equally large differences in non-Hispanic white mortality between states. Nor can demographic differences fully explain the wide variations among states in the nonmedical use and sales of OPR. Montana and Iowa, for example, have largely non-Hispanic white populations but widely varying rates of nonmedical use and sales of OPR.

By 2010, enough OPR were sold to medicate every American adult with a typical dose of 5 mg of hydrocodone every 4 hours for 1 month. Increased use of OPR has contributed to the overall increases in rates of overdose death and nonmedical use, and variation among states in OPR sales probably contributes to state variation in these outcomes. Given that 3% of physicians accounted for 62% of the OPR prescribed in one study (*18*), the proliferation of high-volume prescribers can have a large impact on state use of OPR and overdose death rates. Large increases in overdoses involving the types of drugs sold by illegitimate pain clinics (i.e., "pill mills") have been reported in Florida (*19*) and Texas (*20*). Such clinics provide OPR to large volumes of patients

		Drug overd	ose deaths*		OPR					
	Ove	rall	Non-Hispa	nic whites	Nonmed	lical use <sup>†</sup>	Sal	es <sup>§</sup>		
State	Rate	(SE)	Rate	(SE)	%	(SE)	Rate	(SE)		
National	11.9	(0.1)	14.7	(0.1)	4.8	(0.1)	7.1	(0.0)		
New Mexico	27.0	(1.2)	25.1	(1.7)	5.7 <sup>¶</sup>	(0.6)	6.7	(0.2)		
West Virginia	25.8	(1.2)	26.6	(1.3)	5.9 <sup>¶</sup>	(0.6)	9.4	(0.2)		
Nevada	19.6	(0.9)	27.5	(1.3)	5.9 <sup>¶</sup>	(0.4)	11.8	(0.2)		
Utah	18.4	(0.9)	20.4	(1.0)	5.3 <sup>¶</sup>	(0.4)	7.4¶	(0.2)		
Alaska	18.1	(1.6)	18.1 <sup>¶</sup>	(2.1)	5.2 <sup>¶</sup>	(0.8)	8.2	(0.3)		
Kentucky	17.9	(0.7)	19.6	(0.7)	6.0	(0.3)	9.0	(0.1)		
Rhode Island	17.2	(13)	19.5	(1.5)	6.1	(0.6)	5.9	(0.2)		
Florida	16.5	(0.3)	23.9	(0.5)	4 1	(0.2)	12.6	(0.1)		
Oklahoma	15.8	(0.7)	17.5	(0.8)	8.1	(0.2)	9.2	(0.2)		
Ohio	15.0	(0.7)	16.0	(0.0)	5 5	(0.2)	7.0	(0.2)		
Louisiana	15.0	(0.4)	10.0	(0.8)	5.3¶	(0.2)	6.8	(0.1)		
Poppeylyapia	15.0	(0.0)	15.2	(0.8)	J.J." 4 1	(0.3)	0.0	(0.1)		
Toppossoo	17.1	(0.4)	17.0	(0.4)	4.1	(0.2)	11.0	(0.1)		
Washington	14.0	(0.5)	17.2	(0.0)	4.9	(0.2)	11.0	(0.1)		
Washington	14.7	(0.5)	16.1 15.0¶	(0.6)	6.I	(0.2)	9.2	(0.1)		
Colorado	14.6	(0.5)	15.0"	(0.6)	5./"	(0.3)	6.3	(0.1)		
Delaware	14.5	(1.3)	18./	(1.8)	5.61	(0.7)	10.2	(0.3)		
Wyoming	14.41	(1.8)	14.61	(2.0)	3.91	(0.9)	6.0	(0.3)		
Montana	14.1 <sup>1</sup>	(1.2)	13.7	(1.3)	5.31	(0.6)	8.4	(0.3)		
Indiana	13.2	(0.5)	14.4 <sup>1</sup>	(0.5)	5.7	(0.2)	8.1	(0.1)		
Alabama	13.1	(0.5)	17.6	(0.7)	5.1¶	(0.3)	9.7	(0.1)		
Arizona	13.1	(0.5)	17.1	(0.7)	6.0¶	(0.2)	8.4	(0.1)		
Arkansas	13.1 <sup>¶</sup>	(0.7)	15.6 <sup>¶</sup>	(0.9)	5.1¶	(0.4)	8.7	(0.2)		
Missouri	13.1	(0.5)	14.2 <sup>¶</sup>	(0.5)	4.4¶	(0.2)	7.2 <sup>¶</sup>	(0.1)		
North Carolina	12.9	(0.4)	17.1	(0.5)	5.0 <sup>¶</sup>	(0.2)	6.9	(0.1)		
South Carolina	12.6 <sup>¶</sup>	(0.5)	16.7	(0.8)	4.7 <sup>¶</sup>	(0.3)	7.2 <sup>¶</sup>	(0.1)		
Maine	12.3 <sup>¶</sup>	(1.0)	12.2	(1.0)	4.7 <sup>¶</sup>	(0.5)	9.8	(0.3)		
Michigan	12.2 <sup>¶</sup>	(0.4)	13.0	(0.4)	5.7	(0.2)	8.1	(0.1)		
Maryland	11.9 <sup>¶</sup>	(0.5)	15.3 <sup>¶</sup>	(0.7)	3.8	(0.2)	7.3 <sup>¶</sup>	(0.1)		
Massachusetts	11.8 <sup>¶</sup>	(0.4)	12.9	(0.5)	5.3 <sup>¶</sup>	(0.2)	5.8	(0.1)		
Oregon	11.7 <sup>¶</sup>	(0.6)	12.8	(0.6)	6.8	(0.3)	11.6	(0.2)		
Vermont	10.9 <sup>¶</sup>	(1.4)	10.9	(1.4)	4.6¶	(0.7)	8.1	(0.4)		
Connecticut	10.8 <sup>¶</sup>	(0.6)	12.5	(0.7)	3.8	(0.3)	6.7	(0.1)		
Mississippi	10.6	(0.6)	16.1 <sup>¶</sup>	(1.0)	4.7 <sup>¶</sup>	(0.3)	6.1	(0.1)		
Illinois	10.5	(0.3)	11.7	(0.4)	4.1	(0.1)	3.7	(0.1)		
Wisconsin	10.5	(0.4)	10.4	(0.5)	4.8 <sup>¶</sup>	(0.2)	6.5	(0.1)		
California	10.4	(0.2)	16.1	(0.3)	4.8 <sup>¶</sup>	(0.1)	6.2	(0,0)		
Idabo	97	(0.8)	10.7	(0.9)	5.8¶	(0.4)	7.5¶	(0.2)		
Georgia	9.5**	(0.3)	13 4**	(0.5)	4.6¶	(0.2)	65	(0.2)		
District of Columbia	9.5 9.4¶	(0.5)		(0.5)	3.7	(0.2)	3.9	(0.1)		
Hawaii	9. <del>4</del> 0.4	(0.0)	16.49	(2.3)	5.7 5.1¶	(0.7)	5.0	(0.3)		
Now Hampshire	9.4	(0.9)	0.5	(2.3)	5.0	(0.4)	0.1	(0.2)		
Virginia	9.5	(0.0)	9.5	(0.5)	J.9 4 6¶	(0.4)	0.1 E.C	(0.3)		
Virginia	9.1	(0.3)	11.9	(0.5)	4.0	(0.2)	5.0	(0.1)		
Texas New Yerk	0.0	(0.2)	15.2	(0.3)	4.0"	(0.1)	4.2	(0.0)		
New YORK	8.4	(0.2)	10.0	(0.3)	4.4 " 5.0¶	(0.1)	5.3 C.0¶	(0.1)		
Nansas	8.0	(0.5)	8.0	(0.6)	5.0"	(0.3)	٥.ð"	(0.2)		
New Jersey	8.0	(0.3)	10.5	(0.5)	3.8	(0.2)	6.0	(0.1)		
North Dakota	7.6	(1.3)	/.5	(1.4)	3.9"	(0.6)	5.0	(0.3)		
South Dakota	7.3	(1.1)	6.2	(1.1)	3.8	(0.6)	5.5	(0.3)		
Minnesota	7.2	(0.4)	7.2	(0.4)	4.4¶	(0.2)	4.2	(0.1)		
lowa	7.1	(0.5)	7.5	(0.5)	3.6	(0.3)	4.6	(0.1)		
Nebraska	5.5	(0.6)	5.8	(0.7)	3.6	(0.3)	4.2	(0.2)		

**Abbreviation:** SE = standard error.

\* Deaths per 100,000 population in 2008; age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. standard population using the vintage 2008 population.

<sup>+</sup> Percentage of persons aged  $\geq$  12 years using OPR nonmedically during 2008–2009.

<sup>§</sup> Kilograms of OPR sold per 10,000 population in morphine equivalents in 2010.

 ${}^{\P}$  Rate is not significantly different from the national rate.

\*\* Death rates from Georgia are based on preliminary numbers of deaths and might be underestimates.

<sup>++</sup> The rate is not presented when the estimate is unstable because the number of deaths is less than 20.



FIGURE 1. Drug overdose death rate in 2008 and rate of kilograms (kg) of opioid pain relievers (OPR) sold in 2010 — United States

without adequate evaluation or follow-up. Another possible contributor to state disparities is poverty, which was associated with greater increases in state death rates during 1999–2008. Medicaid populations are at greater risk of OPR overdose than non-Medicaid populations (6).

The findings in this report are subject to at least four limitations. First, vital statistics underestimate the rates of prescription and illicit drugs because the type of drug is not specified on many death certificates. Second, respondents might underreport nonmedical use of OPR in surveys such as the NSDUH. Third, ARCOS data reflect sales to retail outlets by state, but some drugs might have been used by nonstate residents or sent to other states by mail-order pharmacies or FIGURE 2. Rates\* of opioid pain reliever (OPR) overdose death, OPR treatment admissions, and kilograms of OPR sold — United States, 1999–2010



\* Age-adjusted rates per 100,000 population for OPR deaths, crude rates per 10,000 population for OPR abuse treatment admissions, and crude rates per 10,000 population for kilograms of OPR sold.

otherwise not used by state residents. Finally, sales data did not include buprenorphine, an opioid primarily used for substance abuse treatment, though sometimes prescribed for pain. Its inclusion with drugs primarily used to treat pain would have inappropriately increased sales rates.

Public health interventions to reduce prescription drug overdose must strike a balance between reducing misuse and abuse and safeguarding legitimate access to treatment. To find this balance, health-care providers should only use OPR in carefully screened and monitored patients when non-OPR treatments have not been sufficient to treat pain, as recommended in evidence-based guidelines (21). States, as regulators of healthcare practice, have the responsibility and authority to monitor and correct inappropriate and illegal prescribing. Data from state prescription drug monitoring programs, which collect records of prescription drugs prone to abuse from pharmacies, and Medicaid claims data can be used to identify and address OPR misuse and abuse. State Medicaid programs and other public insurers can use economic measures to hold providers accountable for their prescribing of OPR and other controlled prescription drugs. State professional licensing boards can take action against prescribers misusing their licenses, and law enforcement agencies can take action against illegal activities. State policies that focus on providers operating outside of normal medical practice, such as laws prohibiting so-called "pill mills,"

are a promising approach (19). All interventions need to be evaluated further and new interventions developed. Concerted attempts to address this problem, especially in states with high rates of OPR sales, nonmedical use, or overdose mortality, might help control the epidemic.

### **Reported by**

Leonard J. Paulozzi, MD, Christopher M. Jones, PharmD, Karin A. Mack, PhD, Rose A. Rudd, MSPH, Div of Unintentional Injury Prevention, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control, CDC. **Corresponding contributor:** Leonard J. Paulozzi, lpaulozzi@cdc.gov.

#### References

- CDC. WONDER [Database]. Atlanta, GA: US Department of Health and Human Services, CDC; 2010. Available at http://wonder.cdc.gov. Accessed September 27, 2011.
- Warner M, Chen L, Makuc D. Increase in fatal poisonings involving opioid analgesics in the United States, 1999–2006. NCHS Data Brief. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics; 2009. Available at http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/databriefs/db22.htm. Accessed October 3, 2011.
- 3. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. Highlights of the 2009 Drug Abuse Warning Network (DAWN) findings on drugrelated emergency department visits. The DAWN Report. Rockville, MD: US Department of Health and Human Services, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration; 2010. Available at http://oas. samhsa.gov/2k10/dawn034/edhighlights.htm. Accessed October 3, 2011.
- 4. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. Results from the 2009 National Survey on Drug Use and Health: volume 1: summary of national findings. Rockville, MD: US Department of Health and Human Services, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, Office of Applied Studies; 2010. Available at http://oas. samhsa.gov/nsduh/2k9nsduh/2k9resultsp.pdf. Accessed October 3, 2011.
- Coalition Against Insurance Fraud. Prescription for peril: how insurance fraud finances theft and abuse of addictive prescription drugs. Washington, DC: Coalition Against Insurance Fraud; 2007. Available at http://www.insurancefraud.org/downloads/drugDiversion.pdf. Accessed September 26, 2011.
- CDC. Overdose deaths involving prescription opioids among Medicaid enrollees—Washington, 2004–2007. MMWR 2009;58:1171–5.
- 7. Paulozzi LJ, Ryan GW. Opioid analgesics and rates of fatal drug poisoning in the United States. Am J Prev Med 2006;31:506–11.

- 8. Hall AJ, Logan JE, Toblin RL, et al. Patterns of abuse among unintentional pharmaceutical overdose fatalities. JAMA 2008;300:2613–20.
- 9. Paulozzi LJ, Xi Y. Recent changes in drug poisoning mortality in the United States by urban–rural status and by drug type. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Safety 2008;17:997–1005.
- Xu J, Kochanek KD, Murphy S, Tejada-Vera B. Deaths: final data for 2007. Natl Vital Stat Rep 2010;58(19). Available at http://www.cdc. gov/nchs/data/nvsr/nvsr58/nvsr58\_19.pdf. Accessed October 3, 2011.
- 11. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. State estimates of substance use and mental disorders from the 2008–2009 National Surveys on Drug Use and Health. NSDUH Series H-40. Rockville, MD: US Department of Health and Human Services, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration; 2011. Available at http://store.samhsa.gov/shin/content/SMA11-4641/ SMA11-4641.pdf. Accessed October 3, 2011.
- 12. US Department of Justice. Automation of Reports and Consolidated Orders System (ARCOS). Springfield, VA: US Department of Justice, Drug Enforcement Administration; 2011. Available at http://www. deadiversion.usdoj.gov/arcos/index.html. Accessed September 26, 2011.
- Gammaitoni AR, Fine P, Alvarez N, McPherson M, Bergmark S. Clinical application of opioid equianalgesic data. Clin J Pain 2003;19:286–97.
- 14. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. Quick statistics from the Drug and Alcohol Services Information System. Rockville, MD: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration; 2011. Available at http://www.oas.samhsa.gov/quick. cfm. Accessed September 29, 2011.
- Kochanek KD, Xu JQ, Murphy SL, et al. Deaths: preliminary data for 2009. Natl Vital Stat Rep 2011;59(4). Available at http://www.cdc.gov/ nchs/products/nvsr.htm#vol59. Accessed September 30, 2011.
- 16. Kuehn BM. Efforts aim to curb opioid deaths, injuries. JAMA 2009;301:1213-5.
- CDC. Health, United States, 2006. Hyattsville, MD: US Department of Health and Human Services, CDC, National Center for Health Statistics; 2006. Available at http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/hus/hus06. pdf. Accessed September 27, 2011.
- Swedlow A, Ireland J, Johnson G. Prescribing patterns of schedule II opioids in California Workers' Compensation. Oakland, CA: California Workers' Compensation Institute; 2011. Available at http://www.cwci. org/document.php?file=1438.pdf. Accessed October 3, 2011.
- 19. CDC. Drug overdose deaths—Florida, 2003–2009. MMWR 2011;60:869–72.
- 20. Forrester MB. Ingestions of hydrocodone, carisoprodol, and alprazolam in combination reported to Texas poison centers. J Addictive Dis 2011;30:110–5.
- Chou R, Fanciullo GJ, Fine PG, Adler JA, Ballantyne JC, Davies P, et al. Clinical guidelines for the use of chronic opioid therapy in chronic noncancer pain. J Pain 2009;10:113–30.

# Announcements

# National Epilepsy Awareness Month — November 2011

November is National Epilepsy Awareness Month. Epilepsy, which affects approximately 2 million persons in the United States, is characterized by recurrent, unprovoked seizures (1). Although epilepsy can occur at any age, the condition is more likely to begin among children aged <2 years and adults aged  $\geq$ 65 years (1). Delayed recognition of seizures and subsequent inadequate treatment increases the risk for additional seizures, disability, decreased health-related quality of life, and, in rare instances, death (2-4). Analysis of Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System data from 19 states indicated that approximately 1% of adults have active epilepsy, and many might not be receiving the best available medical care (5). As do many persons who live with other chronic disorders, those with epilepsy often face challenges related to medication schedules, management of symptoms, disability, lifestyle limitations, emotional stress, and stigma (6).

The Managing Epilepsy Well (MEW) Network, established in 2007, is composed of persons interested in improving the care for persons with epilepsy (7). MEW Network members, including representatives from U.S. universities, community-based organizations, and CDC, are working together to develop and test self-management programs and tools that promote self-management and improve quality of life for persons with epilepsy.

MEW Network programs available to communities include Web Epilepsy Awareness Support and Education (WebEase), Using Practice and Learning to Increase Favorable Thoughts (UPLIFT), and the Program to Encourage Active Rewarding Lives (PEARLS). WebEase is an Internet self-management program to improve medication adherence, stress management, and sleep (7). UPLIFT is an Internet and telephone program that combines cognitive behavioral therapy with mindfulness to treat depression in persons with epilepsy (8). PEARLS is a home-based, collaborative-care depression treatment program for persons with epilepsy (9).

Interventions currently under development or evaluation by the MEW Network include a self management program that integrates self-management and social support for adults with refractory epilepsy, a decision-support system for clinics to enhance self-management behavior, a consumer-driven self-management program, and a telephone-based depression prevention program. Additional information about the MEW Network is available at http://www.sph.emory.edu/ managingepilepsywell and http://www.cdc.gov/epilepsy.

## References

- Hirtz D, Thurman DJ, Gwinn-Hardy K, Mohamed M, Chaudhuri AR, Zalutsky R. How common are the "common" neurological disorders? Neurology 2007;68:326–37.
- 2. Engel J Jr. A greater role for surgical treatment of epilepsy: why and when? Epilepsy Curr 2003;3:37–40.
- Begley CE, Famulari M, Annegers JF, et al. The cost of epilepsy in the United States: an estimate from population-based clinical and survey data. Epilepsia 2000;41:342–51.
- Gilliam F. Optimizing health outcomes in active epilepsy. Neurology 2002;58(Suppl 5):S9–20.
- 5. CDC. Epilepsy surveillance among adults—19 states, Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, 2005. MMWR 2008;57(No. SS-6).
- Wagner EH, Austin BT, Davis C, Hindmarsh M, Schaefer J,Bonomi A. Improving chronic illness care: translating evidence into action. Health Aff (Millwood) 2001;20:64–78.
- DiIorio C, Bamps Y, Edwards AL, et al. The Prevention Research Centers' Managing Epilepsy Well Network. Epilepsy Behav 2010;19:218–24.
- Thompson NJ, Walker ER, Obolensky N, et al. Distance delivery of mindfulness-based cognitive therapy for depression: project UPLIFT. Epilepsy Behav 2010;19:247–54.
- Chaytor N, Ciechanowski P, Miller JW, et al. Long-term outcomes from the PEARLS randomized trial for the treatment of depression in patients with epilepsy. Epilepsy Behav 2011;20:545–9.

# National Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) Awareness Month — November 2011

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) characterizes a group of diseases that cause airflow obstruction, including emphysema and chronic bronchitis. In 2008, chronic lower respiratory diseases (primarily COPD) became the third leading cause of death in the United States (1).

November is National COPD Awareness Month. Sponsored by the U.S. COPD Coalition, the observance is an opportunity for health professionals, health-care providers, and COPD patient groups to collaborate and improve awareness and treatment of COPD by participating in the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute's COPD Learn More, Breathe Better campaign. In addition, CDC and its partners recently have released a set of goals to define the unique role and contributions of public health in the prevention and control of COPD (2).

The most significant cause of COPD is tobacco smoke. Smokers should be encouraged to quit, and persons should be protected from exposure to secondhand smoke. Smoking cessation information is available at http://www.smokefree.gov and http://www.cdc.gov/tobacco/quit\_smoking. Exposures to certain chemicals, fumes or vapors, or air pollution also are risks factors, as are asthma and respiratory infections.

Although no cure for COPD is available, it is treatable, and early detection is essential. Persons at risk for COPD who experience chronic cough, shortness of breath, and sputum

# Announcements

production are encouraged to talk to their health-care provider and request a simple breathing test called spirometry to assess lung function. Additional information is available from CDC (http://www.cdc.gov/copd), the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (http://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health/public/lung/ copd/lmbb-campaign), and the U.S. COPD Coalition (http:// www.uscopdcoalition.org).

### References

- Miniño AM, Xu JQ, Kochanek KD. Deaths: preliminary data for 2008. Natl Vital Stat Rep 2010;59(2).
- CDC. Public health strategic framework for COPD prevention. Atlanta, GA: US Department of Health and Human Services, CDC; 2011. Available at http://www.cdc.gov/copd/pdfs/framework\_for\_copd\_ prevention.pdf. Accessed October 25, 2011.

