

Weekly

November 3, 2006 / Vol. 55 / No. 43

National Diabetes Awareness Month — November 2006

In 2005, an estimated 20.8 million persons in the United States (approximately 7% of the population) had diabetes; however, only 14.6 million of these persons had received a diagnosis for their disease (1). According to current projections, by 2050, approximately 48 million persons in the United States will have diabetes diagnosed, nearly 9 million more persons than previously estimated for 2050 (2). In 2002, approximately 54 million adults in the United States had prediabetes (i.e., blood glucose levels higher than normal but not high enough to be classified as diabetes) (1). Obesity is a major factor, although not the sole factor, in the increased rate of newly diagnosed cases of diabetes. Lifestyle changes such as moderate weight loss and exercise can prevent or delay onset of type 2 diabetes among adults at high risk (3). Information on how to prevent and control diabetes is available at http://www.ndep.nih.gov/diabetes/diabetes.htm and http://www.cdc.gov/diabetes/ndep/index.htm.

November is National Diabetes Awareness Month. Throughout the month, *MMWR* will publish reports on diabetes and its complications in specific populations. This week's issue describes the first nationally representative study to estimate the proportion of U.S. adults with diabetes who have correctable visual impairments.

References

- 1. CDC. National diabetes fact sheet: general information and national estimates on diabetes in the United States, 2005. Atlanta, GA: US Department of Health and Human Services, CDC; 2005. Available at http://www.cdc.gov/diabetes/pubs/factsheet05.htm.
- 2. Narayan KM, Boyle JP, Geiss LS, Saaddine JB, Thompson TJ. Impact of recent increase in incidence on future diabetes burden: United States, 2005–2050. Diabetes Care 2006;29:2114–6.
- 3. Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group. Diet and exercise dramatically delay type 2 diabetes. Reduction in the incidence of type 2 diabetes with lifestyle intervention or metformin. N Engl J Med 2002;346:393–403.

Correctable Visual Impairment Among Persons with Diabetes — United States, 1999–2004

Persons with diabetes are more likely to be visually impaired than persons without the disease (1). In 2005, CDC estimated that 14.6 million persons in the United States had diagnosed diabetes and an additional 6.2 million had undiagnosed diabetes (2). Despite the importance of detecting and treating vision problems caused by refractive errors (i.e., correctable visual impairment [CVI]), a limited number of studies have attempted to determine the proportion of persons with diabetes whose poor vision could be corrected with accurately prescribed glasses or contact lenses. To estimate that proportion, CDC analyzed 1999-2004 data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES). This report describes the results of that analysis, which indicated that among U.S. adults aged ≥ 20 years with diabetes,* 11.0% had visual impairment (i.e., presenting visual acuity worse than 20/40 in their better-seeing eye while wearing glasses or contact lenses, if applicable) and approximately 65.5% of these cases of visual impairment were correctable. Health-care providers and persons with diabetes should be more aware that poor vision often is correctable and that visual corrections can reduce the risk for injury and improve the quality of life for persons with diabetes.

* Excludes persons with diabetes who were completely blind, unable to see in both eyes, or with a severe infection in one or both eyes.

INSIDE

- 1172 Nutritional and Health Status of Children During a Food Crisis — Niger, September 17–October 14, 2005
- 1176 Notices to Readers
- 1178 QuickStats

The *MMWR* series of publications is published by the Coordinating Center for Health Information and Service, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Atlanta, GA 30333.

Suggested Citation: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. [Article title]. MMWR 2006;55:[inclusive page numbers].

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Julie L. Gerberding, MD, MPH Director Tanja Popovic, MD, PhD (Acting) Chief Science Officer

James W. Stephens, PhD (*Acting*) Associate Director for Science Steven L. Solomon, MD

Director, Coordinating Center for Health Information and Service Jay M. Bernhardt, PhD, MPH Director, National Center for Health Marketing Judith R. Aguilar

(Acting) Director, Division of Health Information Dissemination (Proposed)

Editorial and Production Staff

Eric E. Mast, MD, MPH (Acting) Editor, MMWR Series Suzanne M. Hewitt, MPA

Managing Editor, MMWR Series Douglas W. Weatherwax (Acting) Lead Technical Writer-Editor

> Catherine H. Bricker, MS Jude C. Rutledge Writers-Editors

Beverly J. Holland Lead Visual Information Specialist Lynda G. Cupell Malbea A. LaPete Visual Information Specialists

Quang M. Doan, MBA Erica R. Shaver Information Technology Specialists

Editorial Board

William L. Roper, MD, MPH, Chapel Hill, NC, Chairman Virginia A. Caine, MD, Indianapolis, IN David W. Fleming, MD, Seattle, WA William E. Halperin, MD, DrPH, MPH, Newark, NJ Margaret A. Hamburg, MD, Washington, DC King K. Holmes, MD, PhD, Seattle, WA Deborah Holtzman, PhD, Atlanta, GA John K. Iglehart, Bethesda, MD Dennis G. Maki, MD, Madison, WI Sue Mallonee, MPH, Oklahoma City, OK Stanley A. Plotkin, MD, Doylestown, PA Patricia Quinlisk, MD, MPH, Des Moines, IA Patrick L. Remington, MD, MPH, Madison, WI Barbara K. Rimer, DrPH, Chapel Hill, NC John V. Rullan, MD, MPH, San Juan, PR Anne Schuchat, MD, Atlanta, GA Dixie E. Snider, MD, MPH, Atlanta, GA John W. Ward, MD, Atlanta, GA

NHANES is an ongoing series of cross-sectional surveys on health and nutrition designed to be nationally representative of the noninstitutionalized, U.S. civilian population by using a complex, multistage probability design. All NHANES surveys include a household interview followed by a detailed physical examination. For the 1999-2000, 2001-2002, and 2003-2004 surveys, participants also were asked questions regarding vision function, and the physical examination included a vision examination in which visual acuity was measured before and after an objective autorefraction test (optical correction measured by an autorefractor). In this study, visual acuity before correction was defined as distance visual acuity with whatever form of current correction (e.g., glasses or contact lenses) the participant might have worn at the time of examination. Visual acuity after correction was defined as potential visual acuity as assessed by an objective autorefraction test. Only those participants whose visual acuity before correction was worse than 20/30 were administered the autorefraction test. Diabetes was defined as a self-reported previous diagnosis of the disease. In the NHANES surveys conducted during 1999–2004, the combined household interview response rate was approximately 82%, and the medical examination response rate was 77%. Of 15,332 adults aged ≥20 years, 22 were excluded because of lack of diabetes information or because their diabetes was diagnosed only during pregnancy. Another 2,306 adults for whom visual acuity before correction values were missing were excluded from the study.

For this analysis, 1,237 adults aged ≥ 20 years with selfreported diabetes were divided into three groups according to their visual acuity in the better-seeing eye (before and after optical correction): 1) normal: visual acuity of 20/40 or better; 2) mild impairment: visual acuity better than 20/200 and worse than 20/40; and 3) severe impairment: visual acuity of 20/200 or worse. The prevalence of CVI was defined as the proportion of adults with mild or severe impairment before correction who were found to have the potential for normal visual acuity after correction. All analyses were weighted to make estimates representative of the U.S. civilian, noninstitutionalized population. Results also were analyzed by age group (20–64 years compared with ≥ 65 years), sex, and race/ethnicity (non-Hispanic white, non-Hispanic black, Mexican American, and other).

Overall, the prevalence of CVI among U.S. adults aged >20 years with diabetes was 7.2%, which indicated that the proper prescription for glasses or contact lenses would have restored normal visual acuity to 65.5% of visually impaired adults with diabetes (Table). The results indicated that 9.7% (95% CI [confidence interval] = 7.9%–11.8%) of U.S. adults with diabetes had mild visual impairment, and 1.4% (CI =

No. in	VI befo	ore correction*	VI after	correction [†]	Corr	ectable VI§	Proporti	on correctable
sample	%	(95% CI) ¹	%	(95% CI)	%	(95% CI)	%	(95% CI)
1,237	11.0	(9.3–13.1)	3.8	(2.9–5.1)	7.2	(5.5–9.4)	65.5	(54.7–74.9)
635	8.0	(6.0–10.7)	0.9**	(0.4–1.9)	7.2	(5.1–10.1)	89.2	(76.1–95.5)
602	15.8	(12.6–19.6)	8.5	(6.4–11.1)	7.3	(4.9–10.9)	46.4	(34.0–59.3)
617	9.2	(5.6–12.7)	1.9	(1.0-2.8)	7.3	(3.1–11.4)	84.1	(70.3–97.9)
620	9.7	(6.9–12.5)	2.5	(1.5–3.5)	7.2	(4.4–10.0)	79.5	(70.3-88.6)
493	6.7	(3.6-9.9)	1.2	(0.8–1.6)	5.6	(2.3-8.8)	90.9	(87.8–93.9)
306	11.5	(7.2–15.8)	3.6	(1.5–5.6)	7.9	(4.0–11.8)	74.7	(59.2–90.1)
340	11.9	(8.5–15.3)	3.9	(1.7–6.0)	8.1	(4.9–11.2)	72.0	(52.9–91.1)
	No. in sample 1,237 635 602 617 620 493 306 340	No. in sample VI befo % 1,237 11.0 635 8.0 602 15.8 617 9.2 620 9.7 493 6.7 306 11.5 340 11.9	No. in sampleVI before correction* $\%$ (95% Cl)11,23711.0 (9.3–13.1)6358.0 (6.0–10.7) 15.8 (12.6–19.6)6179.2 (5.6–12.7) 9.7 (6.9–12.5)4936.7 (3.6–9.9) 30634011.9 (8.5–15.3)	No. in sampleVI before correction* $\%$ (95% CI)1VI after %1,23711.0(9.3–13.1)3.86358.0(6.0–10.7) 15.80.9**60215.8(12.6–19.6)8.56179.2(5.6–12.7) 9.71.96209.7(6.9–12.5)2.54936.7(3.6–9.9) 11.51.230611.5(7.2–15.8)3.634011.9(8.5–15.3)3.9	No. in sampleVI before correction* $\%$ (95% CI)1VI after correctiont $\%$ (95% CI)1,23711.0(9.3–13.1)3.8(2.9–5.1)6358.0(6.0–10.7) 15.8 0.9^{**} (0.4–1.9) 8.5(6.4–11.1)6179.2(5.6–12.7) 9.71.9(1.0–2.8) 2.54936.7(3.6–9.9) 11.51.2(0.8–1.6) 3.630611.5(7.2–15.8) 11.93.6(1.5–5.6) 3.934011.9(8.5–15.3)3.9(1.7–6.0)	No. in sampleVI before correction* $\%$ (95% Cl)"VI after correction† $\%$ (95% Cl)Corr $\%$ 1,23711.0 (9.3-13.1)3.8 (2.9-5.1)7.26358.0 (6.0-10.7) 15.8 (12.6-19.6) 0.9^{**} (0.4-1.9) 8.5 (6.4-11.1)7.26179.2 (5.6-12.7) 9.7 (6.9-12.5)1.9 (1.0-2.8) 2.5 (1.5-3.5)7.36209.7 (6.9-12.5)2.5 (1.5-3.5)7.24936.7 (3.6-9.9) 11.5 (7.2-15.8)1.2 (0.8-1.6) 3.6 (1.5-5.6)5.630611.5 (7.2-15.8) 11.9 (8.5-15.3)3.9 (1.7-6.0)8.1	No. in sampleVI before correction* $\%$ (95% Cl)"VI after correction* $\%$ (95% Cl)Correctable VI§ $\%$ (95% Cl)1,23711.0 (9.3-13.1)3.8 (2.9-5.1)7.2 (5.5-9.4)6358.0 (6.0-10.7) 15.8 (12.6-19.6) 0.9^{**} (0.4-1.9) 8.5 (6.4-11.1)7.2 (5.1-10.1) 7.3 (4.9-10.9)6179.2 (5.6-12.7) 9.7 (6.9-12.5)1.9 (1.0-2.8) 2.5 (1.5-3.5)7.3 (3.1-11.4) 7.2 (4.4-10.0)4936.7 (3.6-9.9) 11.5 (7.2-15.8)1.2 (0.8-1.6) 3.6 (1.5-5.6)5.6 (2.3-8.8) 7.9 (4.0-11.8) 3.9 (1.7-6.0)	No. in sampleVI before correction* $\%$ (95% CI)"VI after correction* $\%$ (95% CI)Correctable VI\$ $\%$ (95% CI)Proporti $\%$ 1,23711.0 (9.3-13.1)3.8 (2.9-5.1)7.2 (5.5-9.4)65.56358.0 (6.0-10.7) 15.8 (12.6-19.6) 0.9^{**} (0.4-1.9) 8.5 (6.4-11.1)7.2 (5.1-10.1) 7.3 (4.9-10.9)89.2 46.46179.2 (5.6-12.7) 9.7 (6.9-12.5)1.9 (1.0-2.8) 2.5 (1.5-3.5)7.3 (3.1-11.4) 7.2 (4.4-10.0)84.1 79.54936.7 (3.6-9.9) 1.5 (7.2-15.8)1.2 (0.8-1.6) 3.6 (1.5-5.6)5.6 (2.3-8.8) 7.9 (4.0-11.8)90.9 74.7 72.049311.9 (8.5-15.3)3.9 (1.7-6.0)8.1 (4.9-11.2)72.0

TABLE. Prevalence of correctable visual impairment (VI) among adults aged ≥20 years with diabetes, by selected characteristics — United States, 1999–2004

* Visual impairment before correction was defined as having visual acuity worse than 20/40 in the better-seeing eye before objective refraction. Partici-

pants who were completely blind, unable to see in both eyes, or with a severe infection in one or both eyes were excluded.

[†] Defined as visual acuity worse than 20/40 in the better-seeing eye after objective refraction.

[§] Defined as visual acuity worse than 20/40 in the better-seeing eye before correction (objective refraction) that could be improved to 20/40 or better after correction.

[¶] Confidence interval.

** Relative standard error is >30%. This estimate is considered statistically unreliable and should be interpreted with caution.

^{††} Age-adjusted to the 2000 standard U.S. population.

§§ Data were not separately presented for persons of other racial/ethnic groups but were included in estimates that are not stratified by race/ethnicity.

1.0%–1.9%) had severe visual impairment before correction; 2.9% (CI = 2.1%–3.9%) had mild impairment, and 1.0% (CI = 0.6%–1.5%) had severe impairment after correction. Approximately 0.3% of adults with diabetes who had severe visual impairment before correction had only mild visual impairment after correction. Thus, optical correction would have restored normal visual acuity to approximately 73.4% of adults with mild impairment and 9.1% of adults with severe impairment.

Although the crude prevalence of CVI among adults aged \geq 65 years with diabetes (7.3%) was similar to that among those aged 20–64 years (7.2%), 89.2% of visual impairment cases among the younger age group were correctable, compared with 46.4% of cases among the older age group. The age-adjusted prevalence of CVI was similar among men (7.3%) and women (7.2%). Although not statistically significant, the age-adjusted prevalence of CVI was higher among non-Hispanic blacks (7.9%) and Mexican Americans (8.1%) than among non-Hispanic whites (5.6%).

Reported by: X Zhang, MD, PhD, EW Gregg, PhD, YJ Cheng, MD, PhD, T Thompson, MS, LS Geiss, MS, MR Duenas, OD, JB Saaddine, MD, MPH, Div of Diabetes Translation, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, CDC.

Editorial Note: This report describes the first nationally representative study to estimate the proportion of U.S. adults with diabetes who have visual impairment that can be corrected. The findings indicate that nearly two-thirds of adults with diabetes who have visual impairment can correct their vision with an accurate corrective prescription for glasses or contact lenses. This finding underscores the importance of

public awareness and public health intervention in reducing the prevalence of CVI, especially among persons with diabetes. However, although simple eye examinations and the provision of prescription glasses or contact lenses can correct or improve most cases of visual impairment caused by refractive errors, persons with diabetes also can have ocular complications such as diabetic retinopathy, which is the leading cause of legal blindness in the United States. Persons with diabetes are recommended to have yearly dilated eye examinations or fundus photography to ensure early detection and timely treatment of the ocular complications of diabetes.

