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## Demographic Differences in Notifiable Infectious Disease Morbidity — United States, 1992-1994

Before the 1990s, National Notifiable Diseases Surveillance System (NNDSS) data consisted primarily of summary records that lacked demographic information for persons with reported diseases. By 1990, all 50 states were using CDC's National Electronic Telecommunications System for Surveillance (NETSS) to report individual case data that included demographic information (without personal identifiers) about most nationally notifiable diseases. These data are important for evaluating sex- specific differences in the occurrence of infectious diseases; monitoring infectious disease morbidity trends; determining the relative disease burdens among demographically diverse subpopulations in the United States; targeting prevention; and identifying priorities for research and control. This report describes and compares the numbers and rates of cases for the most frequently reported nationally notifiable infectious diseases, by sex and age of persons with reported illness, reported to CDC during 19921994. The findings indicate that for seven of the 10 most commonly reported notifiable diseases, the reported incidence is lower among women.

NNDSS data were evaluated for the 48 nationally notifiable infectious diseases* reported to CDC by state, territorial, and local health departments during 1992-1994 (1), the most recent years for which all notifiable disease data were available at the time of this analysis. Data for gonorrhea, primary/secondary syphilis, acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS), and tuberculosis (TB) were reported to CDC programs with disease-specific responsibility; other NNDSS data were derived from NETSS reports. Reports for persons for whom age or sex was unknown were not included in this analysis. Postcensal estimates from the Bureau of the Census were used to calculate age- specific and sex-specific rates (2). Children were defined as persons aged
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$<15$ years; adolescents, persons aged 15-19 years; and adults, persons aged $\geq 20$ years. Because AIDS cases were reported in a different format, persons with AIDS aged $<13$ years were defined as children and persons aged 13-19 years as adolescents. AIDS cases included in this analysis met the 1993 AIDS case definition for surveillance (3).

During 1992-1994, the 10 most frequently reported nationally notifiable infectious diseases for all ages and both sexes in the United States were, in descending order, gonorrhea, AIDS, salmonellosis, shigellosis, primary and secondary syphilis, TB, hepatitis $A$, hepatitis $B$, Lyme disease, and hepatitis $C / n o n-A$, non- $B$. The order remained the same when reports for persons for whom age and sex were unknown were included. Although the incidence of most diseases among children were similar for males and females (Table 1), the reported incidence of gonorrhea for females ( 29.8 cases per 100,000 population) was more than three times that for males (8.8). For children aged 10-14 years, the reported rate of gonorrhea for females (79.3) was more than four times that for males (19.4). For adolescents, the reported incidence of gonorrhea for females (878.0) was 1.4 times that for males (627.4) (Table 1). For adolescents, there were also sex-specific differences in the incidences of primary and secondary syphilis, hepatitis $B$, and shigellosis; for all of these diseases, rates for females were approximately twice those for males. For adults, rates were higher among males than females for seven of the 10 most commonly reported notifiable diseases (Table 1).
Reported by: Div of Public Health Surveillance and Informatics (proposed), Epidemiology Program Office, CDC.
Editorial Note: The findings in this analysis underscore the usefulness of reporting individual case data for evaluation of the differences in major causes of reported morbidity in the United States for both males and females of all ages. Although women use the health-care system more frequently than men (4), for seven of the 10 most commonly reported notifiable diseases the reported incidence is lower among women. Among the three broad age categories, the incidences for salmonellosis (28.2 cases per 100,000 population), shigellosis (25.9), and hepatitis A (13.5) were highest among children. Because hepatitis $A$ virus infection in young children is often asymptomatic, the true incidence of this infectious disease among children may be substantially higher than that based on acute disease surveillance. Among adults, only for salmonellosis and shigellosis were the rates higher for women than for men.