# Reinstatement of Notification Requirements for Emergency Response Employees Potentially Exposed to Life-Threatening Infectious Diseases

In October 2009, Congress reauthorized the Ryan White HIV/AIDS Treatment Extension Act of 2009 and included Part G, which reinstated notification requirements for emergency response employees potentially exposed to life-threatening infectious diseases.\* On July 7, 2010, the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services delegated to CDC responsibility for compiling a list of such diseases, including emerging infectious diseases, and specifying those diseases usually transmitted through airborne or aerosolized means. The Secretary further delegated the updating of guidelines for determining circumstances under which emergency response employees might be exposed to such diseases while attending to or transporting victims of emergencies and guidelines for medical facilities making determinations whether such exposures have occurred.

CDC staff members developed the required list and guidelines, incorporating input received via a public comment process<sup>†</sup> during December 2010–February 2011. On November 2, 2011, CDC published a final notice in the Federal Register, detailing responses to the public comments and setting forth the final list of diseases and the final guidelines, which will become effective 30 days after publication.<sup>§</sup>

## Epi Info 7 Software Released

CDC has released Epi Info 7 (available at http://www.cdc. gov/epiinfo), the latest version of its public-domain software designed to enable epidemiologists to create complex forms rapidly, collect large amounts of data, and quickly analyze information to assess situations. With Epi Info 7, users will be able to 1) distribute, deploy, and use Epi Info 7 during emergencies without requiring administrative privileges; 2) rapidly create complex questionnaires by dragging and dropping templates; 3) store data either on a server, when network infrastructure is available, or in local database files when disasters disrupt network connectivity; 4) link records together to assess relationships; and 5) visualize data on case-based cluster maps, social network analysis graphs, and a new visual dashboard.

Epi Info 7 also will enable future additions of web-based and mobile device-based extensions to the Epi Info platform.

<sup>\*</sup> Additional information available at http://edocket.access.gpo.gov/2010/2010-31149.htm.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Additional information available at http://edocket.access.gpo.gov/2010/pdf/ \_\_\_\_\_\_C1-2010-31110.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>§</sup> Available at http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2011-11-02/pdf/2011-28234.pdf.

## FROM THE NATIONAL CENTER FOR HEALTH STATISTICS

# Percentage of Adults Aged 18–64 Years Who Did Not Get Needed Prescription Drugs Because of Cost,\* by Poverty Status<sup>†</sup> — National Health Interview Survey, United States, 1999–2010<sup>§</sup>



\* Based on response to the question, "During the past 12 months, was there any time when you needed prescription medicine but didn't get it because you couldn't afford it?"

<sup>†</sup> Poverty status is based on family income and family size using the U.S. Census Bureau poverty thresholds.

Family income was imputed when information was missing, using multiple imputation methodology. § Estimates are based on household interviews of a sample of the U.S. civilian, noninstitutionalized adult population.

During 1999–2010, the percentage of working-age adults who reported that in the past 12 months they needed prescription drugs but did not obtain them because of cost was higher among those in families with low income than in families with higher income. The percentage that reported not getting needed prescription drugs increased for all income groups during the period 1999–2010. In 2010, 21.5% of those below the poverty level did not obtain needed prescription drugs compared with 3.9% among those at or exceeding 400% of the poverty level.

Source: National Health Interview Survey data, 1999–2010. Available at http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhis.htm.

# Notifiable Diseases and Mortality Tables

TABLE I. Provisional cases of infrequently reported notifiable diseases (<1,000 cases reported during the preceding year) — United States, week ending October 29, 2011 (43rd week)\*

	-	Cum	5-year weekly	Total	cases repo	orted for	previous	years	States reporting cases	
Disease	Current week	Cum 2011	weekly average <sup>†</sup>	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006	States reporting cases during current week (No.)	
Anthrax	_	_		_	1	_	1	1		
Arboviral diseases <sup>§</sup> , <sup>¶</sup> :										
California serogroup virus disease	_	106	1	75	55	62	55	67		
Eastern equine encephalitis virus disease	_	3	-	10	4	4	4	8		
Powassan virus disease	—	13	0	8	6	2	7	1		
St. Louis encephalitis virus disease	—	3	0	10	12	13	9	10		
Western equine encephalitis virus disease	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		
Babesiosis	3	579	0	NN	NN	NN	NN	NN	NY (3)	
Botulism, total	19	101	2	112	118	145	144	165		
foodborne	_	8	0	7	10	17	32	20		
infant	—	65	1	80	83	109	85	97		
other (wound and unspecified)	19	28	0	25	25	19	27	48	CA (19)	
Brucellosis	—	65	2	115	115	80	131	121		
Chancroid	1	27	1	24	28	25	23	33	VA (1)	
Cholera	_	28	0	13	10	5	7	9		
Cyclosporiasis	1	140	2	179	141	139	93	137	FL (1)	
Diphtheria	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	_		
Haemophilus influenzae, invasive disease (age <5 yrs):		_								
serotype b	_	6	0	23	35	30	22	29		
nonserotype b	_	88	3	200	236	244	199	175	0// (2)	
unknown serotype	1	192	3	223	178	163	180	179	OK (1)	
Hansen disease	—	39	2	98	103	80	101	66		
Hantavirus pulmonary syndrome	_	18	0	20	20	18	32	40		
Hemolytic uremic syndrome, postdiarrheai	3	150	6	266	242	330	292	288	OR (1), CA (2)	
Influenza-associated pediatric mortality '		112	4	61	358	90	//	43		
	11	000	17	821	851	/59	808	884	NY (4), MI (1), WA (1), OR (1), CA (4)	
Meningersessel disease investive¶	3	205	0	63	71	140	43	22	NC(1), AZ(1), OR(1)	
Meningococcal disease, invasive "":	1	150	F	200	201	220	225	210	OK (1)	
A, C, Y, and W-135	1	152	5 1	280	30 I 174	100	325	318		
serogroup B	1	10	2	155	1/4	100	107	261	VA (1)	
	1	227	0	12	25	50 616	550	5Z 651	OP (1)	
Novel influenza A virus infections***	1	527	0	400	402	010	330	NN		
Plaque		2	0	4	43,774 Q	2	4	17		
Poliomyelitis paralytic		2	0	2	1	5		17		
Polio virus Infection, nonnaralytic <sup>§</sup>					-	_				
Peittacosis		2				Q	12	21		
O fever total <sup>§</sup>	1	2	3	131	113	120	171	169		
	_	65	1	106	03	106		- 105		
chronic	1	23	0	25	20	14	_	_	ME (1)	
Rabies human	_	1	0	25	20	2	1	з		
Rubella <sup>+++</sup>	_	3	0	5	3	16	12	11		
Rubella congenital syndrome	_	_	_	_	2			1		
SARS-CoV <sup>§</sup>	_	_	_	_	_	_	_			
Smallpox <sup>§</sup>	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		
Streptococcal toxic-shock syndrome <sup>§</sup>	_	92	2	142	161	157	132	125		
Syphilis, congenital (age <1 yr) $^{\$\$\$}$	_	182	- 7	377	423	431	430	349		
Tetanus	_	7	1	26	18	19	28	41		
Toxic-shock syndrome (staphylococcal) <sup>§</sup>	1	63	2	82	74	71	92	101	NC (1)	
Trichinellosis	_	9	_	7	13	39	5	15		
Tularemia	2	117	1	124	93	123	137	95	WA (2)	
Typhoid fever	2	298	7	467	397	449	434	353	CA (2)	
Vancomycin-intermediate Stanbylococcus aureus <sup>§</sup>	1	52	, 1	Q1	78	63	37	6	MO (1)	
Vancomycin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus	_		0	21	, 0		2	1		
Vibriosis (noncholera Vibrio species infections) <sup>§</sup>	13	598	12	846	789	588	549	NN	PA (1), OH (1), MD (2), FL (3) AL (1) A7 (2)	
noncholera vono species inicetions,	15	570	12	0-10	707	500	545		NV (1), CA (2)	
Viral hemorrhagic fever <sup>¶¶¶</sup>	_	_	_	1	NN	NN	NN	NN		
Yellow fever	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		

See Table 1 footnotes on next page.

# TABLE I. (*Continued*) Provisional cases of infrequently reported notifiable diseases (<1,000 cases reported during the preceding year) — United States, week ending October 29, 2011 (43rd week)\*

- ---: No reported cases. N: Not reportable. NN: Not Nationally Notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts.
- \* Case counts for reporting year 2011 are provisional and subject to change. For further information on interpretation of these data, see http://www.cdc.gov/osels/ph\_surveillance/nndss/ phs/files/ProvisionalNationa%20NotifiableDiseasesSurveillanceData20100927.pdf.
- + Calculated by summing the incidence counts for the current week, the 2 weeks preceding the current week, and the 2 weeks following the current week, for a total of 5 preceding years. Additional information is available at http://www.cdc.gov/osels/ph\_surveillance/nndss/phs/files/5yearweeklyaverage.pdf.
- <sup>5</sup> Not reportable in all states. Data from states where the condition is not reportable are excluded from this table except starting in 2007 for the arboviral diseases, STD data, TB data, and influenza-associated pediatric mortality, and in 2003 for SARS-CoV. Reporting exceptions are available at http://www.cdc.gov/osels/ph\_surveillance/nndss/phs/infdis.htm.
- <sup>¶</sup> Includes both neuroinvasive and nonneuroinvasive. Updated weekly from reports to the Division of Vector-Borne Infectious Diseases, National Center for Zoonotic, Vector-Borne, and Enteric Diseases (ArboNET Surveillance). Data for West Nile virus are available in Table II.
- \*\* Data for H. influenzae (all ages, all serotypes) are available in Table II.
- <sup>++</sup> Updated weekly from reports to the Influenza Division, National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases. Since October 2, 2011, no influenza-associated pediatric deaths occurring during the 2011-12 influenza season have been reported.
- <sup>§§</sup> The three measles cases reported for the current week were imported.
- <sup>¶¶</sup> Data for meningococcal disease (all serogroups) are available in Table II.
- \*\*\* CDC discontinued reporting of individual confirmed and probable cases of 2009 pandemic influenza A (H1N1) virus infections on July 24, 2009. During 2009, four cases of human infection with novel influenza A viruses, different from the 2009 pandemic influenza A (H1N1) strain, were reported to CDC. The four cases of novel influenza A virus infection reported to CDC during 2010, and the seven cases reported during 2011, were identified as swine influenza A (H3N2) virus and are unrelated to the 2009 pandemic influenza A (H1N1) virus. Total case counts are provided by the Influenza Division, National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases (NCIRD).
- <sup>†††</sup> No rubella cases were reported for the current week.
- <sup>§§§</sup> Updated weekly from reports to the Division of STD Prevention, National Center for HIV/AIDS, Viral Hepatitis, STD, and TB Prevention.
- 199 There was one case of viral hemorrhagic fever reported during week 12 of 2010. The one case report was confirmed as lassa fever. See Table II for dengue hemorrhagic fever.

# FIGURE I. Selected notifiable disease reports, United States, comparison of provisional 4-week totals October 29, 2011, with historical data



\* Ratio of current 4-week total to mean of 15 4-week totals (from previous, comparable, and subsequent 4-week periods for the past 5 years). The point where the hatched area begins is based on the mean and two standard deviations of these 4-week totals.

### Notifiable Disease Data Team and 122 Cities Mortality Data Team

Jennifer Ward Willie J. Anderson Rosaline Dhara Pearl C. Sharp Deborah A. Adams Lenee Blanton Diana Harris Onweh Michael S. Wodajo

		Chlamydia trachomatis infection					Cocci	idioidomy	ycosis		Cryptosporidiosis				
	Current	Previous	52 weeks	Cum	Cum	Current	Previous	52 weeks	Cum	Cum	Current	Previous	52 weeks	Cum	Cum
Reporting area	week	Med	Max	2011	2010	week	Med	Max	2011	2010	week	Med	Max	2011	2010
United States	11,158	26,513	31,142	1,102,585	1,072,235	146	368	568	15,714	NN	112	131	359	7,030	7,878
New England	773	862	2,043	36,773	34,448	—	0	1	1	NN	—	7	22	339	446
Connecticut Maine <sup>†</sup>	219 80	219	1,557	8,842	9,391 2,101	_	0	0	_	NN NN	_	1	9	63 41	// 90
Massachusetts	458	423	860	18,657	17,035	_	Ő	Ő	_	NN	_	3	7	140	147
New Hampshire	1	53	87	2,215	1,997	_	0	1	1	NN	_	1	5	55	52
Vermont <sup>†</sup>	- 15	26	84	5,524 1,163	2,865	_	0	0	_	NN	_	1	4	39	65
Mid. Atlantic	1,996	3,417	5,069	142,157	140,956	_	0	1	3	NN	4	16	40	752	746
New Jersey	173	542	1,069	24,900	21,795	_	0	0	_	NN	_	0	4	21	46
New York (Upstate) New York City	810 204	/16	2,099	29,935	28,219 51,713	_	0	0	_	NN NN		4	15	190 70	187
Pennsylvania	809	980	1,240	42,829	39,229	_	0	1	3	NN	2	9	26	471	433
E.N. Central	1,027	4,018	7,039	163,027	170,034	—	0	5	42	NN	54	31	140	2,161	2,199
Illinois	22	1,076	1,320	42,051	50,216	—	0	0	—	NN	—	3	26	174	307
Michigan	487	496 919	3,376	39,370	41,184	_	0	3	26	NN	3	4	14	280	253
Ohio	185	1,002	1,134	41,209	42,634	_	0	3	16	NN	49	9	95	998	422
Wisconsin	173	460	559	18,324	19,306	—	0	0	_	NN	2	8	58	529	924
W.N. Central	124	1,466	1,667	59,546 8 837	60,226 8 708	_	0	2	6		3	18	84 18	1,138	1,/32
Kansas	20	200	233	8,530	8,080	_	0	0	_	NN	_	0	8	30	98
Minnesota	-	277	368	10,706	12,895	_	0	0	_	NN	_	0	9		375
Missouri Nebraska <sup>†</sup>	82	529 112	759 218	22,030	21,692 4 153	_	0	0	6	NN NN	3	4	63 12	471 166	525 241
North Dakota	7	42	77	1,739	1,967	_	0	0	_	NN	_	0	12	28	29
South Dakota	—	63	93	2,666	2,641	—	0	0	—	NN	—	2	13	130	101
S. Atlantic	2,968	5,293	6,685	229,790	215,252	—	0	2	3	NN	15	21	37	956	903
Delaware District of Columbia	113	85 109	128	3,590 4.681	3,681 4.647	_	0	0	_	NN NN		0	1	8 5	7
Florida	897	1,492	1,698	63,421	63,079	_	0	0	—	NN	11	8	17	380	334
Georgia Mandand <sup>†</sup>	441	987	2,384	42,081	36,414	—	0	0		NN	1	5	11	237	230
North Carolina	_	878	1,688	41,491	35,968	_	0	0		NN	_	0	13	36	82
South Carolina <sup>†</sup>	401	526	946	23,827	22,071	_	0	0	_	NN	_	3	8	112	103
Virginia West Virginia	930 79	654 79	965 121	27,656	25,948	_	0	0	_	NN NN	1	2	8	107	90 17
F S Central	1,011	1,894	3,314	80,341	76,200	_	0	0	_	NN	_	6	13	271	307
Alabama <sup>†</sup>	439	544	1,566	24,268	22,476	_	0	0	_	NN	_	2	7	116	161
Kentucky	313	280	2,352	13,329	12,280	—	0	0	—	NN	—	1	5	37	75
Tennessee <sup>†</sup>	259	407 595	795	25,303	23,635	_	0	0	_	NN	_	1	6	77	49
W.S. Central	409	3,663	4,560	151,265	146,644	_	0	1	4	NN	21	7	62	440	438
Arkansas <sup>†</sup>	330	305	440	13,295	13,069	_	0	0	_	NN	_	0	2	23	31
Louisiana Oklahoma	 79	477 349	1,052	18,089	22,508	_	0	1	4	NN NN	_	0	9 34	40 72	63 78
Texas <sup>†</sup>		2,452	3,107	103,642	99,246	_	0	0	_	NN	21	5	37	305	266
Mountain	703	1,741	2,155	74,054	69,457	89	284	457	12,410	NN	3	11	30	513	533
Arizona		537	717	23,470	22,565	88	280	454	12,272	NN	_	1	4	36	35
ldaho <sup>†</sup>	10	81	235	3,512	3,343	_	0	0	_	NN	_	2	9	95	92
Montana <sup>†</sup>	73	61	88	2,808	2,574		0	2	4	NN	3	1	6	65	44
Nevada' New Mexico <sup>†</sup>	173	201	380	8,878 8 701	8,302 9.044	1	1	5 4	78 43		_	0	2	9 109	37
Utah		130	181	5,429	5,526	_	0	2	10	NN	_	1	5	38	65
Wyoming <sup>†</sup>	13	38	90	1,571	1,699	—	0	2	3	NN	—	0	5	23	23
Pacific	2,147	3,950	6,559	165,632	159,018	57	68	143	3,245	NN	12	11	29	460	574
California	1,510	2.971	5.763	4,817	5,077	57	68	143	3.238	NN	7	6	3 19	273	298
Hawaii		105	135	3,896	5,080	_	0	0	_	NN		0	0		1
Oregon Washington	284	278	524 672	11,611	9,305 17,666	_	0	1	7	NN	3	2	8	108	196 74
Torritorios		1.1	072		17,000			0			۷		2	00	77
American Samoa	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	NN	Ν	0	0	Ν	Ν
C.N.M.I.	_					_			_	NN	_			—	_
Guam Puerto Rico	_	10 104	81 349	189 4.341	757	_	0	0	_	NN NN	N	0	0	N	N
U.S. Virgin Islands	_	16	27	642	479	_	Õ	Õ	_	NN	_	õ	Õ	_	_

C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands.

U: U: U: usailable. —: No reported cases. N: Not reportable. NN: Not Nationally Notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts. Med: Median. Max: Maximum. \* Case counts for reporting year 2011 are provisional and subject to change. For further information on interpretation of these data, see http://www.cdc.gov/osels/ph\_surveillance/nndss/ phs/files/ProvisionalNationa%20NotifiableDiseasesSurveillanceData20100927.pdf. Data for TB are displayed in Table IV, which appears quarterly.

<sup>†</sup> Contains data reported through the National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS).

		D	engue Fever <sup>8</sup>	ā			Dengue H	emorrhagic F	ever <sup>¶</sup>	
	Current	Previous	52 weeks	Cum	Cum	Current	Previous	52 weeks	Cum	Cum
Reporting area	week	Med	Max	2011	2010	week	Med	Max	2011	2010
United States	—	3	16	162	646	—	0	1	1	9
New England	—	0	1	1	9	—	0	0	—	_
Connecticut Maine**	—	0	0			—	0	0		
Massachusetts	_	0	0	_		_	0	0	_	_
New Hampshire	_	õ	Ő		_	_	Ő	Ő		
Rhode Island**	_	0	0	_	1	_	0	0	_	_
Vermont**	—	0	1	1	3	—	0	0		
Mid. Atlantic	_	1	6	50	214	—	0	0	_	5
New Jersey	—	0	1		28		0	0		
New York (Upstate)	_	1	1	36	30 135		0	0	_	2
Pennsylvania	_	0	2	14	21	_	0	0	_	
E.N. Central	_	0	4	9	65	_	0	0	_	1
Illinois	_	0	2	1	20	_	0	0	_	_
Indiana	—	0	1	2	14	—	0	0	—	—
Michigan	—	0	1	2	9	—	0	0	—	—
Uhio Wisconsin	—	0	1	2	16	—	0	0	—	1
WISCONSII	_	0	2	2	21	_	0	1	_	1
lowa	_	0	2	3	31	_	0	0	_	_
Kansas	_	õ	1	1	4	_	Ő	0	_	_
Minnesota	_	0	1	_	13	_	0	0	_	_
Missouri	_	0	1	1	4	_	0	0	_	_
Nebraska**	—	0	0	1	7		0	0		
South Dakota	_	0	0			_	0	0	_	_
S Atlantic	_	1	8	67	226	_	0	1	1	2
Delaware	_	0	2	2		_	Ő	Ó	_	
District of Columbia	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
Florida	_	1	7	49	178	_	0	0	_	2
Georgia	—	0	1	3	11	—	0	0	—	_
Maryland^^ North Carolina	_	0	2	4		_	0	0	_	_
South Carolina**	_	õ	0		13	_	Ő	0	_	_
Virginia**	_	0	1	7	14	_	0	1	1	_
West Virginia	_	0	0	_	2	_	0	0	_	_
E.S. Central	_	0	3	4	6	_	0	0	_	_
Alabama**	—	0	1	2	3	—	0	0	—	—
Mississippi	_	0	0	_		_	0	0	_	_
Tennessee**	_	Ő	2	2	1	_	Ő	Ő	_	_
W.S. Central	_	0	2	6	25	_	0	0		1
Arkansas**	—	0	0	—	—	—	0	0	—	1
Louisiana	_	0	1	3	4	—	0	0	—	_
Oklanoma Toxac**	_	0	1		4	_	0	0	_	_
Mountain		0	2	1	20		0	0		
Arizona	_	Ő	2	2	10	_	Ő	0		
Colorado	_	0	0		—	_	0	0		
Idaho**	—	0	1		2	—	0	0		
Montana**	—	0	1	1	3		0	0		
New Mexico**	_	0	0	_	4	_	0	0	_	_
Utah	_	õ	1	1	_	_	Ő	Ő		
Wyoming**	_	0	0		—	_	0	0		
Pacific	_	0	4	15	50	_	0	0	_	_
Alaska	—	0	0		1	—	0	0	—	—
California	—	0	2	5	34	—	0	0	—	_
Oregon	_	0	4		_	_	0	0	_	_
Washington	_	Õ	1	5	15	_	Ő	0	_	_
Territories										
American Samoa	_	0	0		—	_	0	0	_	_
C.N.M.I.	—	_	_		—	—	_	_	—	—
Guam Puerto Rico	—	0	0	1.060	10.020	—	0	0	17	
IIS Virgin klands	_	29	192	1,000	10,029	_	0	0	17	
o.o. virgin isianus	—	U	U			—	U	v		_

### TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending October 29, 2011, and October 30, 2010 (43rd week)\*

C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands. U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: Not reportable. NN: Not Nationally Notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts. Med: Median. Max: Maximum. \* Case counts for reporting year 2011 are provisional and subject to change. For further information on interpretation of these data, see http://www.cdc.gov/osels/ph\_surveillance/nndss/ phs/files/ProvisionalNationa%20NotifiableDiseasesSurveillanceData20100927.pdf. Data for TB are displayed in Table IV, which appears quarterly. † Updated weekly from reports to the Division of Vector-Borne Infectious Diseases, National Center for Zoonotic, Vector-Borne, and Enteric Diseases (ArboNET Surveillance).