CVI has been documented in several population-based studies (3-6) and has been determined to be related to reduced quality of life and increased mortality (7-9). One study reported that approximately 50% of participants had improved vision after refractive correction (6). Another study found that uncorrected refractive error accounted for nearly 73% of the cases of impaired visual acuity among Mexican Americans aged \geq 40 years (5), and similar findings were reported among residents in the United Kingdom (7) and Australia (10). Moreover, on the basis of NHANES data from 1999-2002, the National Eye Institute reported the first nationally representative estimates of the prevalence of CVI in the general population (5.3%) and emphasized the importance of correcting visual impairments caused by refractive error as a means of improving safety (e.g., by reducing the risk for unintentional injuries, particularly falls) and quality of life for those affected by such impairments (1).

The findings in this report are subject to at least five limitations. First, because institutionalized persons (e.g., nursing home residents) are excluded from NHANES participation, the overall prevalence of visual impairment among U.S. adults with diabetes likely was underestimated. Second, the exclusion of potential study participants who were completely blind, were unable to see in both eves, or had a severe infection in one or both eyes might have resulted in lower prevalence estimates of visual impairment. Third, because this study measured only objective refraction and performed no subjective refinement of objective refraction measurements, estimates of visual acuity after correction might not reflect the best corrected vision that participants might attain, resulting in an underestimate of CVI prevalence. Fourth, although visual acuity of survey participants was measured with whatever glasses or contact lenses they wore at the time of examination, certain participants might not have had their current corrective devices at that time, a factor that might have led to an overestimate of CVI prevalence. Finally, certain estimates had a relative standard error of >30% and thus are considered statistically unreliable.

CDC collaborates with the National Eye Institute through the National Eye Health Education Program to increase public and professional awareness of the importance of routine eye examinations. CDC also provides resources and technical assistance to states and nonprofit organizations (e.g., Prevent Blindness America) to help them increase their surveillance of vision loss and eye diseases, increase public awareness of how to prevent vision loss, and generally promote eye health to reduce the public burden of visual impairment.

The findings of this study underscore a continued need for national visual acuity data from representative U.S. population surveys. These data are essential to the planning, implementation, and evaluation of public health practices designed to reduce the burden of visual impairment among persons with diabetes in the United States.

The high prevalence of CVI among persons with diabetes indicates a need for enhanced vision-related public health interventions (e.g., vision screening) among adults with diabetes. The findings of this study also suggest that the use of visual acuity and refractive error assessments in concert with recommended dilated eye examinations might further contribute to improved vision outcomes for adults with diabetes. Identifying and pursuing ways of increasing access to eye care and ensuring that those with CVI receive appropriate vision correction will help reduce the morbidity and mortality among persons with diabetes associated with impaired vision and help persons achieve optimal vision and eye health.

Acknowledgments

This report is based, in part, on contributions by KM Venkat Narayan, MD, and J Wang, MD, Div of Diabetes Translation, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, CDC.

References

- 1. Vitale S, Cotch MF, Sperduto RD. Prevalence of visual impairment in the United States. JAMA 2006;295:2158–63.
- CDC. National diabetes fact sheet: general information and national estimates on diabetes in the United States, 2005. Atlanta, GA: US Department of Health and Human Services, CDC; 2005. Available at http://www.cdc.gov/diabetes/pubs/pdf/ndfs_2005.pdf.
- 3. Attebo K, Ivers RQ, Mitchell P. Refractive errors in an older population: the Blue Mountains eye study. Ophthalmology 1999;106:1066–72.
- Klein R, Kein BE, Linton KL, DeMets DL. The Beaver Dam eye study: visual acuity. Ophthalmology 1991;98:1310–5.
- 5. Munoz B, West SK, Rodriguez J, et al. Blindness, visual impairment, and the problem of uncorrected refractive error in a Mexican-American population: Proyecto VER. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2002;43:608–14.
- Tielsch JM, Sommer A, Witt K, Katz J, Royall RM. Blindness and visual impairment in an American urban population. The Baltimore Eye Survey. Arch Ophthalmol 1990;108:286–90.
- 7. Evans BJ, Rowlands G. Correctable visual impairment in older people: a major unmet need. Ophthalmic Physiol Opt 2004;24:161–80.
- Vu HT, Keeffe JE, McCarty CA, Taylor HR. Impact of unilateral and bilateral vision loss on quality of life. Br J Ophthalmol 2005;89:360–3.
- 9. Wang JJ, Mitchell P, Simpson JM, Cumming RG, Smith W. Visual impairment, age-related cataract, and mortality. Arch Ophthalmol 2001;119:1186–90.
- Foran S, Rose K, Wang JJ, Mitchell P. Correctable visual impairment in an older population: the Blue Mountains eye study. Am J Ophthalmol 2002;134:712–9.

Nutritional and Health Status of Children During a Food Crisis — Niger, September 17–October 14, 2005

Media attention in 2005 brought worldwide awareness to a food and nutrition crisis in the West Africa country of Niger (population 11.5 million in 2002). The United Nations World Food Programme estimated that 2.5 million persons living in farming and grazing areas in Niger were vulnerable to food insecurity (i.e., not having access at all times to enough food for an active, healthy lifestyle) (1). Local surveys conducted in the Maradi and Tahoua administrative regions during April 2005 suggested critical levels (i.e., >15%) of global acute malnutrition (GAM) and greater mortality among Niger's estimated 2.7 million children aged <5 years than the emergency threshold (i.e., more than two deaths per 10,000 children per day) (2). To help ensure a proportionate and timely response, the Government of Niger and the United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF) collaborated with CDC to conduct an emergency survey that assessed the magnitude of malnutrition and recent illness among young children in Niger. This report summarizes the results of that survey, which determined that, among children aged 6–59 months, 15.3% had GAM; during the preceding 2 weeks, 72.0% had fever, and 49.1% had diarrhea. Among children aged 9–59 months, 33.7% had not been vaccinated for measles. Health officials in Niger took immediate action to improve availability of food, increase accessibility to medical treatment (for fever, diarrhea, and respiratory illness), and administer measles vaccinations along with vitamin A supplements to children who had not been vaccinated.

The survey used a two-stage sampling methodology in each of Niger's eight administrative regions (i.e., consisting of seven departments [Agadez, Diffa, Dosso, Maradi, Tahoua, Tillaberi, and Zinder] and the capital district of Niamey). A statistically valid sample size was calculated using data from nutrition surveys conducted previously in Niger (3). Data from the Niger 2001 census were used as the population sampling frame; these data excluded the country's nomadic population (estimated at 5% of the overall population) (4). In the first stage of sampling, 26 clusters (i.e., villages) were selected for each of the eight regions using probability proportional to population size, yielding a total of 208 clusters nationally. In the second stage, a systematic random sampling method was used to select 20 households per cluster; however, the number of children in the sample for each region varied depending upon the response rate from the 4,160 households and the number of children in each household. A household was defined as a group of persons who usually lived together in the same housing unit, ate food prepared in the same cooking pot, and agreed that the same person was head of the household (3).

A standardized nutrition questionnaire (3) used for the survey was adapted to reflect cultural concerns and was translated and back-translated into French, Djerma, and Hausa. After granting informed consent, the mother or caretaker of children aged 6–59 months in each household responded to questions regarding illnesses (i.e., diarrhea, cough with difficulty breathing, or fever) during the preceding 2 weeks among the children. Children aged 9–59 months were checked for evidence of measles vaccination (with or without a vaccination card), and mothers were asked whether their children aged 6–59 months had received vitamin A supplementation.

To determine the prevalence of malnutrition, all eligible children aged 6–59 months in each household were weighed, measured, and assessed for bilateral pedal edema. Height or recumbent length was measured to the nearest 1 mm using a standard height board; weight was measured using an electronic digital scale to the nearest 100 g. GAM was defined as a weight-for-height z-score <-2.0 standard deviations from the median of the CDC/World Health Organization reference population (5), or edema. Severe acute malnutrition (SAM) was defined as a weight-for-height z-score <-3.0 standard deviations from the median of the reference population, or edema. Total chronic malnutrition (i.e., stunted growth) was defined as a height-for-age z-score <-2.0 standard deviations from the median of the reference population, and severe chronic malnutrition was defined as a height-for-age z-score <-3.0 standard deviations from the median of the reference population (6). The nutrition analyses excluded children whose age, weight, or height were not recorded or whose z-scores were identified as extreme values. Statistical software was used to take into account the complex sample design and unequal probabilities of selection.

Information was collected from 4,003 of 4,160 households, for an overall response rate of 95.6%. Overall, health information was gathered on 5,309 children aged \leq 59 months. Anthropometry measurements were valid for 4,501 of 4,714 children aged 6–59 months. Forty-three percent of these children were aged 6–35 months, and 57% were aged 36–59 months; 51% were male. Among these children, the prevalences of GAM as defined by their weight-for-height zscores exceeded those of the reference population by approximately sevenfold (Figure).

The prevalence of GAM ranged from 9.0% in Niamey to 17.9% in Tahoua. The regions with the highest levels of SAM were Maradi and Tillaberi (2.3% and 2.0%, respectively) (Table 1). The prevalence of GAM among children aged 6–35 months (22.4%) was approximately four times greater (relative risk = 3.7; 95% confidence interval [CI] = 3.0-4.6) than among children aged 36–59 months (6.1%). In addition, 70% of the children aged 6–35 months who had GAM also had chronic malnutrition. Overall in Niger, 50.0% of children aged 6–59 months had chronic malnutrition. The prevalence of chronic malnutrition was greater among children aged 6–35 months (54.9%) than among children aged 36–59 months (43.5%) (Table 1).

The national estimate for children aged 6–59 months with a history of fever during the 2 weeks preceding the survey was 72.0% (Table 2). The cumulative incidence of diarrhea during the preceding 2 weeks ranged from 22.9% in Niamey to 59.8% in Maradi. The national cumulative incidence of cough with difficulty breathing (i.e., symptoms suggestive of a respiratory infection) during the preceding 2 weeks was 39.0%. Overall, measles vaccination coverage among children aged 9–59 months was 66.3%, ranging from 58.1% in Zinder to 87.4% in Niamey (Table 2). Vitamin A supplement distribu-





* International CDC/World Health Organization reference population. ⁺Global acute malnutrition, defined as weight-for-height z-scores <-2.0

standard deviations from the reference median.
Severe acute malnutrition, defined as weight-for-height z-scores <-3.0 standard deviations from the reference median.</p>

tion among children aged 6–59 months was 73.7% (CI = 70.9–76.4), ranging from 48.9% (CI = 40.6–57.2) in Diffa to 89.3% in Tillaberi (CI = 82.2–93.8)

Reported by: V Aguayo, PhD, UNICEF Regional Office for West and Central Africa, Dakar, Senegal. N Zagre, PhD, UNICEF Niger, Niamey; K Koumbe, MD, Niger Ministry of Health. B Tomczyk, DrPH, C Blanton, MS, Div of Emergency and Environmental Health Svcs, National Center for Environmental Health; A Reza, MD, EIS Officer, CDC.

Editorial Note: Niger is one of the poorest countries in the world and is known for recurring droughts resulting in food production deficits that place the country at risk for famine. The United Nations Agencies and Programmes and the U.S. Agency for International Development closely monitor food security concerns such as meteorologic, crop, and grazing land conditions to provide early warnings on an ongoing basis. Emergency nutrition surveys can provide critical information regarding children aged <5 years, the population most sensitive to acute nutritional stress; the results of these assessments serve as indicators for the nutritional status of the whole population. Together, data on food insecurity and nutritional status provide an overall assessment of the scale of the crisis and required response (7).

The findings from the emergency survey described in this report indicate that Niger had an acute nutrition crisis during September–October 2005 that affected children in all eight administrative regions to varying degrees. Gathering regional data on malnutrition enabled officials to gauge the breadth of the problem to determine how to target their response. Children with acute malnutrition are more susceptible to disease and have greater risk for dying when they become ill (7); chronic malnutrition can affect cognitive and social development. In four regions (Diffa, Maradi, Tahoua, and Zinder) the situation was critical (prevalence of GAM >15%), requiring immediate humanitarian action to prevent an increase in child morbidity and mortality. The four regions where the situation was defined as critical represent 60% of the total population in Niger. In addition, chronic malnutrition was pervasive in all regions, affecting 50% of children aged 6–59 months overall. The high prevalence of chronic malnutrition suggests a longstanding problem of poor nutrition and health among children.

The findings from this survey also estimated prevalences of recent childhood illnesses. Prevalences of fever and diarrhea were high among children in regions with critical and serious levels of GAM. All regions indicated measles vaccination rates below the 90%-100% level needed to prevent an outbreak (8), even though a measles campaign had reported coverage of 90% among children aged 6 months-14 years, 8 months before the survey (9). This discrepancy might be the result of recall bias, inaccurate estimates of measles coverage, or both. Measles vaccination and use of vitamin A supplements, bed nets, antimalarial drugs, and oral rehydration salts are some of the methods used to prevent and decrease the incidence of childhood illnesses, but access to and availability of these resources are limited in Niger (9). These health resources should be included when planning solutions to decrease acute malnutrition among children.

The findings in this report are subject to at least three limitations. First, the actual GAM level might have been higher than estimated, because the data collection coincided with the harvest, when food was more abundant. Second, food distributed by relief programs might have improved the nutritional status of some children and obscured the extent of the food crisis. Finally, estimates of recent illness came from reports made by the mothers or caretakers of children and were not confirmed by medical records.

As a result of the survey findings, health officials in Niger took immediate action to 1) restore the general food supply by distributing food commodities in all regions with GAM >15% (i.e., Diffa, Maradi, Tahoua, and Zinder); 2) implement supplementary feeding programs for all children in those same four regions until improvement occurred in general food availability and accessibility; 3) improve availability and accessibility of oral rehydration salts for treatment of diarrhea; and 4) vaccinate all children aged 9 months–15 years for measles to maintain coverage greater than 90% and distribute vitamin A supplements to them. Further analyses of the direct and indirect causes of malnutrition are needed to

- · · /			Acute malnutri	tion				Chronic malnut	rition	
Age group	Sample		GAM*		SAM [†]	Sample		Total [§]		Severe ¹
(mos)	no.	%	(95% CI)**	%	(95% CI)	no.	%	(95% CI)	%	(95% CI)
Agadez										
6–59	509	11.8	(9.2–15.0)	1.2	(0.5-2.9)	508	35.3	(31.2–39.5)	12.2	(9.9–15.0)
6–35	274	15.5	(12.1–19.6)	1.6	(0.6–4.1)	273	38.0	(32.3–44.1)	11.2	(7.8–16.0)
36–59	235	7.5	(4.4–12.3)	0.8	(0.1–5.5)	235	32.0	(25.9–38.9)	13.3	(10.1–17.5)
Diffa										
6-59	429	16.0	(13.2–19.3)	0.9	(0.3 - 2.9)	429	41.2	(35.1–47.5)	16.6	(12.9-21.1)
6-35	250	19.0	(14.9 - 23.9)	1.2	(0.4 - 3.5)	250	43.2	(36, 4 - 50, 3)	15.3	(11.4 - 20.2)
36–59	179	11.7	(7.5–17.8)	0.5	(0.1–3.9)	179	38.3	(29.6–47.8)	18.5	(12.8–26.0)
Dosso										
6-59	655	13.7	(10.4–17.8)	1.8	(0.9-3.5)	654	48.3	(42.5-54.2)	21.3	(16.9–26.4)
6-35	345	21.2	(16.2 - 27.3)	3.3	(1.7-6.4)	345	53.3	(47, 1–59, 5)	22.4	(17.0 - 29.0)
36–59	310	5.2	(3.2–8.4)		0	309	42.6	(35.7–49.8)	19.9	(15.6–25.1)
Maradi										
6–59	699	16.0	(12.5 - 20.2)	2.3	(1.5 - 3.6)	690	60.1	(54.8-65.2)	32.8	(28.8-37.0)
6-35	386	22.5	(177 - 282)	37	(24-58)	383	64.3	(59.4 - 69.0)	34.4	(29.1 - 40.1)
36–59	313	7.8	(4.9–12.3)	0.5	(0.1–2.1)	307	54.8	(47.0–62.3)	30.7	(25.3–36.7)
Tahoua										
6-59	578	17.9	(14.3 - 22.1)	1.8	(1.0 - 3.1)	581	46.6	(41.7-51.5)	22.3	(18.6 - 26.5)
6-35	319	26.8	(21.3 - 33.3)	2.8	(1.5-5.1)	323	52.4	(45 1-59 6)	24.4	(18.9 - 31.0)
36–59	259	6.7	(3.9–11.2)	0.4	(0.1–3.1)	258	39.1	(33.6–44.9)	19.6	(15.8–24.0)
Tillaberi					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			,		,
6-59	679	14 0	(11 0–17 7)	20	(1 1-3 8)	678	44 0	(38.6 - 49.5)	16.8	(13.1 - 21.2)
6-35	378	22.1	(17.2 - 27.8)	3.6	$(1.1 \ 0.0)$ (1.9 - 6.7)	378	45.4	(38.9 - 52.1)	15.7	(11 1 - 21 7)
36–59	301	3.8	(2.0–6.8)	0.0	0	300	42.1	(36.4–47.9)	18.1	(14.3–22.7)
Zinder			()					(,
6-59	555	16.1	(12 9-19 9)	12	(0.6-2.6)	552	59 1	(55.3 - 62.7)	30.7	(25.2-36.8)
6-35	337	22.7	(18.2 - 28.0)	20	(1.0 - 4.2)	335	68.0	(62.2 - 73.3)	38.4	(31.3 - 46.3)
36–59	218	5.8	(3.5–9.4)	2.0	0	217	45.4	(38.7–52.2)	18.7	(13.6–25.3)
Niamev			, ,					,		,
6-59	397	9.0	(6.8–11.6)	1.8	(0.9 - 3.7)	402	18.1	(13.6 - 23.7)	4.6	(2.8-7.6)
6-35	239	12.9	(9.6-17.3)	31	(1.5-6.1)	243	20.2	(14.8 - 27.1)	52	(2.7 - 9.9)
36–59	158	2.8	(1.2–6.5)	0.1	0	159	14.8	(9.8–21.8)	3.7	(1.6–8.2)
Total			. ,							. ,
6-59	4.501	15.3	(13.9–16.8)	1.8	(1.4–2.3)	4.494	50.0	(47.9-52.1)	23.9	(22.0-25.8)
6-35	2,528	22.4	(20.2-24.7)	3.0	(2.3-3.8)	2,530	54.9	(52.4–57.4)	26.2	(23.7-28.8)
36–59	1,973	6.1	(4.9–7.5)	0.2	(0.1–0.6)	1,964	43.5	(40.7–46.3)	20.8	(18.8–23.0)