Although most cases of salmonellosis are associated with consumption of contaminated foods of animal origin, some cases are related to environmental contamination (e.g., exposure to pet reptiles [5]). Shigella sp. and hepatitis A are transmitted primarily by the fecal-oral route and are possibly related to poor personal hygiene among persons of all ages and inadequate infection-control measures in the home and workplace. Educating family members and other adults who provide care for children about proper hygiene and infection-control measures can decrease transmission of infectious diseases in the home and other settings (e.g., day care centers) (6). Appropriate use of hepatitis $A$ vaccine in communities with increased hepatitis $A$ rates and among persons at increased risk for infection can prevent hepatitis $A$ (7). To prevent and control foodborne diseases, food handlers (all persons involved in production, preparation, and delivery of food to consumers) should be targeted for education
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TABLE 1. Ten most commonly reported nationally notifiable infectious diseases among children, adolescents, and adults,* by sex — United States, 1992-1994 ${ }^{\dagger}$

| Age group/ Rank | Females |  |  | Males |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Disease | No. cases | Rate ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | Disease | No. cases | Rate ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ |
| Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Gonorrhea ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 24,291 | 29.8 | Salmonellosis | 25,457 | 29.2 |
| 2 | Salmonellosis | 22,062 | 26.6 | Shigellosis | 22,272 | 25.6 |
| 3 | Shigellosis | 21,520 | 26.0 | Hepatitis A | 11,688 | 13.4 |
| 4 | Hepatitis A | 11,247 | 13.6 | Gonorrhea ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 7,477 | 8.8 |
| 5 | Pertussis | 5,919 | 7.1 | Pertussis | 5,812 | 6.7 |
| 6 | Congenital syphilis | 4,367 | 5.3 | Congenital syphilis | 4,552 | 5.2 |
| 7 | Lyme disease | 2,633 | 3.2 | Lyme disease | 3,262 | 3.7 |
| 8 | Tuberculosis | 2,539 | 3.1 | Tuberculosis | 2,580 | 3.0 |
| 9 | Meningococcal disease | 1,774 | 2.1 | Meningococcal disease | 2,209 | 2.5 |
| 10 | Mumps | 1,412 | 1.7 | Mumps | 1,963 | 2.3 |
| Adolescents |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Gonorrhea ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 218,018 | 878.0 | Gonorrhea ${ }^{\text {I }}$ | 164,079 | 627.4 |
| 2 | Primary/Secondary syphilis | 5,935 | 23.4 | Primary/Secondary syphilis | 3,067 | 11.4 |
| 3 | Hepatitis A | 2,639 | 10.4 | Hepatitis A | 3,019 | 11.3 |
| 4 | Salmonellosis | 2,280 | 9.0 | Salmonellosis | 2,531 | 9.5 |
| 5 | Hepatitis B | 1,812 | 7.2 | Hepatitis B | 1,011 | 3.8 |
| 6 | Shigellosis | 1,523 | 6.0 | Tuberculosis | 870 | 3.3 |
| 7 | Tuberculosis | 840 | 3.3 | Shigellosis | 865 | 3.2 |
| 8 | Lyme disease | 631 | 2.5 | Lyme disease | 717 | 2.7 |
| 9 | Pertussis | 476 | 1.9 | AIDS ${ }^{\text {® }}$ | 683 | 1.8 |
| 10 | AIDS ${ }^{\text {® }}$ | 425 | 1.2 | Meningococcal disease | 475 | 1.8 |
| Adults |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Gonorrhea ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 344,433 | 122.0 | Gonorrhea ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 531,384 | 205.2 |
| 2 | AIDS | 34,872 | 12.1 | AIDS | 187,211 | 71.0 |
| 3 | Primary/Secondary syphilis | 31,893 | 11.0 | Tuberculosis | 46,160 | 17.5 |
| 4 | Salmonellosis | 30,286 | 10.5 | Primary/Secondary syphilis | 39,504 | 15.0 |
| 5 | Tuberculosis | 23,184 | 8.1 | Hepatitis A | 25,729 | 9.8 |
| 6 | Hepatitis A | 18,258 | 6.3 | Salmonellosis | 24,943 | 9.5 |
| 7 | Shigellosis | 14,274 | 5.0 | Hepatitis B | 21,640 | 8.2 |
| 8 | Hepatitis B | 13,987 | 4.9 | Lyme disease | 10,152 | 3.9 |
| 9 | Lyme disease | 11,024 | 3.8 | Hepatitis C/non-A, non-B | 9,413 | 3.6 |
| 10 | Hepatitis C/non-A, non-B | 4,980 | 1.7 | Shigellosis | 8,054 | 3.1 |

* Children were defined as persons aged <15 years; adolescents, aged 15-19 years; and adults, aged $\geq 20$ years. For AIDS cases, children were persons aged $<13$ years and adolescents were persons aged 13-19 years.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Persons for whom age was not reported are excluded.
§Per 100,000 population.
IData from Georgia were excluded for 1993 because age was not reported and for 1994 because no cases were reported.
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about proper and frequent handwashing, safe storage and preparation of food, and the potential for serious implications (e.g., outbreaks) if food is mishandled (5).

