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## Staphylococcus aureus with Reduced Susceptibility to Vancomycin United States, 1997

Staphylococcus aureus is one of the most common causes of both hospital- and community-acquired infections worldwide, and the antimicrobial agent vancomycin has been used to treat many $S$. aureus infections, particularly those caused by methicillin-resistant S. aureus (MRSA). In 1996, the first documented case of infection caused by a strain of $S$. aureus with intermediate levels of resistance to vancomycin (VISA; minimum inhibitory concentration [MIC] $=8 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) was reported from Japan (1). This report describes the first isolation of VISA from a patient in the United States, which may be an early warning that $S$. aureus strains with full resistance to vancomycin will emerge.

In July 1997, VISA-associated peritonitis was diagnosed in a patient who was being treated with long-term ambulatory peritoneal dialysis. During January 1996June 1997, the patient had been treated with multiple courses of both intraperitoneal and intravenous vancomycin for repeated episodes of MRSA-associated peritonitis. The patient received medical care primarily at home; when hospitalized, the patient had been placed on contact isolation precautions because of known MRSA.

Six isolates of $S$. aureus obtained from one specimen from this patient in July were sent to CDC for species confirmation and antimicrobial susceptibility testing. The identity of these isolates was confirmed, and of the six, one demonstrated a vancomycin MIC of $8 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ (National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards breakpoints for susceptibility: susceptible, $\leq 4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$; intermediate, $8-16 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$; and resistant, $\geq 32 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) (2). The VISA isolate was susceptible to rifampin, chloramphenicol, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, and tetracycline. The patient is continuing to receive antimicrobial therapy. Epidemiologic and laboratory investigations are under way to assess the risk for person-to-person transmission of VISA and to determine the mechanism(s) by which these strains develop resistance.
Reported by: R Martin, DrPH, KR Wilcox, MD, State Epidemiologist, Michigan Dept of Community Health. Div of Applied Public Health Training (proposed), Epidemiology Program Office; Hospital Infections Program, National Center for Infectious Diseases, CDC.
Editorial Note: Since the 1980s, when MRSA emerged in the United States, vancomycin has been the last uniformly effective antimicrobial available for treatment of serious $S$. aureus infections. This report documents the emergence of VISA in the United States and may signal the eventual emergence of $S$. aureus strains with full
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resistance to vancomycin. Widespread use of antimicrobials, such as vancomycin, is a major contributing factor for the emergence of vancomycin-resistant organisms, including vancomycin-resistant enterococci.

To accurately detect staphylococci with reduced susceptibility to vancomycin, antimicrobial susceptibility should be determined with a quantitative method (broth dilution, agar dilution, or agar gradient diffusion) using a full 24 hours of incubation at $95 \mathrm{~F}(35 \mathrm{C})$. Strains of staphylococci with vancomycin MICs of $8 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ were not detected using disk-diffusion procedures.

To prevent the spread of these organisms within and between facilities, health-care providers and facilities are advised to 1) ensure the appropriate use of vancomycin $(3) ; 2)$ educate those personnel who provide direct patient care about the epidemiologic implications of such strains and the infection-control precautions necessary for containment; 3) strictly adhere to and monitor compliance with contact isolation precautions and other recommended infection-control practices, and 4) conduct surveillance to monitor the emergence of resistant strains. Detailed recommendations for the prevention, detection, and control of $S$. aureus strains with reduced susceptibility to vancomycin are outlined in "Interim Guidelines for Prevention and Control of Staphylococcal Infection Associated with Reduced Susceptibility to Vancomycin," published previously in MMWR (4).

The isolation of S. aureus with confirmed or "presumptive" reduced vancomycin susceptibility should be reported through state and local health departments to CDC's Investigation and Prevention Branch, Hospital Infections Program, National Center for Infectious Diseases, Mailstop E69, 1600 Clifton Road, NE, Atlanta, GA 30333; telephone (404) 639-6413. Physicians treating patients with infections caused by staphylococci with reduced susceptibility to vancomycin can obtain information about investigational drug therapies from the Food and Drug Administration's Division of Anti-Infective Drug Products, telephone (301) 827-2120.
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## Measles Outbreak - Southwestern Utah, 1996

During April 9-July 7, 1996, a total of 107 confirmed measles cases were reported from Washington County, Utah-one of five counties in the Utah Southwest Health District (USHD). Fourteen cases associated with this outbreak were reported from other counties in Utah and from Arizona, California, and Nevada. This report summarizes the epidemiologic investigation of the outbreak in Washington County ( 1995 population: 65,885 ) and demonstrates the potential for measles to spread in a
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school-aged population despite a high coverage rate for at least one dose of measles vaccine.

The index case was diagnosed in an unvaccinated 17-year-old high school student on April 10 (Figure 1). By April 25, seven additional cases had been reported from the same school. During April 26-July 1, a total of 99 additional cases were reported from Washington County. The source of infection for the index case could not be identified.

Case-patients ranged in age from 6 months to 45 years (median: 14 years). Sixty-six ( $62 \%$ ) cases occurred among children in grades $5-12$, and four ( $4 \%$ ) cases (including three in persons with philosophic objections to vaccination) occurred among children in grades $\mathrm{K}-4$ (Figure 2). Six ( $6 \%$ ) cases occurred among infants (aged <12 months) who were too young to have received measles vaccination (1). Of the 99 case-patients eligible for measles vaccination*, 64 ( $64 \%$ ) had not been vaccinated, 34 (34\%) had received one dose of a measles-containing vaccine (MCV), and one (1\%) had received two doses of an MCV. From 1975 to 1992, Utah required documentation of receipt of one dose of an MCV for every child entering kindergarten or first grade; since 1992, two doses have been required. Children in grades K-4 at the time of the outbreak were covered by the requirement for two doses, and children in grades $5-12$ were covered by the one-dose requirement. However, exemptions for medical, philosophic, or religious reasons are permitted.

Probable sites of exposure to measles for confirmed cases were schools (59 cases) and day care centers (five cases), home ( 27 cases), and other settings ( 11 cases); the probable site of exposure was unknown for five cases. No deaths, hospitalizations, or other major complications were reported among the case-patients in this outbreak.

[^0]FIGURE 1. Number of measles cases, by week of rash onset - southwestern Utah, 1996
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FIGURE 2. Age distribution of persons with measles* - southwestern Utah, 1996


* $\mathrm{n}=107$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Measles-mumps-rubella vaccine.
A vaccine effectiveness study was conducted at the high school where the outbreak was initially reported. Review of school vaccination records of the 879 students attending the school at the time of the outbreak indicated that 780 ( $89 \%$ ) students had received one dose of measles-mumps-rubella vaccine (MMR), 72 ( $8 \%$ ) had received two doses of MMR, and 27 ( $3 \%$ ) were unvaccinated. Seventeen unvaccinated students had philosophic exemptions, and 10 had no record of measles vaccination in their school health files. The measles attack rate among unvaccinated students was $33 \%$ (nine cases) and among recipients of one dose of MMR was $1 \%$ (eight cases). No cases of measles were diagnosed among any of the recipients of two doses of MMR in this high school. Vaccine effectiveness (VE) was calculated using the following formula: VE (\%)=[(ARU - ARV) / ARU] X 100, where ARU is the attack rate for the unvaccinated students and ARV is the attack rate for the vaccinated students (2). Based on this approach, VE was estimated to be $97 \%$ among students with a documented history of receipt of one dose of MMR vaccine and $100 \%$ in students with two doses of MMR.

Three control measures were instituted to prevent spread of the outbreak. First, because cases were occurring among infants, the age for vaccination eligibility was lowered to 6 months. Second, children in Washington County for whom proof of vaccination or immunity could not be established were excluded from schools and day care centers. Third, a mass vaccination campaign was initiated on June 10. Approximately 20,000 doses of MMR were administered throughout the USHD (with almost $90 \%$ of doses administered in Washington County). Among 10,800 children in grades 5-12 in Washington County, an estimated $56 \%$ received one dose of MMR during the vaccination campaign. Two-dose MMR vaccination coverage among children in grades 5-12 is estimated to have improved from $10 \%$ before the campaign to $65 \%$. Reported by: GL Edwards, MS, S Finch, R Adams, Southwest Utah Public Health Dept, St. George; R Crankshaw, R Ward, F Alvarez, P Weatherhogg, MSW, Immunization Program,
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Div of Maternal and Child Health, CR Nichols, MPA, State Epidemiologist, Utah Dept of Health. Assessment Br, Data Management Div; Measles Elimination Activity, Child Vaccine Preventable Disease Br, Epidemiology and Surveillance Div; and Program Operations Br, Immunization Svcs Div, National Immunization Program; Measles Virus Section, Respiratory and Enteric Viruses Br, Div of Viral and Rickettsial Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases, CDC.
Editorial Note: The measles outbreak in southwestern Utah was the largest such outbreak in the United States in 1996 and accounted for approximately $25 \%$ of all measles cases reported to CDC during 1996 (3). The next largest outbreak in 1996, which occurred in Juneau, Alaska, included 63 cases and affected school-aged children who had not received two doses of an MCV (4). The outbreak in Utah began in a high school in which most (97\%) students had previously received at least one dose of an MCV and only a small percentage (8\%) had received two doses. Measles outbreaks in schools with high one-dose coverage with a highly effective vaccine highlight the contagiousness of measles and the necessity for routine vaccination with two doses of an $\operatorname{MCV}(5,6)$.

In Utah, the school requirement for two doses of an MCV covered grades K-4 and probably prevented measles transmission among children in those grades. The potential impact of a second dose of an MCV is illustrated by the occurrence of only one case among recipients of two doses in the Utah outbreak and the estimated $100 \%$ vaccine effectiveness among two-dose recipients in the high school based on the vaccine effectiveness study. The vaccination campaign in southwestern Utah rapidly improved two-dose MMR coverage and may have helped to control the outbreak. During measles outbreaks in schools, coverage with two doses of MMR should be accelerated in school populations.