TABLE 1. Prevalence of children aged 6–59 months with acute or chronic malnutrition, by administrative region and age group — Niger, September 17–October 14, 2005

* Global acute malnutrition, defined as weight-for-height z-score <-2.0 standard deviations from the reference, or edema.

[†] Severe acute malnutrition, defined as weight-for-height z-score <-3.0 standard deviations from the reference, or edema.

§ Defined as height-for-age z-score <-2.0 standard deviations from the reference.

[¶] Defined as height-for-age z-score <-3.0 standard deviations from the reference.

** Confidence interval.

TABLE 2. Recent history* of diarrhea, cough with difficulty breathing, or fever among children aged 6–59 months and evidence of measles vaccination[†] among children aged 9–59 months, by administrative region — Niger, September 17–October 14, 2005

		Diarr	hea	Cough wi	th diffi	culty breathing		Fev	er	Meas	les va	cination
	Sample			Sample			Sample			Sample		
Region	no.	%	(95% CI) [§]	no.	%	(95% CI)	no.	%	(95% CI)	no.	%	(95% CI)
Agadez	546	32.9	(25.8-40.7)	544	26.2	(20.8-32.3)	550	40.6	(34.3-47.4)	502	84.8	(76.4–90.6)
Diffa	429	41.2	(34.4-48.5)	432	40.8	(32.5–49.6)	432	57.6	(49.4–65.3)	412	79.6	(68.6-87.4)
Dosso	664	43.9	(38.0–50.0)	646	47.5	(41.6–53.4)	663	70.0	(63.9–75.5)	594	74.0	(66.4-80.4)
Maradi	707	59.8	(53.1–66.1)	703	36.0	(30.3–42.2)	713	76.5	(70.7–81.4)	650	58.8	(50.3-66.8)
Tahoua	595	50.8	(44.5–57.0)	592	42.6	(36.5–49.0)	596	77.6	(70.8–83.2)	546	58.8	(49.3–67.7)
Tillaberi	663	40.9	(36.0-46.1)	663	42.8	(37.1–48.6)	669	73.3	(68.0–78.1)	597	77.1	(69.3-83.3)
Zinder	566	55.9	(49.1–62.4)	567	34.6	(29.5-40.1)	568	75.7	(70.0-80.6)	515	58.1	(50.2-65.7)
Niamey	421	22.9	(19.1–27.2)	420	26.2	(21.4–31.6)	423	41.0	(35.9–46.3)	385	87.4	(82.1–91.2)
Total	4,591	49.1	(46.6–51.7)	4,567	39.0	(36.6–41.5)	4,614	72.0	(69.6–74.2)	4,201	66.3	(62.9–69.6)

^{*} During the 2 weeks preceding the survey.

With or without a vaccination card

§ Confidence interval.

target interventions that will improve the health and nutritional status of children in Niger.

References

- 1. United Nations World Food Programme. WFP's Niger appeal triples to help 2.5 million people facing extreme hunger. Rome, Italy: United Nations World Food Programme; 2005. Available at http://www.wfp. org/english/?moduleid=137&key=1355.
- Médecins Sans Frontières. Alarming results in Niger nutrition survey. Geneva, Switzerland: Médecins Sans Frontières; 2005. Available at http://www.msf.org/msfinternational/invoke.cfm?component=article &objectid=66259ba7-e018-0c72-092b4a99a8b7cc35&method= full_html.
- République du Niger et UNICEF. Enquête à indicateurs multiples 2000 (MICS2). Niamey, Niger: République du Niger et UNICEF; 2000.
- Niger Bureau Central du Recensement. Resultats definitifs: Repartition par sexe et par groupe d'ages de la population du Niger en 2001. Niamey, Niger: Niger Bureau Central du Recensement; 2004.
- 5. World Health Organization. Physical status: the use and interpretation of anthropometry. Report of a WHO expert committee. World Health Organ Tech Rep Ser 1995;854:1–452.
- Boelaert M, Davis A, Le Lin B, eds. Nutrition guidelines. Paris, France: Médecins Sans Frontières; 1995.
- World Health Organization. The management of nutrition in major emergencies. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2000.
- 8. World Health Organization. Fact sheet no. 286: measles. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2006. Available at http://www. who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs286/en.
- World Health Organization. Niger: communicable diseases risk assessment July 2005. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2005. Available at http://www.who.int/malaria/docs/Niger_CDRisk.pdf.

Notice to Readers

Revised Definition of Extensively Drug-Resistant Tuberculosis

In a report published on March 24, 2006, *MMWR* reported that CDC, in collaboration with the World Health Organization (WHO) and participating supranational reference laboratories, had agreed to define extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis (XDR TB) as cases of TB disease in persons whose *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* isolates were resistant to isoniazid and rifampin and at least three of the six main classes of second-line drugs (aminoglycosides, polypeptides, fluoroquinolones, thioamides, cycloserine, and para-aminosalicyclic acid) (1). Since that original publication, additional reports have documented the presence of XDR TB in Iran and South Africa with high mortality among persons infected with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) who are benefiting from antiretroviral therapy (2,3).

The emergence and transmission of these strains of *M. tuberculosis* highlight the urgency of strengthening national TB and HIV/acquired immunodeficiency syndrome control programs worldwide, particularly in settings with high HIV

prevalence. CDC is collaborating with national and international health agencies to provide leadership, technical support, and capacity building to ensure proper action is taken to limit the development and spread of XDR TB. An initial consultation was convened by the South Africa Medical Research Council in Johannesburg, South Africa, during September 6–7, 2006. A seven-point emergency action plan to combat XDR TB was issued by agencies represented at this meeting (additional information is available at http://www.mrc.ac.za/press releases/2006/8pres2006.htm). Subsequently, WHO organized the first meeting of the Global XDR TB Task Force, held in Geneva, Switzerland, during October 8-9, 2006. This meeting was called by WHO to develop a rapid response to the emerging problem of XDR TB. As a result of the meeting, participants agreed upon a revised case definition of XDR TB. According to laboratory professionals in attendance, drugsusceptibility testing to fluoroquinolones and second-line injectable drugs (i.e., amikacin [aminoglycoside], kanamycin [aminoglycoside], or capreomycin [polypeptide]) yields reproducible and reliable results, whereas drug-susceptibility testing to other second-line drugs is less reliable. Additionally, investigators have observed that resistance to these drugs (fluoroquinolones and second-line injectable drugs) has been associated with poor treatment outcomes. Accordingly, the new agreed-upon definition of XDR TB is the occurrence of TB in persons whose *M. tuberculosis* isolates are resistant to isoniazid and rifampin plus resistant to any fluoroquinolone and at least one of three injectable second-line drugs (i.e., amikacin, kanamycin, or capreomycin).

Health-care providers and local health departments in the United States should collect all second-line drugsusceptibility results obtained at diagnosis and during treatment of persons with TB disease and report these results to their local and state health department TB programs. Complete capture of these results will allow health departments and CDC to accurately identify XDR TB cases and monitor trends. Additional information about XDR TB is available at http://www.who.int/tb/en.

References

- CDC. Emergence of Mycobacterium tuberculosis with extensive resistance to second-line drugs—worldwide, 2000–2004. MMWR 2006;55:301–5.
- Masjedi MR, Farnia P, Sorooch S, et al. Extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis: 2 years of surveillance in Iran. Clin Infect Dis 2006;43:841–7.
- Gandhi NR, Moll A, Sturm AW, et al. Extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis as a cause of death in patients co-infected with tuberculosis and HIV in a rural area of South Africa. Lancet [Online]; October 26, 2006. Available at http://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/ PIIS0140673606695731.

Notice to Readers

Improved Supply of Meningococcal Conjugate Vaccine, Recommendation to Resume Vaccination of Children Aged 11–12 Years

In January 2005, a tetravalent meningococcal polysaccharideprotein conjugate vaccine (MCV4) (MenactraTM, Sanofi Pasteur, Inc., Swiftwater, Pennsylvania) was licensed for use among persons aged 11–55 years. The Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) recommends routine vaccination with MCV4 for children aged 11–12 years at their regular health-care visit and, if not previously vaccinated with MCV4, of adolescents at high-school entry (at approximately age 15 years), of college freshmen living in dormitories, and of other persons at increased risk for meningococcal disease (i.e., military recruits, travelers to areas in which meningococcal disease is hyperendemic or epidemic, microbiologists who are routinely exposed to isolates of *Neisseria meningitidis*, persons with anatomic or functional asplenia, and persons with terminal complement deficiency) (1).

In May 2006, CDC, in consultation with ACIP, the American Academy of Pediatrics, American Academy of Family Physicians, American College Health Association, and Society for Adolescent Medicine, recommended deferral of MCV4 vaccination of children aged 11–12 years in response to vaccine supply limitations (2). Currently, Sanofi Pasteur reports that limitations in the MCV4 supply have resolved. Therefore, CDC recommends resuming routine vaccination for all recommended groups according to ACIP recommendations, including children aged 11–12 years and, if not previously vaccinated with MCV4, of adolescents at high-school entry (at approximately age 15 years), of college freshmen living in dormitories, and of other persons at increased risk for meningococcal disease. Where possible, providers who deferred vaccination of children aged 11–12 years should recall those patients for vaccination. Providers who have questions about ordering vaccine may contact Sanofi Pasteur at 1-800-VACCINE or at http://www.vaccine shoppe.com.

References

- CDC. Prevention and control of meningococcal disease: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). MMWR 2005;54(No. RR-7):1–21.
- 2. CDC. Limited supply of meningococcal conjugate vaccine, recommendation to defer vaccination of persons aged 11–12 years. MMWR 2006;55;567–8.

Errata: Vol. 55, No. 40

In the report, "Update: Guillain-Barré Syndrome Among Recipients of Menactra[®] Meningococcal Conjugate Vaccine — United States, June 2005–September 2006," errors occurred.

On page 1121, in the Table, the date of vaccination for patient 2 should read, "March **22**," and for patient 3, "March **24**."

On page 1123, the third footnote beneath the Figure should read, " Cluster at 9–15 days statistically significant (p = 0.012; temporal scan statistics [**6**])."

Erratum: Vol. 55, No. 28

In the *MMWR* report, "*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Infections Associated with Transrectal Ultrasound-Guided Prostate Biopsies — Georgia, 2005," an error occurred. On page 777, in the second column, the last sentence of the first full paragraph should read, "Because tap water is not sterile, it should never be used to rinse **critical** medical equipment after reprocessing."

QuickStats FROM THE NATIONAL CENTER FOR HEALTH STATISTICS

Percentage of Females Aged 15–44 Years Ever Treated for Pelvic Inflammatory Disease (PID), by Selected Characteristics — National Survey of Family Growth, United States, 2002



In 2002, the percentage of females aged 15–44 years reporting that they had ever been treated for PID varied by age at first vaginal intercourse and by number of male sex partners in the preceding 12 months. Higher prevalence of PID treatment was reported among females who had their first vaginal intercourse at younger ages, particularly <15 years, and among those who had greater numbers of male sex partners in the preceding 12 months.

SOURCE: Chandra A, Martinez GM, Mosher WD, Abma JC, Jones J. Fertility, family planning, and reproductive health of U.S. women: data from the 2002 National Survey of Family Growth. Vital Health Stat 2005;23(25).

TABLE I. Provisional cases of infrequently reported notifiable diseases (<1,000 cases reported during the preceding year) — United States, week ending October 28, 2006 (43rd Week)*

	urrent	Cum	5-year	Total	cases rep	ported for	r previou	s vears	
Disease	week	2006	average [†]	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	States reporting cases during current week (No.)
Anthray		1	1				2	23	j
Botulism:							2	20	
foodborne	_	8	0	19	16	20	28	30	
infant	_	65	2	90	87	76	69	97	
other (wound & unspecified)	1	45	1	33	30	33	21	19	CA(1)
Brucellosis	3	4J 01	2	122	11/	10/	125	136	TX(2) CA(1)
Chaperoid		25	1	17	30	54	67	38	TX (2), OX (1)
Challera		20	0	0	5	24	2	30	
Cyclosporiasis	_	104	1	73/	171	75	156	1/7	
Dinhtheria	_	104	0	7.54	1/1	1	100	2	
Demostic arboviral diseases [§] 1			0				1	2	
California serogroup		45	З	80	112	108	16/	128	
	_	45	0	21	6	1/0	104	120	
Boweeeen	_	1	0	21	1	14	10	9 N	
St Louis	_	1	_	12	10	41	20	70	
St. Louis	_	4	0	15	12	41	20	19	
Eprilopiosio [§] :	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	
buman granulaavtia	0	200	0	700	E 97	260	511	061	NIV (2) MNI (6)
human manaautia	9	200	0	790	200	202	016	140	MN (0) MD (1) NC (2)
human (ather & upapasified)	0	309	0	100	500	321	210	142	(2), (1), (1), (3)
lunan (other & unspectied)	_	133	1	122	59	44	23	0	
invasive disease (age <5 yrs):		0	0	0	10	00	0.4		
serotype b		9	0	105	19	32	34	_	
nonserotype b		107	3	135	135	117	144	_	MIN (1)
	I	107	2	217	1//	227	153	70	Оп (1)
Hansen disease ³	_	61	I	88	105	95	96	/9	A7 (1)
Hantavirus puimonary syndrome ^s	1	26	0	29	24	20	19	8	
Hemolytic uremic syndrome, postdiarrneal ³	3	208	4	221	200	1/8	216	202	NC(1), CA(2)
Hepatitis C viral, acute	9	626	30	//1	/13	1,102	1,835	3,976	NY (1), PA (1), MIN (4), NC (1), FL (1), KY (1)
HIV intection, pediatric (age <13 yrs) ³⁻¹	_	52	5	380	436	504	420	543	
Influenza-associated pediatric mortality ^{8,38}		40		45	750	IN	IN	NI O 1 O	
Listeriosis	34	587	17	892	753	696	665	613	NY (3), PA (4), OH (1), NC (1), OK (2), CO (2),
Maaalaa	11	4.4	1	66	27	FG	4.4	116	WA (1), CA (20)
Maningaaaaaal diaaaaa inyaaiya*** :		44	1	00	37	50	44	110	
	0	101	2	207					DI (1) EL (1)
A, C, T, α W-155	2	101	3	297	_	_	_	_	H(I),FL(I)
stelogioup B	_	109	2	157	_	_	_	_	
Mumpa		E 006	0	21	050	001	070	266	OH(1) MI(1) KS(2)
Plaque	4	3,000	5	0	200	201	2/0	200	OH (1), MI (1), KS (2)
Police paralutio	_	12	0	1	5	1	2	2	
	_	10	_	10	10	10	10	25	
O fever§	2	125	1	130	70	71	61	20	MN (1) NC (1)
Pabias human	2	125	0	109	70	2	2	20	
Pubollo	_	0	0	11	10	2	10	23	
Pubella congonital syndromo	_	1	0	1	10	1	10	23	
	_	1		'	_	0	N	N	
SARS-CUV ⁶⁰⁰	_	_		_	_	0	IN	IN	
Strantococcal toxic-shock syndromo	_			120	122	161	110	77	
Streptococcai toxic-shock syndrome	_	02	2	129	152	101	110	11	
invosivo diagono (ago 45 vro)	14	000	15	1 057	1 160	045	E10	100	
invasive disease (age <5 yrs)	14	889	15	1,257	1,102	845	513	498	(2), PA(1), OH(3), MIN(3), MID(1), OK(1), OA(1),
Synhilis congenital (ago <1 yr)	л	001	7	361	353	112	/10	111	V(2), RZ(1)
Totonue	4	10	/ 0	501	200	410	412	44 I 27	(<i>L</i>), <i>V</i> ⁽ (<i>L</i>)
Toxic-shock sundrome (other than streptosesse	16 2	10	0	21	04	122	100	107	OH(1) NC(2)
Trichipallagia)° 3	10	2	10	90 F	100	109	12/	O(1)(1), NO(2)
Tularomia	-	11	0	15	C 104	100	14	100	CO(1)
Typhoid fovor	1	74	2	104	134	129	201	129	CT (1) CA (1)
Vanaamuain intermediate Stanbulacessus sure	<u>ک</u>	224	0 0	JZ4	322	330	32 I N	300	OT(I), OA(I)
Vancomycin-intermediate Staphylococcus aufel	15° —	2	0	2	-	IN NI	IN NI	IN N	
Vallow fever	_	_		<u> </u>	_		1	- 11	