§ Dengue Fever includes cases that meet criteria for Dengue Fever with hemorrhage, other clinical and unknown case classifications.

<sup>¶</sup> DHF includes cases that meet criteria for dengue shock syndrome (DSS), a more severe form of DHF.

\*\* Contains data reported through the National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS).

### TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending October 29, 2011, and October 30, 2010 (43rd week)\*

							Ehrlichic	sis/Anapla							
		Ehrli	chia chaffe	ensis			Anaplasn	na phagocy	tophilum	Undetermined					
	Current	Previous	52 weeks	Cum	Cum	Current	Previous	52 weeks	Cum	Cum	Current	Previous 5	52 weeks	Cum	Cum
Reporting area	week	Med	Max	2011	2010	week	Med	Max	2011	2010	week	Med	Max	2011	2010
United States	6	6	109	619	591	7	15	53	609	1,572	_	2	13	93	82
New England	_	0	2	4	5	1	2	24	208	94	_	0	1	1	2
Connecticut	_	0	0	1		_	0	5		32	_	0	0	_	_
Maine <sup>3</sup> Massachusetts	_	0	0	_	- 3	_	0	17	135		_	0	0	_	_
New Hampshire	_	0	1	2	2	_	0	4	14	16	_	0	1	1	2
Rhode Island <sup>§</sup>	_	0	1	1	_	1	0	15	40	29	—	0	0	_	_
vermont <sup>3</sup>	1	1	0			ו ר	5	20	4 274	2	_	0	0	11	11
Mid. Atlantic		0	1		48		0	29	2/4	230 64	_	0	2		1
New York (Upstate)	1	Ő	7	47	25	2	3	25	234	154	_	0	2	11	7
New York City	—	0	1	9	5	—	0	5	36	11	—	0	0	—	_
Pennsylvania	—	0	0		2	—	0	1	4	1	_	0	0		3
E.N. Central	_	0	3	24 14	41	_	0	8 2	15	480	_	0	5 1	41	42
Indiana	_	0	0			_	0	0	_	_	_	0	3	32	15
Michigan	_	0	2	4	2	_	0	1	_	4	_	0	2	5	_
Ohio	_	0	1	6	6	_	0	1	6	2	_	0	1	1	
wisconsin	_	1	10	152	18 110	_	0	20	33	400	_	0	11	1 15	24 10
lowa	N	0	0	N N	N	N	0	20	N	N	N	0	0	N	N
Kansas	_	Ő	1	3	6	_	Ő	1	2	1	_	Ő	Ő	_	_
Minnesota	—	0	12			—	0	20	1	676		0	11	_	_
Missouri Nebraska <sup>§</sup>	_	1	19 1	147	111	_	0	7	27	12	_	0	7	14	10
North Dakota	Ν	0	0	N	Ň	Ν	0	0	Ň	N	Ν	0	0	N	Ν
South Dakota	—	0	1	1	_	_	0	1	2	-	—	0	0	_	_
S. Atlantic	4	2	33	215	237	3	1	8	54	57	—	0	1	7	6
Delaware District of Columbia		0	2	15	17		0	1	1	4		0	0		
Florida	IN 1	0	3	14	N 8	IN	0	3	N 9	N 3	IN	0	0	IN	IN
Georgia	_	Ő	3	16	20	_	Ő	2	7	1	_	Ő	1	1	1
Maryland <sup>§</sup>	1	0	3	25	21	_	0	2	6	14	_	0	0	_	2
North Carolina South Carolina <sup>§</sup>		0	17	5/	94 4	_	0	6	17	23	_	0	0	1	_
Virginia <sup>§</sup>	_	1	13	87	71	3	Ő	3	14	11	_	Ő	1	4	3
West Virginia	—	0	1	_	2	_	0	0	—	-	—	0	1	1	_
E.S. Central	1	0	8	67	86	—	0	2	15	19		0	3	12	8
Alabama <sup>9</sup> Kontuclar	_	0	2	4	10	_	0	1	4	7	N	0	0	N	N 1
Mississippi	_	0	1	3	3	_	0	1	1	2	_	0	0	_	1
Tennessee§	1	0	5	50	57	_	0	2	10	10	_	0	3	12	6
W.S. Central	_	0	87	101	22	1	0	9	7	3	_	0	0	_	1
Arkansas <sup>§</sup>	_	0	12	43	4	_	0	2	5	_	_	0	0	_	_
Oklahoma	_	0	0 82	57	14	1	0	0	2	2	_	0	0	_	_
Texas <sup>§</sup>	_	0	1	1	3	_	0	1	_	1	_	0	0	_	1
Mountain	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	1	4	_
Arizona	_	0	0	_		_	0	0	_		_	0	1	3	_
Colorado Idabo§	N	0	0	N	N	N	0	0	N	N	N	0	0	N	N
Montana <sup>§</sup>	N	0	0	N	N	N	0	0	N	N	N	0	0	N	N
Nevada <sup>§</sup>	Ν	0	0	Ν	Ν	Ν	0	0	Ν	Ν	Ν	0	0	Ν	Ν
New Mexico <sup>9</sup>	N	0	0	N	N	N	0	0	N	N	N	0	0	N	N
Wyoming <sup>§</sup>	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
Pacific	_	0	1	_	1	_	0	1	3	_	_	0	1	2	2
Alaska	Ν	0	0	Ν	Ν	Ν	0	0	N	Ν	Ν	0	0	Ν	Ν
California	_	0	1		1		0	0				0	1	2	2
Hawaii Oregon	N	0	0	N	N	N	0	0	N 2	N	N	0	0	N	N
Washington	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	د 	_	_	0	0	_	_
Territories			-					-					-		
American Samoa	Ν	0	0	Ν	Ν	Ν	0	0	Ν	Ν	Ν	0	0	Ν	Ν
C.N.M.I.			_					_							
Guam Puerto Rico	N N	0	0	N N	N N	N N	0	0	N N	N N	N N	0	0	N N	N N
U.S. Virgin Islands		0	õ				0	Ő				õ	Ő		

C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands. U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: Not reportable. NN: Not Nationally Notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts. Med: Median. Max: Maximum. \* Case counts for reporting year 2011 are provisional and subject to change. For further information on interpretation of these data, see http://www.cdc.gov/osels/ph\_surveillance/nndss/ phs/files/ProvisionalNationa%20NotifiableDiseasesSurveillanceData20100927.pdf. Data for TB are displayed in Table IV, which appears quarterly. <sup>†</sup> Cumulative total *E. ewingii* cases reported for year 2011 = 13. <sup>§</sup> Contains data reported through the National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS).

TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending October 29, 2011, and October 30, 2010 (43rd week)\*