The national goal for measles vaccination is that all school-aged children will have received two doses of an MCV by 2001 (7). In June 1997, the Vaccines for Children (VFC) program, a national program making federally purchased vaccines available at no cost to health-care providers for administration to eligible children, began covering the cost of a second dose of MMR for VFC-eligible children in every grade. Full coverage with the second dose of MMR for all schoolchildren is needed to assure the elimination of measles in the United States.
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## Human Rabies - Montana and Washington, 1997

On January 5 and January 18, 1997, respectively, a man in Montana and a man in Washington died of neurologic illnesses initially suspected to be Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease (CJD) but diagnosed as rabies encephalitis during subsequent histologic examination on autopsy. The cases were not linked epidemiologically, and no secondary cases occurred. Postexposure prophylaxis (PEP) was administered to 113 potential contacts. This report summarizes the clinical presentations of the cases and the epidemiologic investigations by the Montana Department of Public Health and Human Services and the Washington State Department of Health; nucleic acid sequencing indicated that the silver-haired bat (Lasionycteris noctivagans) and the big brown bat (Eptesicus fuscus), respectively, were the probable sources of exposure.

## Case 1

On December 20, 1996, family members of a 65 -year-old male resident of Blaine County, Montana, observed him experiencing apparent visual hallucinations. This behavior recurred, and he subsequently had slurred speech and complained of diffuse left-arm pain and weakness. He was admitted to a northern Montana hospital on December 23 and was evaluated for a possible transient ischemic attack or worsening of pre-existing Parkinson's disease. A computerized tomography (CT) scan of the brain was normal. On December 24, he developed respiratory arrest and was intubated and mechanically ventilated. During the following 2 days, he developed increased myoclonic activity of his left leg and trunk and was transferred to a second hospital for further evaluation.

On admission to the second hospital, he had diffuse total body myoclonic spasms. However, an electroencephalogram (EEG) was negative for epileptiform discharges suggestive of seizure activity, and a magnetic resonance imaging study of the brain was normal. He developed fever, and treatment with antibiotics was initiated for diagnoses of parasinusitis and left lower lobe pneumonitis. Sustained diffuse myoclonic activity persisted, and complete muscle paralysis was maintained with medication until January 3, 1997, when poorly reactive pupils and absent corneal reflexes were noted. When cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) was obtained on January 3, the opening pressure was 46 cm of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (normal: $10-20 \mathrm{~cm}$ of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ). CSF analysis indicated a glucose level of $211 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$, total protein level of $67 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ (normal: < $40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ ), a red blood cell (RBC) count of 30 cells $/ \mathrm{mm}^{3}$ (normal: 0 cells $/ \mathrm{mm}^{3}$ ), and a white blood cell (WBC) count of 10 cells $/ \mathrm{mm}^{3}$ (normal: $0-5$ cells $/ \mathrm{mm}^{3}$ ) with a differential of $50 \%$ polymorphonuclear neutrophils (PMNs) (normal: 0 PMNs). All subsequent viral and bacterial cultures of the CSF were negative. Laboratory findings on January 4 included a blood urea nitrogen of $28 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ (normal: 9-19 mg/dL), a serum creatinine of $1.8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ (normal: 0.3$1.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ ), peripheral WBC count of 15,500 cells $/ \mathrm{mm}^{3}$ (normal: 4800-10,800 cells $/ \mathrm{mm}^{3}$ ), a hematocrit of $27 \%$ (normal: $42 \%-52 \%$ ), platelets of $264,000 / \mathrm{mm}^{3}$ (normal: $150,000-450,000 / \mathrm{mm}^{3}$ ), and a negative serum rapid plasmin reagin test.

On January 5, the myoclonic spasms ceased spontaneously, cranial nerve reflexes were absent, and the patient could not breathe without the aid of a ventilator. The family elected to discontinue mechanical ventilation, and he died. An autopsy was performed to confirm the suspected diagnosis of spongiform encephalopathy, or CJD. Microscopic examination of brain tissue was delayed until February 10 because of a prolonged formalin fixation and decontamination protocol required in the preparation
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of specimens suspected to contain elements capable of transmitting spongiform encephalopathy.

Gross examination of the brain initially was negative for areas of focal necrosis, tumor, and hemorrhage. However, microscopic examination revealed diffuse panencephalitis with neuronal necrosis and mononuclear infiltration of the meninges, and Negri bodies throughout the brain tissue with highest density in the cerebellum and hippocampus. No findings were consistent with spongiform encephalopathy.

Paraffin-blocked brain tissues and formalin-fixed hippocampus were sent to CDC for confirmation and on February 14 tested positive for rabies by the direct fluorescent antibody (DFA) test and reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR). Nucleotide sequence analysis of the viral nucleic acid implicated a variant associated with the silver-haired bat, with $99 \%$ homology with a variant identified in a previous case of human rabies in Montana in 1996 (1).

The patient had been retired for several years but performed odd jobs around the area where he lived. His main hobbies included hunting and trapping. His family could not recall any history of contact with ill animals during these activities but reported that he baited traps with decayed animals he had collected from roadsides, often removing meat from the carcasses without wearing gloves. They also recalled that a bat had entered their home through the bedroom window in late summer 1996. On subsequent days, the bat was observed to be roosting during the daytime and flying around the house at dusk, and the patient eventually forced the bat out of the house with a broom. The patient's wife denied known contact with the bat and did not recall her husband having reported direct contact with the animal at any time. The bat had been driven from the house approximately 4 months before the onset of the patient's illness.

Sixty persons (two family members and 58 health-care workers) received PEP because of possible percutaneous or mucous membrane exposure to the patient's saliva.

## Case 2

On December 30, 1996, a 64-year-old man from Mason County, Washington, was hospitalized because of an exacerbation of chronic back pain and new onset of weakness and numbness of his left arm. He had a history of atrial fibrillation, cardiomyopathy, and hypertension. The initial diagnosis was possible myocardial infarction (MI) or cerebrovascular accident. On admission, a CT scan of the head revealed mild brain atrophy, and diagnostic tests for acute MI were negative. On December 31, he developed profound generalized myoclonus that began in his left arm. Anticonvulsive medications were administered without effect, and he was intubated for airway control. A neuromuscular blocking agent was administered to control the diffuse myoclonus after an EEG revealed no seizure activity and CSF analysis was reported as normal. He developed increased lacrimation and hypersalivation requiring constant oropharyngeal suctioning. On January 5, 1997, he was transferred to a hospital in Seattle for further evaluation. A repeat CSF analysis revealed a glucose level of $85 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ and a protein level of $93 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$; WBCs and bacteria were not detected in the CSF. PCR evaluations of the CSF for herpes simplex virus and enterovirus were negative. Acute tetanus was considered as a diagnosis because of the intractable
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myoclonus and a history of hand wounds the patient had sustained while gardening, and tetanus immune globulin was administered.

On January 15, all antiseizure medications and neuromuscular blocking agents were discontinued. He remained obtunded, and a repeat CT of the head remained unchanged. At that time, a diagnosis of rapidly progressive CJD was suspected. His condition deteriorated to profound autonomic instability, and he died on January 18. On autopsy, brain tissue was collected for evaluation for CJD.

In late February 1997, examination of brain tissue showed round, eosinophilic, cytoplasmic inclusion (Negri) bodies, and a provisional diagnosis of rabies was made. Additional brain tissue sent to CDC for confirmation tested positive on February 28 for rabies antigen by the DFA test. Analysis of the viral RT-PCR sequence isolated from the brain tissue was consistent with a variant previously identified from the big brown bat in the western United States.

The patient lived in a heavily wooded rural area adjacent to a large lake. Although bats were common in the area, none were reported in the house or other buildings on the property. Inspections of the buildings on the premises after his death revealed no evidence of bat infestation. Before his illness, the patient's outdoor activities included landscaping, gardening, and cleaning out a well house; he often engaged in these activities after dark. Family members reported that the patient had no known history of exposure to bats or other animals during the months before his illness or during trips to Mazatlán, Mexico, in February 1996, or Missoula, Montana, in September 1996.

PEP was administered to 53 persons at the two hospitals ( 34 nurses, nine physicians, nine respiratory technicians, and one laboratory worker), one family member, and one emergency medical technician working on the ambulance transport.
Reported by: R Geyer, DO, Benefis Hospitals, Great Falls; M Van Leuven, Fort Belknap Tribal Health Dept, Harlem; J Murphy, T Damrow, PhD, State Epidemiologist, Montana State Dept of Public Health and Human Svcs. L Sastry, MD, S Miller, MD, Univ of Washington Medical Center, Seattle; M Goldoft, MD, J Grendon, DVM, J Kobayashi, MD, PA Stehr-Green, DrPH, State Epidemiologist, Washington State Dept of Health. Div of Applied Public Health Training (proposed), Epidemiology Program Office; Viral and Rickettsial Zoonoses Br, Div of Viral and Rickettsial Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases, CDC.
Editorial Note: This report describes the first two cases of human rabies documented in the United States during 1997 and the second case of human rabies in both Washington and Montana since 1995. Before 1995, neither state had had a reported case of human rabies for several decades. Before examination of tissue obtained on autopsy, the diagnosis initially suspected for both of these cases was CJD. However, illness for both patients was subsequently related to infection with variants of rabies virus associated with bats; since 1980, a total of 19 ( $56 \%$ ) of the 34 cases of rabies diagnosed in the United States have been associated with these variants, and the silver-haired bat variant has accounted for 13 ( $68 \%$ ) of the 19 bat-related rabies cases. Case 2 in this report is the first human rabies fatality in the United States ever to have been documented involving a rabies virus variant associated with the big brown bat species.

A definite history of animal bite could not be documented in either case in this report and has been documented for only one of the 19 bat-related cases of human rabies since 1980 . Of the remaining 18 such cases, physical contact with a bat without an evident bite or other potential exposing event was reported for eight. A history of

## Rabies - Continued

bat contact could not be established or excluded for the remaining 10 bat-related cases, including both cases in this report.

These data suggest that seemingly insignificant physical contact with bats may result in viral transmission, even without a clear history of animal bite (1). In all instances of bat-human contact in which rabies transmission is under consideration, the bat in question should be collected, if possible, and submitted for rabies testing. Rabies PEP is recommended for all persons with bite, scratch, or mucous membrane exposure to a bat unless the bat is available for testing and is negative for evidence of rabies. The inability of health-care providers to elicit information surrounding potential exposures may be influenced by the limited injury inflicted by a bat bite (in comparison with lesions inflicted by terrestrial carnivores) or by circumstances that hinder accurate recall of events. Therefore, PEP is also appropriate even in the absence of a demonstrable bite or scratch, in situations in which there is reasonable probability that such contact occurred (e.g., a sleeping person awakes to find a bat in the room or an adult witnesses a bat in the room with a previously unattended child, mentally disabled person, or intoxicated person). This recommendation used in conjunction with current Advisory Committee for Immunization Practices guidelines (2) should maximize a health-care provider's ability to respond to situations where accurate exposure histories may not be obtainable and minimize inappropriate PEP.