-: No reported cases. N: Not notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts.

Incidence data for reporting year 2006 are provisional, whereas data for 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, and 2005 are finalized.

[†] Calculated by summing the incidence counts for the current week, the two weeks preceding the current week, and the two weeks following the current week, for a total of 5 preceding years. Additional information is available at http://www.cdc.gov/epo/dphsi/phs/files/5yearweeklyaverage.pdf.

§ Not notifiable in all states.

Includes both neuroinvasive and non-neuroinvasive. Updated weekly from reports to the Division of Vector-Borne Infectious Diseases, National Center for Zoonotic, Vector-Borne, and Enteric Diseases (proposed) (ArboNET Surveillance).

** Data for *H. influenzae* (all ages, all serotypes) are available in Table II.

^{+†} Updated monthly from reports to the Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention, National Center for HIV/AIDS, Viral Hepatitis, STD, and TB Prevention (proposed). Implementation of HIV reporting influences the number of cases reported. Pediatric HIV data will not be updated monthly for the remainder of this year due to upgrading of the national HIV/AIDS surveillance data management system. Data for HIV/AIDS are available in Table IV quarterly.

§§ Updated weekly from reports to the Influenza Division, National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases (proposed).

No measles cases were reported for the current week.

*** Data for meningococcal disease (all serogroups and unknown serogroups) are available in Table II.

(toru meek)				Coccid	lioidomy	cosis			Cryp	otosporid	iosis				
		Pre	vious				Prev	vious				Pre	vious		
Dementing	Current	<u>52 v</u>	veeks	Cum	Cum	Current	52 w	eeks	Cum	Cum	Current	52 v	veeks	Cum	Cum
Reporting area	week	Ivied	IVIAX	2006	2005	week	ivied	Max	2006	2005	week	Med	IVIAX	2006	2005
United States	11,145	19,115	35,170	788,698	793,223	110	148	1,643	6,659	3,614	83	72	594	4,153	6,460
New England Connecticut	508	638 178	1,550 1 214	27,501 7 913	26,326 7 629	N	0	0	N	N	1	4	34 31	254 31	313 74
Maine [§]	58	43	67	1,874	1,847	N	õ	õ	N	N	_	Ő	4	34	26
Massachusetts	366	296	618	12,695	11,745	_	0	0	—	—		1	14	88	135
New Hampshire Bhode Island	12 72	38	65 107	1,607	1,546	_	0	0	_	_	1	1	5	41 14	34
Vermont [§]		19	43	892	800	N	0	0	N	N	_	Ő	5	46	33
Mid. Atlantic	1,610	2,418	3,696	100,456	97,892	_	0	0	_	_	3	11	444	475	2,685
New Jersey	69	371	497	14,815	16,014	N	0	0	N	N	_	0	2	10	56
New York (Upstate)	582 487	499 743	1,727	20,056	19,533	N	0	0	N	N	2	3	441	145	2,255
Pennsylvania	472	761	1,104	33,565	30,634	N	0	0	N	Ň	1	4	15	239	238
E.N. Central	1.127	3.147	12.578	130.808	133.797	2	1	3	40	9	16	16	102	1.030	1.488
Illinois	552	975	1,694	43,342	41,647		0	0			_	2	16	127	147
Indiana Michigan	420	387	510	15,754	16,654	N	0	0	N	N	5	1	18	84	75
Ohio	439	658	1.430	26,268	36.344	2	0	1	6		11	5	33	316	711
Wisconsin	118	396	531	16,390	16,913	N	0	0	N	Ν	_	5	53	387	459
W.N. Central	626	1,156	1,456	48,420	48,845	_	0	12	1	4	16	11	75	723	559
lowa		159	225	6,615	5,991	N	0	0	N	N	2	1	29	160	116
Minnesota	249	228	269 347	5,958 9,173	10.231		0	12	IN	3	13	2	22	178	33 119
Missouri	316	441	608	18,902	18,644	_	Õ	1	1	1	_	2	18	155	237
Nebraska [§]	_	92	176	4,208	4,258	N	0	1	N	N	_	1	16	82	24
South Dakota	55	51	58 116	2,193	2,285	N	0	0	N	N	_	1	4	9 66	29
S Atlantic	3 437	3 617	4 935	152 607	147 353	_	0	- 1	3	1	41	14	65	926	602
Delaware	89	68	92	2,969	2,824	N	Ő	ò	Ň	Ň	_	0	3	13	5
District of Columbia	91	52	134	2,154	3,164		0	0				0	3	12	10
Florida Georgia	826 41	948 635	2 142	40,526	35,834 26,301	N	0	0	IN	IN	28	6	32	44 I 193	276
Maryland§	245	333	468	14,537	15,396	_	Ő	1	3	1	_	Õ	3	15	29
North Carolina	938	572	1,772	27,971	26,649	N	0	0	N	N	4	0	11	85	70
South Carolina ^s Virginia [§]	463	310 427	1,452	20 115	19,349	N	0	0	N N	N N		1	13	42	18
West Virginia	58	57	226	2,594	2,220	N	Ő	Ő	N	N	_	Ó	3 3	9	13
E.S. Central	591	1,418	1,947	60,105	57,768	_	0	0	_	_	_	3	12	148	192
Alabama [§]	90	400	756	17,139	13,377	N	0	0	N	N	—	1	10	64	22
Kentucky Mississioni	/4 427	155 374	402 802	6,649 15,655	7,321	N	0	0	N	N	_	1	8	32	130
Tennessee§		510	606	20,662	19,307	N	Ő	õ	Ν	Ν	_	1	5	37	38
W.S. Central	595	2,184	3,605	89,781	91,437	_	0	1	1	_	3	4	29	208	205
Arkansas	147	158	335	6,811	7,238	—	0	0	_		_	0	2	19	4
Louisiana	131	261	608 2 150	11,644	13,899	N	0	1	1 N	N		0	9	51	78
Texas [§]		1,454	1,844	61,130	60,600	N	0	0	N	N		1	20	103	84
Mountain	787	1.028	1.839	41.758	51.601	76	112	452	4.638	2.357	3	3	39	322	120
Arizona	723	378	881	15,835	17,617	76	108	448	4,532	2,269	_	0	3	22	9
Colorado Idabo§	64	153	482	4,925	12,590	N	0	0	N	N	1	1	7	61	41
Montana	_	43	191	2,033	1.934	N	0	0	N	N	_	0	26	124	14
Nevada§	_	85	432	3,920	5,784	—	1	4	52	52	_	0	1	9	11
New Mexico [§]	_	173	339	7,571	6,882	_	0	3	13	17	_	0	5	20	15
Wyoming	_	27	54	1,120	951	_	0	2	2	3	1	0	11	39	3
Pacific	1.864	3.319	5.079	137,262	138.204	32	42	1,179	1.976	1.243	_	2	52	67	296
Alaska	62	82	152	3,469	3,499		0	0		.,	_	ō	1	4	3
California Hawaii	1,361	2,578	4,231	107,776	107,256	32	42	1,179	1,976	1,243	—	0	14		172
Oregon [§]	121	170	315	7.210	7,417	N	0	0	N	N	_	1	6	59	64
Washington	320	348	604	14,553	15,435	Ν	0	0	Ν	Ν	_	0	38	_	56
American Samoa	U	0	46	U	U	U	0	0	U	U	U	0	0	U	U
C.N.M.I.	U	0	0	U	U 702	U	0	0	U	U	U	0	0	U	U
Puerto Rico	_	67	161	2.945	703 3,462	N	0	0	N	N	N	0	0	N	N
U.S. Virgin Islands	_	5	16	178	196		õ	õ		_		õ	õ		

 TABLE II. Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending October 28, 2006, and October 29, 2005

 (43rd Week)*

C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands. U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: Not notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to-* Incidence data for reporting year 2006 is provisional. * Chlamydia refers to genital infections caused by *Chlamydia trachomatis*. § Contains data reported through the National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS). Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts. Med: Median. Max: Maximum.