changeperturnchangeCha		Giardiasis							Gonorrhe	a	Haemophilus influenzae, invasive <sup>†</sup> All ages, all serotypes					
Bigending         Web         Hed         Max         2010         Web         Hed         Max         2011         2010         Web         Head         Max         <		Current	Previous	52 weeks	Cum	Cum	Current	Previous 5	2 weeks	Cum	Cum	Current	Previous 5	2 weeks	Cum	Cum
Under States         18         290         446         12,446         16,27         23,88         6,02         7,44         20,90         23,640         20         6         6         11         2,511         2,711           Connectiont         -         4         6         176         23         46         6         45         150         198         10.0         -         1         6         6         3         3           Mand         3         1         12         150         16         13         2         0         1109         11.00         2         9         10         13         0         16         23         2         10         10         2         9         10         1         0         3         0         0         13         10         13         10         13         10         13         10         13         10         13         13         13         23         14	Reporting area	week	Med	Max	2011	2010	week	Med	Max	2011	2010	week	Med	Max	2011	2010
New English         8         20         61         1,227         1,428         1,22         10         200         4,403         4,204         2         4         12         12         140           Mass         -         1         2         7         556         60         3         4         10         1198         2,056         -         1         0         2         3         10           Mass         -         1         10         7         56         60         10         10         10         2         10         11         0         2         10         11         0         2         10         11         0         10         2         10         11         10         11         10         11         10         10         11         10         11         10         11         10         11         10         11         10         11         10         11         10	United States	183	290	445	12,446	16,674	2,368	6,022	7,484	250,992	253,640	26	65	141	2,581	2,471
$ \begin{array}{c} \mbox{Construct} & - & 4 & 9 & 175 & 258 & 46 & 45 & 150 & 1.918 & 2.056 & - & 1 & 6 & 43 & 30 & 0 \\ \mbox{Construct} & - & 1 & 2 & 2 & 256 & 1618 & 351 & 47 & 160 & 1942 & 2.057 & - & 0 & 2 & 9 & 17 \\ \mbox{Maschustrix} & - & - & 2 & 8 & 101 & 143 & 2 & 2 & 7 & 107 & 127 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 13 & 10 \\ \mbox{Maschustrix} & - & - & 2 & 8 & 101 & 143 & 2 & 2 & 7 & 107 & 127 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 9 & 17 \\ \mbox{Maschustrix} & - & - & 2 & 8 & 101 & 143 & 2 & 2 & 7 & 107 & 127 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 7 & 17 \\ \mbox{Maschustrix} & - & - & 3 & 10 & 77 & 7 & 77 & 79 & 790 & 783 & 10.74 & 32.233 & 29.279 & - & 0 & 2 & 9 & 78 & 77 & 87 \\ \mbox{Maschustrix} & - & - & - & 1 & 6 & 27 & 707 & 790 & 780 & 113 & 155 & 271 & 4.766 & 4.463 & 3 & 3 & 18 & 152 & 124 & 78 & 78 & 77 & 87 & 77 & 77 & 78 & 78 & 77 & 77 & 78 & 78 & 77 & 77 & 78 & 78 & 77 & 77 & 78 & 78 & 77 & 77 & 78 & 78 & 77 & 77 & 78 & 78 & 77 & 77 & 78 & 78 & 77 & 78 & 78 & 77 & 78 & 77 & 78 & 77 & 78 & 77 & 78 & 77 & 78 & 77 & 78 & 77 & 78 & 77 & 78 & 77 & 78 & 78 & 77 & 77 &$	New England	8	26	61	1,227	1,428	122	105	206	4,493	4,604	2	4	12	172	146
$\begin{split} \begin{array}{c} \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Connecticut Maino <sup>§</sup>		4	9	176	253	46	45	150	1,918	2,056	—	1	6	43	30
New Hangshine         -         2         8         101         143         2         2         7         107         127         1         0         2         13         10           Vernorth         3         3         10         17         10         5         11         10         5         11         10         5         10         33         5         10         33         5         10         33         5         10         13         5         10         13         10         13         5         10         13         10         13         11         10         13         11 <th11< th="">         11&lt;</th11<>	Massachusetts		12	27	566	618	53	47	80	1.942	1.979	_	2	6	80	77
Bhade Hand <sup>20</sup> — 1 1 10 57 60 13 6 16 223 243 14 1 0 32 9 11 1 1 22 9 11 1 1 22 9 14 14 17 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14	New Hampshire	—	2	8	101	143	2	2	7	107	127	1	0	2	13	10
max.         max. <th< td=""><td>Rhode Island<sup>9</sup></td><td></td><td>1</td><td>10</td><td>57 174</td><td>67 167</td><td>13</td><td>6</td><td>16</td><td>285</td><td>254</td><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>2</td><td>9</td><td>11</td></th<>	Rhode Island <sup>9</sup>		1	10	57 174	67 167	13	6	16	285	254	1	0	2	9	11
$\begin{split} \begin{array}{c} \text{minime large by } & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - &$	Mid Atlantic	22	58	103	2 469	2 807	399	783	1 074	32 733	29 793	5	14	32	590	465
New York (üpstate)         18         24         72         95         954         113         115         271         4766         4643         3         3         18         152         124           Perms/Variat         7         16         27         062         657         190         257         365         11,060         104,321         1         5         112         210         177           Rems/Variat         19         48         69         10,44         0,994         1,373          3         10         131         35           Michigan         7         10         19         486         668         127         224         494         10,070         11,373          1         4         57         265           Ohio         12         16         30         674         717         61         312         353         13,033         1,749          0         12         18         18           Micconin         -2         17         76         185         4         42         16         6,002         5,777         3         1         5          65         Mico	New Jersey		4	14	134	415	51	148	258	6,747	4,778	_	2	7	87	87
New York (iy) 8 16 29 710 781 45 244 469 10,40 9,40 1 3 7 141 75 12 10 175 180 257 365 11,000 10,43 1 5 11 210 175 184 540 460 10,43 1 5 11 210 175 184 540 460 10,43 1 5 11 210 175 184 540 460 10,43 1 5 11 220 440 460 10,43 1 5 11 220 440 460 10,43 1 5 11 220 440 460 10,43 1 5 11 220 440 460 10,43 1 5 11 220 440 460 10,43 1 5 11 220 440 460 10,43 1 5 11 220 440 460 10,43 1 5 11 220 440 460 10,43 1 1 2 7 129 99 181 114 114 1164 116 12 16 10 175 1161 55 164 172 173 - 1 1 4 5 154 140 160 10,43 1 1 2 7 129 99 175 101 55 154 540 12 16 30 674 717 61 312 29 35 13,01 13,13 1,13 1 1 2 7 129 99 175 11 220 140 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 12	New York (Upstate)	18	24	72	963	954	113	115	271	4,786	4,643	3	3	18	152	124
$ \begin{array}{c} \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	New York City Pennsylvania	8	16 16	29	710	781 657	45	244	469 365	10,140	9,949	1	3	7	141 210	75 170
	EN Control	, 19	48	69	1.973	2.818	299	1.014	2.091	42,788	46.975	1	11	22	452	405
Indianà — — 5 111 189 345 50 117 1018 5.366 4.222 — 2 7 81 85 Chia 12 16 30 6.73 717 6 132 336 13.018 13.213 13.21 1 2 71 2 7 122 30 Witconin — 1 2 16 30 6.73 717 6 132 336 13.018 13.018 13.214 1 1 2 7 122 124 48 Witconin — 1 3 23 10 5 236 253 3 3 7 55 13.269 14.271 3 1 0 5 14 84 Witconin — 2 7 76 138 4 4 25 7 1693 1.707 — 0 1 1 29 186 Minesota — 0 16 — 737 1 36 33 1.465 1.7093 1.707 — 0 2 118 18 Minesota — 0 16 — 737 1 36 6.25 5.797 3 1 5 72 68 Nebraja <sup>6</sup> a.1 1 159 182 21 22 4 8 1.011 49 186 6.025 5.797 3 1 5 72 68 Nebraja <sup>6</sup> a.1 1 159 182 21 24 4 8 1.011 4942 — 0 3 20 18 Nebraja <sup>6</sup> a.1 1 159 182 21 22 4 8 1.011 4942 — 0 3 20 18 Nebraja <sup>6</sup> a.1 1 159 182 21 22 4 8 1.011 4942 — 0 3 20 18 Nebraja <sup>6</sup> a.1 1 159 182 21 22 4 8 1.011 4942 0 1 1 1 10 S.Athanic — 1 8 82 23 7 1 80 186 1 10 19 18 18 18 District folumbia — 1 8 32 37 1 80 183 1.067 840 — 0 1 1 1 10 District folumbia — 1 3 29 50 31 39 68 1.671 7.01 — 0 1 4 4 5 District folumbia — 1 3 29 50 31 39 68 1.671 7.01 — 0 1 4 4 5 District folumbia — 1 3 22 50 31 39 68 1.671 7.01 — 0 1 4 4 5 District folumbia — 1 3 22 50 31 39 68 1.671 7.01 — 0 1 4 4 5 S.Athanic 31 2 2 5 10.117 1.802 255 377 465 1.633 1.703 T 4 12 199 155 Georgia — 13 51 608 678 122 131 88 46 1.273 17.031 T 4 12 199 155 Such Caolina <sup>6</sup> 2 2 2 5 0 10.17 1.802 25 377 465 1.639 1.7031 T 4 4 45 23 Such Caolina <sup>6</sup> 3 3 1 1 144 182 24 51 16 29 6.654 5.946 1 5 7 13 7 112 137 Forda 4 4 45 23 West Wignia — 0 8 222 15 41 0 190 111 176 4.836 6.446 1 4 45 23 West Wignia — 0 8 22 15 41 0 190 111 176 4.836 6.446 1 4 45 23 Nedaja <sup>6</sup> 3 3 11 144 182 24 518 1.607 7.188 6.486 1 4 45 23 Metada <sup>6</sup> 3 3 11 144 182 24 518 1.607 7.188 6.486 1 4 4 45 23 Nexterin M 0 0 0 N N N 44 140 224 4.6116 3.209 1 0 3 28 16 Atransa <sup>6</sup> 3 3 3 11 144 182 24 193 181 9.007 7.188 6.486 1 4 4 45 23 Nexterin M 0 0 0 N N N 44 100 375 7.4681 3.209 0 4 3 18 73 West Wignia 1 2 0 00 N N N 44 100 375 7.4681 8.907 0 4 3 18 3.27 Nexida <sup>5</sup> N 0 0	Illinois	_	9	19	379	611	9	270	362	10,691	12,991	_	3	10	131	141
$ \begin{array}{c} Michgan & 7 & 10 & 19 & 408 & 608 & 127 & 23 & 494 & 10070 & 13743 & 1 & 4 & 57 & 26 \\ Miccuran & & 2 & 57 & 543 & 177 & 62 & 33 & 51 & 335 & 12369 & 12.271 & 3 & 10 & 1 & 1744 & 129 & 180 \\ Miccuran & 1 & 2 & 55 & 226 & 128 & 128 & 2 & 32 & 355 & 12369 & 12.271 & 3 & 10 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ Maccara & & 2 & 7 & 76 & 188 & 4 & 42 & 57 & 1693 & 1707 & & 0 & 2 & 18 & 18 \\ Maccara & & 2 & 7 & 76 & 188 & 4 & 42 & 57 & 1693 & 1.077 & & 0 & 2 & 18 & 18 \\ Maccara & & 2 & 0 & 16 & & 777 & 1 & 36 & 53 & 1.465 & 1.811 & & 0 & 6 & 11 & 10 \\ Maccara & & 0 & 16 & & 777 & 1 & 36 & 53 & 1.465 & 1.811 & & 0 & 6 & 11 & 10 \\ Maccara & & 0 & 16 & & 777 & 1 & 36 & 53 & 1.465 & 1.811 & & 0 & 6 & 11 & 10 \\ Maccara & & 0 & 16 & & 777 & 1 & 36 & 577 & 3 & 1 & 5 & 72 & 68 \\ Missouri & 8 & 8 & 23 & 359 & 361 & & 149 & 186 & 6.025 & 777 & 3 & 1 & 5 & 72 & 68 \\ Micsotak & & 0 & 13 & 32 & 26 & & 4 & 8 & 174 & 164 & & 0 & 6 & 11 & 10 \\ Suth Dakcta & & 0 & 13 & 32 & 27 & 750 & 1.474 & 1.862 & 61.817 & 6386 & & 1 & 1 & -5 \\ Florida & 22 & 23 & 50 & 1.017 & 1.802 & 253 & 377 & 465 & 16.393 & 12.073 & 7.4 & 12 & 199 & 155 \\ Suth Ticlo Clumbia & & 1 & 3 & 22 & 224 & & 119 & 246 & 4.666 & 5.466 & & 1 & 7 & 6 & 61 \\ Florida & 22 & 23 & 50 & 1.017 & 1.802 & 253 & 377 & 465 & 16.398 & 12.066 & & 1 & 7 & 6 & 61 & 10 \\ Suth Clumbia & & 0 & 8 & 12 & 23 & 110 & 110 & 141 & 127 & 6.486 & & 1 & 7 & 6 & 61 & 10 \\ Suth Clumbia & & 0 & 8 & 12 & 23 & 110 & 110 & 141 & 127 & 5.68 & 456 & & 1 & 8 & 617 & 72 & 72 & 5.79 & 56 \\ Suth Clumbia & & 0 & 8 & 12 & 133 & 877 & 465 & 16.398 & 12.066 & & 1 & 7 & 6 & 61 & 72 & 72 & 5.77 & 81 \\ Margland & & - & - & 0 & 8 & 177 & 178 & 5.68 & 170 & 178 & 178 & 178 & 188 & 178 & 188 & 178 & 188 & 178 & 188 & 178 & 188 & 178 & 188 & 178 & 188 & 178 & 188 & 178 & 188 & 178 & 188 & 178 & 188 & 178 & 188 & 178 & 188 & 178 & 188 & 178 & 188 & 178 & 188 & 178 & 188 & 178 &$	Indiana	_	5	11	189	345	50	117	1,018	5,366	4,722	_	2	7	81	85
$\begin{split} & \text{Miscourish} & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & $	Michigan	12	10	19 30	408 674	608 717	127	237	494 395	10,070	11,3/3	1	1	4	5/ 129	26
W.N. Central         13         23         50         948         1,834         29         302         363         12,271         3         3         10         129         180           Kansas         -         2         7         76         188         4         42         57         1,093         1,707         -         0         1	Wisconsin		8	17	323	537	52	92	126	3,648	4,148	_	1	5	54	54
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	W.N. Central	13	23	50	948	1,834	29	302	363	12,369	12,271	3	3	10	129	180
Kanass         -         2         7         7         188         4         42         57         1.09         -         0         12         18         18           Minnestat         -         0         16	lowa	2	4	15	236	253	3	37	53	1,569	1,470	—	0	1	1	1
	Kansas Minnesota	_	2	16	/6	188	4	42	57	1,693	1,/0/	_	0	2	18	18
Nebrash <sup>3</sup> 3         4         11         159         182         21         25         45         1,13         942          0         3         26         18           South Dakota         -         1         8         82         67         -         10         20         430         380          0         1         1         10           South Dakota         -         0         3         27         29         28         16         31         667         840          0         1         -         4         5           Delaware         -         0         3         27         29         28         16         31         667         170         -         0         1         -         4         12         199         155           Georgia         -         13         51         668         1671         1773         12         13         77         145         1273         12         148         173         14         12         135         6726         -         1         8         172         12         546         172         111         15	Missouri	8	8	23	359	361	_	149	186	6,025	5,797	3	1	5	72	68
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Nebraska <sup>§</sup>	3	4	11	159	182	21	25	45	1,013	942	—	0	3	26	18
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	North Dakota South Dakota	_	0	12	36 82	26 87	_	4	8 20	174	164 380	_	0	6 1	11	10
Delaware         -         0         3         27         29         28         16         1         667         1640         -         0         2         4         5           District of Columbia         22         23         50         10.17         1.802         255         377         465         1.393         17.031         7         4         12         199         155           Georgia         1         3         7         13         29         224         -         119         246         5.633         12.045         1         3         7         12         137           Maryland <sup>5</sup> 4         4         13         22.9         22         17         119         246         5.646         1.205         -         1         5         6.3         109         111         126         25.7         6.736         -         1         8         8         72         Virginia*         0         8         1         72         117         145         25.7         6.7680         6.736         -         1         4         45         23         22         30         11         124         23.32         11	S Atlantic	31	52	98	2,246	3.357	750	1,474	1.862	61.817	63,886	9	15	31	618	633
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Delaware	_	0	3	27	29	28	, 16	31	667	840	_	0	2	4	5
Florida         22         23         50         1,017         1,802         235         377         463         1,1,131         7         4         12         19         13         571         112         133         874         12,733         12,645         1         2         5         79         56           Maryland <sup>5</sup> 4         4         13         229         224          119         246         4,666         5,946         1         2         5         79         56           South Carolina <sup>5</sup> 2         2         8         99         125         117         145         25,76         6,736          1         8         81         73           West Virginia          0         8         22         39         8         16         29         655         445          0         9         17         22           Scentral         3         3         11         144         182         135         165         409         74         8,486          1         4         45         23           Kentusipi         N         0         N <td>District of Columbia</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>3</td> <td>29</td> <td>50</td> <td>31</td> <td>39</td> <td>68</td> <td>1,671</td> <td>1,761</td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td>4</td>	District of Columbia		1	3	29	50	31	39	68	1,671	1,761		0	1		4
$ \begin{array}{c} \mbox{MaryBards} & 4 & 13 & 229 & 224 & - 119 & 246 & 16666 & 5946 & 1 & 2 & 5 & 79 & 566 \\ \mbox{South Carolinas}^{8} & 2 & 2 & 8 & 99 & 125 & 117 & 145 & 257 & 6,780 & 6,736 & & 1 & 5 & 63 & 72 \\ \mbox{WestWirginia} & - & 0 & 8 & 22 & 39 & 8 & 16 & 29 & 665 & 445 & & 0 & 9 & 17 & 22 \\ \mbox{Es.Central} & 3 & 3 & 11 & 144 & 182 & 264 & 518 & 1007 & 21,964 & 20,677 & 2 & 3 & 11 & 159 & 147 \\ \mbox{Alabarma}^{3} & 3 & 3 & 11 & 144 & 182 & 264 & 518 & 1007 & 21,964 & 20,677 & 2 & 3 & 11 & 159 & 147 \\ \mbox{Alabarma}^{3} & 3 & 3 & 11 & 144 & 182 & 264 & 518 & 1007 & 21,964 & 20,677 & 2 & 3 & 11 & 159 & 147 \\ \mbox{Alabarma}^{3} & 3 & 3 & 11 & 144 & 182 & 264 & 518 & 1007 & 21,964 & 20,677 & 2 & 3 & 11 & 159 & 147 \\ \mbox{Alabarma}^{3} & 3 & 3 & 11 & 144 & 182 & 125 & 165 & 409 & 7,418 & 6,486 & & 1 & 4 & 45 & 23 \\ \mbox{Kentucky} & N & 0 & 0 & N & N & 665 & 76 & 712 & 3,644 & 3,209 & 1 & 0 & 4 & 23 & 32 \\ \mbox{Westownshift} & N & 0 & 0 & N & N & 64 & 114 & 937 & 43,640 & 2 & 2 & 26 & 109 & 116 \\ \mbox{Arkansa}^{5} & 5 & 2 & 9 & 107 & 112 & 92 & 89 & 138 & 3,958 & 3,966 & 1 & 0 & 3 & 28 & 16 \\ \mbox{Arkansa}^{5} & 5 & 2 & 9 & 107 & 112 & 92 & 89 & 138 & 3,958 & 3,966 & - & 0 & 4 & 38 & 27 \\ \mbox{Okhorma} & - & 0 & 0 & - & 62 & 24 & 100 & 375 & 4,681 & 3,576 & 1 & 1 & 19 & 42 & 65 \\ \mbox{Arkansa}^{5} & N & 0 & 0 & N & N & -66 & 131 & 3,546 & 2,641 & - & 2 & 6 & 75 & 94 \\ \mbox{Colorado} & 16 & 12 & 25 & 541 & 606 & 31 & 44 & 89 & 1,854 & 2,298 & 1 & 1 & 5 & 51 & 71 \\ \mbox{Moutanh} & 24 & 26 & 47 & 1,112 & 1,516 & 78 & 203 & 273 & 8,899 & 7,899 & 2 & 5 & 12 & 210 & 256 \\ \mbox{Arkans}^{6} & - & 1 & 3 & 36 & 110 & 137 & - & 76 & 131 & 3,546 & 2,641 & - & 2 & 6 & 75 & 94 \\ \mbox{Colorado} & 16 & 12 & 25 & 541 & 606 & 311 & 44 & 68 & 89 & - & 0 & 1 & 1 & 5 & 51 & 71 \\ \mbox{Moutanh} & - & 1 & 6 & 75 & 93 & - & 29 & 98 & 1,382 & 970 & 1 & 1 & 4 & 33 & 34 \\ \mbox{Colorado} & - & 1 & 6 & 75 & 93 & - & 29 & 94 & 1382 & 970 & - & & 0 & 3 & 21 & 220 \\ \mbox{Moutanh} & - & 0 & 5 & 211 & 45 & - & 1 & 3 & 36$	Georgia		23 13	50 51	608	678	255 121	3// 313	465 874	16,393	12,645	/	4	12	199	155
North Carolina <sup>5</sup> N         0         0         N         N	Maryland <sup>§</sup>	4	4	13	229	224	_	119	246	4,666	5,946	1	2	5	79	56
Southraformation         2         2         8         99         1/2         1/1         1/43         23         0,780          1         3         03         72           West Virginia         -         0         8         22         39         8         16         29         665         445          0         9         17         22           Kest Virginia         3         3         11         144         182         254         18         1007         21,964         20,677         2         3         11         159         147           Alabamå         3         3         11         144         182         135         165         409         7,418         6,486          1         4         45         23         22         Mississipi         N         0         0         N         N         64         144         122         4,6116         5,931         1         2         2         2         10         111         172         -         132         372         5,170         6,866         -         0         3         28         16           Louisiana12 <t< td=""><td>North Carolina</td><td>N</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>N</td><td>N</td><td>117</td><td>304</td><td>548</td><td>13,386</td><td>12,066</td><td>_</td><td>1</td><td>7</td><td>63</td><td>109</td></t<>	North Carolina	N	0	0	N	N	117	304	548	13,386	12,066	_	1	7	63	109
	Virginia <sup>§</sup>	2	2	° 32	215	410	117	145	176	4.836	6,416	_	1	5	81	72
$ \begin{array}{c} \textbf{E.S. Central} \\ Alabama^{S} & 3 & 11 & 144 & 182 & 264 & 518 & 1,007 & 21,964 & 20,677 & 2 & 3 & 11 & 159 & 147 \\ Alabama^{S} & 3 & 3 & 11 & 144 & 182 & 135 & 165 & 409 & 7,418 & 6,486 & & 1 & 4 & 45 & 23 \\ Missispipi & N & 0 & 0 & N & N & 65 & 76 & 712 & 3,644 & 3,209 & 1 & 0 & 4 & 23 & 322 \\ Missispipi & N & 0 & 0 & N & N & & 120 & 197 & 4,786 & 5,051 & & 0 & 3 & 14 & 111 \\ \hline Tennesse^{5} & N & 0 & 0 & N & N & 64 & 144 & 224 & 6,116 & 5,931 & 1 & 2 & 5 & 77 & 81 \\ \hline W.S. Central & 6 & 5 & 15 & 2.18 & 346 & 116 & 953 & 1,319 & 39,387 & 40,640 & 2 & 2 & 26 & 109 & 116 \\ Louisiana & 1 & 2 & 10 & 111 & 172 & & 132 & 372 & 5,170 & 6,866 & & 0 & 4 & 38 & 27 \\ Oklahoma & & 0 & 0 & & 622 & 4 & 100 & 375 & 4,681 & 3,576 & 1 & 1 & 19 & 42 & 65 \\ Louisiana & 1 & 2 & 10 & 111 & 172 & & 132 & 372 & 5,170 & 6,866 & & 0 & 4 & 38 & 27 \\ Oklahoma & & 0 & 0 & N & N & & 663 & 867 & 2,5578 & 26,233 & & 0 & 4 & 18 & 8 \\ Arizona & 3 & 3 & 6 & 110 & 137 & & 76 & 131 & 3,546 & 2,641 & & 2 & 6 & 75 & 94 \\ Colorado & 16 & 12 & 25 & 541 & 606 & 31 & 44 & 89 & 1,854 & 2,298 & 1 & 1 & 5 & 51 & 71 \\ Montana^{S} & 1 & 2 & 5 & 67 & 93 & -2 & 1 & 4 & 68 & 89 & & 0 & 2 & 17 & 15 \\ Montana^{S} & 1 & 2 & 5 & 67 & 93 & -2 & 19 & 9,138 & 1,497 & & 0 & 2 & 17 & 15 \\ Montana^{S} & 1 & 2 & 5 & 67 & 93 & -2 & 29 & 94 & 1,888 & 1,497 & & 0 & 2 & 17 & 15 \\ Montana^{S} & 1 & 2 & 5 & 67 & 93 & & 1 & 3 & 36 & 30 & & 0 & 1 & 3 & 32 \\ New Mexico^{5} & & 1 & 6 & 75 & 93 & & 29 & 94 & 1,888 & 1,497 & & 0 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 5 \\ Pacific & 46 & 49 & 128 & 2,109 & 2,386 & 311 & 624 & 791 & 2,6542 & 26,895 & & 3 & 8 & 142 & 123 \\ Alaska & -2 & 7 & 83 & 8 & -2 & 0 & 34 & 826 & 1,087 & & 0 & 3 & 15 & 27 \\ Hawaii & & 0 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & $	West Virginia	_	0	8	22	39	8	16	29	665	445	_	0	9	17	22
Alabama <sup>3</sup> 3       11       144       182       135       165       409       7,418       6,486        1       4       45       23       32         Missispipi       N       0       0       N       N       65       76       712       3,644       3,209       1       0       4       23       32         Missispipi       N       0       N       N       -       120       197       4,786       5,051       -       0       3       14       11         Tennesse <sup>5</sup> N       0       N       N       64       144       224       6,116       5,931       1       2       2       6109       116         Arkansa <sup>5</sup> 5       2       9       107       112       92       89       138       3,965       1       0       3       28       16          Okahoma       -       0       0       N       N       -6       63       867       25,778       26,233       -       0       4       38       27         Okahoma       3       3       6       110       137       -       76       131	E.S. Central	3	3	11	144	182	264	518	1,007	21,964	20,677	2	3	11	159	147
Nenticky         N         O         O         N         N         O         O         N         N         O         O         N         N         O         O         N         N         O         O         N         N         O         O         N         N         O         O         N         N         O         O         N         N         O         O         N         N         O         O         N         N         O         O         N         N         O         O         N         N         O         O         N         N         O         O         N         N         O         O         N         N         O         O         N         N         O         O         N         O         O         N         O         O         N         N         O         O         N         N         O         O         N         N         O         O         N         O         O         N         N         O         O         N         N         O         O         N         O         O         N         O         O         N         N         O         O<	Alabama <sup>§</sup>	3	3	11	144	182	135	165	409	7,418	6,486	1	1	4	45	23
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Mississippi	N	0	0	N	N		120	197	3,044 4,786	5,209	_	0	4	25 14	52 11
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Tennessee§	N	0	0	N	N	64	144	224	6,116	5,931	1	2	5	77	81
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	W.S. Central	6	5	15	218	346	116	953	1,319	39,387	40,640	2	2	26	109	116
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Arkansas <sup>9</sup>	5	2	9	107	112	92	89	138	3,958	3,965	1	0	3	28	16 27
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Oklahoma	_	2	0		62	24	100	372	4,681	3,576	1	1	19	42	65
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Texas <sup>§</sup>	Ν	0	0	Ν	Ν	—	603	867	25,578	26,233	—	0	4	1	8
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Mountain	24	26	47	1,112	1,516	78	203	273	8,899	7,899	2	5	12	210	256
$ \begin{array}{c} \text{Control of } & 12 & 23 & 341 & 066 & 51 & 44 & 65 & 1,054 & 1,253 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 31 & 11 \\ \text{Montana}^5 & 1 & 2 & 5 & 67 & 93 & 2 & 1 & 4 & 68 & 89 & & 0 & 1 & 3 & 2 \\ \text{Nevada}^5 & & 1 & 7 & 57 & 90 & 45 & 38 & 103 & 1,688 & 1,497 & & 0 & 2 & 15 & 7 \\ \text{New Mexico}^5 & & 1 & 6 & 75 & 93 & & 29 & 98 & 1,382 & 970 & 1 & 1 & 4 & 33 & 34 \\ \text{Utah} & & 3 & 9 & 121 & 267 & & 4 & 10 & 205 & 278 & & 0 & 3 & 15 & 27 \\ \text{Wyoming}^5 & & 0 & 5 & 21 & 45 & & 1 & 3 & 36 & 30 & & 0 & 1 & 1 & 6 \\ \textbf{Pacific} & 46 & 49 & 128 & 2,109 & 2,386 & 311 & 624 & 791 & 26,542 & 26,895 & & 3 & 8 & 142 & 123 \\ \text{Alaska} & & 2 & 7 & 83 & 88 & & 20 & 34 & 826 & 1,087 & & 0 & 3 & 21 & 22 \\ \text{Kawaii} & & 0 & 4 & 27 & 50 & & 13 & 24 & 511 & 634 & & 0 & 3 & 21 & 19 \\ \text{Oregon} & 1 & 7 & 20 & 289 & 419 & 9 & 27 & 52 & 1,177 & 866 & & 1 & 6 & 62 & 55 \\ \hline \textbf{Washington} & 12 & 7 & 57 & 299 & 375 & 44 & 52 & 79 & 2,260 & 2,383 & & 0 & 2 & 3 & 5 \\ \hline \textbf{Territories} & \textbf{Territories} & \textbf{Territories} & \textbf{Territories} & \textbf{Territories} & & & & & & & & $	Arizona Colorado	3 16	3 12	6 25	110 541	137 606		76 44	131 89	3,546 1 854	2,641	 1	2	6	75 51	94 71
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Idaho <sup>§</sup>	4	3	9	120	185		3	15	120	2,290	_	0	2	17	15
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Montana <sup>§</sup>	1	2	5	67	93	2	1	4	68	89	_	0	1	3	2
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Nevada <sup>s</sup> New Mexico <sup>§</sup>	_	1	7	57	90 93	45	38	103	1,688	1,497 970	1	0	2	15	7
Wyoming§        0       5       21       45        1       3       36       30        0       1       1       6         Pacific       46       49       128       2,109       2,386       311       624       791       26,542       26,895        3       8       142       123         Alaska        2       7       83       88        20       34       826       1,087        0       3       21       22         California       33       32       67       1,411       1,454       258       506       695       21,768       21,925        0       4       35       22         Hawaii        0       4       27       50        13       24       511       634        0       3       21       19       0       22.260       2,383        0       2       3       5         Marington       12       7       57       299       375       44       52       79       2,260       2,383        0       2       3       5 </td <td>Utah</td> <td>_</td> <td>3</td> <td>9</td> <td>121</td> <td>267</td> <td>_</td> <td>4</td> <td>10</td> <td>205</td> <td>278</td> <td>_</td> <td>0</td> <td>3</td> <td>15</td> <td>27</td>	Utah	_	3	9	121	267	_	4	10	205	278	_	0	3	15	27
Pacific       46       49       128       2,109       2,386       311       624       791       26,542       26,895        3       8       142       123         Alaska        2       7       83       88        20       34       826       1,087        0       3       21       22         California       33       32       67       1,411       1,454       258       506       695       21,768       21,925        0       4       35       22         Hawaii        0       4       27       50        13       24       511       634        0       3       21       19         Oregon       1       7       20       289       419       9       27       52       1,177       866        1       6       62       55         Washington       12       7       57       299       375       44       52       79       2,260       2,383        0       2       3       5         Territories <td>Wyoming§</td> <td>—</td> <td>0</td> <td>5</td> <td>21</td> <td>45</td> <td>—</td> <td>1</td> <td>3</td> <td>36</td> <td>30</td> <td>—</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>6</td>	Wyoming§	—	0	5	21	45	—	1	3	36	30	—	0	1	1	6
Alaska        2       7       83       88        20       34       826       1,087        0       3       21       22         California       33       32       67       1,411       1,454       258       506       695       21,768       21,925        0       4       35       22         Hawaii        0       4       27       50        13       24       511       634        0       3       21       19         Oregon       1       7       20       289       419       9       27       52       1,177       866        1       6       62       55         Washington       12       7       57       299       375       44       52       79       2,260       2,383        0       2       3       5         Territories	Pacific	46	49	128	2,109	2,386	311	624	791	26,542	26,895	_	3	8	142	123
Hawaii        0       4       27       50        13       24       511       634        0       3       21       19         Oregon       1       7       20       289       419       9       27       52       1,177       866        1       6       62       55         Washington       12       7       57       299       375       44       52       79       2,260       2,383	Alaska California	33	32	67	83 1 411	88 1 454	258	20 506	34 695	826 21 768	1,087	_	0	3 4	21	22
Oregon       1       7       20       289       419       9       27       52       1,177       866        1       6       62       55         Washington       12       7       57       299       375       44       52       79       2,260       2,383        0       2       3       5         Territories	Hawaii	_	0	4	27	50		13	24	511	634	_	Ő	3	21	19
Washington       12       7       57       299       375       44       52       79       2,260       2,383        0       2       3       5         Territories       American Samoa       -       0       0         0       0          0       0	Oregon	1	7	20	289	419	9	27	52	1,177	866	_	1	6	62	55
Territories         American Samoa       -       0       0       -       -       0       0       -	wasnington	12	/	5/	299	3/5	44	52	/9	2,260	2,383	_	0	2	5	5
CN.N.I.       - </td <td>I erritories American Samoa</td> <td>_</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td>	I erritories American Samoa	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
Guam        0       0        3        0       10       6       80        0       0           Puerto Rico        1       4       37       81        6       14       265       263        0       0        1         U.S. Virgin Islands        0       0         2       10       113       119        0       0	C.N.M.I.	_	_	_	—	_	_		_	_	_	—	_	_	_	_
U.S. Virgin Islands $ 0$ $  2$ $10$ $113$ $119$ $ 0$ $ -$	Guam Puerto Rico		0	0	27	3 Q1	_	0	10	6 265	80 263	_	0	0	_	1
	U.S. Virgin Islands	_	0	0			_	2	10	113	119	_	0	0	_	

C.N.M.L: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands.
 U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: Not reportable. NN: Not Nationally Notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts. Med: Median. Max: Maximum.
 \* Case counts for reporting year 2011 are provisional and subject to change. For further information on interpretation of these data, see http://www.cdc.gov/osels/ph\_surveillance/nndss/ phs/files/ProvisionalNationa%20NotifiableDiseasesSurveillanceData20100927.pdf. Data for TB are displayed in Table IV, which appears quarterly.
 † Data for H. influenzae (age <5 yrs for serotype b, nonserotype b, and unknown serotype) are available in Table I.</li>
 § Contains data reported through the National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS).