Despite the high incidence of gonorrhea among adolescent and young adult females, surveillance data probably are underestimates because of underreporting. In addition, approximately $50 \%$ of gonococcal infections among females are asymptomatic, and other infected females may not seek treatment for the infection. Therefore, appropriate screening of sexually active adolescent and adult females for gonorrhea is important for accurate surveillance as well as for prevention and control of the disease, which if untreated, can result in serious complications (e.g., pelvic inflammatory disease, infertility, and ectopic pregnancy) (8). Hepatitis B also is sexually transmitted among adolescents and adults and is preventable by hepatitis $B$ vaccine (5).

The data in this report include only the reported cases of those diseases designated as nationally notifiable. Factors affecting the representativeness of cases reported to NNDSS include underreporting; delays in reporting; misdiagnosis of disease; and differential patterns of disease detection, disease reporting, and health-care-seeking behavior. The completeness of reporting is strongly influenced by the interests, priorities, and professional and financial resources of national, state, and local officials responsible for disease control and public health surveillance (9). Although certain diseases are not considered nationally reportable, they may be leading causes of morbidity and mortality (e.g., pneumonia and influenza). For example, chlamydia was not included as a nationally notifiable disease until 1995, when it was the most frequently reported notifiable disease (10); more than 1 million cases of chlamydia were reported during 1992-1994.

Analysis of the data in this report by broad age groups may obscure important differences in rates by age for some diseases. For example, the age distribution of persons reported with cases of Lyme disease is bimodal, with the highest reported incidences among children aged 5-9 years and adults aged 45-69 years and substantially lower incidences among older adolescents and young adults.

Because notifiable diseases are underreported and represent only a subset of all infectious diseases, the findings in this report underscore the need for sustained efforts to improve the completeness and consistency of surveillance systems for monitoring the trends of notifiable infectious diseases. Improved understanding of the epidemiology of infectious diseases in subgroups of the U.S. population can assist public health agencies and others in strengthening measures to prevent, monitor, and control the incidence of infectious diseases.
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## Prolonged Poliovirus Excretion in an Immunodeficient Person with Vaccine-Associated Paralytic Poliomyelitis

Recently completed molecular studies of poliovirus isolates suggest that viral replication of vaccine-related polioviruses may have persisted for as long as 7 years in a patient with vaccine-associated paralytic poliomyelitis (VAPP) in whom common variable immunodeficiency syndrome (CVID) previously had been diagnosed. This report summarizes the clinical and virologic data and discusses the possible implications of these new findings for the global polio eradication initiative, which include how and when to discontinue vaccination when polio has been eradicated.

The case-patient, a man born in 1964, had a history of multiple episodes of upper respiratory infections, otitis media, recurrent fever, chronic cough, sinusitis, and skin infections. At age 9 years, he was diagnosed with allergies to dogs, cats, food items, grass, and trees. At age 12 years, he was hospitalized because of lung infiltrates and maxillary sinusitis; CVID syndrome was diagnosed based on quantitative immune globulins of $42 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ for IgG (normal: 639-1349 mg/dL), $3.8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ for $\operatorname{lgA}$ (normal: $70-132 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ ), and $4.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ for IgM (normal: $56-352 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ ). He was placed on monthly therapy with fresh frozen plasma and maintained IgG levels of $62-330 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ during 1975-1981. His vaccination history included three doses of inactivated poliovirus vaccine administered during 1964-1965 and four doses of trivalent oral poliovirus vaccine (OPV) administered during 1967-1974.