Previous Current Previous Current S2 weeks Current S2 weeks Current S2 weeks Med Mad Med Med <th>Cum 2006 2 1,685 131 41 17 52 8 4 9 352 116 70 121 225 47 66 19 70 131 141 17 70 121 225 47 66 19 70 131 14 71 31 131 14 71</th> <th>Cum 2005 1,867 141 42 8 69 8 77 7 360 75 100 67 118 318 105 555 22 96 40 93 </th>	Cum 2006 2 1,685 131 41 17 52 8 4 9 352 116 70 121 225 47 66 19 70 131 141 17 70 121 225 47 66 19 70 131 14 71 31 131 14 71	Cum 2005 1,867 141 42 8 69 8 77 7 360 75 100 67 118 318 105 555 22 96 40 93
Current 52 weeks Curr Current 52 weeks Current 52 weeks Current 52 weeks United States 237 317 1,029 13,885 16,023 3,552 6,520 14,136 274,094 272,760 22 40 14 New England 6 24 75 1,006 1,441 68 109 288 4,631 4,703 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 1 48 2 2 3 12 15 10 15 10 15 2 10 10 12 125 455 5 2 5 1 1 2 2 2 2	Cum 2006 2 1,685 131 41 17 52 8 4 9 352 45 116 70 121 225 47 66 19 70 131 141 70 121 225 47 66 19 70 131 14 71 31 131	Cum 2005 1,867 141 42 8 69 8 69 8 7 7 7 360 75 100 67 118 318 105 55 5 55 22 96 40 93
United States 237 317 1,029 13,886 16,023 3,552 6,520 14,136 274,094 272,760 22 40 14 New England 6 24 75 1,006 1,441 68 109 288 4,631 4,703 1 2 1 Maine ¹ 1 2 13 145 180 1 2 8 110 116 - 0 Mew Ampshire - 9 125 54 4 4 9 163 141 - 0 - 1465 466 2,026 2,044 - 0 - 1466 446 - 0 - 0 - 147 468 364 - 0 0 - 126 157 167 157 167 157 167 147 163 437 - 1 - 0 - 147 166 177 166 177	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1,867 141 42 8 69 8 7 7 7 360 75 100 67 118 318 105 55 55 22 96 40 93 93 12 38 29 12 38 29 12
New England 6 24 75 1.006 1.441 68 109 288 4.631 4.703 1 2 1 Maine' 1 2 13 145 180 1 2 8 110 116 — 0 Maine' 1 2 13 145 180 1 2 8 110 116 — 0 Mex Manphire - 0 9 25 54 4 4 9 163 141 - 0 Vermont' 2 3 12 157 161 - 1 4 55 48 - 0 Vermont' 2 3 12 297 380 78 103 151 36 44 2 2 10 Vermont'Clipstet) 30 24 227 1001 122 125 453 453 24 25 116 127 <	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	141 42 8 69 8 7 7 360 67 118 318 105 5 55 22 96 40 93
	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	42 8 69 8 7 7 3600 67 118 318 105 555 22 96 40 93 -12 38 29 12 38 29 12 38 29 12 38 29 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12
Walles I 2 138 1429 1638 15 2 6 8 2 104 - 0 Reade langshire - 0 3 1 25 34 4 4 8 9 19 344 - 0 Prode land 3 1 25 34 4 4 8 9 19 14 55 44 - 0 Wermont ¹ 2 3 12 157 161 - 1 4 55 48 - 0 Wermont ¹ 2 13 13 13 177 14 28,37 - 1 2 New Vork (Clystate) 30 24 227 1001 101 1562 5,385 54,435 2 5 1 1 1 101 1662 5,385 54,435 2 5 1 1 1 1 23,585 12,435	7 52 8 8 4 9 9 352 45 7 116 7 70 121 66 19 7 70 8 121 66 19 7 70 8 121 66 19 7 70 8 121 10 131 1 1 1 1 1 1 7 7 7 7	69 8 7 360 75 100 67 118 318 105 55 52 22 96 40 93
New Hampshire — 0 9 25 54 4 4 9 163 1141 — 0 Vermont* 2 3 12 157 161 — 1 4 55 48 — 0 Well <attent< td=""> 4 0 61 254 2.683 2.898 456 654 1.014 26.573 28.152 6 8 3 New Vork (Upstate) 0 24 227 1.001 122 125 455 5.202 5.699 4.22 2 New Vork (Upstate) 3 16 2.77 7.069 97 173 382 16.443 2.2 5 1.011 1.01 1.012 127 7.049 6.707 — 1 1 1.011 1.011 1.012 1.021 9.187 7.029 6.707 — 1 1 1.011 1.011 1.012 1.021 9.187 - 0 1.011 1.011</attent<>	8 4 9 352 45 116 70 121 47 66 19 70 131 1 14 71 131 1 71 31 71 7	8 7 7 3600 755 100 67 118 318 105 555 22 96 40 93
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	4 9 9 352 45 116 70 121 225 47 66 19 70 23 131 1 14 71 71 71	7 7 3600 755 100 67 118 318 105 555 22 96 40 93 — 12 38 29 12 38 29 12 38 29 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12
Mid. Atlantic 40 61 254 2,683 2,898 456 654 1,014 26,873 28,152 6 8 3 New Vork (Lypstate) 30 24 227 1,001 1,010 122 455 5,225 5,699 4 2 2 New Vork (Lypstate) 30 24 227 7,00 97 173 332 8,047 8,539) 352 4 45 7 116 6 70 121 225 6 47 66 19 70 23 131 1 4 14 71 31 7	360 75 100 67 118 105 55 22 96 40 93
New Jersey — 8 13 297 380 78 103 151 4,178 4,737 — 1 New York (Disteip) 30 24 227 1,001 1,010 122 125 455 5,220 5,699 4 2 2 2 New York City 2 15 29 727 760 97 173 382 8,047 8,539 — 2 Pennsylvania 8 15 30 665 748 159 218 399 9,428 9,177 2 3 1111101 12 129 48 86 2,026 2,819 455 1,277 7,047 53,58 54,435 2 5 1 11101 11101 12 12 125 455 5,746 1662 16,445 — 1 1 11101 11101 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	4 45 7 116 6 70 3 121 4 225 6 47 66 19 70 23 4 10 1 1 4 14 71 31 7	75 100 677 118 318 105 55 22 96 40 93
Name Name <th< td=""><td>3 121 225 47 66 19 70 23 131 1 14 71 71 71</td><td>100 118 318 105 55 22 96 40 93 — 12 38 29 12</td></th<>	3 121 225 47 66 19 70 23 131 1 14 71 71 71	100 118 318 105 55 22 96 40 93 — 12 38 29 12
Pennsylvania 8 15 30 658 748 159 218 399 9.428 9.177 2 3 3 E.N. Central 29 48 86 2.026 2.819 455 1.277 7.047 53.358 54.435 2 5 1 Indiana N 0 0 N N 161 237 7.049 6.707 1 1 Indiana N 0 0 N N 161 237 7.049 6.707 1 1 Michigan 4 13 25 554 673 2.32 262 5.860 1.215 9.167 - 0 Wisconsin - 1 0 40 423 82 52 135 172 5.575 4.604 - 0 Wisconsin 10 40 423 82 52 135 172 5.575 4.604 - 0 Wisconsin 10 40 423 825 34 54 1.422 1.322 - 0 Wincentral 4 2.92 260 1.501 1.854 235 367 436 15.355 15.499 5 2 1 I 1 2.38 441 417 153 190 - 21 8.585 7.8404 - 0 Winsecta 1 1 2.38 447 806 - 62 105 2.391 2.479 5 0 1 Wisconsin 10 32 441 417 153 190 2.51 8.585 7.832 0 Wisconsin 10 32 441 417 153 190 2.51 8.585 7.832 0 Visbaudi 1 7 63 99 9 6 15 317 293 0 S. Atlantic 66 49 105 2.147 2.303 1.191 1.557 2.334 68.137 64.683 8 10 25 B. Atlantic 66 49 105 2.147 2.303 1.191 1.557 2.334 68.137 64.683 8 10 25 B. Atlantic 66 49 105 2.147 2.303 1.191 1.557 2.334 68.137 64.683 8 10 25 B. Atlantic 66 49 105 2.147 2.303 1.191 1.557 2.334 68.137 64.683 8 10 25 B. Atlantic - 1 7 63 99 9 6 15 317 293 0 Electric of Columbia - 1 5 34 2 39 44 61 1.375 1.753 - 0 Electric of Columbia - 1 5 34 2 39 44 61 1.375 1.753 - 0 Electric of Columbia - 1 7 85 94 243 138 704 7.333 7.179 0 Electric of Columbia - 1 7 85 94 243 138 704 7.333 7.179 0 South Dakota 9 6 26 400 22 118 65.419 5.748 5.419 5.786 1 1 Waryland' 1 3 11 172 179 94 127 186 5.419 5.780 7.602 0 West Vignina 0 6 26 40 22 118 65 310 7.800 7.602 0 West Vignina 0 6 26 40 22 118 65 310 7.800 7.602 0 West Vignina 0 6 26 40 22 118 65 310 7.800 7.602 0 West Signipi 0 0 169 143 436 6.196 5.884 0 Mississippi 0 0 169 143 436 6.196 5.884 0 Mississippi 0 0 55 912 2.4416 2.138 0 Mississippi 0 0 556 912 2.4416 3.3777 0 Mississippi 0 0 556 912 2.4416 3.394 4.100 1 Mississippi 0 0 556 912 2.4416 3.394 0 Mississippi 0 0 556 912 2.4416 3.949 7.100	3 121 4 225 5 47 66 19 5 70 6 131 1 14 71 71 71 71	118 318 105 55 22 96 40 93
E.N. Central 29 48 86 2.026 2.819 455 1.77 7.047 53.358 54.355 2 5 1 Indiana N 0 0 N N - 161 237 7.099 6.707 - 1 1 Indiana N 0 0 N N - 161 237 7.099 6.707 - 1 1 Michigan 4 13 25 554 673 232 262 5.800 12.215 8.800 12.215 8.800 12.215 8.800 12.22 - 0 1 1.000 1.040 423 823 52 135 17.2 1.337 1.032 -0 1 1 1.000 1.022 - 0 1 1.000 1.032 4411 1.153 1.90 251 8.358 7.832 - 0 1 1.01 9.57 2.391 2.661 1.01 9.5 - 0 1 1.01 1.01 1.01 1.01 1	225 47 66 19 70 23 131 1 14 14 71 31 7	318 105 55 22 96 40 93 12 38 29 12
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	66 3 19 5 70 4 23 5 131 1 1 4 14 71 31 7	55 22 96 40 93 12 38 29 12
	19 70 23 131 1 14 71 31 7	22 96 40 93
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5 70 23 5 131 1 14 71 31 7	90 40 93 — 12 38 29 12
W.N.Central 4 29 260 1,501 1,854 235 367 436 15,355 15,499 5 2 1 Iowa - 5 15 243 235 - 34 54 1,422 1,322 - 0 Minnesota 1 1 238 479 806 - 62 105 2,391 2,879 5 0 Missouri - 10 32 441 417 153 190 251 8,358 7,822 - 0 7 Nebraskai 1 2 8 97 107 - 23 56 1,101 953 - 0 7 South Dakota - 1 7 63 99 9 6 15 317 293 - 0 7 Delaware - 1 4 35 44 39 344 654 19,39 16,4693 8 10 2 Delaware - 1 4 457 <td>5 131 1 14 71 31 7</td> <td>93 — 12 38 29 12</td>	5 131 1 14 71 31 7	93 — 12 38 29 12
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 14 71 31 7	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	71 31 7	38 29 12
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	31 7	29 12
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$. /	12
South Dakota 1 7 63 99 9 6 15 317 293 0 S. Atlantic 66 49 105 2,147 2,303 1,191 1,557 2,334 68,137 64,693 8 10 2 Delaware 1 4 35 48 35 27 44 1,228 731 0 District of Columbia -7 1 4 35 48 35 27 44 1,228 731 0 Elorida 37 18 44 926 814 339 441 554 19,139 16,491 6 3 Georgia 27 10 44 457 619 13 309 1,014 12,842 12,858 1 0 2 1 1 0 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 2 1 309 1,014 1,282 1,037 1,137 2,030 1 1 1 2 <td>i 7</td> <td>2</td>	i 7	2
S. Atlantic 66 49 105 2,147 2,303 1,191 1,557 2,334 68,137 64,693 8 10 2 Delaware 1 4 35 48 35 27 44 1,228 731 0 District of Columbia 1 5 53 42 39 34 61 1,375 1,753 0 Florida 37 18 44 926 814 339 441 554 19,139 16,491 6 3 Georgia 27 10 44 457 619 13 309 1,014 12,842 12,300 1 2 1 North Carolina N 0 0 N N 255 298 766 14,385 12,858 1 0 2 North Carolina* N 0 0 N 255 298 766 14,385 12,858 1 0 2 West Virginia 0 6 </td <td>) —</td> <td></td>) —	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	439	443
Florida 37 18 44 926 814 339 441 554 19,139 16,491 6 3 Georgia 27 10 44 457 619 13 309 1,014 12,842 12,300 1 2 1 Maryland [†] 1 3 11 172 179 94 127 186 5,419 5,786 — 1 North Carolina N 0 0 N N 255 298 766 14,385 12,858 1 0 South Carolina [†] 1 1 7 85 94 243 138 704 7,333 7,179 — 0 Virginia [†] — 9 50 393 467 151 132 288 5,613 7,003 — 1 West Virginia — 0 6 26 40 22 18 42 803 592 — 0 Kentucky N 0 0 N N 13 55 132 2,390 2,528 — 0 Mississippi — 0 0 $-$ — 169 143 436 6,196 5,884 — 0 Mississippi — 0 0 $-$ — 169 143 436 6,196 5,884 — 0 West Carolina 8 6 31 249 281 286 902 1,430 38,897 37,135 — 1 14 Arkansas 6 2 8 112 73 73 83 142 3,545 3,777 — 0 14 Arkansas 6 2 8 112 73 73 83 142 3,545 3,777 — 0 14 Arkansas 6 2 8 112 73 73 83 142 3,545 3,777 — 0 14 Arkansas 6 2 8 112 73 73 83 142 3,545 3,777 — 0 14 Arkansas 6 2 8 112 73 73 83 142 3,545 3,777 — 0 14 Arkansas 6 2 8 112 73 73 83 142 3,545 3,777 — 0 14 Arkansas 6 2 8 112 73 73 83 142 3,545 3,777 — 0 14 Arkansas 6 2 8 112 73 73 83 142 3,545 3,777 — 0 14 Arkansas 6 2 8 112 73 73 83 142 3,545 3,777 — 0 14 Arkansas 6 2 8 112 73 73 83 142 3,545 3,777 — 0 14 Arkansas 6 2 8 112 73 73 83 142 3,545 3,777 — 0 14 Arkansas 6 2 8 112 73 73 83 142 3,545 3,777 — 0 14 Arkansas 6 2 8 112 73 73 83 142 3,545 3,777 — 0 14 Arkansas 6 2 8 112 73 73 83 142 3,545 3,777 — 0 14 Arkansas 6 2 8 112 73 73 83 142 3,545 3,777 — 0 14 Arkansa 6 2 8 112 73 73 83 142 3,545 3,777 — 0 14 Arkansa - 0 3 5 25 57 104 160 354 7,164 7,681 — 0 3 Arizona — 3 36 134 124 172 93 201 3,949 4,030 — 1 14 Arizona — 3 36 134 124 172 93 201 3,949 4,030 — 1 14 Arizona — 3 36 134 124 172 93 201 3,949 4,030 — 1 4 Arizona — 3 36 134 124 172 93 201 3,949 4,030 — 1 4 Arizona — 3 36 134 124 172 93 201 3,949 4,030 — 1 4 Arizona — 3 36 134 124 172 93 201 3,949 4,030 — 1 4 Arizona — 3 36 134 124 172 93 201 3,949 4,030 — 1 4 Arizona — 3 36 134 124 172 93 201 3,949 4,030 — 1 4 Arizona — 3 36 134 124 172 93 201 3,949 4,030 — 1 4 Arizona — 3 36 134 124 172 93 201 3,949 4,030 — 1 4 Arizona — 3 36 134 124 172 93 201 3,949 4,030 — 1 4 Arizona —	5	7
Georgia271044457619133091,01412,84212,300121Marylandi1311172179941271865,4195,7861North CarolinaN00NN25529876614,38512,85810South Carolinai11785942431387047,3337,1790Virginiai9503934671511322885,6137,0031West Virginia0626402218428035920Alabama ¹ 529226169291853107,8907,6020KentuckyN00NN13551322,3902,5280Wississippi001691434366,1965,8840West Central86312492812869021,43038,89737,135114Arkansas6281127373831423,5453,77702Louisiana052557104160354 </td <td>141</td> <td>110</td>	141	110
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$. 83	95 61
South Carolina [†] 1 1 7 85 94 243 138 704 7,333 7,179 — 0 Virginia [†] — 9 50 393 467 151 132 288 5,613 7,003 — 1 West Virginia — 0 6 26 40 22 18 42 803 592 — 0 E.S. Central 2 8 41 416 355 211 561 864 24,361 23,124 — 2 2 Alabama [†] — 5 29 226 169 29 185 310 7,890 7,602 — 0 Kentucky N 0 0 N N 13 55 132 2,390 2,528 — 0 Tennessee ¹ 2 4 12 190 186 — 191 237 7,885 7,110 — 1 14 Arkansas 6 2 8 112 73 <td< td=""><td>49</td><td>68</td></td<>	49	68
Wight-06264010110220050107,000-1E.S. Central284141635521156186424,36123,124-2Alabama ¹ -529226169291853107,8907,602-0KentuckyN00NN13551322,3902,528-0Mississippi-001691434366,1965,884-0Tennessee ¹ 2412190186-1912377,8857,110-1W.S. Central86312492812869021,43038,89737,135-111Arkansas6281127373831423,5453,777-02Louisiana-0525571041603547,1647,681-02Oklahoma2224112151109797643,7723,839-111Gita and3130671,3821,2782092185529,48111,094-33Mountain3130671,3821,2782092185529,48111,094-3 <t< td=""><td>28</td><td>32</td></t<>	28	32
E.S. Central 2 8 41 416 355 211 561 864 24,361 23,124 — 2 Alabama [†] — 5 29 226 169 29 185 310 7,890 7,602 — 0 Kentucky N 0 0 N N 13 55 132 2,390 2,528 — 0 Kentucky N 0 0 — — 169 143 436 6,196 5,884 — 0 Tennessee [†] 2 4 12 190 186 — 191 237 7,885 7,110 — 1 14 MS.S. Central 8 6 31 249 281 286 902 1,430 38,897 37,135 — 1 14 Arkansas 6 2 8 112 73 73 83 142 3,545 3,777 — 0 2 Oklahoma 2 2 24 112 15	19	25
Alabama' — 5 29 226 169 29 185 310 7,890 7,602 — 0 Kentucky N 0 0 N N 13 55 132 2,390 2,528 — 0 Mississippi — 0 0 — — 169 143 436 6,196 5,884 — 0 Tennessee' 2 4 12 190 186 — 191 237 7,885 7,110 — 1 W.S. Central 8 6 31 249 281 286 902 1,430 38,897 37,135 — 1 11 Arkansas 6 2 8 112 73 73 83 142 3,545 3,777 — 0 2 Oklahoma 2 2 24 112 151 109 79 764 3,732 3,839 — 1 11 Oklahoma 2 2 24 112 151	88	101
N N	21	17
Tennessee* 2 4 12 190 186 — 191 237 7,885 7,110 — 1 W.S. Central 8 6 31 249 281 286 902 1,430 38,897 37,135 — 1 14 Arkansas 6 2 8 112 73 73 83 142 3,545 3,777 — 0 1 Louisiana — 0 5 25 57 104 160 354 7,164 7,681 — 0 1 Oklahoma 2 2 24 112 151 109 79 764 3,772 3,839 — 1 1 Texast* N 0 0 N N — 556 912 24,416 21,838 — 0 2 Mountain 31 30 67 1,382 1,278 209 218 552 9,481 11,094 — 3 3 Arizona — 3	3	
W.S. Central 8 6 31 249 281 286 902 1,430 38,897 37,135 1 1 Arkansas 6 2 8 112 73 73 83 142 3,545 3,777 0 7 Louisiana 0 5 25 57 104 160 354 7,164 7,681 0 7 Oklahoma 2 2 24 112 151 109 79 764 3,772 3,839 1 11 Texas [†] N 0 0 N 556 912 24,416 21,838 0 23 Mountain 31 30 67 1,382 1,278 209 218 552 9,481 11,094 3 36 Arizona 3 36 134 124 172 93 201 3,949 4,030 1 Colorado 10 9	60	73
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	59	98
Oklahoma 2 2 24 112 151 109 79 764 3,772 3,839 1 1 Texas [†] N 0 0 N N 556 912 24,416 21,838 0 3 Mountain 31 30 67 1,382 1,278 209 218 552 9,481 11,094 3 36 134 124 172 93 201 3,949 4,030 1 1 Colorado 10 9 33 460 446 37 41 90 1,780 2,643 1 4 Idabat 3 31 21 155 129 3 31 30 67 1	9	32
Mountain 31 30 67 1,382 1,278 209 218 552 9,481 11,094 — 3 Mountain 31 30 67 1,382 1,278 209 218 552 9,481 11,094 — 3 3 Arizona — 3 36 134 124 172 93 201 3,949 4,030 — 1 Colorado 10 9 33 460 446 37 41 90 1,780 2,643 — 1 Galaxie 3 3 12 155 129 2 2 15 129 2 2 15 120 97 0 1	41	52
Montant 316 306 67 $1,062$ $1,276$ 205 216 352 $3,461$ $1,054$ $= 56$ Arizona $$ 3 36 134 124 172 93 201 $3,949$ $4,030$ $$ 1 Colorado 10 9 33 460 446 37 41 90 $1,780$ $2,643$ $$ 1 Glabot 3 3 12 155 129 2 15 120 87 0	165	190
Colorado 10 9 33 460 446 37 41 90 1,780 2,643 — 1	′ 77	94
	43	39
Montana = 2 11 90 62 $-$ 3 20 159 130 $-$ 0) _	4
Nevada [†] — 2 8 82 93 — 25 194 1,288 2,272 — 0		14
New Mexico [†] — 1 6 53 76 — 31 64 1,380 1,284 — 0 4 1,145 1,284 — 0 4 1,145 1,155 1,145 1,	22	22
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3	8
Pacific 51 57 202 2,475 2,794 441 801 963 33,001 33,925 — 2 1	95	123
Alaska 3 1 17 90 97 11 11 24 478 483 — 0 2 California 37 41 105 1.727 1.987 292 657 830 27.146 28.278 — 0 2	9	26 51
Hawaii -1 1 3 39 55 -18 29 755 852 -0	15	8
Oregon [†] — 8 14 322 363 21 29 58 1,105 1,282 — 1 9	47	38
washington 11 0 90 297 292 117 74 142 3,517 3,030 — 0 4	· 2	
) U	U
Guam — 0 0 — 11 — 2 15 — 73 — 0	. —	11
Риепо нісо — 1 12 68 226 — 5 16 188 30/ — 0 U.S. Virgin Islands — 0 0 — — — 0 5 30 45 — 0		4

TABLE II. (*Continued*) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending October 28, 2006, and October 29, 2005 (43rd Week)*_____

C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands. U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: Not notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to-* Incidence data for reporting year 2006 is provisional. * Contains data reported through the National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS). Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts. Med: Median. Max: Maximum.