							Hepatitis (	viral, acut	e), by typ	e					
	Α							В					с		
	Current	Previous	52 weeks	Cum	Cum	Current	Previous	52 weeks	Cum	Cum	Current	Previous 5	52 weeks	Cum	Cum
Reporting area	week	Med	Max	2011	2010	week	Med	Max	2011	2010	week	Med	Max	2011	2010
United States	10	22	74	950	1,349	23	47	167	1,996	2,721	4	18	39	821	677
New England	_	1	5	56	85	_	1	8	62	49	_	1	5	44	48
Connecticut	—	0	3	16	24	—	0	4	10	20	—	0	3	25	33
Massachusetts	_	0	2	25	44	_	1	2	0 42	9	_	0	2	4	12
New Hampshire	_	0	1	_	1	_	0	1	2	5	Ν	0	0	N	N
Rhode Island <sup>†</sup>	_	0	1	3	9	U	0	0	U	U	U	0	0	U	U
vermont'	1	0	10	0 176			0	12	220	2	1	0	6	4	ا ۵۸
New Jersey		4	4	28	68		1	12	230 52	67	_	0	4	1	18
New York (Upstate)	1	1	4	42	51	_	1	9	41	39	1	1	4	42	40
New York City	—	1	6	58	68	_	1	5	65	72	—	0	2	2	3
Pennsylvania	—	1	3	48	45	2	2	4	270	63	—	0	4	29	23
E.N. Central	_	4	0 4	48	160	_	0	57	279 54	108	_	5 0	12	157	1
Indiana	_	0	3	12	11	_	1	3	48	63	_	1	5	53	24
Michigan	_	1	6	60	65		1	6	69	106	_	2	7	92	36
Ohio Wisconsin	_	1	3	34	42	1	1	30	85	87	—	0	1	5	8
WISCONSIN	_	1	25	34	66	1	2	16	110	100	_	0	6	8	15
lowa	_	0	25	5	10	_	2	10	9	13	_	0	0	_	
Kansas	_	0	2	3	10	_	0	2	10	8	_	Ő	1	3	2
Minnesota	—	0	22	9	14	_	0	15	9	7	—	0	6	2	6
Missouri Nebraska†	_	0	1	10	17	1	2	5	69 12	59 11	_	0	1	3	5
North Dakota	_	0	3	_		_	0	0		_	_	0	0	_	
South Dakota	_	0	2	2	1	—	0	1	1	2	—	0	0	—	_
S. Atlantic	3	5	13	190	283	6	12	55	541	748	2	4	11	198	154
Delaware District of Columbia	—	0	1	2	7	—	0	2	11	24	U	0	0	U	U
Florida	1	1	6	66	114	5	4	8	171	246	1	1	4	51	2 46
Georgia	_	1	4	38	34	_	2	8	78	140	_	1	3	31	24
Maryland <sup>†</sup>	2	0	4	23	18		1	4	44	57	_	0	3	29	20
North Carolina South Carolina <sup>†</sup>	_	0	3	23	43 22	_	2	12	94 27	87 53		0	/	48	33
Virginia <sup>†</sup>	_	1	3	21	42	_	1	7	50	78	_	Ő	3	16	11
West Virginia	—	0	5	8	2	—	0	43	66	60	—	0	6	22	17
E.S. Central	_	1	6	42	33	4	9	14	351	305	—	3	8	149	132
Alabama	_	0	2	6	6 13	1	2	5	92 84	59 100	_	0	3	16 64	6 80
Mississippi	_	0	1	7	2		1	3	37	29	U	0	0	U	U
Tennessee <sup>†</sup>	_	0	5	20	12	1	4	8	138	108	—	1	5	69	37
W.S. Central	4	3	15	108	121	6	7	67	255	484	—	2	11	75	59
Arkansas <sup>†</sup>	_	0	0	_	2	_	1	4	41	51	_	0	0		1
Oklahoma	_	0	4	2	2	2	1	4 16	69	45 82	_	1	10	40	25
Texas <sup>†</sup>	4	2	11	103	107	4	3	45	118	306	_	0	3	30	31
Mountain	_	1	5	52	130	—	1	4	61	117	1	1	4	49	53
Arizona	_	0	2	14	57	—	0	3	13	22	U	0	0	U	U
Colorado Idaho <sup>†</sup>	_	0	2	17	34 6	_	0	2	15	39 6		0	3	15	9
Montana <sup>†</sup>	_	Ő	1	2	4	_	Ő	0	_	_	_	Ő	1	2	2
Nevada <sup>†</sup>	_	0	3	5	13	_	0	3	20	35	_	0	2	9	5
New Mexico '	_	0	1	5	4 9	_	0	2	6	5	_	0	1	12	13
Wyoming <sup>†</sup>	_	0	1	2	3	_	0	1	_	2	_	0	1	2	
Pacific	2	3	13	133	219	3	3	25	107	265	_	1	12	67	55
Alaska	—	0	1	2	1	—	0	1	4	3	U	0	0	U	U
California	1	2	12	95	179	_	1	22	49	182		1	4	29	23
Oregon	_	0	2	8	16	1	0	4	28	35		0	3	11	14
Washington	1	Ő	4	21	16	2	0	4	20	39	_	Ő	5	27	18
Territories															
American Samoa	_	0	0	—	—	—	0	0	—	—	—	0	0	—	—
C.N.M.I.	—					—	1				—			10	 E6
Puerto Rico	_	0	2	о б	15	_	0	o 2	∠o 8	24	N	0	4	N	N
LLS Virgin Islands		0	0	-			0	_	-			0	0		

TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending October 29, 2011, and October 30, 2010 (43rd week)\*

C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands.

U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: Not reportable. NN: Not Nationally Notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts. Med: Median. Max: Maximum.

\* Case counts for reporting year 2011 are provisional and subject to change. For further information on interpretation of these data, see http://www.cdc.gov/osels/ph\_surveillance/nndss/ phs/files/ProvisionalNationa%20NotifiableDiseasesSurveillanceData20100927.pdf. Data for TB are displayed in Table IV, which appears quarterly.
 \* Contains data reported through the National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS).

TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending October 29, 2011, and October 30, 2010 (43rd week)\*

	Legionellosis					Lyme disease						Malaria			
	Current Previous 52 weeks Cum Cum			Cum	Current Previous 52 weeks Cum Cum					Current	Previous 5	52 weeks	Cum	Cum	
Reporting area	week	Med	Max	2011	2010	week	Med	Max	2011	2010	week	Med	Max	2011	2010
United States	51	54	167	3,066	2,835	358	361	1,904	26,464	27,427	17	26	114	1,115	1,441
New England	_	4	42	303	235	3	73	447	5,492	8,228	2	2	20	78	93
Connecticut Maine <sup>†</sup>	_	0	10	64 15	41	_	29 13	223 66	2,282	2,792	2	0	20	10	2
Massachusetts	—	2	27	181	116	_	21	72	1,082	3,118	_	1	5	51	67
New Hampshire	—	0	3	18	22	—	9	65	705	1,205	—	0	1	2	4
Vermont <sup>†</sup>	_	0	2	14	9	2	5	66	535	323	_	0	1	6	3
Mid. Atlantic	18	15	79	1,044	803	304	154	1,201	16,608	9,788	2	7	17	253	438
New Jersey		2	14	155	128	86	58	586	7,111	3,370		0	6	8	90
New York (Upstate) New York City		5	27	317 170	250 145	102	35 1	214	3,183	2,291 648		3	4 10	43 153	231
Pennsylvania	7	5	35	402	280	116	65	500	6,214	3,479	—	1	4	49	51
E.N. Central	14	10	51	651	610	_	17	106	1,163	3,657	2	3	8	131	143
Illinois Indiana		1	11	96 82	137	_	1	18	144	134	_	1	4	50	53 13
Michigan	2	3	15	163	159	_	1	14	102	92	_	0	4	29	28
Ohio	9	4	34	309	202	_	1	9	43	26	2	1	4	37	37
Wisconsin	—	0	2	71	58	1	13	66 15	/85	3,327		0	2	6 20	12
W.N. Central	_	0	2	10	105	_	2	15	76	2,024 84	_	0	45	20 18	12
Kansas	_	Ő	2	9	11	_	Ő	2	12	10	_	0	2	6	10
Minnesota	_	0	8	42	27	-	0	15	_	1,899	—	0	45	_	3
Nebraska <sup>†</sup>	_	0	1	45 5	52 9	_	0	2	8	4	_	0	1	3	19
North Dakota	_	0	1	2	4	1	0	10	21	18	_	0	1		
South Dakota		0	1	2	8		0	1	3	1		0	1	1	3
S. Atlantic	15	9	29 4	447	459	43	52 12	169 47	2,882	3,401 574	5	8	23	3/4	383
District of Columbia	_	0	3	9	16	_	0	2	13	38	_	0	1	5	11
Florida	4	3	9	144	140	6	2	7	98	75	1	2	7	88	109
Georgia Marvland <sup>†</sup>	11	1	3 14	101	100	12	17	5 112	23 1.051	1,496	4	2	13	103	62 86
North Carolina	_	1	7	57	53	—	0	8	54	71	_	0	6	34	47
South Carolina <sup>†</sup>	_	0	5	17	12 56	 22	0 15	6 76	29 818	27	_	0	1	4	5 58
West Virginia	_	0	2	6	11		0	14	73	111		0	0		3
E.S. Central	1	2	10	134	120	—	1	5	47	42	2	0	4	29	28
Alabama <sup>†</sup>	—	0	2	22	17	—	0	2	14	2	—	0	3	6	8
Mississippi	_	0	3	13	26 12	_	0	1	3		_	0	1	1	2
Tennessee <sup>†</sup>	1	1	8	68	65	—	0	3	29	35	2	0	3	15	12
W.S. Central	—	3	13	110	145	—	1	29	33	97	—	1	18	28	87
Arkansas <sup>1</sup>	_	0	2	12 14	16	_	0	0	1		_	0	1	5	4
Oklahoma	_	0	3	9	12	_	0	0	_	_		0	1	5	5
Texas <sup>†</sup>	—	2	11	75	108	—	1	29	32	94	—	0	17	17	73
Mountain	_	2	5	82	149	1	0	4	35	26	_	1	4	54	58
Colorado	_	0	2	20 5	29	_	0	2	1	2	_	0	3	18	25
Idaho†	_	0	1	6	5	1	0	2	4	8	—	0	1	2	3
Montana <sup>⊤</sup> Nevada <sup>†</sup>	_	0	1	1	4 19	_	0	3	9	4	_	0	1	1	2
New Mexico <sup>†</sup>	_	Ő	2	10	7	_	0	2	5	5	_	Ő	1	3	1
Utah	—	0	2	15	23	—	0	1	1	3	—	0	1	1	3
wyoming <sup>1</sup>		0	2	224	200	6	0	11	1 84	164		0	11	140	140
Alaska		0	0		209	_	0	2	7	6	-	0	2	5	3
California	3	4	15	188	175	—	1	9	54	107	3	2	8	96	99
Hawaii	—	0	1	1	1	Ν	0	0	N 11	N 20	—	0	1	6	3
Washington	_	0	6	19	19	6	0	4	12	13	1	0	3	19	31
Territories		-					_						-		
American Samoa	N	0	0	N	N	N	0	0	N	N	_	0	1	1	_
Guam	_	0	0	_	1	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_
Puerto Rico	-	0	1	_	1	Ν	0	0	N	Ν	-	0	0	_	5
S.S. Virgin Islanus		0	0	_	_		0	0	_	_	_	0	0		_

C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands.

U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: Not reportable. NN: Not Nationally Notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts. Med: Median. Max: Maximum.
 \* Case counts for reporting year 2011 are provisional and subject to change. For further information on interpretation of these data, see http://www.cdc.gov/osels/ph\_surveillance/nndss/ phs/files/ProvisionalNationa%20NotifiableDiseasesSurveillanceData20100927.pdf. Data for TB are displayed in Table IV, which appears quarterly.
 <sup>†</sup> Contains data reported through the National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS).

### TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending October 29, 2011, and October 30, 2010 (43rd week)\*

		Meningoco Al	ccal diseas I serogrou	se, invasiv ps	'e <sup>†</sup>			Mumps			Pertussis					
	Current	Previous	52 weeks	Cum	Cum	Current	Previous !	52 weeks	Cum	Cum	Current	Previous	52 weeks	Cum	Cum	
Reporting area	week	Med	Max	2011	2010	week	Med	Max	2011	2010	week	Med	Max	2011	2010	
United States	3	13	53	566	656	7	7	47	271	2,455	162	283	2,925	11,389	19,107	
New England	_	0	3	24	16	2	0	1	9	24	1	11	25	494	439	
Connecticut Maine <sup>§</sup>	_	0	1	3	2	2	0	0	2	11	_	1	4 19	47 147	100	
Massachusetts	_	0	2	11	6		0	1	4	9	_	4	10	177	235	
New Hampshire	—	0	1	1	—	—	0	0	_	3	—	1	7	78	16	
Khode Island <sup>9</sup> Vermont <sup>§</sup>	_	0	1			_	0	1	2	_	1	0	4	23	36	
Mid Atlantic	_	1	6	63	66	1	1	23	31	2.073	16	31	125	1.310	1.274	
New Jersey	_	0	1	5	19	_	0	2	10	346		3	7	127	143	
New York (Upstate)	_	0	4	19	11	1	0	3	9	661	13	13	81	573	432	
New York City	_	0	3	24 15	1/	_	0	22	10	1,038		0 13	36 70	74 536	/3 626	
EN Control	_	2	6	81	111	1	2	7	74	20 60	25	61	198	2,400	4.339	
Illinois	_	0	3	23	20	1	1	5	49	22		17	50	659	762	
Indiana	—	0	2	15	24	—	0	0	_	4	—	4	26	165	601	
Michigan	_	0	2	11	21	_	0	2	10	17	2	13	53	565	1,227	
Wisconsin	_	0	2	10	18	_	0	1	3	3	21	10	25	395	404	
W.N. Central	_	1	4	40	45	_	0	4	31	80	5	22	501	943	1,939	
lowa	_	0	1	9	9	_	0	1	5	38	_	4	36	157	531	
Kansas	_	0	1	2	6	_	0	1	4	4	_	2	10	80	149	
Minnesota Missouri	_	0	2	16	5 18	_	0	4	12	4	5	0	469	320 265	376	
Nebraska <sup>§</sup>	_	0	2	10	5	_	0	1	5	23	_	1	11	46	176	
North Dakota	—	0	1	1	2	—	0	3	4	_	—	0	10	41	41	
South Dakota	1	0	1	2 117	116	_	0	0		2	15	0	106	28	2/	
S. Atlantic	_	2	8 1	117	110	_	0	4	24	49	15	2/	106	1,101	1,407	
District of Columbia	_	0	1	1	1	_	0	0	_	3	_	0	2	3	8	
Florida	_	1	5	45	51	_	0	2	7	8	5	6	17	274	266	
Georgia Maryland <sup>§</sup>	_	0	1	14	10	_	0	2	5	2	1	3	13	141	203	
North Carolina	_	0	3	13	12	_	0	2	7	9	5	3	35	152	270	
South Carolina <sup>§</sup>	_	0	1	9	11	_	0	0	_	4	_	3	25	122	305	
Virginia <sup>s</sup> Wost Virginia	1	0	2	16	19	_	0	2	4	10	4	6	41	265	203	
FS Control	_	0	3	21	39	_	0	1		9	3	8	28	305	662	
Alabama <sup>§</sup>	_	0	2	9	6	_	0	1	1	6	_	2	11	116	175	
Kentucky	—	0	2	2	17	—	0	0	—	1	2	1	16	71	227	
Mississippi	—	0	1	3	5	—	0	1	3	-	1	0	10	29	70	
We Control	1	1	12	7 49	73	1	1	15	 59	۲ 104	20	2	297	09 784	2 4 9 7	
Arkansas <sup>§</sup>	_	0	2	10	5	_	0	2	3	5	20	21	16	53	184	
Louisiana	_	0	2	10	12	_	0	2	_	6	_	0	3	17	37	
Oklahoma	1	0	2	10	15	1	0	2	4		3	0	92	41	54	
Texas	_	0	10	19	41	1	1	14	52 8	93 18	17	30	187	1 5 2 3	2,222	
Arizona	_	0	1	10	13	1	0	0	1	5	25	14	29	576	392	
Colorado	_	0	1	9	18	_	0	1	3	7	7	9	63	340	220	
ldaho <sup>§</sup>	_	0	1	5	5	_	0	1	1	1	4	2	11	111	175	
Montana <sup>s</sup> Nevada <sup>§</sup>	_	0	2	4	8	_	0	0	_	1	13	0	16	89 28	69 30	
New Mexico <sup>§</sup>	_	0	1	2	3	_	Ő	2	2	_	_	3	16	159	124	
Utah	—	0	2	8	1	—	0	0	_	3	—	5	16	211	286	
wyoming <sup>3</sup>	1	0	ا عد	120	141	1	0	1	21	1		0	I 1 710	2 5 2 0	12 5 192	
Alaska	_	3	20	130	141	_	0	9	31	38 1	52	02	1,710	2,529	5,182 37	
California	_	2	17	92	92	_	0	9	23	24	_	46	1,569	1,711	4,490	
Hawaii	_	0	1	4	1	_	0	1	2	4	—	1	9	73	59	
Oregon Washington	1	0	3	19 13	27	1	0	1	4	3	52	5	18	249 473	244	
		U	0	15	20	I	0	1	I	0	52	0	151	473	352	
American Samoa	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	
C.N.M.I.	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Guam Duorto Picc	—	0	0	—		—	1	4	12	474	—	0	14	31	3	
U.S. Virgin Islands	_	0	0	_		_	0	0			_	0	0			
		v	<u> </u>				~	, v					~			

C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands. U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: Not reportable. NN: Not Nationally Notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts. Med: Median. Max: Maximum. \* Case counts for reporting year 2011 are provisional and subject to change. For further information on interpretation of these data, see http://www.cdc.gov/osels/ph\_surveillance/nndss/ phs/files/ProvisionalNationa%20NotifiableDiseasesSurveillanceData20100927.pdf. Data for TB are displayed in Table IV, which appears quarterly. \* Data for meningococcal disease, invasive caused by serogroups A, C, Y, and W-135; serogroup B; other serogroup; and unknown serogroup are available in Table I. \* Contains data reported through the National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS).