In July 1981, at age 16 years, he had fever ( 104 F [ 40 C$]$ ) and generalized weakness following an episode of diarrheal illness. Four days after onset of fever, he had onset of a stiff neck, diplopia, and generalized paralysis and required mechanical ventilation. Paralytic poliomyelitis was diagnosed. The clinical course included multiple hospital admissions for pneumonia and urinary tract infections. He was ventilator-dependent from 1981 until his death in October 1990.

Stool specimens were obtained from the patient at $11,23,48,126,159$, and 200 days after onset of paralysis in July 1981. Poliovirus type 1 was isolated from each specimen. Initial characterization of isolates by RNase $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ oligonucleotide fingerprinting was inconclusive. Nucleotide sequences encoding the major capsid protein VP1 were determined for each isolate. The first (day 11) isolate contained two subpopulations, equally divergent from the Sabin 1 vaccine strain (by 10\% of VP1 nucleotides), and differing from each other by $6 \%$ of VP1 nucleotides. In contrast, each subpopulation differed from wild type 1 poliovirus isolates by $19 \%-24 \%$ of VP1 nucleotides. Polioviruses isolated from specimens obtained after day 11 were derived from only one subpopulation. VP1 sequences of these isolates revealed a stepwise divergence from the Sabin 1 sequence at a rate of about $1.1 \%$ per year. By assuming that the rate
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of sequence evolution was constant throughout the infection, the initial infection was estimated to have occurred at approximately the time of receipt of the last OPV dose in 1974.
Reported by: Respiratory and Enteric Viruses Br, Div of Viral and Rickettsial Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases; Child Vaccine Preventable Disease Br, Epidemiology and Surveillance Div, and Polio Eradication Activity, National Immunization Program, CDC.
Editorial Note: Although the replication of poliovirus in immunocompetent persons is of limited duration (ranging from several days to 3 months) (1), poliovirus may replicate in immunodeficient persons for considerably longer periods (2). For example, vaccine-related poliovirus has been recovered from cerebrospinal fluid of a patient 1 year after vaccination and continually from stools of two patients for durations of 21 months and 31 months, respectively, after vaccination (3). In a patient with agammaglobulinemia, replication of vaccine virus persisted for at least 684 days (4).

The case described in this report is exceptional because it is the only known VAPP case in an immunodeficient person in which immunodeficiency had been diagnosed before onset of paralytic manifestations (5). In all other cases of VAPP among immunodeficient persons, the paralytic manifestations were the event that prompted consideration of the diagnosis of immunodeficiency. There is no evidence that this virus strain caused other cases of VAPP.

Because stool specimens were obtained only after onset of paralysis, the date the patient initially was infected with the vaccine-derived polioviruses cannot be determined. The extensive sequence differences of the isolates from the parental Sabin 1 strain suggest prolonged replication of the virus in one or more persons since administration of the original OPV dose. Because the two virus subpopulations infecting the patient were equally divergent from the Sabin 1 strain, it is likely that these viruses were derived from a single initiating OPV dose. Divergence of the two subpopulations occurred an estimated 2.5 years before onset of paralysis. The time of the initial infection estimated from VP1 evolution rate data is approximately when the patient received his last dose of OPV. Although the time for following the sequence evolution of the vaccine-derived virus was short (189 days), the rate of genomic evolution is similar to the rate determined for wild type 1 polioviruses circulating during a 10-year period (6). The apparent similarity in the rates of evolution of virus nucleotide sequences during replication in immunodeficient and normal persons is not unexpected, because under both conditions most ( $>90 \%$ ) of the observed mutations do not alter virus proteins and would not be subject to immune selection. However, interpretation of the virologic data presented in this report is limited by the inability to directly determine the time of the initial infection and by the assumption that the rate of VP1 sequence evolution in immunodeficient persons is constant over several years.

The case described in this report was reviewed by an external group of experts convened by CDC in Atlanta on April 2, 1997, and during the meeting of the World Health Organization (WHO) Technical Consultative Group (TCG) on the Global Eradication of Poliomyelitis in Geneva, Switzerland, on April 28, 1997 (7). The conclusion that chronic poliovirus infection of immunodeficient persons is uncommon is based on the absence of any other reported case with a similar course during approximately 30 years of polio surveillance in the United States, including 32 cases of paralytic polio in immunocompromised persons studied since $1980(8,9)$. However, both groups reaffirmed the need for specific research to determine 1) the extent of vaccine virus
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circulation in countries that rely solely on mass vaccination campaigns to deliver OPV and 2) the frequency and duration of vaccine virus shedding in immunocompromised persons, including persons infected with human immunodeficiency virus.