Although human rabies is rare in the United States, this infection should be considered in the differential diagnosis of persons presenting with unexplained rapidly progressive encephalitis. In both of the cases in this report, rabies was not suspected before death and, therefore, was not diagnosed until histologic examination of the brain tissue on autopsy. Because CJD was suspected in both cases, the process required to prepare histologic specimens (3) further delayed diagnosis and prophylaxis of health-care workers and family members who had had mucous membrane exposure to the patients' saliva. In both of these cases, the presence of myoclonus suggested the possibility of CJD; however, this feature is only rarely a presenting clinical sign and is less likely to be generalized as was reported in both cases. An elevated CSF protein also was present in both of these cases, suggesting a diagnosis other than CJD, which usually is not associated with CSF abnormalities. The progression of illness from onset of clinical symptoms to death also was more rapid ( 16 and 18 days) than that characterizing CJD (months) (4,5).

Bat rabies is enzootic in the contiguous United States (6); however, the reduction of bat populations is not a feasible or desirable strategy for rabies control in this reservoir. To minimize human and animal contact with bats, these animals should be physically excluded from houses and surrounding structures by sealing potential entrances (7). In addition, because of the risk for rabies associated with bats, they should never be handled by the public or kept as pets. Finally, rabies vaccination for dogs and cats should be kept current to provide a barrier to indirect human exposures to wildlife rabies through infected domestic animals.
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## Behavioral Risk Factor Survey of Korean Americans Alameda County, California, 1994

Asians/Pacific Islanders (APIs) account for an increasing proportion of all racial/ ethnic minority groups in the United States: during 1980-1990, the number of persons in this group increased approximately 99\% (1). Among APIs in the United States, Korean Americans are the fifth largest subgroup (2). In Alameda County, California, APIs comprise $15 \%$ of the population, and Korean Americans account for $5 \%$ of that group (3). To assess behavioral risk factors among Korean Americans in Alameda County, Asian Health Services (a nonprofit community clinic) and the Center for Family and Community Health at the University of California, Berkeley, conducted a household telephone survey from August 1994 through February 1995. This report summarizes findings from that survey, which indicate significant differences in the prevalences of some behavioral risk factors and preventive health practices between men and women and between Korean Americans and the total California population.

The survey was adapted from the 1993 California Behavioral Risk Factor Survey (BRFS) and modified for cultural sensitivity and appropriateness. The survey questionnaire was developed in English, translated into Korean, back-translated, and pretested. The project team identified approximately 500 Korean surnames, and Korean surname-based telephone lists were purchased from commercial sources. All 4955 identified telephone numbers in Alameda County were sampled, and 52 were resampled. Of these, 856 (17\%) were eligible, 3968 ( $79 \%$ ) were ineligible; and 183 (4\%) were of unknown eligibility. Most ineligible telephone numbers were incorrect, disconnected, or nonworking ( $21 \%$ ), or represented households without an eligible Korean adult ( $74 \%$ ). Within each eligible household, Korean persons aged $\geq 18$ years were randomly selected (4). A total of 676 interviews were completed (response rate: $79 \%$ ). Results were weighted to account for different selection probabilities and to adjust the sample to the 1990 Census for the Korean population in Alameda County.

An estimated $55 \%$ of participants were women, $36 \%$ were aged $18-29$ years, and $20 \%$ were aged $\geq 50$ years (mean: 37 years); $63 \%$ were married; $52 \%$ were employed; $52 \%$ were college graduates; and $48 \%$ had a household income of $\geq \$ 35,000$. In addition, $91 \%$ were born in Korea, and $13 \%$ immigrated to the United States after 1989; 54\% spoke little or no English.

An estimated $12 \%$ of participants reported having been told by a health professional that they had high blood pressure, $12 \%$ that they had high blood cholesterol, and $4 \%$ that they had diabetes. Overall, $39 \%$ reported they had smoked $>100$ cigarettes during their lifetimes, and $21 \%$ currently smoked cigarettes. In addition, $85 \%$ reported
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having ever drunk alcohol, and 47\% reported currently drinking alcohol; 31\% had not exercised during the preceding month; $15 \%$ did not always use safety belts; $13 \%$ of current drinkers had driven after drinking during the preceding month; and $18 \%$ had never had a routine physical examination.

Men were significantly more likely than women to report having smoked, to currently smoke, to currently drink, or among current drinkers, to have ever driven after drinking (Table 1). Women were significantly more likely to report not having exercised during the preceding month.

Compared with 1995 BRFS estimates for the total California population, the prevalences of two risk factors were lower among Korean Americans: high blood pressure (12\% of Korean Americans versus 21\% of all California adults) and high blood cholesterol ( $12 \%$ versus 19\%) (Table 1). Risk factors more prevalent among Korean Americans included no exercise ( $31 \%$ versus $21 \%$ ) and no routine physical examination ( $18 \%$ versus $7 \%$ ) (Table 1). In addition, $40 \%$ of Korean American women reported never having had a Papanicolaou test, compared with $8 \%$ of California women; $57 \%$ of Korean American women aged $\geq 50$ years reported never having had a clinical breast examination, compared with $10 \%$ of all California women aged $\geq 50$ years; and $45 \%$ aged $\geq 50$ years reported never having had a mammogram, compared with $10 \%$ of all California women aged $\geq 50$ years.
Reported by: SH Kang, DrPH, AM Chen, MD, R Lew, MPH, K Min, Asian Health Svcs, Oakland; JM Moskowitz, PhD, BA Wismer, MD, IB Tager, MD, Center for Family and Community Health, Univ of California, Berkeley; Cancer Surveillance Section, California Dept of Health Svcs. Office of Global Health; Div of Adult and Community Health, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, CDC.
Editorial Note: The findings in this report indicate that, among Korean American adults residing in Alameda County, the prevalences of many health risk factors were higher than those among the total population of adults in California. Specifically, of the 10 health practices or behaviors, prevalences of five were significantly higher among Korean American adults than among the total adult population in California, and the prevalences of three other health conditions or behaviors were similar to those of the total adult population; the prevalences of only two health conditions were significantly lower among Korean Americans. Among Korean Americans, the prevalence of smoking varied significantly by sex. Previous BRFSs of Chinese and Vietnamese adults in California also documented high prevalences of smoking among men and low use of breast and cervical cancer screening among women, compared with the total California population (5,6).

Factors accounting for these differences may include cultural, linguistic, and financial factors. For example, Korean American women may be uncomfortable seeking health care from non-Korean-speaking providers and, as a result, have lower levels of breast and cervical cancer sceening. In addition, Korean Americans may not have routine health examinations if they are not able to participate in employer-sponsored health insurance plans. Further analysis is being conducted to determine correlates of breast and cervical cancer screening and tobacco use in this community.

This assessment was possible because of the unique methodology and collaborative approach involving academic and community representatives. Community members participated in each phase of the study, and the community agency collaborated with the academic center in survey design, methodology, implementation, and data analysis. Despite these strengths, the findings in this report are subject to at least one

TABLE 1. Percentage distribution of risk factors/preventive health practices among Korean Americans and total California population, by sex - Alameda County, California, August 1994-February 1995

| Risk factor/ Preventive health practice | Korean Americans |  |  |  |  |  | Total California population* |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Men |  | Women |  | Total |  | Men |  | Women |  | Total |  |
|  | \% | (95\% CI' ${ }^{\text {) }}$ | \% | (95\% CI) | \% | (95\% CI) | \% | (95\% CI) | \% | (95\% CI) | \% | (95\% CI) |
| High blood pressure§ | 11 | ( 8\%-15\%) | 12 | ( 9\%-15\%) | 12 | ( 9\%-14\%) | 19 | (17\%-21\%) | 22 | (21\%-24\%) | 21 | (19\%-22\%) |
| High blood cholesterolf | 14 | ( 9\%-18\%) | 11 | ( 9\%-14\%) | 12 | (10\%-15\%) | 17 | (16\%-19\%) | 20 | (18\%-22\%) | 19 | (17\%-20\%) |
| Diabetes | 5 | ( $2 \%-8 \%)$ | 4 | ( $2 \%-5 \%$ ) | 4 | ( 3\%-6\%) | 4 | ( $4 \%-4 \%$ ) | 6 | ( $5 \%-8 \%$ ) | 5 | ( 5\%-6\%) |
| Ever smoked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current smoker | 39 | (32\%-45\%) | 6 | ( 3\%-9\%) | 21 | (17\%-24\%) | 19 | (17\%-21\%) | 14 | (12\%-16\%) | 16 | (15\%-18\%) |
| Current drinker** | 65 | (59\%-72\%) | 31 | (26\%-37\%) | 47 | (42\%-51\%) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| No exercise | 26 | (20\%-31\%) | 36 | (31\%-41\%) | 31 | (27\%-35\%) | 20 | (18\%-22\%) | 22 | (20\%-24\%) | 21 | (19\%-22\%) |
| Safety-belt nonuse (not always) | 19 | (14\%-24\%) | 13 | ( 9\%-17\%) | 15 | (12\%-19\%) | 17 | (16\%-19\%) | 11 | (10\%-13\%) | 14 | (13\%-15\%) |
| Ever drink and drive ${ }^{\dagger \dagger}$ | 18 | (12\%-24\%) | 6 | ( 1\%-12\%) | 13 | ( 9\%-18\%) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Never had routine physical examination | 17 | (12\%-22\%) | 19 | (14\%-23\%) | 18 | (15\%-21\%) | 8 | ( 6\%-9\%) | 6 | ( 4\%-7\%) | 7 | ( 6\%-7\%) |
| Never had Papanicolaou smear | - | - | 40 | (35\%-46\%) | - | - | - | - | 8 | ( $6 \%-9 \%$ ) | - | - |
| Never did breast self-examination | - | - | 43 | (37\%-48\%) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Never had clinical breast examination ${ }^{\S \S}$ | - | - | 57 | (49\%-64\%) | - | - | - | - | 10 | ( 8\%-13\%) | - | - |
| Never had mammogram ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | - | - | 45 | (38\%-53\%) | - | - | - | - | 10 | ( 8\%-12\%) | - | - |

[^1]
## Risk Factor Survey — Continued

important limitation. The use of Korean surname-based telephone lists for the sampling frame may have biased the sample: Korean Americans who resided in households without telephones, who did not list their telephone numbers, or who did not have Korean surnames were excluded from the sample.