		Ra	abies, anin	nal		,	Sa	Imonellos	is	Shiga toxin-producing <i>E. coli</i> (STEC) <sup>†</sup>					
	Current	Previous	52 weeks	Cum	<i>C</i>	Current	Previous	52 weeks	Cum	C	Current	Previous	52 weeks	Cum	C
Reporting area	week	Med	Max	2011	2010	week	Med	Max	2011	2010	week	Med	Max	2011	2010
United States	25	60	119	2,529	3,777	555	880	1,828	38,852	45,257	69	95	264	4,202	4,418
New England	1	4	16	202	258	1	34	107	1,743	2,110	_	3	12	180	192
Connecticut	_	2	10	94	112	—	8	28	400	491		0	4	47	60
Maine <sup>3</sup> Massachusetts	_	0	6	56	55	_	2 19	8 45	114 886	1 1 4 1	_	0	3	27 67	17
New Hampshire	_	Ő	3	17	15	_	3	8	143	155		0	3	22	20
Rhode Island <sup>§</sup>	_	0	4	15	28	_	0	62	135	145	—	0	2	4	3
Vermont <sup>s</sup>	1	0	2	20	48	1	1	/	65	66		0	3	13	17
Mid. Atlantic	5	16	35	/31	930	44	90	205	4,576	5,110	5	11	35	512	485
New York (Upstate)	5	7	20	321	439	27	25	67	1.210	1,045	2	4	12	182	168
New York City	_	0	3	9	142	_	19	42	968	1,164	_	2	6	77	62
Pennsylvania	_	8	21	401	349	17	30	111	1,601	1,657	3	3	18	161	151
E.N. Central	1	2	17	162	224	24	86	150	3,739	5,130	4	12	48	748	717
IIIInois Indiana	_	0	6 7	46 23	113	_	28	74 19	1,301	1,/31	_	3	13	169 86	138
Michigan	_	1	6	52	66	7	14	41	711	829	1	2	19	146	136
Ohio	1	0	5	41	45	17	21	46	1,058	1,154	3	3	10	165	121
Wisconsin	N	0	0	N	N		7	45	319	752		2	20	182	201
W.N. Central	4	2	40	72	225	16	41	102	2,004	2,605	24	12	39	647	799
lowa Kansas	_	0	1	28	25 56		9	19	386 378	4/4	_	2	15	1/2	161
Minnesota	_	0	34		25	_	0	16	5/0	648	_	0	7		252
Missouri	_	0	1	_	61	9	17	45	845	702	23	4	14	239	206
Nebraska <sup>§</sup>	2	0	3	31	44	5	4	13	221	216	1	1	7	91	65
North Dakota South Dakota	2	0	6	13	14	_	0	15	37	46 131	_	0	4	12	17
S Atlantic	11	18	93	930	996	249	279	721	11 948	12 804	8	13	27	537	590
Delaware		0	0			219	3	11	153	157	_	0	2	14	6
District of Columbia	_	0	0	_	_	_	1	5	47	82	_	0	1	3	9
Florida	—	0	84	97	121	158	107	203	4,762	5,203	7	3	15	127	188
Georgia Maruland <sup>§</sup>	_	0	0 13	247	330	33 14	42	127	2,093	2,4//	_	2	8	95 30	93 70
North Carolina	_	0	0	247		9	33	251	1.780	1.438	_	2	11	99	63
South Carolina <sup>§</sup>	Ν	0	0	N	Ν	14	30	68	1,294	1,394	_	0	4	15	20
Virginia <sup>§</sup>	11	11	27	511	480	19	21	68	978	978	1	3	9	142	116
west virginia	_	0	30	15	65 156		0	14	45	147	-	0	4	3	10
E.S. Central	_	3	11	156	156	2/	58 10	18/	3,389	3,402	3	4	22	224	221
Kentucky	_	0	2	14	19		9	20	372	498	1	1	5	42	42 60
Mississippi	_	0	1	1	_	_	20	66	1,152	1,090	_	0	12	19	17
Tennessee§	—	1	6	68	72	12	16	51	858	940	2	1	11	93	102
W.S. Central	2	1	31	80	744	85	118	515	5,077	6,016	4	7	151	305	276
Arkansas <sup>9</sup>	2	0	10	49	26	21	14	53	752	688	1	1	6	47	45
Oklahoma	_	0	20	31	41	16	14	44 95	577	562	3	1	55	9 60	26
Texas <sup>§</sup>	_	Ő	17	_	677	46	78	381	3,017	3,586	_	5	95	189	187
Mountain	_	0	4	36	65	22	45	91	2,064	2,505	3	11	30	487	575
Arizona	Ν	0	0	N	Ν	_	14	33	625	866	—	2	14	76	70
Colorado	_	0	0			12	10	24	471	492		2	11	95 106	203
Montana <sup>§</sup>	N	0	0	N	N	2	2	10	116	85		2	5	35	38
Nevada§	_	Ő	2	13	8	5	3	8	138	268		Ő	7	32	31
New Mexico <sup>§</sup>	_	0	2	10	12	_	6	22	273	294	_	1	3	40	43
Utah Wyoming§	_	0	2	7	10 24	_	6	15	259	305	_	1	7	78	85
Desife	1	3	15	160	179	87	101	288	4 312	5 569	18	14	46	562	563
Alaska	_	0	2	11	12		101	6	45	73		0	1	3	2
California	_	3	11	136	152	61	74	232	3,303	4,118	5	8	36	342	245
Hawaii	_	0	0			_	6	14	285	293	_	0	1	6	28
Oregon Washington	1	0	2	13	15	2	5	12	217	456	2	1 ว	11	83	100
		0	14			24	12	42	402	029	11	۷	15	120	100
Territories	N	0	0	N	N		0	0		С		0	0		
C.N.M.I.		_	_			_		_	_		_	_	_	_	_
Guam	—	0	0	—	—	—	0	3	6	11	—	0	0	—	—
Puerto Rico	1	0	6	30	38	_	5	17	188	526	_	0	0	_	_
o.s. virgin Islands	—	U	U	_	—	_	0	0	—	—	_	0	0	_	_

C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands. U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: Not reportable. NN: Not Nationally Notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts. Med: Median. Max: Maximum. \* Case counts for reporting year 2011 are provisional and subject to change. For further information on interpretation of these data, see http://www.cdc.gov/osels/ph\_surveillance/nndss/ phs/files/ProvisionalNationa%20NotifiableDiseasesSurveillanceData20100927.pdf. Data for TB are displayed in Table IV, which appears quarterly. † Includes E. coli 0157:H7; Shiga toxin-positive, serogroup non-0157; and Shiga toxin-positive, not serogrouped. § Contains data reported through the National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS).

### TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending October 29, 2011, and October 30, 2010 (43rd week)\*

	Spotted Fever Rickettsiosis (including RMSF) <sup>†</sup>														
			Shigellosis			C	onfirmed			Probable					
	Current Previous 52 weeks Cum Cum				Cum	Current	Previous	52 weeks	Cum	Cum	Current	Previous 5	52 weeks	Cum	Cum
Reporting area	week	Med	Max	2011	2010	week	Med	Max	2011	2010	week	Med	Max	2011	2010
United States	203	238	742	9,209	11,757	—	3	15	174	132	9	25	245	1,652	1,416
New England	_	4	19	205	302	_	0	1	1	_	_	0	1	6	4
Connecticut Maino <sup>§</sup>	_	0	3	36	69	_	0	0	—	—	_	0	0	_	
Massachusetts	_	2	18	136	201	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	1	4	
New Hampshire	_	0	1	3	14	_	0	1	1	_	_	0	1	1	1
Rhode Island <sup>§</sup>	_	0	4	6	11	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	1	1	1
Mid Atlantic	7	15	74	4 721	1 448	_	0	2	13	2	_	1	4	43	93
New Jersey	_	3	9	125	338	_	0	0		1	_	0	2		56
New York (Upstate)	7	3	18	236	204	_	0	1	3	1	_	0	1	7	14
New York City	_	5	20	254	268	_	0	0	10	—	_	0	3	20	11
E.N. Central	6	5 16	30 40	643	1.378	_	0	2	8	3	1	1	5 8	97	75
Illinois	_	5	16	192	773	_	Ő	1	2	2	_	0	4	38	34
Indiana <sup>§</sup>	_	1	4	43	53	—	0	1	2	1	1	0	4	42	20
Michigan	1	3	10	141	222	—	0	1	1	—	_	0	1	1	1
Wisconsin		0	4	207	205	_	0	2		_	_	0	2		6
W.N. Central	1	6	27	254	1,909	_	Ő	6	27	13	_	4	29	330	266
lowa	_	0	4	17	47	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	2	5	5
Kansas <sup>9</sup>	_	1	12	50	242	—	0	0	_	—	_	0	0	_	_
Missouri	1	4	18	169	1.506	_	0	3	20	10	_	4	29	319	258
Nebraska§		0	7	14	52	_	Ő	3	5	3	_	0	1	5	230
North Dakota	—	0	0	_	_	—	0	1	2	—	—	0	0	_	1
South Dakota	01	0	2	2 000	7	_	0	0		70		0	1	1	440
Delaware <sup>§</sup>	2	0	134	3,090 6	38	_	0	1	92	1		0	4	433	19
District of Columbia	_	0	2	12	27	_	0	1	1	1	_	0	1	1	_
Florida <sup>§</sup>	60	43	98	2,188	918	_	0	1	3	3	1	0	2	11	8
Georgia Mandand <sup>§</sup>	11	11	24	485	672	—	0	6	59	56	_	0	0		45
North Carolina	6	2	36	179	158	_	0	4	12	13	_	0	49	202	226
South Carolina <sup>§</sup>	2	1	4	49	60	_	0	2	11	1	_	0	2	20	18
Virginia <sup>§</sup>	—	2	8	83	119	—	0	1	3	4	3	2	13	149	124
West Virginia	 16	0	66 26	4 553	26 643	_	0	0		20		0	1	4 307	383
Alabama <sup>§</sup>	10	4	13	196	167	_	0	1	4	5		1	8	62	76
Kentucky	1	1	6	43	205	_	0	1	1	6	_	0	0	_	_
Mississippi	2	3	10	160	44	—	0	0	_	1	_	0	2	12	21
lennessee <sup>s</sup>	1	4	11	2 169	227	_	0	2	4	8	2	4	18	233	286
Arkansas <sup>§</sup>	2	2	7	2,109	2,239	_	0	2	5	2	2	0	51	351	93
Louisiana	2	4	21	201	246	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	2	7	2
Oklahoma	10	2	161	129	237	—	0	5	3	3	—	0	202	41	22
lexas <sup>3</sup>	42	42	338	1,//2	1,/1/	_	0	1 5	1	3	_	0	5	4	24 13
Arizona	4	5	27	305	386	_	0	4	13	1	_	0	6	18	1
Colorado <sup>§</sup>	_	1	8	82	86	_	0	1	_	_	_	0	1	2	1
Idaho <sup>§</sup>	_	0	3	16	23	_	0	1	1	_	_	0	1	1	5
Nontana <sup>3</sup> Nevada <sup>§</sup>	_	0	15	30	44	_	0	0	_		_	0	1	1	_
New Mexico <sup>§</sup>	_	3	9	89	120	_	0	Ő	_	_	_	0	1	1	1
Utah	—	1	4	44	41	—	0	0	—	—	—	0	1	1	3
Wyoming <sup>9</sup>		0	1	2		_	0	0	1	_	_	0	2	8	1
Alaska	32	21	63 2	885	9/9	N	0	2	I N	6 N	N	0	0	N	I N
California	28	16	59	727	786	_	Ő	2	1	6	_	0	Ő	_	_
Hawaii	_	1	3	42	41	N	0	0	N	Ν	N	0	0	N	N
Oregon	_	1	4	38	53	_	0	0	_	—	_	0	0	_	1
wasnington	4	1	6	/3	98	_	0	1	_	_	_	0	0	_	
Territories American Samoa	_	0	1	1	А	N	0	0	N	N	N	0	0	N	N
C.N.M.I.	_		_	_	-		_	_				_	_		
Guam	_	0	1	1	5	Ν	0	0	Ν	Ν	Ν	0	0	N	Ν
Puerto Rico	—	0	1	—	4	Ν	0	0	Ν	N	Ν	0	0	N	N
U.S. Virgin Islands	_	0	0	_	—	—	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_

C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands.

U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: Not reportable. NN: Not Nationally Notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts. Med: Median. Max: Maximum.
 \* Case counts for reporting year 2011 are provisional and subject to change. For further information on interpretation of these data, see http://www.cdc.gov/osels/ph\_surveillance/nndss/ phs/files/ProvisionalNationa%20NotifiableDiseasesSurveillanceData20100927.pdf. Data for TB are displayed in Table IV, which appears quarterly.
 \* Illnesses with similar clinical presentation that result from Spotted fever group rickettsia infections are reported as Spotted fever rickettsioses. Rocky Mountain spotted fever (RMSF) caused

by Rickettsia rickettsii, is the most common and well-known spotted fever.

<sup>§</sup> Contains data reported through the National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS).

### TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending October 29, 2011, and October 30, 2010 (43rd week)\*

	Streptococcus pneumoniae, <sup>†</sup> invasive disease																
			All ages				Age <5					Syphilis, primary and secondary					
	Current	Previous	52 weeks	Cum	Cum	Current	Previous	52 weeks	Cum	Cum	Current	Previous 5	52 weeks	Cum	Cum		
Reporting area	week	Med	Max	2011	2010	week	Med	Max	2011	2010	week	Med	Max	2011	2010		
United States	111	298	937	10,962	12,465	6	27	118	988	1,742	55	259	363	10,538	11,424		
New England	5	16	79	610	687	1	1	5	40	87	1	7	16	300	403		
Maine <sup>§</sup>	2	2	49 13	258 105	273	_	0	3 1	9	23	_	0	2	39 11	26		
Massachusetts	_	0	3	28	59	_	Ő	2	14	41	_	5	9	189	247		
New Hampshire	1	2	8	86	97	_	0	1	5	5	1	0	3	17	19		
Rhode Island <sup>9</sup>		2	8	73	94	1	0	1	2	6	_	0	7	36	28		
	2	30	81	1 081	1 3 1 0	_	2	2	90	195	4	29	52	1 2 2 2	∠ 1 418		
New Jersey		13	35	498	584	_	0	4	30	50		4	13	164	203		
New York (Upstate)	1	1	10	66	122	_	1	9	36	91	1	3	20	152	108		
New York City	1	12	42	517	604		0	14	24	54	1	15	31	632	804		
Pennsylvania	N 26	0	0	N 2 404	N 2562	IN	0	12	IN 109	N 211	2	5	13	284	303		
Illinois	50 N	05	0	2,404 N	2,502 N	_	5	6	65	79	5	30 12	40 24	512	773		
Indiana	_	16	33	544	587	_	0	4	25	48	_	3	8	131	151		
Michigan	3	14	29	529	593	_	1	3	28	73		5	12	220	206		
Ohio Wisconsin	30	25	45	989	969 412	_	2	7	67	82	1	8	21	352	448		
WISCONSIN W.N. Control	2	3	24	54Z 140	706		1	5	51	135	2	6	2 13	230	302		
lowa	N	0	0	N	N	N	0	0	N	N		0	2	14	18		
Kansas	Ν	0	0	N	Ν	Ν	0	0	Ν	Ν	2	0	3	20	18		
Minnesota		0	18		540	—	0	3		77	—	2	8	96	120		
Missouri Nebraska <sup>§</sup>	N	0	0	N 96	N 109	_	0	4	28 10	32 14	_	2	6	94	133		
North Dakota	_	0	25	44	57	_	0	1	10	2		0	1	1	_		
South Dakota	Ν	0	0	Ν	N	_	0	2	12	10	_	0	0	_	4		
S. Atlantic	31	72	170	3,039	3,337	4	7	25	259	465	22	67	178	2,781	2,637		
Delaware District of Columbia	_	1	6	39	31	_	0	1				0	4	17	4		
Florida	18	23	68	1.105	1.212	3	2	13	102	166	2	23	36	969	980		
Georgia	4	22	54	808	1,082	_	2	7	59	131	11	15	130	616	564		
Maryland <sup>§</sup>	7	9	32	438	434	1	0	4	31	46	_	9	20	364	264		
North Carolina South Carolina <sup>§</sup>	N 2	0	25	N 365	N 415	N	0	0 3	N 23	N 47		8	21	316	340 127		
Virginia <sup>§</sup>	N	0	23	505 N	413 N	_	0	3	25	47	3	4	16	178	238		
West Virginia	_	0	48	255	100	_	0	6	14	19	_	0	1	2	6		
E.S. Central	8	18	36	725	840	—	2	4	57	94	5	16	34	645	747		
Alabama <sup>s</sup>	N	0	0	N	N	N	0	0	N	N		4	11	187	210		
Mississippi	N	0	0	N	N		0	2	9	14	4	2	14	90 163	183		
Tennessee§	8	18	36	725	840	_	1	4	48	80	1	5	11	197	244		
W.S. Central	12	31	368	1,463	1,512	_	4	38	167	247	1	36	50	1,479	1,784		
Arkansas <sup>§</sup>	5	3	26	183	141	_	0	3	11	15	1	3	10	160	185		
Louisiana Oklahoma	N	3	0	128 N	99 N	_	0	2	12	23 40	_	6	25	306 84	481		
Texas <sup>§</sup>	7	25	333	1,152	1,272	_	3	27	114	169	_	23	30	929	1,036		
Mountain	17	30	72	1,365	1,416	1	3	8	113	192	_	12	20	454	513		
Arizona	5	12	45	639	658	_	1	5	52	83	—	4	10	183	189		
Colorado	9 N	9	23	434 N	441 N	1	0	4	30	57	—	2	6	88	119		
Montana <sup>§</sup>	N	0	0	N	N	N	0	0	Ň	N	_	0	1	4	3		
Nevada <sup>§</sup>	Ν	0	0	N	N	Ν	0	0	Ν	Ν	_	2	9	109	99		
New Mexico <sup>§</sup>	3	4	13	198	130	_	0	2	15	16	—	1	4	50	44		
Utan Wyoming§	_	1	8 15	74 20	1/4	_	0	3 1	12	2/	_	0	2	9	57		
Pacific	_	3	11	135	95	_	0	2	13	16	14	53	68	2 1 5 6	1 999		
Alaska	_	3	11	130	95	_	Ő	1	10	16	_	0	1	2,150	3		
California	N	0	0	N	N	N	0	0	Ν	Ν	8	42	58	1,740	1,697		
Hawaii		0	3	5			0	1	3			0	5	10	29		
Washington	N	0	0	N	N	N	0	0	N N	N	2	5	12	253	215		
Territories	i N	5		11	1.4	1.1	v		11		Ŧ		15	235	215		
American Samoa	Ν	0	0	Ν	Ν	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_		
C.N.M.I.	_	_	_	—	—	—	_	_	—	—	—	_	_	—	_		
Puerto Rico	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_	_	4	14	193	193		
U.S. Virgin Islands	_	Ō	Ō	_	_	_	Ō	Ō	_	_	_	0	0	_	_		

C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands. U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: Not reportable. NN: Not Nationally Notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts. Med: Median. Max: Maximum.

U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: Not reportable. NN: Not Nationally Notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts. Median. Max: Maximum.
 \* Case counts for reporting year 2011 are provisional and subject to change. For further information on interpretation of these data, see http://www.cdc.gov/osels/ph\_surveillance/nndss/ phs/files/ProvisionalNationa%20NotifiableDiseasesSurveillanceData20100927.pdf. Data for TB are displayed in Table IV, which appears quarterly.
 † Includes drug resistant and susceptible cases of invasive Streptococcus pneumoniae disease among children <5 years and among all ages. Case definition: Isolation of S. pneumoniae from a normally sterile body site (e.g., blood or cerebrospinal fluid).</li>
 § Contains data reported through the National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS).

#### TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending October 29, 2011, and October 30, 2010 (43rd week)\*

										West Nile vi	rus disease†						
Varicella (chickenpox)							Ne	uroinvasiv	e			Nonne	uroinvasiv	vinvasive <sup>§</sup>			
	Current	Previous	52 weeks	Cum	Cum	Current	Previous	52 weeks	Cum	Cum	Current	Previous 5	52 weeks	Cum	Cum		
Reporting area	week	Med	Max	2011	2010	week	Med	Max	2011	2010	week	Med	Max	2011	2010		
United States	208	271	367	10,506	12,787	—	0	54	392	622	—	0	24	187	391		
New England	10	21	50 16	971	979	_	0	3	14	14	—	0	1	2	5		
Maine <sup>¶</sup>		4	10	170	200	_	0	0	-	_	_	0	0	_	- 4		
Massachusetts	_	7	18	355	231	_	0	2	4	6	_	0	1	1	1		
New Hampshire	_	2	9	102	131	—	0	0		1	_	0	0	_	_		
Vermont <sup>¶</sup>	1	2	10	33 86	39 89	_	0	1	1	_	_	0	0	_	_		
Mid. Atlantic	32	44	76	2,007	1,415	_	Ő	11	32	123	_	0	6	20	63		
New Jersey	7	15	68	1,194	496	_	0	1	2	15	_	0	1	3	15		
New York (Upstate)	N	0	0	N	N	_	0	5	17	56	—	0	4	14	30		
Pennsylvania	25	19	41	813	919	_	0	4	9 4	55 19	_	0	1	2	9		
E.N. Central	55	65	118	2,376	4,092	_	Ő	13	68	80	_	0	6	26	30		
Illinois		15	31	605	1,041	_	0	6	20	45	_	0	3	10	16		
Indiana <sup>1</sup> Michigan	14	5	18	214	308	_	0	2	6	6	_	0	1	3	7		
Ohio	26	21	58	814	1,199	_	0	3	52 9	25	_	0	3	11	4		
Wisconsin		0	22	1	426	_	Ő	1	1	_	_	Ő	1	1	2		
W.N. Central	_	7	42	323	792	_	0	8	28	32	_	0	6	27	75		
lowa Kansas¶	N	0	0	N 95	N 216	_	0	2	5	5	_	0	2	4	4		
Minnesota	_	2	0		510	_	0	1	1	4	_	0	1	1	4		
Missouri	_	4	24	167	371	_	0	1	4	3	_	0	1	3	_		
Nebraska¶	_	0	4	5	21	—	0	4	13	10	—	0	3	14	29		
North Dakota	—	0	10	36	39	_	0	1	1	2	_	0	1	3	7		
South Dakota	21	31	4 64	1.422	45 1.840	_	0	9	49	38	_	0	4	17	22		
Delaware¶	_	0	3	6	32	_	0	1	1	_	_	0	0	_	_		
District of Columbia		0	2	12	18	—	0	1	1	3	—	0	0	_	3		
Florida <sup>1</sup>	16 N	16	38	721 N	856 N	_	0	5	19	9	_	0	2	2	3		
Maryland <sup>¶</sup>	N	0	0	N	N	_	0	2	10	4 17	_	0	3	10	9		
North Carolina	N	0	0	N	N	_	0	1	2	_	_	0	0	_	_		
South Carolina <sup>®</sup>	_	0	9	12	75	—	0	0	_	1	—	0	0	—	_		
Virginia <sup>®</sup> West Virginia	5	7	25	359	476	_	0	2	8	4	_	0	0	_	1		
E.S. Central	4	5	15	222	261	_	0	8	45	8	_	0	5	25	10		
Alabama <sup>¶</sup>	4	4	14	210	253	_	0	1	3	1	_	0	0	_	2		
Kentucky	Ν	0	0	N	N	_	0	1	2	2	_	0	1	1	1		
Mississippi	N	0	3	12 N	8 N	_	0	4	26	3	_	0	4	22	5		
W.S. Central	54	44	258	2,148	2.408	_	0	3	13	101	_	0	2	7	19		
Arkansas¶	_	4	20	245	164	_	0	1	1	6	_	0	0	_	1		
Louisiana	_	1	6	68	72	—	0	2	6	18	—	0	2	4	7		
Oklahoma Toxos¶	N 54	0	0	N	N 2 1 7 2	_	0	1			_	0	0	2	11		
Mountain	32	18	65	944	902	_	0	2	53	155	_	0	4	24	127		
Arizona	4	4	50	409	_	_	0	6	31	105	_	0	2	10	60		
Colorado	27	4	31	211	347	—	0	2	2	26	—	0	2	5	55		
Idano" Montana¶	IN	0	28	123	N 172	_	0	1	1	_	_	0	0				
Nevada¶	N	0	0	N	N	_	0	4	12	_	_	0	2	4	2		
New Mexico <sup>¶</sup>	1	1	4	37	89	_	0	1	4	21	_	0	0	_	4		
Utah	_	3	26	156	278	_	0	1	1	1	_	0	1	2	1		
Wyoming " Pacific	_	0	3	8	16	_	0	16	1	2 71	_	0	1	2 30	4		
Alaska	_	1	4	48	38	_	0	0		_	_	0	0				
California	_	0	2	9	30	_	0	16	90	70	_	0	6	39	39		
Hawaii	_	1	4	36	30	_	0	0	_	—	_	0	0	—	_		
Oregon Washington	N N	0	0	N N	N N	_	0	0	_	1	_	0	0	_	1		
Territories																	
American Samoa	Ν	0	0	N	Ν	—	0	0	—	—	—	0	0	—	_		
C.N.M.I. Guam	_			16	25	_			_	_	_			_	_		
Puerto Rico	5	4	17	166	550	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	0	_	_		
U.S. Virgin Islands	_	0	0	_	_	_	Ō	Ō	_	_	_	0	Ō	_	_		

C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands.