· · · ·				Нера	titis (viral,	acute), by t	/pe								
		Deep	<u>A</u>				Deres	B				Le	egionello	SIS	
	Current	52 v	vious veeks	Cum	Cum	Current	52 w	eeks	Cum	Cum	Current	52 v	vious veeks	Cum	Cum
Reporting area	week	Med	Max	2006	2005	week	Med	Max	2006	2005	week	Med	Max	2006	2005
United States	43	65	245	2,676	3,524	48	84	597	3,339	4,311	46	46	127	1,947	1,785
New England	2	3	20	151	411	_	2	9	80	132	3	2	12	108	129
Connecticut Mainat	1	1	2	36	46	—	0	3	27	42	3	0	9	44	25
Massachusetts	_	1	10	51	4 263	_	0	2 5	16	44	_	0	2 4	27	61
New Hampshire		0	16	37	78	_	0	2	13	26	_	0	1	1	9
Rhode Island Vermont [†]	1	0	4	12 9	14 6	_	0	4	9 1	3	_	0	10	21 7	19
Mid Atlantic	6	6	16	301	563	1	8	55	339	549	9	15	47	723	619
New Jersey	1	2	7	65	123		2	8	83	200	_	2	10	83	106
New York (Upstate)	4	1	14	79 104	84	1	1	43	50	49	5	6	30	276	154
Pennsylvania	_	1	5	53	86	_	23	9	136	185	4	5	18	256	260
E.N. Central	1	6	12	244	311	6	8	24	336	480	13	8	24	385	366
Illinois	1	1	4	50	112		1	7	58	138	—	0	4	21	48
Michigan	_	2	э 8	27 92	95		3	6	113	33 155	1	2	8	20 109	20 100
Ohio	—	1	4	47	46	4	2	10	110	112	12	4	19	194	161
Wisconsin		1	3	28	41	_	0	3	8	42		0	5	35	31
W.N. Central lowa	1	2	30	113	18	5	4	22	136 14	226 24	5	1	15 3	65 10	6
Kansas	1	Õ	5	26	15	_	Ö	2	9	26		Ő	2	4	3
Minnesota Missouri	_	0	29	16 38	30	5	0	13	23	29 118	5	0	11	22	16
Nebraska†	_	0	3	17	11	_	0	2	15	22	_	ŏ	2	7	3
North Dakota	_	0	2		_	_	0	0			—	0	1		2
	_	10	3	0			0	1	070	/	_	0	0	4	20
Delaware	8	0	29	463	5	21	23	66 4	970 36	26	6	9	19	359	335
District of Columbia	_	0	2	6	4	_	0	2	5	10	_	0	5	19	9
Florida Georgia	4	4	13	182 54	247 113	6	8	19 7	347 138	401 177	3	3	9	140 15	95 31
Maryland [†]	_	1	6	54	62	_	3	10	135	132	2	1	7	75	96
North Carolina	3	0	20	76	71 35	13	0	23	142	138	1	0	5 1	31	24
Virginia [†]	_	1	11	52	70	_	1	18	51	118	_	1	7	52	37
West Virginia	—	0	3	6	4	—	0	18	46	32	—	0	3	13	16
E.S. Central	_	2	8	107	223	2	6	15	262	309	—	1	9	78	71
Kentucky	_	0	5	31	42 24	_	1	о 5	60	61	_	0	4	29	25
Mississippi	—	0	1	7	18	_	0	2	13	45	—	0	1	1	3
Tennessee'	_	1	5	56	139	2	2	8	110	126	_	1	/	39	30
W.S. Central Arkansas	_	3	77 9	146 .37	403 17	3	14	315	614 41	519 59	_	0	32	43	39
Louisiana	_	õ	4	15	57	_	Ö	4	28	64	_	õ	2	4	1
Oklahoma Texast	_	0	2 73	6 88	4 325	3	0	17 295	56 489	39 357	_	0	3 26	1	7 26
Mountain		5	17	224	220	1	1	200	147	452		2	20	110	20
Arizona	2	2	16	135	156	_	4	23	33	285	° 2	1	8 5	37	21
Colorado	_	1	4	33	35	1	1	5	30	51	—	0	2	21	19
Montana	_	0	2	9	21	_	0	2	10	3	_	0	3	5	4
Nevada [†]	_	0	2	11	20	_	1	5	30	45	_	0	2	8	19
New Mexico⊺ Litah	_	0	3	12 12	22 19	_	0	2	18 26	18 33	6	0	1	5 25	3 12
Wyoming	_	Ő	1	3	1	_	Ő	1		2	_	Ő	Ó		4
Pacific	23	18	163	927	644	9	10	61	455	484	2	1	9	74	62
Alaska California	10	0	0 162	836	4 538	3	0	1	9 3/1	7 323	2	0	1	74	50
Hawaii		0	2	9	21		0	1	6	7	_	ò	Ő		3
Oregon [†]		0	5	39	40		1	5	57	89	Ν	0	0	Ν	N
Amoricon Compo	4	1	13	43	41	3	0	10	42	20		0	0		
American Samoa C.N.M.I.	U	0	0	U	1 U	U	0	0	U	U	U	0	0	U	U
Guam	_	Ō	Ō		_2	_	Ō	Ō		18	_	Ō	Ō		_
Puerto Rico U.S. Virgin Islands	_	0	5 0	23	59	_	1	8 0	24	45	_	0	1 0	1	_
		~	•				•	-				•	-		

 TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending October 28, 2006, and October 29, 2005

 (43rd Week)*

C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands. U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: Not notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to-* Incidence data for reporting year 2006 is provisional. * Contains data reported through the National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS). Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts. Med: Median. Max: Maximum.

			Lyme dis	ease				Malaria	1		
		Pre	evious				Prev	vious			
Reporting area	Current	52 v Med	veeks Max	Cum 2006	Cum 2005	Current	<u>52 w</u>	eeks Max	Cum 2006	Cum 2005	
United States	256	235	2 153	1/ 335	18 962	12	25	125	1 011	1 173	
New England	10	200	790	0 410	2 206	12	1	120	1,011	65	
Connecticut	19	35	780 753	2,412	3,396	_	0	3	45 11	65 16	
Mainet	12	1	34	220	229	_	Ő	1	4	5	
Massachusetts	_	1	30	33	2,212	_	0	3	19	36	
New Hampshire	1	5	78	488	207		0	3	9	5	
Rhode Island	—	0	5	1	32	1	0	8	1	2	
vermont		1	14	00	48		0	1	1	1	
Mid. Atlantic	162	142	1,176	8,286	10,885	2	5	13	222	313	
New Jersey	151	21	1/1	1,789	3,194		1	3	28	70	
New York (Opsiale)	151	1	1,150	3,509	364		2	9	116	169	
Pennsylvania	11	39	231	2,882	3,955	_	1	4	39	31	
F N Central	1	9	143	1 248	1 651		2	7	103	127	
Illinois		ŏ	2		121	_	1	4	42	67	
Indiana	1	0	3	17	30	_	0	3	9	4	
Michigan	_	1	6	48	50	_	0	2	16	21	
Ohio	_	1	100	38	52	_	0	3	27	24	
WISCONSIN		9	130	1,145	1,398		0	3	9	11	
W.N. Central	60	6	169	590	801	—	0	32	34	44	
IOWa	_	0	8	79	91	_	0	2	1	8	
Minnesota	60	4	167	487	688	_	Ő	30	14	11	
Missouri	_	0	2	10	14	_	Ō	1	6	16	
Nebraska†	_	0	1	9	3	_	0	1	4	3	
North Dakota	_	0	3		_	—	0	1	1	_	
South Dakota		0	I	1	2		0	1	I	_	
S. Atlantic	10	28	110	1,522	2,001	3	7	16	274	254	
Delaware District of Columbia	_	8	28	425	596	_	0	1	5	3	
Florida	3	1	5	38	37	1	1	2	53	0 44	
Georgia	_	0	1	3	6		1	6	70	46	
Maryland†	5	13	67	725	1,067	1	1	5	57	90	
North Carolina	2	0	4	27	44	1	0	8	28	28	
South Carolina [†]	_	0	2	16	19	_	0	2	9	8	
West Virginia	_	0	25 44	230	200	_	0	2	4/	20	
E Control		0			20		0	-	20		
Alahama [†]	_	0	3	24	32	_	0	2	20	20	
Kentucky	_	õ	2	7	5	_	õ	1	3 3	10	
Mississippi		0	0	_	—	_	0	1	3	_	
Tennessee [†]		0	2	10	24	_	0	2	5	13	
W.S. Central		0	3	17	73		1	31	55	109	
Arkansas		0	1	_	4	—	0	1	2	6	
Louisiana		0	0		3	_	0	1	4	4	
Texas [†]	_	0	3	17	66	_	1	29	42	90	
Mountain		0	4	00	01	0				40	
Arizona	_	0	4	28	21	∠ 1	0	9	21	49 10	
Colorado	_	Ő	1	5	_	1	Ő	1	12	24	
ldaho†		0	2	5	2	_	0	1	1	_	
Montana		0	0	_	_	_	0	1	2	_	
Nevada [†]		0	1	2	3	_	0	1	3	3	
Utah	_	0	1	6	2	_	0	2	17	7	
Wyoming	_	ŏ	1	1	3	_	õ	ō		2	
Pacific	4	4	17	208	102	4	4	13	198	184	
Alaska		Ō	1	3	4		0	4	23	5	
California	4	3	16	192	71	2	4	10	132	136	
Hawaii	N	0	0	N	N	—	0	2	4	16	
Uregon [†] Washington	_	0	2	10	19		0	1	9	11	
washington		0	3	3	ō 	2	0	5	30	01	
American Samoa	U	0	0	U	U	U	0	0	U	U	
Guam	<u> </u>	0	0	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	0	0	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
Puerto Rico	N	õ	0	N	N	_	Ő	1	_	4	
U.S. Virgin Islands	_	ō	Ō	_		_	Ō	Ó	_	_	

TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending October 28, 2006, and October 29, 2005

C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands. U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: No

N: Not notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts. Med: Median. Max: Maximum.

* Incidence data for reporting year 2006 is provisional. Contains data reported through the National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS).

				Mening	gococcal d	isease, inva	sive						_		
		Dree	All serogr	oups			Sere	ogroup u	nknown			Due	Pertus	ssis	
	Current	52 v	vious	Cum	Cum	Current	52 w	eeks	Cum	Cum	Current	52 w	/ious /eeks	Cum	Cum
Reporting area	week	Med	Max	2006	2005	week	Med	Max	2006	2005	week	Med	Max	2006	2005
United States	4	20	85	886	1,013	2	13	58	581	622	88	259	2,877	10,588	18,985
New England	1	1	3	39	63	_	0	2	26	22	4	28	83	998	1,160
Connecticut Maine [†]	_	0	2	9	12	_	0	2	2	1	_	1	5 11	37	57 44
Massachusetts	_	Ő	2	15	29	_	Ő	2	15	5	_	18	43	594	880
New Hampshire	-	0	2	6	12	_	0	2	6	12	2	2	36	150	71
Vermont [†]	_	0	1	2	3 5	_	0	0	_	2		1	14	49 98	29 79
Mid. Atlantic	_	3	13	136	129	_	2	11	105	99	28	34	137	1,514	1,117
New Jersey	_	0	2	16	29	_	0	2	16	29	_	4	13	176	156
New York (Upstate)	_	0	/ 4	31 52	34 23	_	0	5	4 52	12 23	24	15 1	123	698 64	429
Pennsylvania	_	1	5	37	43	_	0	5	33	35	4	12	26	576	440
E.N. Central	_	3	11	101	130	_	1	6	70	106	26	39	133	1,541	3,241
Illinois	—	0	4	18	28	_	0	4	18	28		7	27	230	774
Michigan	_	0	3	20 19	29	_	0	1	8	18	4	8	35	471	270
Ohio	—	1	5	41	34	—	1	4	34	31	20	13	30	504	965
Wisconsin	_	0	2	3	21	_	0	2	3	21	_	4	29	143	964
W.N. Central	_	1	4	50 16	69 15	_	0	3	16 6	29 1	3	25 6	552 40	1,013 221	3,189 872
Kansas	_	Ő	1	1	9	_	Õ	1	1	9	3	7	28	257	390
Minnesota	—	0	2	12	13	—	0	1	3	5	_	0	485	161	966
Nebraska†	_	0	2	5	5	_	0	1	3	3	_	2	42	77	248
North Dakota	—	0	1	1	_	—	0	1	1	_	_	0	25	26	127
South Dakota	_	0		2	3	_	0	0	_	_	_	0	4	20	173
S. Atlantic Delaware	1	3	14	155 4	188	_	2	1	63 4	80	9	20	46	809	1,219
District of Columbia	_	Õ	1	1	5	_	Õ	1	1	4	_	õ	3	6	7
Florida	1	1	6	60 14	72	—	0	5	21	29	8	3	9	184	181
Maryland [†]	_	Ő	2	12	20	_	0	1	2	3	1	3	9	103	172
North Carolina	—	0	11	24	28	_	0	3	7	6	—	0	22	155	98
Virginia [†]	_	0	2 4	15	26	_	0	2	8 6	10	_	1	22	145	300
West Virginia	—	0	2	7	6	—	0	0	_	2	—	0	9	41	44
E.S. Central	_	1	4	34	50	—	1	4	27	39	2	7	25	313	452
Alabama [†]	_	0	1	5	5 17	_	0	1	4	3 17	_	1	16	87 53	75 135
Mississippi	_	Ő	1	3	5	_	Õ	1	3	5	1	1	4	38	51
Tennessee [†]	_	0	2	18	23	_	0	2	12	14	1	2	10	135	191
W.S. Central	—	1	23	52	96	—	0	6	23	24	—	16	360	578	1,977
Louisiana	_	0	2	9 6	29	_	0	2 1	3	6	_	2	21	13	200 45
Oklahoma	—	0	4	8	14	—	0	0		2	_	0	124	18	1
	_	1	16	29	40	_	0	4	14	13		13	215	486	1,665
Arizona	1	1	5	60 17	82 31	1	0	4	29 17	23 10	12	57 8	230 177	2,188 424	3,448 839
Colorado	_	Õ	2	19	17	_	Õ	1	2	_	3	16	40	659	1,116
Idaho [†]	_	0	1	3	6	_	0	1	2	5	_	2	8	80 98	186
Nevada [†]	_	Ö	1	3	12	_	0	Ó		2	_	0	9	54	46
New Mexico [†]	—	0	1	5	5	_	0	1	2	4	_	2	6	63	160
Wvoming	_	0	2	5 4		_	0	2	4	2	8	14	39	744 66	493
Pacific	1	5	29	259	206	1	5	25	222	200	4	38	1.334	1.634	3.182
Alaska	_	Ō	1	2	3		0	1	2	3	1	1	15	63	126
Calitornia Hawaii	1	3	14	161	133	1	3	14	161 7	133	_	25	1,136 1	1,138 70	1,555
Oregon [†]	_	1	7	60	40	_	1	4	41	40	_	2	8	94	606
Washington	_	0	25	29	19	_	0	11	11	18	3	7	195	269	744
American Samoa	U	0	0	—	—	U	0	0	U	U	U	0	0	U	U
Guam	<u> </u>	0	0	_	1	<u> </u>	0	0	<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>	0	0	<u> </u>	2
Puerto Rico	—	Õ	1	4	7	—	Õ	1	4	7	—	Õ	1	1	6
U.S. Virgin Islands		0	0				0	0	_			0	0		

 TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending October 28, 2006, and October 29, 2005

 (43rd Week)*

C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands. U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: Not notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to-* Incidence data for reporting year 2006 is provisional. * Contains data reported through the National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS). Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts. Med: Median. Max: Maximum.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		R	abies, anii	mal		Roc	ky Mour	ntain spo	tted feve	·		Sa	almonelle	osis	
		Prev	vious				Prev	ious				Pre	vious		•
Reporting area	Current week	<u>52 w</u> Med	eeks Max	Cum 2006	Cum 2005	Current week	52 we	eeks Max	2006	2005	Current week	52 v	Max	2006	2005
United States	45	117	174	5,087	5,086	41	39	246	1,784	1,476	504	809	2,291	33,843	36,587
New England Connecticut Maine [†]	7 2	12 3 2	26 14 8	570 174 95	614 174 53	— N	0 0 0	2 0 0	2 N	8 N	1	29 0 2	432 424 10	1,625 424 99	1,873 414 149
Massachusetts New Hampshire Rhode Island Vermont [†]	3 2	4 0 0 1	17 5 4 5	178 44 23 56	302 12 21 52		0 0 0 0	1 1 2 0	1 1 	6 1 1	1	17 3 0 1	53 25 17 6	782 179 83 58	993 151 81 85
Mid. Atlantic New Jersey New York (Upstate)	N	24 0 11	60 0 24	1,170 N 476	835 N 474		1 0 0	5 1 2	65 7 4	90 27 1	48 38	84 14 22	272 45 233	4,226 741 1,064	4,385 857 1,053
Pennsylvania	_	14	42	667	335	_	1	3	38	55	10	29	67	1,392	1,439
E.N. Central Illinois Indiana Michigan Ohio Wisconsin	4 4 N	1 0 1 0	18 7 2 5 9	151 46 11 43 51 N	166 50 11 35 70 N		0 0 0 0	6 1 1 4 1	34 3 5 2 23 1	39 11 5 21 2	46 — 15 5 26	98 24 14 17 22 16	182 47 67 32 56 27	4,256 955 749 812 1,063 677	4,923 1,613 537 796 1,155 822
W.N. Central lowa Kansas Minnesota Missouri Nebraska [†] North Dakota South Dakota	1 1 	5 1 1 1 0 0	20 7 5 6 6 0 7	261 55 67 38 64 	291 72 64 67 28 60	2 - - 2 -	2 0 0 2 0 0	15 1 2 10 5 1	195 4 7 4 156 24	146 6 5 2 121 7 5	17 1 3 13 —	43 7 7 11 14 3 0	107 21 16 60 35 8 46 7	2,168 359 300 605 623 151 22 108	2,196 368 316 470 682 190 35
S. Atlantic Delaware District of Columbia Florida Georgia Maryland [†] North Carolina South Carolina [†] Virginia [†] West Virginia	25 — — — 11 — 14	36 0 0 2 6 9 3 11	118 0 99 54 13 22 11 27 13	1,790 	1,825 201 229 334 411 188 410 52	39 2 37 	20 0 0 1 17 0 2 0	94 3 1 3 6 87 5 13 2	1,005 18 1 18 32 60 755 30 88 3	753 7 2 13 85 64 416 65 95 6	201 — 113 30 5 35 16 2 —	207 2 1 95 27 12 34 19 20 20	450 9 7 214 101 29 130 51 57 19	9,118 131 51 3,855 1,391 573 1,366 825 807 119	10,349 110 45 4,161 1,677 698 1,343 1,199 964 152
E.S. Central Alabama [†] Kentucky Mississippi Tennessee [†]	7 5 2	4 1 0 2	16 8 4 2 9	222 76 27 4 115	137 73 16 5 43	 	6 1 0 0 4	30 9 1 1 21	322 100 4 2 216	260 69 3 14 174	17 3 3 2 9	54 17 8 13 14	149 71 23 42 31	2,525 865 368 660 632	2,546 604 426 800 716
W.S. Central Arkansas Louisiana Oklahoma Texas [†]	 	13 0 0 1 10	34 4 0 9 29	555 26 58 471	779 32 69 678	 	1 0 0 0	161 10 1 154 4	106 46 2 35 23	151 109 6 7 29	36 22 — 14 —	80 15 10 7 37	922 47 32 48 839	3,124 805 465 425 1,429	3,675 642 807 348 1,878
Mountain Arizona Colorado Idaho† Montana Nevada† New Mexico† Utah Wyoming	1 	3 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	27 10 1 25 2 1 2 1 2	185 121 	243 157 17 15 15 14 9 15 16		1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	6 6 1 3 2 0 2 2 1	48 11 2 13 2 7 6 7	27 13 4 3 1 - 4 - 2	37 17 13 2 — — 3 2	53 16 12 3 3 4 5 1	86 67 30 9 16 20 15 15 4	2,140 706 536 148 110 167 196 238 39	1,983 543 498 123 86 164 222 272 75
Pacific Alaska California Hawaii Oregon† Washington	 	4 0 3 0 0 0	9 4 9 0 4 0	183 15 148 20 U	196 1 188 — 7 U	 N	0 0 0 0 0	1 0 1 0 1 0	7 5 2 N	2 N	101 3 83 — 15	107 1 86 5 7 8	426 7 292 10 16 124	4,661 66 3,642 200 343 410	4,657 48 3,551 253 356 449
American Samoa C.N.M.I. Guam Puerto Rico U.S. Virgin Islands	U U —	0 0 1 0	0 0 6 0	U U 66	U U 59	U U N	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0		U U N	U U —	0 0 1 5 0	0 0 3 35 0	U U 193	7 U 31 544

TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending October 28, 2006, and October 29, 2005

C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands. U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: No N: Not notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts. Med: Median. Max: Maximum.

* Incidence data for reporting year 2006 is provisional. * Contains data reported through the National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS).

<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	Shiga toxin-producing <i>E. coli</i> (STEC) [†]						Sh	igellosi	s		Strepto	coccal d	lisease, i	nvasive, g	group A
	Current	Prev 52 w	ious eeks	Cum	Cum	Current	Prev 52 w	ious eeks	Cum	Cum	Current	Prev 52 w	vious veeks	Cum	Cum
Reporting area	week	Med	Max	2006	2005	week	Med	Max	2006	2005	week	Med	Max	2006	2005
United States	52	55	297	2,520	2,763	217	248	1,013	10,232	12,503	53	91	283	4,072	3,806
New England Connecticut Maine [§]		3 0 0	65 64 8	227 64 31	194 51 28	1	4 0 0	65 59 2	216 59 3	272 49 13	1 U	4 0 0	15 3 2	181 U 17	246 86 13
Massachusetts	_	1	9	82	77	_	3	11	128	167	_	2	6	101	111
New Hampshire	_	0	3	24	15		0	4	7	13	-	0	9	44	17
Vermont [§]	_	0	2	° 2	16	_	0	2	6	14	_	0	2	12	10
Mid. Atlantic	5	4	107	176	310	7	16	72	721	1.094	8	18	43	784	758
New Jersey	_	Ó	3	3	66	_	4	34	236	276	_	3	8	123	157
New York (Upstate)	_	0	103	12	117	5	4	60 12	199	231	6	4	32	264	215
Pennsylvania	_	0	4	6	111	1	1	6	73	225	2	6	13	265	237
E.N. Central	2	10	55	536	550	17	20	38	813	984	11	14	43	690	785
Illinois Indiana	_	1	/	64 74	124 59	2	2	17 18	294 120	333 148	2	3	11	144 98	261 90
Michigan	1	2	7	78	81	1	3	10	127	208	1	3	12	193	187
Ohio	1	3	18	155	142	14	3	11	154	93	8	4	19	213	165
WISCONSIN	13	2	40 30	375	144	10	37 37	9 77	1 30/	1 350	1	5	4 57	42 280	02 235
lowa		2	8	113	91		2	10	90	79	N	0	0	203 N	235 N
Kansas		0	3		46	1	3	20	118	186	_	1	5	48	35
Minnesota Missouri	13	3	13	208	87	13	11	20 69	580	79 831	_	1	52	62	90 60
Nebraska§	_	1	8	55	49	_	2	14	115	108		0	4	25	20
North Dakota South Dakota	_	0	15	40	7 29	5	0	18 21	92 224	4 72	1	0	5	10	9 21
S Atlantic	4	7	39	386	358	86	54	138	2 485	1 947	16	22	43	973	768
Delaware	_	Ó	2	7	9	_	0	2	8	11	_	0	2	10	5
District of Columbia		0	1	2			0	2	14	11		0	2	14 251	9
Georgia	1	1	29	79	47	42	17	57	854	525	4	4	11	188	167
Maryland [§]	2	1	8	77	68	4	2	10	102	83	_	4	12	173	151
South Carolina	2	2	2	96	56 11	10	1	21	72	89	5	1	26	145 53	31
Virginia [§]	_	0	8		84	_	1	9	78	110	_	2	11	113	77
West Virginia		0	5	12	3		0	2	4	1	_	0	6	26	22
E.S. Central	1	3	21	197	158	13	13	48 29	639 230	1,062	N	3	11	168 N	151 N
Kentucky	_	1	12	81	66	2	4	15	201	271	_	Ő	5	34	30
Mississippi	—	0	0		8		1	8	126	82	_	0	0	124	101
W.C. Control	-	1	4 50	24	00	0	00	12	1 000	0.050		3	9	134	121
Arkansas	1	0	52 7	64 29	92 11	7	33	596 7	1,208 93	3,053 55	4	0	58	320 25	209
Louisiana	—	0	1		20	_	1	25	98	125	_	0	1	7	5
Texas [§]	_	1	44	35 81	24 37	3	27	286	904	2.310	4	2	43	90 198	98 149
Mountain	8	5	16	257	264	30	23	85	1.140	760	9	11	78	569	502
Arizona	5	2	8	95	23	17	12	34	584	396	2	6	57	296	213
Colorado Idaho§	3	1	8	91 70	70 44	9	3	16	198 14	137 17		3	8	121	152
Montana	_	ò	1		14	_	õ	10	27	5	_	õ	ō	_	_
Nevada [§]	_	0	5	22	18	_	1	20	98	49	_	0	3	13	8
Utah	1	1	14	106	63	1	2 1	6	68	39	_	1	7	62	52
Wyoming	—	0	3	18	8	3	0	3	11	5	—	0	1	3	4
Pacific	18	7	50	302	372	34	38	148	1,616	1,972	3	2	9	98	92
California	11	4	18	189	120	32	31	104	1,327	1,701	_	0	0	_	_
Hawaii	1	0	2	13	10	—	1	4	40	29	3	2	9	98	92
Uregon ^s Washington	6	2 1	13 32	107 100	147 86	2	1	31 43	112 128	114 117	N N	0	0	N N	N N
American Samoa	Ű		0		11	L L	0	0	120	7	1	0 0	0	IJ	11
C.N.M.I.	Ŭ	õ	Õ	Ŭ	Ŭ	Ŭ	õ	Ő	Ŭ	Ú	Ŭ	Ő	Õ	Ŭ	Ŭ
Guam Puerto Bico	_	0	0	_		_	0	3	12	16	N	0	0	N	N
U.S. Virgin Islands	_	Ő	0	_		_	ŏ	0 0		_		Ő	Ő		

 TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending October 28, 2006, and October 29, 2005 (43rd Week)*______

C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands. U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: No

N: Not notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts.

Med: Median.

Max: Maximum.

¹ Incidence data for reporting year 2006 is provisional.
 ¹ Incidence data for reporting year 2006 is provisional.
 ¹ Incidence *E. coli* O157:H7; Shiga toxin positive, serogroup non-0157; and Shiga toxin positive, not serogrouped.
 ⁸ Contains data reported through the National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS).

	disease	Sypt	nilis, prin	nary and	seconda	ry		Varice	ella (chicl	kenpox)					
	Current	Prev 52 w	ious	Cum	Cum	Current	Previe	ous	Cum	Cum	Current	Prev 52 w	/ious	Cum	Cum
Reporting area	week	Med	Max	2006	2005	week	Med	Max	2006	2005	week	Med	Max	2006	2005
United States	40	52	334	2.094	2.130	98	173	334	7.365	7.013	444	802	3.204	33.412	23.309
New England Connecticut	1 U	1 0	24 7	31 U	184 76	5	4	17 11	173 36	174 37	30 U	36 0	144 58	1,231 U	4,348 1,311
Maine [†] Massachusetts	_	0	2	8	N 81		0	2	8 107	1	_	4	20 54	151 94	256 1 945
New Hampshire	_	0	0	_	_	1	0	2	11	13	19	6	47	412	266
Rhode Island Vermont [†]		0	11	10 13	17 10	_	0	6 1	9	19 1		0 12	0 50	574	570
Mid Atlantic	6	3	15	137	176	7	21	35	923	857	86	103	183	3 970	3 921
New Jersey	Ň	Ő	0	N	Ň	2	3	7	139	114	_	0	0		
New York (Upstate)	3	1	10	50	67	2	3 10	14 23	127 438	66 515	_	0	0	_	_
Pennsylvania	3	2	9	87	109	2	5	12	219	162	86	103	183	3,970	3,921
E.N. Central	15	11	41	471	532	8	18	38	731	762	159	237	587	11,898	4,824
Illinois Indiana	2	0	3 21	15 125	28 162	3	8 1	23 4	341 72	428 55	_	2	7 475	68 475	82 251
Michigan		ō	4	17	36	4	2	19	102	67	52	95	174	3,544	2,928
Ohio Wisconsin	13 N	6 0	32 0	314 N	306 N	1	4	8 4	162 54	182 30	107	109 13	420 52	7,167 644	1,197 366
W.N. Central	_	1	191	96	36	1	5	11	207	213	8	24	84	1,179	396
lowa	N	0	0	N	N	—	0	2	14	8	N	0	0	N	N
Kansas Minnesota	IN	0	191	60	IN	_	0	3	20	60	8	0	9	42	_
Missouri	_	1	3	35	29	1	3	8	136	123	_	20	82	1,035	271
Nebraska⊺ North Dakota	_	0	0	_	2	_	0	1	3	4	_	0	0 25	44	
South Dakota	—	Ő	1	1	3	_	Ő	3	12	1	_	1	12	58	100
S. Atlantic	18	26	53	1,093	877	36	41	186	1,755	1,727	52	88	860	3,575	1,929
Delaware District of Columbia	_	0	2	25	1 13	2	0	2	16 105	10 95	_	1	5	54 34	28 34
Florida	13	13	36	611	472	10	15	23	615	590	—	0	0	_	_
Georgia Marvland†	5	8	29	361	289	1	7	147 19	303 246	374 255	_	0	0	_	_
North Carolina	Ν	Ő	0	Ν	Ν	3	5	17	248	219	_	Ő	0	_	_
South Carolina [†]	N	0	0			17	1	6	58	68	8	15	53	861	494
West Virginia		1	14	96	102		0	1	5	3	25	27	70	1,255	887
E.S. Central	_	3	13	159	147	14	13	25	614	387	_	1	70	101	175
Alabama† Kentucky	N	0	0	N 30	N 26	5	5	19	275	130 41	N	1	70	99 N	175 N
Mississippi	_	Ő	0		1	7	1	6	60	39	_	ŏ	1	2	
Tennessee [†]	—	3	13	129	120	_	5	13	219	177	Ν	0	0	N	N
W.S. Central	_	0	5	18	103	7	28 1	53	1,275	1,034	50	185 0	1,757	9,208 678	5,517
Louisiana	_	Ő	4	6	91	6	4	27	231	216	_	0	8	48	112
Oklahoma Texast	N	0	0	N	N	1	1	6 36	62	31 742		0 170	0	8 / 82	5 400
Mountain	_	2	8	89	75	8	7	25	335	359	59	54	138	2 250	2 199
Arizona	Ν	0	0	N	Ň	8	3	16	153	150		0	0		2,155
Colorado Idabot	N	0	0	N	N	_	1	3	34	41	23	31	76	1,205	1,522
Montana		0	1			_	0	1	1	5	_	0	2	2	_
Nevada [†]	—	0	3	12	29	—	1	12	85	91	—	0	3	7	2
New Mexico' Utah	_	0	8	35	23	_	0	5	52 8	44	30	12	34 55	308 676	441
Wyoming	—	1	4	41	23	—	Ō	0	_	_	6	0	11	52	52
Pacific	—	0	0	—	—	12	34	51	1,352	1,500	_	0	0	_	_
Alaska California	N	0	0	N	N	5	28	4 41	9 1.159	1.333	_	0	0	_	_
Hawaii	-	0	0			_	0	2	15	9	Ν	0	0	Ν	Ν
Oregon [™] Washington	N	0	0	N	N	1	0	6 10	15 154	32 120	N N	0	0	N	N
American Samoa	11	0	0			11	ے 0	0	104	11		0	0	11	11
C.N.M.I.	_	õ	õ	_	_	Ŭ	ŏ	ŏ	Ŭ	Ŭ	Ŭ	ŏ	õ	Ŭ	Ŭ
Guam Ruorto Ricc		0	0			—	0	0		3		3	12		400
U.S. Virgin Islands	IN	0	0	IN		_	0	0		104	0	0	47	290	505

TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending October 28, 2006, and October 29, 2005 (43rd Week)*

C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands. U: Unavailable. —: No reported cases. N: Not notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to-* Incidence data for reporting year 2006 is provisional. Contains data reported through the National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS). Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts. Med: Median. Max: Maximum.