Community-sensitive approaches such as this can assist in characterizing health needs and strategies in ethnic-minority communities. Based on the findings in this report, Asian Health Services and the Center for Family and Community Health are collaborating on a community intervention to improve breast and cervical cancer screening among Korean American women.
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## Escherichia coli O157:H7 Infections Associated with Eating a Nationally Distributed Commercial Brand of Frozen Ground Beef Patties and Burgers - Colorado, 1997

The Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE) recently identified an outbreak of Escherichia coli 0157:H7 infections associated with eating a nationally distributed commercial brand of frozen beef patties and burgers. This report describes the preliminary findings of the ongoing investigation of this outbreak and the product recall of six lots of Hudson Foods frozen ground beef patties and burgers.

On August 7, 1997, CDPHE's state public health laboratory reported that 15 (56\%) of 27 E . coli $\mathrm{O} 157: \mathrm{H} 7$ isolates submitted for routine molecular subtyping since June 1 were characterized by highly related pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) patterns; the PFGE patterns of 13 ( $87 \%$ ) of 15 isolates were indistinguishable (outbreak strain). The patterns of the remaining two isolates were indistinguishable from each other and differed from the outbreak strain by only one band. These isolates were cultured from stool specimens obtained from 15 patients who had onsets of illness during June 14July 14. The median age of these patients was 13 years (range: 3-76 years); 11 ( $73 \%$ ) were male. Five patients were hospitalized, but none developed hemolytic uremic syndrome or died. Eleven (79\%) of 14 patients reported eating frozen pre-formed ground beef patties or burgers at least once during the 7-day period preceding illness onset; eight specifically recalled eating Hudson Foods brand product, and three, who could not recall a specific brand name, identified package labeling consistent with Hudson Foods brand. Hudson Foods beef burgers collected from the freezers of two of the 15 patients bore the identical lot number (156A7); both yielded E. coli 0157:H7 when cultured at the U.S. Department of Agriculture's (USDA's) Food Safety and Inspection Service Laboratory in Athens, Georgia. The PFGE pattern from one isolate cultured

Escherichia coli O157:H7 - Continued
from ground beef was indistinguishable from the outbreak strain; PFGE analysis of the second isolate is pending.

In cooperation with USDA, Hudson Foods recalled from retail stores three potentially contaminated lots of Hudson beef burgers on August 12 (Lots: 156A7, 156B7, and 155B7), and three additional lots on August 15 (Lots: 155A7, 160A7, and 160B7). As of August 20, no additional lots had been recalled. Preliminary findings suggest that these lots could have been distributed to at least all 48 contiguous states. USDA is continuing efforts to assure that all suspect product is recalled and to determine potential contamination points during the manufacturing process. In addition, CDC is working with state health departments to determine whether other cases of E . coli O157:H7 infection are associated with exposure to Hudson Foods products.
Reported by: El Paso County Dept of Health and Environment, Colorado Springs; Larimer County Dept of Health and Environment, Ft. Collins; Mesa County Health Dept, Grand Junction; Pueblo City-County Health Dept, Pueblo; Tri-County District Health Dept, Englewood; P Shillam, MSPH, D Heltzel, J Beebe, PhD, R Hoffman, MD, State Epidemiologist, Colorado Dept of Public Health and Environment. State public health laboratories of Minnesota, Oregon, Texas, Utah, Virginia, and Washington. Food Safety and Inspection Svc, US Dept of Agriculture. Foodborne and Diarrheal Diseases Br, Div of Bacterial and Mycotic Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases, CDC.
Editorial Note: Illness caused by E. coli 0157:H7 infection usually is characterized by abdominal cramping, diarrhea, and bloody stools and can be complicated by hemolytic uremic syndrome and death. Persons with illness meeting this description (i.e., abdominal cramping, diarrhea, and bloody stools) should contact their physicians. Additional information about the product recall is available from the USDA Meat and Poultry Hotline, telephone (800) 535-4555.

The investigation of this outbreak illustrates the value of molecular subtyping in enhancing surveillance for E. coli O157:H7 infections. The National Molecular Subtyping Network for Foodborne Pathogenic Bacteria has enabled CDPHE's laboratory and 14 other state public health laboratories to subtype E. coli O157:H7 isolates. Four of these laboratories, designated as area laboratories, also can subtype isolates from surrounding states. As of August 19, none of 340 E. coli O157:H7 isolates subtyped at six other network laboratories matched the outbreak strain.

FIGURE I. Selected notifiable disease reports, comparison of provisional 4-week totals ending August 16, 1997, with historical data - United States

*Ratio of current 4-week total to mean of 154 -week totals (from previous, comparable, and subsequent 4 -week periods for the past 5 years). The point where the hatched area begins is based on the mean and two standard deviations of these 4-week totals.

TABLE I. Summary - provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, cumulative, week ending August 16, 1997 (33rd Week)

|  | Cum. 1997 |  | Cum. 1997 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anthrax | - | Plague | 1 |
| Brucellosis | 44 | Poliomyelitis, paralytic | - |
| Cholera | 4 | Psittacosis | 27 |
| Congenital rubella syndrome | 2 | Rabies, human | 2 |
| Cryptosporidiosis* | 842 | Rocky Mountain spotted fever (RMSF) | 219 |
| Diphtheria | 5 | Streptococcal disease, invasive Group A | 1,008 |
| Encephalitis: California* | 24 | Streptococcal toxic-shock syndrome* | 23 |
| eastern equine* | 1 | Syphilis, congenital ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 190 |
| St. Louis* | 1 | Tetanus | 27 |
| western equine* | 1 | Toxic-shock syndrome | 77 |
| Hansen Disease | 66 | Trichinosis | 6 |
| Hantavirus pulmonary syndrome* ${ }^{+\dagger}$ | 14 | Typhoid fever | 192 |
| Hemolytic uremic syndrome, post-diarrheal* HIV infection, pediatric*s | $\begin{array}{r} 29 \\ 150 \end{array}$ | Yellow fever | - |

## -:no reported cases

*Not notifiable in all states.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Updated weekly from reports to the Division of Viral and Rickettsial Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases (NCID).
${ }^{\S}$ Updated monthly to the Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention-Surveillance and Epidemiology, National Center for HIV, STD, and TB Prevention (NCHSTP), last update July 29, 1997.
$\pi^{\text {UUp }}$ Pdated from reports to the Division of STD' Prevention, NCHSTP.

TABLE II. Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending August 16, 1997, and August 17, 1996 (33rd Week)