U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: Not reportable. NN: Not Nationally Notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts. Med: Median. Max: Maximum.

\* Case counts for reporting year 2011 are provisional and subject to change. For further information on interpretation of these data, see http://www.cdc.gov/osels/ph\_surveillance/nndss/ phs/files/ProvisionalNationa%20NotifiableDiseasesSurveillanceData20100927.pdf. Data for TB are displayed in Table IV, which appears quarterly. <sup>†</sup> Updated weekly from reports to the Division of Vector-Borne Infectious Diseases, National Center for Zoonotic, Vector-Borne, and Enteric Diseases (ArboNET Surveillance). Data for California

<sup>1</sup> Updated weekly from reports to the Division of Vector-Borne Infectious Diseases, National Center for Zoonotic, Vector-Borne, and Enteric Diseases (ArboNET Surveillance). Data for California serogroup, eastern equine, Powassan, St. Louis, and western equine diseases are available in Table I.

<sup>§</sup> Not reportable in all states. Data from states where the condition is not reportable are excluded from this table, except starting in 2007 for the domestic arboviral diseases and influenzaassociated pediatric mortality, and in 2003 for SARS-CoV. Reporting exceptions are available at http://www.cdc.gov/osels/ph\_surveillance/nndss/phs/infdis.htm.

<sup>¶</sup> Contains data reported through the National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS).

TABLE III. Deaths in 122 U.S	cities,* week ending October 29,	, 2011 (43rd week)
------------------------------	----------------------------------	--------------------