		West Nile virus disease [†]											
			Neuroinva	sive									
		Prev	/ious				Previous						
Poporting area	Current	<u>52 w</u>	veeks Max	Cum	Cum		Current	<u>52 w</u>	eeks Max	Cum	Cum		
Inited States	WEEK	1	169	1 201	1 204		WEEK	1	275	2 2 4 0	1.676		
New England	_	0	100	1,291	1,294			0	375	2,249	1,070		
Connecticut	_	0	3	9	9 4		_	0	2	3	4		
Maine [§]	_	Õ	Õ	_	_		_	Õ	Ö	_	_		
Massachusetts	—	0	1	2	4		—	0	1	1	2		
Rhode Island	_	0	0	_	1		_	0	0	_	_		
Vermont§	—	0	Ō	—	_		—	0	0	—	—		
Mid. Atlantic	_	0	6	18	47		_	0	3	6	22		
New Jersey	—	0	2	2	3		—	0	1	2	3		
New York (Upstate)	_	0	0 4	8	19		_	0	2	3	5 3		
Pennsylvania	—	Ō	2	8	14		—	0	1	1	11		
E.N. Central	_	0	37	214	258		_	0	21	92	156		
Illinois	—	0	21	114	136		—	0	18	67	115		
Michigan	_	0	5 9	33	54		_	0	2	5 2	8		
Ohio	—	Ō	11	34	46		—	Ō	3	9	15		
Wisconsin	—	0	2	11	11		—	0	2	9	6		
W.N. Central	—	0	33	209	168		—	0	74	399	463		
Kansas	_	0	3	20 16	14		_	0	4	12	23 N		
Minnesota	—	Ō	6	30	18		_	Ō	7	35	27		
Missouri	—	0	13	47	17		_	0	2	12	13		
North Dakota	_	0	o 5	20	12		_	0	28	116	74		
South Dakota	_	0	7	38	36		—	0	22	75	193		
S. Atlantic	—	0	2	12	34		_	0	4	6	28		
Delaware	—	0	0	—	1		_	0	1		1		
Florida	_	0	1	3	10		_	0	0	_	11		
Georgia	—	0	1	2	9		—	0	3	4	10		
Maryland [§]	_	0	2	6	4		_	0	1	1	1		
South Carolina [§]	_	0	1	_	5		_	0	0	_			
Virginia [§]	—	0	0		_			0	0		1		
West Virginia	—	0	1	1	_		N	0	0	N	N		
E.S. Central	—	0	14	97	64		—	0	15	91	38		
Kentucky	_	0	1	3	5		_	0	1	1	-		
Mississippi	—	0	10	77	39		_	0	15	88	31		
l ennessee ³	—	0	5	11	14		_	0	2	2	3		
W.S. Central	—	1	59	328	267		—	0	26	180	148		
Louisiana	_	0	14	82	112		_	0	8	65	54		
Oklahoma	—	0	6	26	17		—	0	4	16	13		
I exas ³	_	0	38	199	125		_	0	15	94	66		
Arizona	_	0	60 8	327	143		_	0	220	1,249	237		
Colorado	_	0	10	60	21		_	0	48	250	85		
Idaho [§]	—	0	29	108	3		—	0	149	710	10		
Montana Nevada§	_	0	3	12 34	8 14		_	0	7 13	21 75	17 17		
New Mexico [§]	_	0	1	2	19		_	Ő	1	5	13		
Utah	—	0	8	53	21		—	0	17	99	31		
vvyoming	—	0	/	15	0		_	0	8	40	6		
Pacific Alaska	_	0	15 0	77	304		_	0	45 0	223	580		
California	_	õ	15	73	303		_	õ	33	178	574		
Hawaii	—	0	0				—	0	0		_		
Washington	_	0	2	4	1		_	0	12	42	6		
American Samoa	11	0	0 0		11		[]	0	0				
C.N.M.I.	Ŭ	Ő	Ő	Ŭ	Ŭ		Ŭ	Ő	ŏ	Ŭ	Ŭ		
Guam	—	0	0	—	—		—	0	0	—	—		
U.S. Virgin Islands	_	0	0	_	_		_	0	0	_	_		

 TABLE II. (Continued) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending October 28, 2006, and October 29, 2005

 (43rd Week)*

C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands.

U: Unavailable. -: No reported cases. N: Not notifiable. Cum: Cumulative year-to-date counts.

* Incidence data for reporting year 2006 is provisional. [†] Updated weekly from reports to the Division of Vector-Borne Infectious Diseases, National Center for Zoonotic, Vector-Borne, and Enteric Diseases (proposed) (ArboNET Surveillance). [§] Contains data reported through the National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS).

Med: Median.

Max: Maximum.

TABLE III. Deaths in 122 U.S. cities,* week ending October 28, 2006 (43rd Week)

	All causes, by age (years)								All causes, by age (years)						
Reporting Area	All Ages	<u>≥</u> 65	45-64	25-44	1-24	<1	P&l⁺ Total	Reporting Area	All Ages	<u>≥</u> 65	45-64	25-44	1-24	<1	P&l⁺ Total
New England	520	343	116	37	14	10	39	S. Atlantic	1,111	679	263	88	41	40	63
Boston, MA	140	88	37	7	4	4	11	Atlanta, GA	154	78	43	12	8	13	8
Bridgeport, CT	46	36	8	1	1	—	7	Baltimore, MD	160	93	41	20	4	2	9
Cambridge, MA	7	4	3		_	_	1	Charlotte, NC	107	74	18	7	7	1	13
Fall River, MA	18	14	2	2		_	1	Jacksonville, FL	104	70	21	7	4	2	6
Hartford, CT	61	38	15	7	1	_	4	Miami, FL	105	57	30	11	4	3	5
Lowell, MA	17	11	3	1	1	1	2	Nortolk, VA	43	29	9	2	_	3	1
Lynn, MA	10	5	1	I	-	-	I	Richmond, VA	51	34	12	2	2	1	5
New Haven CT	30	14	5	6	1	1	3	Savannan, GA	12	25	15	3 2	2	2	1
Providence RI	/8	20	13	2	2	2	6	Tampa Fl	173	116	30	2	3	8	10
Somerville, MA	-0	1	2				_	Washington D.C.	91	50	23	12	3	3	
Springfield, MA	34	23	5	4	2	_	2	Wilmington, DE	22	16	3	3	_	_	3
Waterbury, CT	23	19	3	1	_	_	1				-	-			-
Worcester, MA	67	44	16	5	1	1	_	E.S. Central	145	551	208	48	30	31	69
Mid Atlantia	2.079	1 451	400	105	40	01	100	Birmingham, AL	140	80	20 10	14	0	10	15
Albany NV	2,078	30	429	120	42	2	2 102	Knowillo TN	120	95	20	7	6	2	6
Allentown PA	24	19	2	2	1				58	39	14	3	_	2	5
Buffalo, NY	88	57	23	5	1	2	5	Memphis TN	166	107	48	5	3	3	13
Camden, NJ	26	16	6	3	1	_	1	Mobile. AL	90	55	20	4	6	4	5
Elizabeth, NJ	14	9	3	1	1	_	1	Montgomery, AL	54	31	19	3	1	_	6
Erie, PA	33	26	4	1	2	_	3	Nashville, TN	146	97	33	6	4	6	12
Jersey City, NJ	36	21	8	4	3	—	2	W.S. Control	1 226	925	216	101	33	41	54
New York City, NY	1,081	774	227	58	10	12	46		88	52	27	5	2	2	4
Newark, NJ	37	20	9	6	_	2	_	Baton Bouge LA	31	21	6	2	1	1	_
Paterson, NJ	30	17	8	1		4	2	Corpus Christi, TX	54	32	12	4	1	5	3
Philadelphia, PA	205	100	62	24	14	5	14	Dallas. TX	196	118	40	21	11	6	9
Pittsburgh, PA ³	33	19	/	5	2	_		El Paso, TX	75	55	14	4	2	_	2
Reading, PA	41	100	10				10	Fort Worth, TX	116	82	26	2	1	5	4
Schonostady NV	130	108	19	3	4	2	10	Houston, TX	329	191	86	37	6	9	9
Scranton PA	37	30	6	1	_	_	2	Little Rock, AR	73	47	17	4	2	3	_
Svracuse, NY	114	85	23	3	1	2	7	New Orleans, LA ¹	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
Trenton, NJ	21	16	3	2	_	_	_	San Antonio, TX	219	150	45	13	5	6	11
Utica, NY	17	14	3	_	_	_	2	Shreveport, LA	45	21	16	4	1	3	3
Yonkers, NY	22	19	1	2	—	—	2	Tuisa, OK	100	00	21	5	1	I	9
E.N. Central	2.015	1.304	498	127	42	43	126	Mountain	1,036	661	254	60	36	23	59
Akron, OH	45	25	15	2	1	2	_	Albuquerque, NM	1/2	105	43	15	6	3	10
Canton, OH	35	22	8	3	1	1	—	Bolse, ID	50	33	12	1	I	3	2
Chicago, IL	309	171	99	25	8	6	18	Colorado Springs, CO	44	29	0	4		3	
Cincinnati, OH	75	51	16	4	2	2	8		231	40 154	20	2	4	2	17
Cleveland, OH	224	161	45	10	4	4	14	Orden UT	201	19	6	_	2	_	
Columbus, OH	210	140	49	14	6	1	24	Phoenix, AZ	157	84	45	9	9	8	11
Dayton, OH	133	87	30	8	4	4	8	Pueblo, CO	25	20	4	1	_	_	1
Detroit, IVI	170	82	67	17	3	1	14	Salt Like City, UT	119	83	21	8	5	2	10
Evansville, IN	43	30	4	3	1	1	3	Tucson, AZ	135	94	28	9	3	1	4
Gary IN	14	10	3	1	_	_	_	Pacific	1 404	946	292	86	57	23	114
Grand Bapids, MI	63	41	13	3	2	3	4	Berkeley CA	1,404	6	5			1	
Indianapolis, IN	193	130	38	14	4	7	15	Fresno, CA	91	64	14	8	4	1	11
Lansing, MI	59	39	19	_	1	_	1	Glendale, CA	4	4	_	_	_	_	1
Milwaukee, WI	93	63	19	4	2	5	3	Honolulu, HI	71	47	13	5	4	2	3
Peoria, IL	40	27	8	3	1	1	1	Long Beach, CA	66	47	13	5	—	1	14
Rockford, IL	54	39	10	3	1	1	2	Los Angeles, CA	102	40	34	18	5	5	11
South Bend, IN	51	29	14	6	1	1	3	Pasadena, CA	25	22	2	1	—	_	4
Toledo, OH	98	73	22	2	_	1	4	Portland, OR	122	92	24	6			9
Youngstown, OH	61	49	8	2	_	2	1	Sacramento, CA	169	120	31	4	10	4	10
W.N. Central	563	378	125	34	8	17	45	San Diego, CA	145	100	33	6	0		10
Des Moines, IA	74	58	12	3	1	_	6	San Jose CA	136	104	21	1	2	2	8
Duluth, MN	41	30	5	5	_	1	1	Santa Cruz CA	24	104	10	-			1
Kansas City, KS	25	8	12	2	2	1		Seattle, WA	131	85	26	8	10	2	10
Kansas City, MO	93	65	16	7	1	4	8	Spokane WA	58	42	20	4	3	1	7
Lincoln, NE	28	21	6			1	3	Tacoma, WA	149	90	34	12	12	1	6
Minneapolis, MN	61	35	1/	5	2	2	9	Tatal	10 000**	7 1 4 0	0 5 0 4	700	000	050	674
Omana, NE	80	56	16	3	1	4	10		10,922**	7,148	2,501	706	303	259	6/1
St Paul MN	0C 0 \	∠3 //1	21	2	-	∠ 1	4 1								
Wichita, KS	56	41	7	7	_	1	_								
······								1							

U: Unavailable.

U: Unavailable. —:No reported cases. Mortality data in this table are voluntarily reported from 122 cities in the United States, most of which have populations of ≥100,000. A death is reported by the place of its occurrence and by the week that the death certificate was filed. Fetal deaths are not included. [†] Pneumonia and influenza.

¹Because of changes in reporting methods in this Pennsylvania city, these numbers are partial counts for the current week. Complete counts will be available in 4 to 6 weeks. ¹Because of Hurricane Katrina, weekly reporting of deaths has been temporarily disrupted. ** Total includes unknown ages.



FIGURE I. Selected notifiable disease reports, United States, comparison of provisional 4-week totals October 28, 2006, with historical data

Beyond historical limits

* No measles cases were reported for the current 4-week period yielding a ratio for week 43 of zero (0).
[†] Ratio of current 4-week total to mean of 15 4-week totals (from previous, comparable, and subsequent 4-week periods for the past 5 years). The point where the hatched area begins is based on the mean and two standard deviations of these 4-week totals.

Notifiable Disease Data Team and 122 Cities Mortality Data Patsy A. Hall Deborah A. Adams Rosaline Dhara Willie J. Anderson Vernitta Love Lenee Blanton Pearl C. Sharp

The Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR) Series is prepared by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and is available free of charge in electronic format. To receive an electronic copy each week, send an e-mail message to *listserv@listserv.cdc.gov*. The body content should read *SUBscribe mmwrtoc*. Electronic copy also is available from CDC's Internet server at http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr or from CDC's file transfer protocol server at ftp://ftp.cdc.gov/pub/publications/mmwr. Paper copy subscriptions are available through the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402; telephone 202-512-1800.

Data in the weekly *MMWR* are provisional, based on weekly reports to CDC by state health departments. The reporting week concludes at close of business on Friday; compiled data on a national basis are officially released to the public on the following Friday. Data are compiled in the National Center for Public Health Informatics, Division of Integrated Surveillance Systems and Services. Address all inquiries about the *MMWR* Series, including material to be considered for publication, to Editor, *MMWR* Series, Mailstop E-90, CDC, 1600 Clifton Rd., N.E., Atlanta, GA 30333 or to *www.mmwrq@cdc.gov*.

All material in the MMWR Series is in the public domain and may be used and reprinted without permission; citation as to source, however, is appreciated.

Use of trade names and commercial sources is for identification only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

References to non-CDC sites on the Internet are provided as a service to *MMWR* readers and do not constitute or imply endorsement of these organizations or their programs by CDC or the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. CDC is not responsible for the content of these sites. URL addresses listed in *MMWR* were current as of the date of publication.

☆U.S. Government Printing Office: 2006-523-056/40084 Region IV ISSN: 0149-2195