| Reporting Area | AIDS |  | Chlamydia |  | Escherichia coli 0157:H7 |  | Gonorrhea |  | Hepatitis <br> C/NA,NB |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | NETSS ${ }^{\dagger}$ | PHLIS ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & \text { 1997* } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cum. } \\ 1996 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1997 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1996 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1997 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1997 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1997 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cum. } \\ 1996 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cum. } \\ 1997 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cum. } \\ 1996 \end{gathered}$ |
| UNITED STATES | 34,732 | 42,682 | 263,272 | 271,670 | 1,230 | 715 | 164,516 | 198,498 | 1,979 | 2,245 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 1,478 | 1,732 | 10,810 | 10,721 | 106 | 52 | 3,631 | 4,055 | 44 | 63 |
| Maine | 36 | 29 | 638 | 577 | 8 | - | 36 | 31 | - | - |
| N.H. | 19 | 58 | 474 | 450 | 4 | 7 | 63 | 99 | 8 | 6 |
| Vt . | 23 | 14 | 244 | 259 | 5 | 1 | 35 | 37 | 2 | 17 |
| Mass. | 533 | 871 | 4,498 | 4,153 | 64 | 44 | 1,386 | 1,359 | 27 | 34 |
| R.I. | 99 | 122 | 1,232 | 1,272 | 3 | - | 278 | 325 | 7 | 6 |
| Conn. | 768 | 638 | 3,724 | 4,010 | 22 | - | 1,833 | 2,204 | - | - |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 11,041 | 12,193 | 36,977 | 40,760 | 62 | 27 | 21,941 | 26,380 | 220 | 182 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 1,754 | 1,479 | N | N | 43 | 5 | 3,457 | 4,562 | 166 | 144 |
| N.Y. City | 5,750 | 7,038 | 19,124 | 21,423 | 8 | - | 8,503 | 9,887 |  | 3 |
| N.J. | 2,211 | 2,269 | 5,583 | 7,739 | 11 | 16 | 4,112 | 5,400 | - | - |
| Pa. | 1,326 | 1,407 | 12,270 | 11,598 | N | 6 | 5,869 | 6,531 | 54 | 35 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 2,441 | 3,328 | 36,341 | 54,419 | 237 | 140 | 22,914 | 36,127 | 350 | 328 |
| Ohio | 525 | 754 | 7,291 | 13,055 | 53 | 22 | 5,009 | 9,236 | 12 | 24 |
| Ind. | 396 | 430 | 5,500 | 6,039 | 40 | 21 | 3,632 | 3,880 | 10 | 7 |
| III. | 899 | 1,398 | 6,708 | 15,502 | 43 | - | 3,274 | 10,725 | 50 | 63 |
| Mich. | 460 | 565 | 11,582 | 13,113 | 101 | 70 | 8,727 | 9,241 | 278 | 234 |
| Wis. | 161 | 181 | 5,260 | 6,710 | N | 27 | 2,272 | 3,045 | - | - |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 650 | 937 | 14,837 | 19,604 | 272 | 181 | 6,974 | 9,289 | 109 | 65 |
| Minn. | 128 | 169 | U | 3,128 | 132 | 119 | U | 1,381 | 3 | 1 |
| lowa | 75 | 63 | 2,857 | 2,643 | 57 | 9 | 758 | 668 | 22 | 30 |
| Mo. | 275 | 464 | 7,241 | 8,114 | 30 | 40 | 4,655 | 5,325 | 71 | 16 |
| N. Dak. | 9 | 11 | 473 | 559 | 8 | 6 | 35 | 17 | 2 | - |
| S. Dak. | 4 | 8 | 796 | 886 | 16 | - | 90 | 114 |  |  |
| Nebr. | 67 | 65 | 1,122 | 1,458 | 18 | - | 422 | 488 | 2 | 6 |
| Kans. | 92 | 157 | 2,348 | 2,816 | 11 | 7 | 1,014 | 1,296 | 9 | 12 |
| S. ATLANTIC | 8,425 | 10,436 | 56,431 | 31,605 | 122 | 80 | 53,929 | 59,579 | 185 | 112 |
| Del. | 159 | 189 | 1,276 | 1,148 | 3 | 3 | 745 | 913 | - | - |
| Md. | 1,075 | 1,315 | 4,489 | U | 11 | 3 | 8,288 | 6,492 | 11 | 2 |
| D.C. | 598 | 727 | N | N | 1 | - | 2,600 | 2,893 | - |  |
| Va . | 719 | 750 | 7,248 | 6,708 | N | 18 | 4,985 | 5,994 | 18 | 9 |
| W. Va. | 62 | 74 | 1,851 | 1,369 | N |  | 588 | 476 | 13 | 8 |
| N.C. | 503 | 541 | 11,709 | U | 40 | 24 | 11,363 | 11,785 | 38 | 30 |
| S.C. | 484 | 525 | 7,461 | U | 4 | 5 | 6,651 | 6,962 | 27 | 19 |
| Ga . | 1,064 | 1,416 | 7,666 | 7,626 | 28 | - | 8,445 | 12,708 | U | - |
| Fla. | 3,761 | 4,899 | 14,731 | 14,754 | 34 | 27 | 10,264 | 11,356 | 78 | 44 |
| E.S. CENTRAL | 1,193 | 1,409 | 20,510 | 19,191 | 64 | 26 | 20,288 | 20,414 | 227 | 395 |
| Ky. | 211 | 268 | 4,117 | 4,278 | 21 | - | 2,607 | 2,607 | 11 | 25 |
| Tenn. | 501 | 534 | 8,006 | 8,343 | 33 | 26 | 6,735 | 7,264 | 156 | 296 |
| Ala. | 285 | 364 | 4,888 | 5,304 | 7 | - | 6,940 | 8,513 | 6 | 3 |
| Miss. | 196 | 243 | 3,499 | 1,266 | 3 | - | 4,006 | 2,030 | 54 | 71 |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 3,615 | 4,481 | 35,187 | 34,077 | 41 | 5 | 22,125 | 23,537 | 279 | 234 |
| Ark. | 131 | 185 | 844 | 1,111 | 7 | 1 | 1,750 | 2,640 | - | 4 |
| La. | 622 | 993 | 5,849 | 4,466 | 4 | 3 | 5,438 | 4,697 | 137 | 134 |
| Okla. | 188 | 187 | 4,727 | 4,855 | 3 | 1 | 2,994 | 3,012 | 6 | 1 |
| Tex. | 2,674 | 3,116 | 23,767 | 23,645 | 27 | - | 11,943 | 13,188 | 136 | 95 |
| MOUNTAIN | 1,022 | 1,306 | 14,405 | 15,948 | 139 | 80 | 4,507 | 4,993 | 259 | 388 |
| Mont. | , 26 | 23 | 661 | 785 | 14 | - | 27 | 24 | 15 | 11 |
| Idaho | 34 | 25 | 946 | 978 | 15 | 8 | 73 | 68 | 35 | 91 |
| Wyo. | 13 | 4 | 365 | 402 | 9 | - | 35 | 24 | 111 | 120 |
| Colo. | 250 | 360 | 1,896 | 1,389 | 55 | 39 | 1,289 | 1,098 | 26 | 36 |
| N. Mex. | 104 | 111 | 2,081 | 2,541 | 5 | 4 | , 706 | 522 | 33 | 51 |
| Ariz. | 255 | 370 | 5,974 | 7,026 | N | 23 | 1,796 | 2,423 | 24 | 44 |
| Utah | 82 | 124 | 954 | 962 | 33 | - | 140 | 198 | 3 | 18 |
| Nev. | 258 | 289 | 1,528 | 1,865 | 8 | 6 | 441 | 636 | 12 | 17 |
| PACIFIC | 4,867 | 6,859 | 37,774 | 45,345 | 187 | 121 | 8,207 | 14,124 | 306 | 478 |
| Wash. | 421 | 445 | 5,715 | 6,222 | 45 | 22 | 1,198 | 1,344 | 19 | 37 |
| Oreg. | 188 | 312 | 3,041 | 3,487 | 54 | 61 | 471 | 534 | 2 | 6 |
| Calif. | 4,187 | 5,952 | 27,108 | 33,823 | 79 | 31 | 5,999 | 11,673 | 186 | 299 |
| Alaska | 36 | 16 | 926 | 714 | 9 | 1 | 244 | 271 | - | 2 |
| Hawaii | 35 | 134 | 984 | 1,099 | N | 6 | 295 | 302 | 99 | 134 |
| Guam | 2 | 4 | 31 | 252 | N | - | 3 | 43 | - | 6 |
| P.R. | 1,199 | 1,337 | U | U | 28 | U | 395 | 419 | 79 | 113 |
| V.I. | 71 | 16 | N | N | N | U | - | - | - | - |
| Amer. Samoa | - | - | - | - | N | U | ${ }^{-}$ | - | - | - |
| C.N.M.I. | 1 | - | N | N | N | U | 17 | 11 | 2 | - |
| N : Not notifiable | U: Unavailable -: no reported cases |  |  |  | C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Updated monthly to the Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention-Surveillance and Epidemiology, National Center for HIV, STD, and TB Preventionlast update July 29, 1997 .¢ National Electronic Telecommunications System for Surveillance.§ Public Health Laboratory Information System. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE II. (Cont'd.) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States,
weeks ending August 16, 1997, and August 17, 1996 (33rd Week)

| Reporting Area | Legionellosis |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Lyme } \\ \text { Disease } \end{gathered}$ |  | Malaria |  | Syphilis(Primary \& Secondary) |  | Tuberculosis |  | Rabies, <br> Animal <br> Cum. <br> 1997 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1997 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1996 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1997 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1996 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1997 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cum. } \\ 1996 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1997 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1996 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1997 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1996 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| UNITED STATES | 529 | 541 | 3,937 | 7,803 | 958 | 930 | 4,983 | 7,572 | 10,648 | 11,880 | 4,716 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 40 | 28 | 886 | 2,287 | 41 | 34 | 97 | 108 | 269 | 259 | 715 |
| Maine | 2 | 1 | 8 | 18 | 1 | 6 | - | - | 11 | 16 | 135 |
| N.H. | 4 | 1 | 9 | 30 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 10 | 8 | 25 |
| Vt. | 9 | 4 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 2 | - | - | 4 | 1 | 93 |
| Mass. | 9 | 15 | 145 | 110 | 18 | 12 | 46 | 50 | 155 | 115 | 145 |
| R.I. | 5 | 7 | 221 | 271 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 20 | 24 | 16 |
| Conn. | 11 | N | 497 | 1,848 | 14 | 8 | 49 | 56 | 69 | 95 | 301 |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 94 | 125 | 2,352 | 4,586 | 238 | 275 | 242 | 324 | 2,004 | 2,124 | 968 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 26 | 42 | 931 | 2,277 | 44 | 54 | 21 | 49 | 258 | 255 | 727 |
| N.Y. City | 4 | 9 | 28 | 236 | 127 | 158 | 56 | 97 | 1,043 | 1,126 | U |
| N.J. | 12 | 9 | 670 | 969 | 49 | 47 | 94 | 112 | 404 | 458 | 105 |
| Pa. | 52 | 65 | 723 | 1,104 | 18 | 16 | 71 | 66 | 299 | 285 | 136 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 161 | 178 | 52 | 299 | 85 | 117 | 406 | 1,168 | 1,041 | 1,250 | 100 |
| Ohio | 80 | 57 | 33 | 15 | 13 | 9 | 121 | 447 | 180 | 191 | 68 |
| Ind. | 29 | 37 | 16 | 14 | 10 | 9 | 90 | 149 | 91 | 114 | 8 |
| III. | 5 | 24 | 3 | 8 | 29 | 60 | 39 | 323 | 521 | 675 | 7 |
| Mich. | 40 | 30 | - | 6 | 25 | 25 | 93 | 122 | 176 | 204 | 15 |
| Wis. | 7 | 30 | U | 256 | 8 | 14 | 63 | 127 | 73 | 66 | 2 |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 45 | 26 | 49 | 94 | 31 | 24 | 99 | 231 | 342 | 307 | 301 |
| Minn. | 1 | 3 | 32 | 18 | 10 | 7 | U | 26 | 89 | 70 | 29 |
| Iowa | 12 | 4 | 5 | 13 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 15 | 40 | 43 | 111 |
| Mo. | 12 | 5 | 7 | 34 | 6 | 8 | 67 | 165 | 139 | 130 | 15 |
| N. Dak. | 2 | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | 8 | 3 | 44 |
| S. Dak. | 2 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 7 | 14 | 40 |
| Nebr. | 12 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 14 | 14 | 1 |
| Kans. | 4 | 3 | 2 | 27 | 2 | 4 | 21 | 17 | 45 | 33 | 61 |
| S. ATLANTIC | 77 | 73 | 378 | 348 | 207 | 152 | 2,096 | 2,433 | 1,979 | 2,144 | 1,924 |
| Del. | 7 | 9 | 30 | 130 | 3 | 3 | 16 | 23 | 11 | 28 | 43 |
| Md. | 17 | 15 | 262 | 122 | 59 | 44 | 576 | 430 | 197 | 188 | 347 |
| D.C. | 3 | 6 | 7 | 2 | 10 | 7 | 77 | 91 | 60 | 86 | 4 |
| Va. | 14 | 13 | 29 | 26 | 47 | 25 | 157 | 283 | 194 | 178 | 383 |
| W. Va. | N | N | 3 | 9 | - | 3 | 3 | 2 | 37 | 41 | 59 |
| N.C. | 10 | 6 | 23 | 49 | 10 | 17 | 475 | 652 | 251 | 305 | 589 |
| S.C. | 3 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 9 | 237 | 265 | 199 | 220 | 103 |
| Ga . | - | 3 | 1 | 1 | 21 | 16 | 342 | 437 | 370 | 409 | 200 |
| Fla. | 23 | 17 | 22 | 6 | 47 | 28 | 213 | 250 | 660 | 689 | 196 |
| E.S. CENTRAL | 33 | 31 | 46 | 53 | 20 | 23 | 1,118 | 1,650 | 772 | 889 | 201 |
| Ky. | 5 | 2 | 7 | 18 | 4 | 6 | 92 | 87 | 115 | 153 | 21 |
| Tenn. | 22 | 15 | 24 | 16 | 6 | 10 | 504 | 541 | 254 | 304 | 125 |
| Ala. | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 3 | 277 | 366 | 251 | 280 | 55 |
| Miss. | 4 | 11 | 11 | 13 | 3 | 4 | 245 | 656 | 152 | 152 | - |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 13 | 16 | 55 | 73 | 13 | 23 | 695 | 1,176 | 1,497 | 1,438 | 225 |
| Ark. | - | 1 | 15 | 20 | 4 | , | 70 | 166 | 124 | 121 | 27 |
| La. | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 234 | 342 | 136 | 10 | 2 |
| Okla. | 3 | 4 | 11 | 7 | 1 |  | 79 | 127 | 112 | 111 | 72 |
| Tex. | 8 | 10 | 27 | 45 | - | 20 | 312 | 541 | 1,125 | 1,196 | 124 |
| MOUNTAIN | 34 | 31 | 12 | 6 | 51 | 37 | 99 | 97 | 315 | 403 | 100 |
| Mont. | 1 | 1 | - |  | 2 | 5 | - | - | 7 | 14 | 29 |
| Idaho | 2 | - | 2 | , | - |  | - | 4 | 8 | 6 | - |
| Wyo. | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | - | 2 | 2 | 4 | 20 |
| Colo. | 10 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 25 | 16 | 8 | 24 | 60 | 51 | - |
| N. Mex. | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 18 | 57 | 9 |
| Ariz. | 8 | 12 | 1 | - | 7 | 4 | 72 | 49 | 161 | 154 | 36 |
| Utah | 6 | 2 | - | 1 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 13 | 39 | 2 |
| Nev. | 4 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 7 | 12 | 46 | 78 | 4 |
| PACIFIC | 32 | 33 | 107 | 57 | 272 | 245 | 131 | 385 | 2,429 | 3,066 | 182 |
| Wash. | 6 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 13 | 13 | 7 | 7 | 190 | 167 | - |
| Oreg. | - | - | 11 | 12 | 15 | 16 | 5 | 5 | 107 | 111 | 8 |
| Calif. | 25 | 27 | 91 | 39 | 239 | 206 | 117 | 371 | 1,969 | 2,625 | 153 |
| Alaska | - | 1 |  |  | 3 | 3 | 1 | - | 55 | 50 | 21 |
| Hawaii | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 108 | 113 | - |
| Guam | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 5 | 55 | - |
| P.R. | - | - | - | - | 5 | 1 | 161 | 153 | 129 | 105 | 42 |
| V.I. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Amer. Samoa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| C.N.M.I. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 9 | 1 | 2 | - | - |