All         All         All         All         All         All         All         All         Peak         Peak           New Expering are Bords, MA         522         355         117         31         11         6         42         54         64         25.4         63         38         24         64         93         33         15         53         15         75         71         20         21         55         3         15         53         15         53         15         53         15         53         15         53         15         53         15         53         15         53         15         53         15         53         15         15         15         15         15         15         15         15         15         15         15         16         1         -7         12         15         15         15         15         16         1         -7         16         16         15         15         15         15         15         15         15         15         15         15         15         15         15         15         16         15         15         15         16			All ca	uses, by a	ige (years	)					All cau	ses, by ag	e (years)			
New England         522         355         117         31         11         8         42         5. Attentic         1.06         661         35         7         34         8         9         5         3           Bridgepur, CT         30         22         3         1         1         -         2         3         Balimore, MD         160         93         44         45         5         3         13           Carabidog, MA         22         4         1         -         -         2         4         Main, K         18         44         -         1         3         -         -         -         2         4         Main, K         9         45         18         4         -         -         1         2         2         Norf, K         33         35         1         1         2         2         -         Norf, K         36         35         2         2         -         -         -         -         Tampa, R         164         23         1         1         1         2         2         -         1         -         -         -         -         -         Main, M         35	Reporting area	All Ages	≥65	45-64	25–44	1–24	<1	P&I <sup>†</sup> Total	Reporting area (Continued)	All Ages	≥65	45-64	25–44	1–24	<1	P&I <sup>†</sup> Total
Botton, MA         13         37         12         5         2         15         Atlama, CA         113         37         44         8         9         5         3         13         17           Fall Brency, MA         21         16         4         1         -         -         13         Battenno, MC         18         23         24         8         5         3         3         1         -         14         14         -         -         14         Battenno, MC         18         23         24         4         1         -         -         2         Battenno, MC         18         13         12         5         1         3         1         2         3         3         3         3         3         3         3         3         3         3         3         3         3         3         3         3         3	New England	522	355	117	31	11	8	42	S. Atlantic	1,064	681	256	65	38	24	64
Bedgeport, CL         30         32         3         1         -         -         2         Battimore, M0         160 <th< td=""><td>Boston, MA</td><td>143</td><td>87</td><td>37</td><td>12</td><td>5</td><td>2</td><td>15</td><td>Atlanta, GA</td><td>113</td><td>57</td><td>34</td><td>8</td><td>9</td><td>5</td><td>3</td></th<>	Boston, MA	143	87	37	12	5	2	15	Atlanta, GA	113	57	34	8	9	5	3
Lambring by Marken Line Lambring by Marken Line Lambring by Marken Line Lambring Line	Bridgeport, CI	30	25	3	1	1	_	2	Baltimore, MD	160	93	44	15	5	3	15
ramenip con- ramenip con- towell, MA 26 27 3 4 2	Cambridge, MA	12	8	4	1	_	_	1		118	82	26	6	1	3	/
Lowell, MA         26         21         4         1         -         -         -         2         Nordak, VA         59         39         12         5         -         -         -         -         2         Nordak, VA         59         39         12         2         5         -         -         -         -         2         Savanah, GA         40         33         37         18         4         4         -         5         2         3         2         2         2         3         2         2         2         3         3         2         2         2         3 <t< td=""><td>Fall River, MA</td><td>Z I 42</td><td>10</td><td>4</td><td>ו ר</td><td>_</td><td></td><td>2</td><td>Miami El</td><td>94</td><td>65</td><td>20</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>_</td><td>0 2</td></t<>	Fall River, MA	Z I 42	10	4	ו ר	_		2	Miami El	94	65	20	0	0	_	0 2
$ \begin{array}{c} \mbox{LMA}, \mbox{A}, $	Lowell MA	26	20	13	2	_		2	Norfolk VA	59	36	10	5	0	5	2
new Bedrod, MA         22         17         5         -         -         -         2         Savannah, GA         40         20         8         1         1         1         2         Parvidence, R           Providence, R         70         51         14         1         2         2         -         Tempa, FL         179         30         39         7         3         -         10           Swampide, MA         4         2         1         1         -         -         Wathington, CL         88         50         2         2         3         5         9         7	Lowen, MA	11	8	3	_	_	_	1	Bichmond, VA	63	37	18	4	4	_	5
New Nexme, T.         35         20         10         4         1         -         1         -         2.         Pertordance, B.         44         2         1         1         -         <	New Bedford, MA	22	17	5	_	_	_	2	Savannah, GA	40	29	8	1	. 1	1	2
Providence, RI         70         51         14         1         2         2         -         Tampa, FL         179         130         39         7         3         -         10           Springfiel, MA         4         22         1         1         -         -         Winnigton, DE         9         5         22         2         -	New Haven, CT	35	20	10	4	1	_	1	St. Petersburg, FL	44	26	13	1	2	2	2
Somemonik MA         4         2         1         1         -         -         -         Washington, D.C.         88         56         52         22         2         3         5         9           Matchwar, CT         38         20         10         1         - </td <td>Providence, RI</td> <td>70</td> <td>51</td> <td>14</td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td> <td>_</td> <td>Tampa, FL</td> <td>179</td> <td>130</td> <td>39</td> <td>7</td> <td>3</td> <td>_</td> <td>10</td>	Providence, RI	70	51	14	1	2	2	_	Tampa, FL	179	130	39	7	3	_	10
Springfield, M         34         22         5         3         2         2         4         4         -         -         2         Wilkington, DE         9         5         2         2         -	Somerville, MA	4	2	1	1	—	_	—	Washington, D.C.	88	56	22	2	3	5	9
Waterbury, CT         33         32         21         1         -         -         2         ES. Central         840         550         157         67         14         12         55           Mid. Matrix         (AS2)         1,341         388         100         32         22         88         Chartanoga, TN         60         40         12         8         -         -         -         1           Burfalo, NY         76         53         17         4         -         2         -         -         -         -         1         4         -         2         -         -         -         -         Matriangen, N         10         4         -         -         -         -         Mortgomery, AL         98         64         24         7         1         2         2         -         -         -         10         Mortgomery, AL         94         4         Austin, TX         94         4         Austin, TX         94         4         -         1         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -	Springfield, MA	34	22	5	3	2	2	4	Wilmington, DE	9	5	2	2	—	—	_
Worester, MA         38         30         4         4         -         -         C         Bitmingham, AL         12         52         52         18         4         5         11           Albarn, MT         59         4.0         13         16         -         2         3         7         Finance         1	Waterbury, CT	33	22	10	1	—	—	2	E.S. Central	840	550	197	67	14	12	55
	Worcester, MA	38	30	4	4	_	_	5	Birmingham, AL	204	125	52	18	4	5	11
$ \begin{array}{c} \text{Aubarry, MT} \\ \text{Aubarry, MT} \\ \text{a 3c} & 4c & 1c & -c & -c & -c \\ \text{Multilation, NV } & 3c & 3c & 1c & -c & -c \\ \text{Canden, NV } & 23 & 13 & 6 & 2 & -c & -c & -c \\ \text{Exabeth, NV } & 14 & 9 & 3c & -c & -c & -c \\ \text{Exabeth, NV } & 14 & 9 & 3c & -c & -c & -c \\ \text{Exabeth, NV } & 14 & 9 & 3c & -c & -c & -c \\ \text{Exabeth, NV } & 14 & 9 & 3c & -c & -c & -c \\ \text{Breey Ciry, NV } & 16 & 11 & 4 & -c & 1 & -c & 2 \\ \text{Direy Ciry, NV } & 160 & 11 & 4 & -c & 1 & -c & 2 \\ \text{Newark, NV } & 54 & 26 & 55 & 51 & 3 \\ \text{Newark, NV } & 54 & 26 & 55 & 51 & 3 \\ \text{Newark, NV } & 54 & 26 & 55 & 51 & 3 \\ \text{Newark, NV } & 54 & 26 & 50 & 31 & 16 & 2 & 2 \\ \text{Parter, Ciry, NV } & 1091 & 783 & 224 & 57 & 18 & 9 & 49 \\ \text{Newark, NV } & 54 & 26 & 1 & 3 & -c & 1 & -c & -c \\ \text{Paterson, NU } & 21 & 16 & 1 & 3 & -c & 1 & -c & -c \\ \text{Paterson, NU } & 21 & 16 & 1 & 3 & -c & 1 & -c & -c \\ \text{Paterson, NV } & 54 & 26 & 46 & 12 & 3 & -c & 1 & -c \\ \text{Paterson, NV } & 52 & 46 & 12 & 3 & -c & 1 & -c \\ \text{Paterson, NV } & 52 & 46 & 12 & 3 & -c & 1 & -c \\ \text{Paterson, NV } & 52 & 46 & 12 & 3 & -c & 1 & -c \\ \text{Paterson, NV } & 52 & 46 & 12 & 3 & -c & 1 & -c \\ \text{Paterson, NV } & 57 & 42 & 10 & 5 & -c & -c & -c \\ \text{Schenetcark, NV } & 57 & 42 & 10 & 5 & -c & -c & -c \\ \text{Schenetcark, NV } & 16 & 15 & 1 & -c & -c & -c \\ \text{Sinchens, LA } & U & U & U & U & U & U & U & U \\ \text{Ure, NV } & 12 & 27 & 6 & 11 & -c & -c & -c \\ \text{Sinchens, NV } & 12 & 27 & 56 & 21 & 15 \\ \text{Sinchens, LA } & 10 & U & U & U & U & U & U \\ \text{Ure, NV } & 12 & 27 & -c & 2 & 1 & -c & -c \\ \text{Circhens, VV } & 16 & 15 & 1 & -c & -c & -c \\ \text{Circhens, NV } & 16 & 15 & 1 & -c & -c & -c \\ \text{Circhens, NV } & 16 & 15 & 1 & -c & -c & -c \\ \text{Circhens, NV } & 16 & 12 & 24 & -7 & -5 & 1 \\ \text{Circhens, NV } & 16 & 12 & 24 & -7 & -c & -c \\ \text{Circhens, NV } & 16 & 12 & 24 & -7 & -c & -c & -c \\ \text{Circhens, NV } & 16 & 12 & 24 & -7 & -5 & 1 & -c & -c \\ \text{Circhens, NV } & 16 & 12 & 24 & -7 & -5 & 1 & -c & -c & -c \\ \text{Circhens, NV } & 16 & 12 & 24 & -7 & -5 & 1 & -c & -c & -c & -c & -c \\ \text{Circhens, NV } & 16 & 1$	Mid. Atlantic	1,892	1,341	388	109	32	22	88	Chattanooga, IN	60	40	12	8			1
Initial with bit is a set of the set of th	Albany, NY	29	42	12	_	2	3	/	knoxville, TN	102	04 20	25	9	2	2	4
Camadron, N.         23         23         16         2         -         -         -         N         Mobile, Al.         98         64         24         9         7         5         -         1         4           Eriabeth, N.         14         9         3         2         -         -         -         Motile, Al.         98         64         24         97         5         -         1         4         Nashville, TM.         134         86         31         13         3         1         -         1         -         1         -         1088         710         241         80         31         13         3         3         3           Paterson, N         21         16         1         3         -         1         -         Corpus Christ, TX         205         1         -         4         Fort Worh, TX         U <td< td=""><td>Ruffalo NV</td><td>30 76</td><td>53</td><td>17</td><td></td><td></td><td>2</td><td>1</td><td>Memphis TN</td><td>1/12</td><td>102</td><td>32</td><td>10</td><td>3</td><td>1</td><td>16</td></td<>	Ruffalo NV	30 76	53	17			2	1	Memphis TN	1/12	102	32	10	3	1	16
Elizabeth, NU       14       9       3       2       -       -       -       -       1       -	Camden, NJ	23	13	6	2	_	2	3	Mobile, Al	98	64	24	7	1	2	2
Ene PA         c2         49         7         5         -         1         4         New York City, NV         109         7         5         -         1         4         New York City, NV         109         7         5         1         3         3         1         9           Jersey City, NV         109         783         224         57         18         9         49         Austin, TX         94         61         24         5         1         3	Elizabeth, NJ	14	9	3	2	_	_	_	Montgomery, AL	49	40	8	_	1	_	11
Jersey City, NJ       16       11       4       -       1       -       2       W.S. Central       1,088       710       241       80       31       26       65         New York City, NJ       109       783       224       57       55       5       5       1       3       Baton Rouge, LA       64       35       14       1       4       -       -       -       -       Corpus (hitt, TX       60       48       9       -1       12       9       Phiadelphia, PA       13       22       2       6       Dallas, TX       205       123       58       10       8       6       20       9         Phitsburgh, PA       13       22       4       1       -       -       1       ER       Favo, TX       10       U </td <td>Erie, PA</td> <td>62</td> <td>49</td> <td>7</td> <td>5</td> <td>_</td> <td>1</td> <td>4</td> <td>Nashville, TN</td> <td>134</td> <td>86</td> <td>31</td> <td>13</td> <td>3</td> <td>1</td> <td>9</td>	Erie, PA	62	49	7	5	_	1	4	Nashville, TN	134	86	31	13	3	1	9
New York City, NY       1091       783       224       57       18       9       49       Austin, TX       94       61       24       5       1       3       1       -       1       2       0       Dales, TX       200       13       1       -       1       2       9       0       13       13       14       1       4       -       -       -       1       Corpus Christ, TX       200       13       11       1       1       10       <	Jersey City, NJ	16	11	4	_	1	_	2	W.S. Central	1,088	710	241	80	31	26	65
Newark, NJ         54         28         15         5         5         1         3         Baton Rouge, LA         64         35         14         11         4         -         -           Phitasup, PA         143         82         41         16         2         2         6         Dallas, TX         205         123         58         10         8         6         20           Phitabup, PA         33         26         9         3         1         -         1         El Paso, TX         81         60         15         5         -         -         4           Rochester, NY         62         46         12         3         -         -         -         -         New Otheras, LA         U	New York City, NY	1,091	783	224	57	18	9	49	Austin, TX	94	61	24	5	1	3	3
Paterson, NJ 21 16 1 3 3 - 1 - Corpus Christi, TK 60 48 9 - 1 1 2 9 Philadelphi, PA 143 82 41 16 2 2 6 6 Philadelphi, PA 28 25 2 - 1 - 1 - 1 Reading, PA 28 25 2 - 1 - 1 - 1 Reading, PA 28 25 2 - 1 - 1 - 1 2 Reading, PA 28 46 12 3 - 1 2 Scranton, PA 28 46 12 3 - 1 2 Scranton, PA 28 46 12 3 - 1 2 Scranton, PA 26 18 8 4 Scranton, PA 26 18 8 4 Scranton, PA 26 18 8 4 Scranton, PA 27 6 1 3 Little Rock, AR 80 63 8 3 2 4 1 New Orleans, LA U U U U U U U U U U Syracuse, NY 57 42 10 5 4 Santonio, TX 20 140 51 23 3 3 12 Trenton, NV 23 17 5 1 Carton, OH 46 15 1 1 Chicago, IL 29 - 2 1 - 1 1 Chicago, IL 230 151 51 17 7 4 1 4 Chicago, IL 230 151 51 17 7 4 4 14 Chicago, IL 230 151 51 17 7 4 4 14 Chicago, IL 230 151 51 17 7 4 4 14 Chicago, IL 230 151 51 17 7 4 4 14 Chicago, IL 230 151 51 17 7 4 4 14 Chicago, IL 230 151 51 17 7 4 4 14 Chicago, IL 230 151 51 17 7 4 4 14 Chicago, IL 230 151 51 17 7 4 4 14 Chicago, IL 230 151 51 17 7 4 4 14 Chicago, IL 230 151 51 17 7 4 4 14 Chicago, IL 230 151 51 17 7 4 4 14 Chicago, IL 230 151 51 17 7 4 4 14 Chicago, IL 230 151 51 17 7 4 4 14 Chicago, IL 230 151 51 17 7 4 4 14 Chicago, IL 230 151 51 17 7 4 4 14 Chicago, IL 230 151 51 17 7 7 4 1 14 Chicago, IL 230 151 51 17 7 7 4 1 14 Chicago, IL 230 151 51 17 7 7 4 1 14 Chicago, IL 230 151 51 17 7 7 4 1 14 Chicago, IL 230 151 51 17 7 7 4 1 14 Chicago, IL 230 151 51 17 7 7 4 1 14 Chicago, IL 230 151 51 17 7 7 4 1 14 Chicago, IL 230 151 51 17 7 7 4 1 14 Chicago, IL 230 151 51 17 7 7 4 1 14 Chicago, IL 230 151 51 17 7 7 4 1 14 Chicago, IL 230 151 51 17 7 7 4 1 14 Chicago, IL 230 151 51 17 7 7 4 1 14 Chicago, IL 230 151 51 17 7 7 4 1 1 2 1 1 Chicago, IL 230 151 51 17 7 7 4 1 14 Chicago, IL 230 151 51 17 7 7 4 1 14 Chicago, IL 230 151 51 17 7 7 4 1 1 2 1 1 Chicago, IL 230 151 51 17 7 7 4 1 1 2 1 1 Chicago, IL 230 151 51 17 7 7 4 1 1 2 1 1 Chicago, IL 230 151 51 17 7 7 4 1 1 2 1 1 Chicago, IL 230 161 8 1 1 2 1 1 - 1 14 Mimauke, WI 81 45 2 4 6	Newark, NJ	54	28	15	5	5	1	3	Baton Rouge, LA	64	35	14	11	4	_	_
Philadelphia,PA 143 82 41 16 2 2 6 Dallas,TX 205 123 58 10 8 6 20 Pittsburgh,PA 39 26 9 3 1 - 1 1 Reading,PA 28 25 2 - 1 - 1 1 Reading,PA 28 25 2 - 1 - 1 4 Reading,PA 28 25 2 - 1 - 1 4 Reading,PA 28 25 2 - 1 - 1 4 Reading,PA 28 25 2 - 1 - 1 4 Reading,PA 28 25 2 - 1 - 1 4 Reading,PA 28 25 2 - 1 - 1 4 Reading,PA 28 25 2 - 1 - 1 4 Reading,PA 28 25 2 - 1 - 1 4 Reading,PA 28 25 2 - 1 - 1 4 Reading,PA 28 25 2 - 1 - 1 4 Reading,PA 28 25 2 - 1 - 1 4 Reading,PA 28 25 2 - 1 - 1 4 Reading,PA 28 25 2 - 1 - 1 4 Reading,PA 28 25 2 - 1 - 1 4 Reading,PA 28 25 2 - 1 5 Schenettady,NY 34 27 6 18 8 4 Schenettady,NY 34 27 6 18 8 4 Schenettady,NY 23 17 5 1 4 Schenettady,NY 12 17 5 1 1 Vicka,NK 111 80 23 5 2 1 5 Vicka,NK 111 80 23 5 1 1 7 7 4 Vicka,NK 111 80 23 5 1 1 1 Canton,OH 67 48 10 7 1 1 4 So 2 1 1 1 3 Colorado Spring,CO 63 45 8 6 - 1 4 1 Cincinati,OH 87 55 18 9 1 4 5 Cincinati,OH 87 55 18 9 1 4 5 Cincinati,OH 87 55 18 9 1 4 5 Cincinati,OH 81 33 27 5 1 3 Vicka,NK 11 6 Vicka Vic	Paterson, NJ	21	16	1	3	—	1	—	Corpus Christi, TX	60	48	9	—	1	2	9
Pritsburgh, $PA^3$ 39       26       9       3       1       -       1       ElPaso, $IX$ 81       60       15       5       1       -       4         Reading, $PA^3$ 28       25       2       -       1       -       1       Houston, $TX$ 127       71       31       12       7       6       6         Schenectady, NY       34       27       6       1       -       -       3       Little Rock, AR       80       63       8       3       2       4       1         Syracuse, NY       57       42       10       5       -       -       -       -       New Orlens, LA       U	Philadelphia, PA	143	82	41	16	2	2	6	Dallas, TX	205	123	58	10	8	6	20
Neading, PA       28       25       2       -       1       -       1       -       Fort Work, IX       0	Pittsburgh, PA <sup>9</sup>	39	26	9	3	1	_	1	El Paso, TX	81	60	15	5	1		4
Deckey, PV       34       24       26       12       3       -       1       2       Photon, IA       12       7       6       1       -       -       3       Ittle Rock, AR       80       63       8       3       2       4       1         Scranton, PA       26       18       8       -       -       -       -       New Orleans, LA       U	Reading, PA	28	25	12		I		1	Fort Worth, IX	127	U 71	0	12	0	Ű	Ű
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Rochester, NY	0Z 24	40	12	5	_	I	2	Houston, IX	127	62	31	12	2	0	0
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Scranton PA	26	18	8	_	_	_		New Orleans LA	80	11	0	11	2	4	i i
Trenton, NU 23 17 5 1	Svracuse, NY	57	42	10	5	_	_	4	San Antonio, TX	220	140	51	23	3	3	12
Utica, N'       16       15       1          1       Tusc, N'       111       80       23       5       2       1       5         Yonkers, NY       12       9        2       1        1       Mountain       930       658       179       56       21       15       54         E.N. Central       1,828       1,222       407       114       53       32       12       1       3       16       -       -       9         Carton, OH       67       48       10       7       1       1       4       20       10       1       -	Trenton, NJ	23	17	5	1	_	_	_	Shreveport, LA	46	29	8	6	2	1	5
Yonkers, NY       12       9       -       2       1       -       1       Munthin       930       658       179       56       21       15       54         E.N. Central       1,828       1,222       407       114       53       32       124       Abuquerque, M       117       86       20       10       1       -       9         Akron, OH       67       48       10       7       1       1       3       Colorado Springs, CO       63       45       8       6       -       4       1         Canton, OH       46       29       15       17       7       4       14       Denver, CO       98       61       26       6       1       4       5         Cleveland, OH       80       120       48       9       -       3       11       Denver, CO       98       61       26       1       4       5       1       3       14       2       11       Phoenix, AZ       U	Utica, NY	16	15	1	_	_	_	1	Tulsa, OK	111	80	23	5	2	1	5
E.N. Central       1,828       1,222       407       114       53       32       124       Albuquerque, NM       117       86       20       10       1       -       9         Akron, OH       46       29       13       2       1       1       1       4       Boise, ID       50       35       13       1       1        9         Canton, OH       46       29       13       2       1       1       3       Colorado Springs, CO       63       45       8       6        4       1         Cincinati, OH       87       55       18       9       -       3       11       Derver, CO       98       61       189       48       17       5       2       19         Cleveland, OH       137       94       36       4       2       1       11       Pueblo, CO       16       12       4          6         Fort Wayne, IN       74       57       13       1       1       2       2       Pacific       1,590       1,067       355       93       46       29       13         Garan, IN       11	Yonkers, NY	12	9	_	2	1	_	1	Mountain	930	658	179	56	21	15	54
Akron, OH       67       48       10       7       1       1       4       Boise, ID       50       35       13       1       1       -       -         Canton, OH       46       29       13       2       1       1       3       Colorado Springs, CO       63       45       8       6       -       4       1         Chicago, IL       230       151       51       17       7       4       14       Denver, CO       98       61       26       6       1       4       5         Colorabus, OH       215       141       52       15       4       3       13       Phoenix, AZ       U	E.N. Central	1,828	1,222	407	114	53	32	124	Albuquerque, NM	117	86	20	10	1	—	9
Canton, OH 46 29 13 2 1 1 3 Colorado Springs, CO 63 45 8 6 - 4 1 Colorado Springs, CO 63 45 8 6 - 4 1 Colorado Springs, CO 63 45 8 6 - 4 1 Colorado Springs, CO 63 45 8 6 - 4 1 Colorado Springs, CO 63 45 8 6 - 4 1 5 Colorado Springs, CO 63 45 8 6 - 4 1 5 Colorado Springs, CO 63 45 8 6 - 4 1 5 Colorado Springs, CO 63 45 8 6 - 4 1 5 Colorado Springs, CO 63 45 8 6 - 4 1 5 Colorado Springs, CO 63 45 8 6 - 4 1 5 Colorado Springs, CO 63 45 8 6 - 4 1 5 Colorado Springs, CO 63 45 8 6 - 4 1 5 Colorado Springs, CO 63 45 8 6 - 4 1 5 Colorado Springs, CO 63 45 8 6 - 4 1 5 Colorado Springs, CO 63 45 8 6 - 4 1 5 Colorado Springs, CO 63 45 8 6 - 4 1 5 Colorado Springs, CO 63 45 8 6 - 4 1 5 Colorado Springs, CO 63 45 8 6 - 4 1 4 5 Colorado Springs, CO 63 45 8 6 - 4 1 4 5 Colorado Springs, CO 63 45 8 6 - 4 1 4 5 Colorado Springs, CO 63 45 8 6 - 4 4 5 Colorado Springs, CO 63 45 8 6 - 4 4 5 Colorado Springs, CO 63 45 8 6 - 4 4 5 Colorado Springs, CO 63 45 8 6 - 4 4 5 Colorado Springs, CO 63 45 8 6 - 4 4 5 Colorado Springs, CO 63 45 8 6 - 4 4 5 Colorado Springs, CO 63 45 8 6 - 4 4 5 Colorado Springs, CO 63 45 8 6 - 4 4 5 Colorado Springs, CO 63 45 8 6 - 4 4 5 Colorado Springs, CO 63 45 8 - 4 - 7 5 - 4 - 7 - 3 Colorado Springs, CO 63 45 8 - 4 - 7 - 3 - 7 - 7 Colorado Springs, CO 61 16 12 4 4	Akron, OH	67	48	10	7	1	1	4	Boise, ID	50	35	13	1	1	—	—
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Canton, OH	46	29	13	2	1	1	3	Colorado Springs, CO	63	45	8	6		4	1
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Chicago, IL	230	151	51	17	7	4	14	Denver, CO	98	61	26	6	1	4	5
Clevelarid, Ori180120489-311Ogden, 01302210-313Columbus, OH137943642111119ueblo, CO16124	Cincinnati, OH	8/	120	18	9	1	4	5	Las Vegas, NV	261	189	48	17	5	2	19
$ \begin{array}{c} \text{Columbus, OH} & 213 & 141 & 32 & 13 & 4 & 3 & 13 \\ \text{Dayton, OH} & 137 & 94 & 36 & 4 & 2 & 1 & 11 \\ \text{Detroit, MI} & 81 & 33 & 27 & 51 & 1 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & $	Cleveland, OH	180	120	48	9 15		3	12	Bhoopiy AZ	30	22	10		3		3
Detroit, MI15734352711828111213121411Evansville, IN332751 $ -$ 3Salt Lake City, UT122832275411Evansville, IN7457131122Pacific1,5901,067355934629139Gary, IN11641 $  -$ <td>Davton OH</td> <td>137</td> <td>94</td> <td>36</td> <td>13</td> <td>2</td> <td>1</td> <td>15</td> <td>Pueblo CO</td> <td>16</td> <td>12</td> <td>4</td> <td>_</td> <td></td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td>	Davton OH	137	94	36	13	2	1	15	Pueblo CO	16	12	4	_		_	_
Evansulle, IN332751 $   -$ <	Detroit, MI	81	33	27	11	8	2	8	Salt Lake City, UT	122	83	22	7	5	4	11
Fort Wayne, IN       74       57       13       1       1       2       2       Pacific       1,590       1,067       355       93       46       29       139         Gary, IN       11       6       4       1       -       -       -       -       Berkeley, CA       11       8       3       -	Evansville, IN	33	27	5	1	_	_	3	Tucson, AZ	167	125	28	9	5	_	6
Gary, IN11641Grand Rapids, MI584583116Indianapolis, IN191122361413615Indianapolis, IN191122361413615Lansing, MI63451512-7Honolulu, HI685014211Milwaukee, WI8145246514Los Angeles, CA61361681-South Bend, IN4230831-7Youngstown, OH675984South Bend, IN4230831-7Youngstown, OH675984Des Moines, IA866814-138Sungstown, OH6752371944San Francisco, CA10270264-212Des Moines, IA866814-138San Jose, CA17912237107315Duluth, MN141422Seattle, WA10774253325Kansac ity, KS2113521- <t< td=""><td>Fort Wayne, IN</td><td>74</td><td>57</td><td>13</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>2</td><td>Pacific</td><td>1,590</td><td>1,067</td><td>355</td><td>93</td><td>46</td><td>29</td><td>139</td></t<>	Fort Wayne, IN	74	57	13	1	1	2	2	Pacific	1,590	1,067	355	93	46	29	139
Grand Rapids, MI       58       45       8       3       1       1       6       Fresno, CA       128       89       20       5       3       11       12         Indianapolis, IN       191       122       36       14       13       6       15       Glendale, CA       44       30       11       2       1       -       11         Lansing, MI       63       45       15       1       2        7       Honolulu, HI       68       50       14       2       1       1       4         Milwaukee, WI       81       45       24       6       5       1       4       Long Beach, CA       61       36       16       8       1        5         Peoria, IL       29       25       3       1         3       Los Angeles, CA       24       17       5       1       1        3         South Bend, IN       42       30       8       3       1         4       San Diego, CA       163       115       28       10       5       5       15         Youngstown, OH       67       59	Gary, IN	11	6	4	1	_	_	_	Berkeley, CA	11	8	3	_	_	_	_
Indianapolis, IN       191       122       36       14       13       6       15       Glendale, CA       44       30       11       2       1       —       11         Lansing, MI       63       45       15       1       2       -       7       Honolulu, HI       68       50       14       2       1       -       1	Grand Rapids, MI	58	45	8	3	1	1	6	Fresno, CA	128	89	20	5	3	11	12
Lansing, MI63451512-7Honolulu, HI68501421114Milwaukee, WI8145246514Long Beach, CA61361681-5Peoria, IL2925313Los Angeles, CA234129652414211-3South Bend, IN4230831-7Pasadena, CA2417511-3South Bend, IN4230831-7Portland, OR110762473-5Toledo, OH8554187422Sacramento, CA17212331114312Youngstown, OH675984San Diego, CA16311528105515W.N. Central6714601523371944San Jose, CA17912237107315Duluth, MN1414Santa Cruz, CA2619711Kansas City, KS2113521-2Spokane, WA4936103	Indianapolis, IN	191	122	36	14	13	6	15	Glendale, CA	44	30	11	2	1	—	11
Milwaukee, WI       81       45       24       6       5       1       4       Long Beach, CA       61       36       16       8       1        5         Peoria, IL       29       25       3       1         3       Los Angeles, CA       234       129       65       24       14       2       17         Rockford, IL       51       36       10       2       2       1       2       Pasadena, CA       24       17       5       1       1        3         South Bend, IN       42       30       8       3       1        7       Portland, OR       110       76       24       7       3        5         Toledo, OH       85       54       18       7       4       2       2       Sacramento, CA       172       123       31       11       4       3       12         Youngstown, OH       67       59       8        -       4       San Diego, CA       163       115       28       10       5       15         Des Moines, IA       86       68       14       -       -	Lansing, MI	63	45	15	1	2		7	Honolulu, HI	68	50	14	2	1	1	14
Peoria, IL       29       25       3       1         3       Los Angeles, CA       234       129       65       24       14       2       17         Rockford, IL       51       36       10       2       2       1       2       Pasadena, CA       24       17       5       1       1        3        5         South Bend, IN       42       30       8       3       1        7       Portland, OR       110       76       24       7       3        5         Toledo, OH       85       54       18       7       4       2       2       Sacramento, CA       172       123       31       11       4       3       12         Youngstown, OH       67       59       8         -4       San Diego, CA       163       115       28       10       5       5       15         W.N. Central       671       460       152       33       7       19       84       San Jose, CA       179       122       37       10       7       3       15         Duluth, MN       14       14 <td>Milwaukee, WI</td> <td>81</td> <td>45</td> <td>24</td> <td>6</td> <td>5</td> <td>1</td> <td>4</td> <td>Long Beach, CA</td> <td>61</td> <td>36</td> <td>16</td> <td>8</td> <td>1</td> <td>_</td> <td>5</td>	Milwaukee, WI	81	45	24	6	5	1	4	Long Beach, CA	61	36	16	8	1	_	5
Rocktord, IL       51       36       10       2       2       1       2       1       2       1       2       1       1       1       5       1       1       -       3       35       36       10       2       2       1       2       1       12       1       1       1       1       1       1       -       3       -       5       5       11       1       4       3       12       11       4       3       12       11       4       3       12       12       31       11       4       3       12       31       11       4       3       12       32       31       11       4       3       12       32       33       10       67       59       8       -       -       -       4       5       3an Diego, CA       163       115       28       10       5       5       15       30       31       3 <th< td=""><td>Peoria, IL</td><td>29</td><td>25</td><td>3</td><td>1</td><td></td><td>1</td><td>3</td><td>Los Angeles, CA</td><td>234</td><td>129</td><td>65</td><td>24</td><td>14</td><td>2</td><td>17</td></th<>	Peoria, IL	29	25	3	1		1	3	Los Angeles, CA	234	129	65	24	14	2	17
South berry, IN       42       50       3       1 <td>ROCKFORD, IL</td> <td>21</td> <td>20</td> <td>10</td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td> <td>I</td> <td>2</td> <td>Pasadena, CA</td> <td>24</td> <td>1/</td> <td>2 24</td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td>_</td> <td>5</td>	ROCKFORD, IL	21	20	10	2	2	I	2	Pasadena, CA	24	1/	2 24	1	2	_	5
Noteody, OH       67       59       8         4       San Diego, CA       162       173       173       174       175       175       17       174       175       174       175       174       175       174       175       174       175       174       175       176       176       177	Toledo OH	4Z 85	50	0 19	5 7	1	2	2	Sacramento CA	170	123	24	11	2	2	12
Wink Central       67       460       152       33       7       19       44       San Brackgold       San Francisco, CA       102       70       26       4       -       2       12         Des Moines, IA       86       68       14       -       1       3       8       San Francisco, CA       102       70       26       4       -       2       12         Des Moines, IA       86       68       14       -       1       3       8       San Francisco, CA       102       70       26       4       -       2       12         Duluth, MN       14       14       -       -       -       -       -       -       San Jose, CA       179       122       37       10       7       3       15         Kansas City, KS       21       13       5       2       1       -       2       2       Seattle, WA       107       74       25       3       3       2       5         Kansas City, MO       101       67       22       9       1       2       2       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3	Youngstown OH	67	59	8	_	_		4	San Diego CA	163	125	28	10	5	5	12
Des Moines, IA       86       68       14        1       3       8       San Jose, CA       179       122       37       10       7       3       15         Duluth, MN       14       14            San Jose, CA       179       122       37       10       7       3       15         Duluth, MN       14       14             1       San Jose, CA       179       122       37       10       7       3       15         Kansas City, KS       21       13       5       2       1        2       Seattle, WA       107       74       25       3       3       2       5         Kansas City, MO       101       67       22       9       1       2       4       Spokane, WA       49       36       10       3        2       2       Tacoma, WA       112       73       33       3        10         Minneapolis, MN       71       45       19       4       1       2       2       Total <sup>¶</sup> 10,425       7,044       2,292	W.N. Central	671	460	152	33	7	19	44	San Francisco, CA	102	70	26	4	_	2	12
Duluth, MN       14       14           Santa Cruz, CA       26       19       7         1         Kansas City, KS       21       13       5       2       1        2       Santa Cruz, CA       26       19       7         1         Kansas City, KS       21       13       5       2       1        2       Seattle, WA       107       74       25       3       3       2       5         Kansas City, KO       101       67       22       9       1       2       4       Spokane, WA       49       36       10       3        -2       2         Lincoln, NE       43       34       8        -1       1       1       Tacoma, WA       112       73       33       3       -       10         Minneapolis, MN       71       45       19       4       1       2       2       Tacoma, WA       112       73       33       3       -       10         Omaha, NE       87       57       19       6        5       5       5	Des Moines, IA	86	68	14	_	1	3	8	San Jose, CA	179	122	37	10	7	3	15
Kansas City, KS       21       13       5       2       1        2       Seattle, WA       107       74       25       3       3       2       5         Kansas City, MO       101       67       22       9       1       2       4       Spokane, WA       49       36       10       3        -2       2         Lincoln, NE       43       34       8         1       1       Tacoma, WA       112       73       33       3        2         Minneapolis, MN       71       45       19       4       1       2       2       7,044       2,292       648       253       187       675         St. Louis, MO       112       57       41       9       1       4       10       7,044       2,292       648       253       187       675         St. Paul, MN       58       48       7       1       2        6       <	Duluth, MN	14	14	_	_	_	_		Santa Cruz, CA	26	19	7	_	_	_	1
Kansas City, MO       101       67       22       9       1       2       4       Spokane, WA       49       36       10       3        2         Lincoln, NE       43       34       8         1       1       Tacoma, WA       112       73       33       3       3        2         Minneapolis, MN       71       45       19       4       1       2       2       Tacoma, WA       112       73       33       3       3        10         Minneapolis, MN       71       45       19       4       1       2       2       Total <sup>¶</sup> 10,425       7,044       2,292       648       253       187       675         St. Louis, MO       112       57       41       9       1       4       10       58       48       7       1       2        6       6       6        5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       5       4       7       1       2        6       6        5       5       5       5       5	Kansas City, KS	21	13	5	2	1	_	2	Seattle, WA	107	74	25	3	3	2	5
Lincoln, NE       43       34       8        -1       1       Tacoma, WA       112       73       33       3        10         Minneapolis, MN       71       45       19       4       1       2       2       73       33       3        10         Minneapolis, MN       71       45       19       4       1       2       2       Total <sup>¶</sup> 10,425       7,044       2,292       648       253       187       675         St. Paul, MN       58       48       7       1       2        6	Kansas City, MO	101	67	22	9	1	2	4	Spokane, WA	49	36	10	3	_	_	2
Minneapolis, MN       71       45       19       4       1       2       2         Omaha, NE       87       57       19       6        5       5         St. Louis, MO       112       57       41       9       1       4       10         St. Paul, MN       58       48       7       1       2        6         Wirkbits       57       17       2        6	Lincoln, NE	43	34	8	_	_	1	1	Tacoma, WA	112	73	33	3	3	_	10
Omaha, NE     87     57     19     6     —     5     5       St. Louis, MO     112     57     41     9     1     4     10       St. Paul, MN     58     48     7     1     2     —     6       Wichita KS     78     57     17     2     —     2	Minneapolis, MN	71	45	19	4	1	2	2	Total <sup>¶</sup>	10,425	7,044	2,292	648	253	187	675
St. Louis, MO     112     57     41     9     1     4     10       St. Paul, MN     58     48     7     1     2     —     6       Wichtig KS     78     57     17     2     —     2     6	Omaha, NE	87	57	19	6		5	5								-
St. raul, MIN SX 4X / I 2 — D Wichita KS 78 57 17 2 — 2 6	St. Louis, MO	112	57	41	9	1	4	10								
	St. Paul, MIN Wichita KS	58 79	48	17	ן כ	2		o 6								

U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. \* Mortality data in this table are voluntarily reported from 122 cities in the United States, most of which have populations of >100,000. A death is reported by the place of its occurrence and by the week that the death certificate was filed. Fetal deaths are not included.

<sup>†</sup> Pneumonia and influenza.

<sup>9</sup> Because of changes in reporting methods in this Pennsylvania city, these numbers are partial counts for the current week. Complete counts will be available in 4 to 6 weeks.
<sup>9</sup> Total includes unknown ages.

The *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR)* Series is prepared by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and is available free of charge in electronic format. To receive an electronic copy each week, visit MMWR's free subscription page at *http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/mmwrsubscribe. html.* Paper copy subscriptions are available through the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402; telephone 202-512-1800.

Data presented by the Notifiable Disease Data Team and 122 Cities Mortality Data Team in the weekly *MMWR* are provisional, based on weekly reports to CDC by state health departments. Address all inquiries about the *MMWR* Series, including material to be considered for publication, to Editor, *MMWR* Series, Mailstop E-90, CDC, 1600 Clifton Rd., N.E., Atlanta, GA 30333 or to *mmwrq@cdc.gov.* 

All material in the MMWR Series is in the public domain and may be used and reprinted without permission; citation as to source, however, is appreciated.

Use of trade names and commercial sources is for identification only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

References to non-CDC sites on the Internet are provided as a service to *MMWR* readers and do not constitute or imply endorsement of these organizations or their programs by CDC or the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. CDC is not responsible for the content of these sites. URL addresses listed in *MMWR* were current as of the date of publication.

U.S. Government Printing Office: 2012-523-043/21087 Region IV ISSN: 0149-2195