TABLE III. Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases preventable by vaccination, United States, weeks ending August 16, 1997, and August 17, 1996 (33rd Week)

| Reporting Area | H. influenzae, invasive |  | Hepatitis (Viral), by type |  |  |  | Measles (Rubeola) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | A |  | B |  | Indigenous |  | Imported ${ }^{\dagger}$ |  | Total |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & \text { 1997* } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cum. } \\ 1996 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1997 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cum. } \\ 1996 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1997 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1996 \end{aligned}$ | 1997 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cum. } \\ 1997 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1997 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1997 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1997 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1996 \end{aligned}$ |
| UNITED STATES | 698 | 729 | 17,028 | 17,247 | 5,377 | 6,078 | 3 | 58 | 2 | 42 | 100 | 412 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 38 | 25 | 417 | 214 | 95 | 138 | - | 10 | - | 6 | 16 | 13 |
| Maine | 3 | - | 46 | 13 | 6 | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| N.H. | 5 | 10 | 21 | 9 | 7 | 8 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - |
| Vt. | 3 | 1 | 8 | 4 | 5 | 10 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| Mass. | 23 | 13 | 160 | 111 | 38 | 46 | - | 9 | - | 4 | 13 | 10 |
| R.I. | 2 | 1 | 101 | 9 | 11 | 7 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Conn. | 2 | - | 81 | 68 | 28 | 65 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 80 | 152 | 1,244 | 1,158 | 795 | 939 | - | 13 | 1 | 8 | 21 | 33 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 16 | 37 | 188 | 268 | 171 | 226 | - | 2 | - | 3 | 5 | 7 |
| N.Y. City | 22 | 41 | 467 | 357 | 276 | 339 | - | 5 | - | 2 | 7 | 11 |
| N.J. | 32 | 39 | 193 | 234 | 155 | 180 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3 |
| Pa. | 10 | 35 | 396 | 299 | 193 | 194 | - | 5 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 12 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 114 | 125 | 1,615 | 1,586 | 572 | 702 | 1 | 6 | - | 3 | 9 | 17 |
| Ohio | 68 | 72 | 221 | 547 | 57 | 86 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| Ind. | 11 | 7 | 189 | 207 | 68 | 93 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| III. | 24 | 33 | 362 | 414 | 137 | 209 | 1 | 6 | - | 1 | 7 | 3 |
| Mich. | 10 | 8 | 750 | 278 | 286 | 252 | - | 6 | - | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| Wis. | 1 | 5 | 93 | 140 | 24 | 62 | U | - | U | - | - | 9 |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 37 | 30 | 1,323 | 1,390 | 313 | 305 | - | 9 | - | 3 | 12 | 19 |
| Minn. | 25 | 18 | 111 | 76 | 23 | 35 | - | - | - | 3 | 3 | 16 |
| lowa | 5 | 3 | 264 | 232 | 35 | 41 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mo. | 3 | 6 | 671 | 710 | 219 | 183 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 2 |
| N. Dak. | - | - | 10 | 28 | 3 |  | U | - | U | - | - | - |
| S. Dak. | 2 | 1 | 17 | 39 | 1 | 2 | - | 8 | - | - | 8 | - |
| Nebr. | 1 | 1 | 61 | 101 | 10 | 21 | U | - | U | - | - | - |
| Kans. | 1 | 1 | 189 | 204 | 22 | 23 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| S. ATLANTIC | 124 | 132 | 1,102 | 726 | 800 | 811 | - | 2 | 1 | 9 | 11 | 9 |
| Del. | - | 2 | 24 | 10 | 4 | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Md. | 46 | 44 | 161 | 123 | 115 | 107 | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| D.C. | 2 | 5 | 17 | 20 | 25 | 26 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| Va . | 10 | 6 | 139 | 98 | 80 | 91 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| W. Va. | 3 | 6 | 8 | 12 | 9 | 16 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| N.C. | 17 | 20 | 123 | 92 | 162 | 231 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| S.C. | 3 | 4 | 71 | 40 | 62 | 50 | U | - | U | 1 | 1 | - |
| Ga . | 23 | 31 | 230 | 85 | 83 | 8 | U | - | U | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Fla. | 20 | 14 | 329 | 246 | 260 | 276 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| E.S. CENTRAL | 37 | 22 | 410 | 930 | 427 | 524 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Ky. | 5 | 5 | 51 | 27 | 26 | 48 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tenn. | 24 | 8 | 260 | 619 | 287 | 288 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Ala. | 8 | 8 | 59 | 129 | 41 | 42 | U | - | U | - | - | - |
| Miss. | - | 1 | 40 | 155 | 73 | 146 | U | - | U | - | - | - |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 33 | 30 | 3,647 | 3,382 | 702 | 738 | - | 3 | - | 4 | 7 | 23 |
| Ark. | 1 | - | 164 | 297 | 41 | 55 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| La. | 7 | 3 | 142 | 106 | 94 | 77 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Okla. | 22 | 23 | 1,030 | 1,448 | 25 | 24 | - | - | - | - | $\overline{7}$ | - |
| Tex. | 3 | 4 | 2,311 | 1,531 | 542 | 582 | - | 3 | - | 4 | 7 | 23 |
| MOUNTAIN | 75 | 39 | 2,817 | 2,794 | 590 | 737 | 1 | 8 | - | 1 | 9 | 152 |
| Mont. | - | - | 58 | 81 | 6 | 7 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Idaho | 1 | 1 | 94 | 149 | 18 | 67 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Wyo. | 2 | - | 23 | 26 | 25 | 30 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Colo. | 11 | 11 | 289 | 283 | 112 | 82 | - | - | - | - | - | 7 |
| N. Mex. | 8 | 9 | 216 | 276 | 190 | 262 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 13 |
| Ariz. | 29 | 12 | 1,438 | 1,085 | 133 | 167 | - | 5 | - | - | 5 | 8 |
| Utah | 3 | 6 | 419 | 640 | 66 | 68 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 118 |
| Nev. | 21 | - | 280 | 254 | 40 | 54 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | 5 |
| PACIFIC | 160 | 174 | 4,453 | 5,067 | 1,083 | 1,184 | 1 | 7 | - | 8 | 15 | 145 |
| Wash. | 3 | 2 | 328 | 322 | 48 | 59 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 38 |
| Oreg. | 26 | 24 | 245 | 613 | 65 | 75 | - | , | - | - | - | 8 |
| Calif. | 121 | 142 | 3,772 | 4,046 | 948 | 1,036 | 1 | 4 | - | 7 | 11 | 34 |
| Alaska | 3 | 4 | 24 | 32 | 14 | , 6 | - |  | - | - |  | 63 |
| Hawaii | 7 | 2 | 84 | 54 | 8 | 8 | - | 2 | - | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| Guam | - | - | - | 6 | 1 | - | U | - | U | - | - | - |
| P.R. | - | 1 | 205 | 138 | 940 | 652 | U | - | U | - | - | 2 |
| V.I. | - | - |  | 27 |  | 25 | U | - | U | - | - | 2 |
| Amer. Samoa | - | ${ }^{-}$ | - | , | - | - | U | - | U | - | - | - |
| C.N.M.I. | 6 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 34 | 5 | U | 1 | U | - | 1 | - |
| N : Not notifiable | U: Un | ailable | -: no | orted cas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE III. (Cont'd.) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases preventable by vaccination, United States, weeks ending August 16, 1997, and August 17, 1996 (33rd Week)

| Reporting Area | Meningococcal Disease |  | Mumps |  |  | Pertussis |  |  | Rubella |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1997 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cum. } \\ 1996 \end{gathered}$ | 1997 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1997 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cum. } \\ 1996 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1997 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cum. } \\ 1997 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1996 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1997 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1997 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cum. } \\ 1996 \end{gathered}$ |
| UNITED STATES | 2,230 | 2,194 | 4 | 370 | 457 | 51 | 3,031 | 2,818 | 3 | 125 | 206 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 138 | 94 | - | 8 | 1 | 6 | 590 | 620 | - | - | 24 |
| Maine | 15 | 10 | - | - | - | - | 6 | 22 | - | - | - |
| N.H. | 13 | 3 | - | - | - | - | 67 | 47 | - | - | - |
| Vt. | 3 | 3 | - | - | - | 1 | 182 | 26 | - | - | 2 |
| Mass. | 69 | 36 | - | 2 | 1 | 3 | 309 | 509 | - | - | 20 |
| R.I. | 12 | 10 | - | 5 | - | - | 12 | - | - | - | - |
| Conn. | 26 | 32 | - | 1 | - | 2 | 14 | 16 | - | - | 2 |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 207 | 237 | - | 35 | 56 | 2 | 203 | 192 | 2 | 27 | 10 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 52 | 60 | - | 7 | 17 | - | 59 | 96 | - | 1 | 4 |
| N.Y. City | 38 | 35 | - | 3 | 13 | 2 | 54 | 22 | 2 | 26 | 4 |
| N.J. | 44 | 52 | - | - | 2 | - | 5 | 12 | - | - | 2 |
| Pa . | 73 | 90 | - | 25 | 24 | - | 85 | 62 | - | - | - |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 314 | 315 | - | 40 | 95 | 6 | 239 | 356 | - | 4 | 3 |
| Ohio | 123 | 116 | - | 18 | 33 | 5 | 100 | 123 | - | - | - |
| Ind. | 35 | 46 | - | 6 | 5 | - | 35 | 21 | - | - | - |
| III. | 94 | 87 | - | 7 | 18 | - | 37 | 79 | - | 1 | 1 |
| Mich. | 37 | 31 | - | 9 | 37 | 1 | 32 | 27 | - | - | 2 |
| Wis. | 25 | 35 | U | - | 2 | U | 35 | 106 | U | 3 | - |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 165 | 176 | - | 13 | 11 | 5 | 199 | 138 | - | - | - |
| Minn. | 24 | 23 | - | 5 | 3 | 2 | 134 | 98 | - | - | - |
| Iowa | 38 | 38 | - | 6 | 1 | 3 | 22 | 3 | - | - | - |
| Mo. | 76 | 65 | - | - | 4 | - | 29 | 20 | - | - | - |
| N. Dak. | 1 | 3 | U | - | 2 | U | 2 | 1 | U | - | - |
| S. Dak. | 4 | 9 | - | - | - | - | 3 | 3 | - | - | - |
| Nebr. | 6 | 16 | U | 2 | - | U | 4 | 5 | U | - | - |
| Kans. | 16 | 22 | - | - | 1 | - | 5 | 8 | - | - | - |
| S. ATLANTIC | 401 | 341 | 1 | 52 | 75 | 6 | 307 | 306 | 1 | 63 | 91 |
| Del. | 5 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 17 | - | - | - |
| Md. | 36 | 39 | - | 4 | 25 | - | 92 | 121 | - | - | - |
| D.C. | 1 | 5 | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | 1 |
| Va . | 37 | 36 | - | 9 | 10 | - | 34 | 31 | - | 1 | 2 |
| W. Va. | 14 | 13 | - | - | - | - | 5 | 2 | - | - | - |
| N.C. | 75 | 59 | 1 | 8 | 17 | 5 | 85 | 52 | 1 | 51 | 77 |
| S.C. | 44 | 41 | U | 10 | 5 | U | 14 | 18 | U | 9 | 1 |
| Ga. | 75 | 102 | U | 5 | 2 | U | 9 | 16 | U | - | - |
| Fla. | 114 | 44 | - | 16 | 16 | 1 | 65 | 49 | - | 2 | 10 |
| E.S. CENTRAL | 176 | 158 | - | 18 | 19 | - | 67 | 164 | - | - | 2 |
| Ky. | 38 | 20 | - | 3 |  | - | 15 | 131 | - | - | - |
| Tenn. | 70 | 47 | - | 3 | 1 | - | 27 | 15 | - | - | - |
| Ala. | 52 | 52 | U | 6 | 3 | U | 16 | 11 | U | - | 2 |
| Miss. | 16 | 39 | U | 6 | 15 | U | 9 | 7 | U | - | N |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 219 | 240 | - | 34 | 32 | 6 | 82 | 81 | - | 3 | 7 |
| Ark. | 26 | 27 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 16 | 3 | - |  | - |
| La. | 45 | 46 | - | 11 | 11 | - | 13 | 6 | - | - | 1 |
| Okla. | 24 | 23 | - | - | - | - | 14 | 8 | - | - | - |
| Tex. | 124 | 144 | - | 22 | 20 | 4 | 39 | 64 | - | 3 | 6 |
| MOUNTAIN | 133 | 132 | 1 | 50 | 19 | 11 | 838 | 271 | - | 5 | 6 |
| Mont. | 8 | 6 | - |  | - | , | 16 | 13 | - |  | - |
| Idaho | 8 | 20 | - | 2 | - | 7 | 534 | 83 | - | 1 | 2 |
| Wyo. | 1 | 3 | - | 1 | - | - | 6 | 2 | - | - | - |
| Colo. | 36 | 25 | - | 3 | 3 | - | 180 | 82 | - | - | 2 |
| N. Mex. | 22 | 21 | N | N | N | 3 | 56 | 37 | - | - | - |
| Ariz. | 37 | 30 | , | 31 | 1 | 1 | 24 | 16 | - | 4 | 1 |
| Utah | 11 | 12 | 1 | 7 | 3 |  | 10 | 10 | - | , | 1 |
| Nev. | 10 | 15 | - | 6 | 12 | - | 12 | 28 | - | - | 1 |
| PACIFIC | 477 | 501 | 2 | 120 | 149 | 9 | 506 | 690 | - | 23 | 63 |
| Wash. | 59 | 67 |  | 14 | 18 |  | 224 | 229 | - | 5 | 13 |
| Oreg. | 95 | 88 | N | N | N | - | 17 | 39 | - | - | 1 |
| Calif. | 318 | 338 | 1 | 87 | 108 | - | 241 | 401 | - | 10 | 46 |
| Alaska | 1 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 9 | 13 | 1 | - |  | - |
| Hawaii | 4 | 3 | - | 16 | 21 | - | 11 | 20 | - | 8 | 3 |
| Guam | , | 4 | U | 1 | 4 | U | - | 2 | U | - | - |
| P.R. | 9 | 10 | U | 5 | 1 |  | - | 2 | U | - | - |
| V.I. |  |  | U | - | 1 | U | - | - | U | - | - |
| Amer. Samoa | - | - | U | - |  | U | - | - | U | - | - |
| C.N.M.I. | - | - | U | 4 | - | U | - | - | U | - | - |

TABLE IV. Deaths in 122 U.S. cities,* week ending August 16, 1997 (33rd Week)

| Reporting Area | All Causes, By Age (Years) |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P\&I }{ }^{\dagger} \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | Reporting Area | All Causes, By Age (Years) |  |  |  |  |  | P\&I ${ }^{\dagger}$ <br> Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { Ages } \end{gathered}$ | >65 | 45-64 | 25-44 | 1-24 | <1 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { Ages } \end{aligned}$ | >65 | 45-64 | 25-44 | 1-24 | <1 |  |
| NEW ENGLAND | 553 | 363 | 95 | 61 | 20 | 14 | 40 | S. ATLANTIC | 1,095 | 680 | 239 | 110 | 31 | 33 | 58 |
| Boston, Mass. | 136 | 60 | 27 | 33 | 12 | 4 | 11 | Atlanta, Ga. | 123 | 80 | 23 | 16 | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| Bridgeport, Conn. | 30 | 26 | 2 | 1 |  | 1 | 3 | Baltimore, Md. | 188 | 99 | 41 | 36 | 6 | 5 | 14 |
| Cambridge, Mass. | 22 | 16 | 6 |  |  | - | 1 | Charlotte, N.C. | 75 | 52 | 17 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| Fall River, Mass. | 24 | 21 | 2 | 1 |  |  | 1 | Jacksonville, Fla. | 126 | 80 | 32 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Hartford, Conn. | 48 | 30 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 2 | Miami, Fla. | 85 | 49 | 20 | 10 | 6 | - | - |
| Lowell, Mass. | 37 | 26 | 6 | 4 | 1 | - | 5 | Norfolk, Va. | 64 | 41 | 11 | 5 | 1 | 6 | - |
| Lynn, Mass. | 11 | 8 |  | 3 |  |  |  | Richmond, Va. | 54 | 34 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 1 |
| New Bedford, Mass. | 22 | 17 | 4 | 1 |  |  | 2 | Savannah, Ga. | 68 | 41 | 22 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 6 |
| New Haven, Conn. | 36 | 21 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 4 | St. Petersburg, Fla. | 79 | 56 | 13 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 4 |
| Providence, R.I. | 57 | 39 | 12 | 2 | 2 | 2 | - | Tampa, Fla. | 174 | 118 | 35 | 10 | 4 | 7 | 23 |
| Somerville, Mass. | 4 | 3 |  | 1 |  | - | - | Washington, D.C. | 49 | 27 | 11 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 2 |
| Springfield, Mass. | 42 | 30 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | Wilmington, Del. | 10 | 3 | 5 | 2 | - | - | - |
| Waterbury, Conn. | 29 | 26 | 3 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Worcester, Mass. | 55 | 40 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 8 | E.S. CENTRAL Birmingham, Ala. | 797 147 | 522 | $\begin{array}{r} 166 \\ 22 \end{array}$ | 59 8 | 29 | 18 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 52 \\ & 11 \end{aligned}$ |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 2,284 | 1,545 | 438 | 216 | 45 | 39 | 97 | Chattanooga, Tenn. | 70 | 49 | 12 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 6 |
| Albany, N.Y. | 59 | 48 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | Knoxville, Tenn. | 58 | 40 | 15 | 3 |  | - | 3 |
| Allentown, Pa. | 23 | 21 | 1 | 1 |  | - | 2 | Lexington, Ky. | 71 | 46 | 19 | 4 | 2 | - | 8 |
| Buffalo, N.Y. | 77 | 51 | 18 | 6 |  | 2 | 1 | Memphis, Tenn. | 165 | 107 | 31 | 14 | 8 | 5 | 11 |
| Camden, N.J. | 29 | 20 | 5 | 3 |  | 1 | 5 | Mobile, Ala. | 75 | 47 | 15 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| Elizabeth, N.J. | 24 | 16 | 4 | 3 |  | 1 | - | Montgomery, Ala. | 60 | 39 | 13 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| Erie, Pa. | 52 | 37 | 10 | 3 | 2 | - | - | Nashville, Tenn. | 151 | 88 | 39 | 13 | 9 | 2 | 6 |
| Jersey City, N.J. | 45 | 31 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New York City, N.Y. | 1,096 | 732 | 210 | 116 | 20 | 18 | 33 | W.S. CENTRAL | 1,409 | 918 | 290 | 110 | 59 | 32 | 78 |
| Newark, N.J. | 71 | 26 | 20 | 17 | 5 | 2 | 8 | Austin, Tex. | 66 56 | 46 | 13 4 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| Paterson, N.J. | 23 | 14 | 3 | 5 | 1 | - | - | Baton Rouge, La. | 62 | 45 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 |
| Philadelphia, Pa. | 401 | 266 | 86 | 35 | 8 | 6 | 13 | Dallas, Tex. | 188 | 121 | 36 | 15 | 10 | 6 | 7 |
| Pittsburgh, Pa.§ Reading, Pa. | 44 | 28 7 | 13 4 | 2 | - | 1 | 4 | El Paso, Tex. | 64 | 39 | 17 | - | 3 | 6 | 6 |
| Rochester, N.Y. | 111 | 84 | 21 | 4 | 2 | - | 11 | Ft. Worth, Tex. | 105 | 69 | 18 | 7 | 4 | 7 | 3 |
| Schenectady, N.Y. | 30 | 24 | 3 | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | Houston, Tex. | 321 | 189 | 82 | 30 | 13 | 7 | 27 |
| Scranton, Pa. | 31 | 24 | 6 |  | - | 1 | - | Little Rock, Ark. | 70 | 54 | 12 | - | 4 | - |  |
| Syracuse, N.Y. | 94 | 71 | 12 | 8 | 3 | - | 8 | New Orleans, La. | 100 | 57 | 20 | 9 | 9 | 5 |  |
| Trenton, N.J. | 24 | 15 | 5 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | San Antonio, Tex. | 205 | 135 | 45 | 19 | 6 | - | 10 |
| Utica, N.Y. | 13 | 10 | 3 |  | - | - | . | Shreveport, La. | 52 | 42 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 5 |
| Yonkers, N.Y. | 26 | 20 | 4 | 2 | - | - |  | Tulsa, Okla. | 120 | 78 | 27 | 11 | 3 | 1 |  |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 1,938 | 1,282 | 383 | 151 | 65 | 55 | 99 | MOUNTAIN | 810 | 502 | 178 | 73 | 29 | 26 | 38 |
| Akron, Ohio | 49 | 1,281 | 13 | 2 | 2 | 1 | - | Albuquerque, N.M. | 100 | 60 | 25 | 9 | 3 | 3 | 5 |
| Canton, Ohio | 44 | 38 | 4 | 1 |  | 1 | 6 | Boise, Idaho | U | U | U | U | U | U | U |
| Chicago, III. | 394 | 223 | 92 | 38 | 27 | 12 | 20 | Colo. Springs, Colo. | 45 | 28 | 8 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 3 |
| Cincinnati, Ohio | 117 | 78 | 21 | 8 | 3 | 7 | 8 | Denver, Colo. | 118 | 74 | 28 | 10 | 3 | 3 | 9 |
| Cleveland, Ohio | 137 | 88 | 23 | 13 | 6 | 7 | 1 | Las Vegas, Nev. | 124 | 70 | 36 | 10 | 3 | 3 | 5 |
| Columbus, Ohio | 149 | 95 | 34 | 11 | 4 | 5 | 8 | Ogden, Utah | 26 | 22 | 42 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 4 |
| Dayton, Ohio | 116 | 82 | 21 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 8 | Phoenix, Ariz. | 170 | 93 | 42 | 20 | 8 | 7 | 8 |
| Detroit, Mich. | 195 | 113 | 51 | 22 | 3 | 6 | 13 | Pueblo, Colo. | 33 | 25 | 4 | 3 |  | 1 | 1 |
| Evansville, Ind. | 24 | 17 | 7 | - | - | - | 1 | Salt Lake City, Utah | 84 110 | 53 77 | 17 | 9 | 4 5 | 5 | 2 |
| Fort Wayne, Ind. | 43 | 33 | 6 | 2 | 2 | - | 2 | Tucson, Ariz. | 110 | 77 | 17 | 9 | 5 | 2 | 2 |
| Gary, Ind. | U | U | U | U | U | U | U | PACIFIC | 1,619 | 1,148 | 290 | 108 | 38 | 35 | 96 |
| Grand Rapids, Mich. | 43 | 32 | 6 | 2 |  | 2 | 1 | Berkeley, Calif. | 1,618 | 14 | 3 |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| Indianapolis, Ind. | 177 | 114 | 38 | 14 | 6 | 5 | 10 | Fresno, Calif. | 95 | 65 | 17 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| Lansing, Mich. | 35 | 29 | 3 | 2 | - | 1 | 11 | Glendale, Calif. | 27 | 19 | 6 | 1 | 1 | - | 2 |
| Milwaukee, Wis. | 118 | 89 | 17 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 11 | Honolulu, Hawaii | 44 | 31 | 10 | 2 | - | 1 | 5 |
| Peoria, III. | 37 | 30 | 4 | - | 1 | 2 | 2 | Long Beach, Calif. | 80 | 59 | 11 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 11 |
| Rockford, III. | 54 | 35 | 11 | 6 | 1 | 1 | - | Los Angeles, Calif. | 647 | 457 | 120 | 41 | 12 | 17 | 26 |
| South Bend, Ind. | 64 | 51 | 8 | 4 | 1 | - | 2 | Pasadena, Calif. | 28 | 20 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| Toledo, Ohio | 93 | 66 | 19 | 5 | 3 | - | 6 | Portland, Oreg. | 55 | 39 | 11 | 3 | 2 | - |  |
| Youngstown, Ohio | 49 | 38 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 2 | - | Sacramento, Calif. | U | U | U | U | U | U | U |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 708 | 489 | 117 | 57 | 26 | 12 | 43 | San Diego, Calif. | 141 | 97 | 24 | 12 | 4 | 4 | 11 |
| Des Moines, lowa | 53 | 40 | 9 | 2 | 2 | - | 4 | San Francisco, Calif. | 107 | 63 140 | 30 24 | 12 | 1 3 | 1 | 11 14 |
| Duluth, Minn. | 29 | 25 | 2 | 2 | - | - | 3 | San Jose, Calif. | 185 | 140 | 24 3 | 14 | 3 | 4 | 14 |
| Kansas City, Kans. | 26 | 20 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 1 3 | Santa Cruz, Calif. Seattle, Wash. | 124 | 15 84 | 22 | 6 | 9 | 3 |  |
| Kansas City, Mo. Lincoln, Nebr. | 76 | 47 | 12 9 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 3 | Seattle, Wash. Spokane, Wash. | $\begin{array}{r}124 \\ 50 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 84 45 | 22 4 | 1 | 9 | 3 | 2 |
| Minneapolis, Minn. | 168 | 121 | 28 | 12 | 4 | 3 | 8 | Tacoma, Wash. | U | U | U | U | U | U | U |
| Omaha, Nebr. | 81 | 54 | 13 | 9 | 2 | 3 | 4 | TOTAL | 11,213 ${ }^{\text {T }}$ | 7,449 | 2,196 | 945 | 342 | 264 | 601 |
| St. Louis, Mo. | 110 | 70 | 16 | 15 | 7 | 2 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| St. Paul, Minn. | 62 | 43 | 11 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wichita, Kans. | 68 | 47 | 13 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*Mortality data in this table are voluntarily reported from 122 cities in the United States, most of which have populations of 100,000 or more. A death is reported by the place of its occurrence and by the week that the death certificate was filed. Fetal deaths are not included.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Pneumonia and influenza.
§Because of changes in reporting methods in this Pennsylvania city, these numbers are partial counts for the current week. Complete counts will be available in 4 to 6 weeks.
TTotal includes unknown ages.
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[^0]:    * Persons aged $\geq 12$ months born during or after 1957.

[^1]:    ${ }^{*}$ Source for all variables: California Behavioral Risk Factor Survey (BRFS), 1995. Results were weighted to account for different probabilities of selection and to adjust to the age, sex, and race distribution for the 1990 census for Californians.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Confidence interval.
    §Persons who had ever been told by a health professional that they had high blood pressure.
    I Persons who had ever been told by a health professional that they had high blood cholesterol.
    ** Ever drinkers who currently drink alcoholic beverages. Numbers for the total California population were not included because questions on the BRFS were not comparable with those used for this survey.
    ${ }^{\dagger \dagger}$ Only asked for persons who reported that they were current drinkers. Numbers for the total California population were not included because questions on the BRFS were not comparable with those used for this survey.
    ${ }^{\S \S}$ Women aged $\geq 50$ years.