Based on an overall review of available data, the TCG concluded that the evidence is consistent with plans to discontinue polio vaccination after wild poliovirus has been eradicated. However, TCG also recommended that additional scientific studies should be conducted to assure that vaccine viruses will not continue to circulate and cause disease after vaccination has been stopped. A detailed strategy for discontinuing vaccination must be clearly defined to achieve the full benefits of polio eradication (10). WHO is sponsoring studies to determine how and when vaccination can be terminated.
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## Adult Blood Lead Epidemiology and Surveillance United States, First Quarter 1997, and Annual 1996

CDC's National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health Adult Blood Lead Epidemiology and Surveillance (ABLES) program monitors laboratory-reported elevated blood lead levels (BLLs) among adults in the United States. Data for New Mexico, Rhode Island, and Wyoming are included for the first time in this report, increasing the number of reporting states to 27 (Illinois discontinued reporting at the end of 1996). Twenty-five states reported surveillance data to the ABLES program in 1996.* This report presents ABLES data for the first quarter of 1997 compared with the

[^1]
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first quarter of 1996 and annual data for 1996 compared with 1995. The findings from 1995 and 1996 indicate a continuing decrease in the annual number of persons reported with elevated BLLs, although the number of reports in the first quarter of 1997 were higher than that for the same period in 1996.

## First Quarter Reports, 1997

During January 1-March 31, 1997, the number of reports of BLLs $\geq 25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dL}$ increased by $11 \%$ over those reported for the same period in 1996 (Table 1). ${ }^{\dagger}$ This increase contrasts with the long-term decreasing trend noted in ABLES data $(2,3)$ and among adults in the United States (4).

## Annual Reports, 1996

Overall reports of BLLs $\geq 25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dL}$ decreased from 28,943 in 1995 to 25,894 in 1996 (Table 2); this represented an $11 \%$ decrease for the same 25 states reporting in each year. ${ }^{\S}$ The reported number of persons with BLLs $\geq 25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dL}$ decreased by $4 \%$ from 13,231 in 1995 to 12,672 in 1996, while the number of new cases was stable ( 6189 new cases in each year) (Table 2); the only category for which an increase occurred from 1995 to 1996 was the number of new cases with BLLs $\geq 50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dL}$, the level designated

[^2]TABLE 1. Number of reports of elevated blood lead levels (BLLs) among adults, number of adults with elevated BLLs, and percentage change in number of reports 28 states,* first quarter, 1997

| Reported BLL ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{dL}$ ) | First quarter, 1997 |  | No. reports, first quarter, 1996\\| | \% Change from first quarter, 1996 to 1997 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. reports ${ }^{\dagger}$ | No. persons ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ |  |  |
| 25-39 | 5772 | 3998 | 5027 | 15\% |
| 40-49 | 1110 | 752 | 1177 | - 6\% |
| 50-59 | 232 | 165 | 214 | 8\% |
| $\geq 60$ | 113 | 74 | 104 | 9\% |
| Total | 7227 | 4989 | 6522 | 11\% |

* Reported by Alabama, Arizona, California, Connecticut, Iowa, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Washington, Wisconsin, and Wyoming. First quarter 1996 data for Illinois, which no longer reports, are included as an estimate for first quarter 1997 to allow comparison of data for a constant roster of 28 states.
${ }^{\dagger}$ First quarter 1996 data were used as an estimate for Ohio because of problems in Ohio's 1997 first quarter report.
$\S$ Individual reports for persons are categorized according to the highest reported BLL for the person during the given quarter. The number of persons reported in Michigan is an estimate based on the number of reports received. First quarter 1996 data were used as an estimate for Ohio because of problems in Ohio's 1997 first quarter report.
TFirst quarter 1997 data for New Mexico, Rhode Island, and Wyoming are included in addition to previously published 1996 totals (1) to compare data for the same 28 states.
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TABLE 2. Number of reports of elevated blood lead levels (BLLs) among adults, number of adults with elevated BLLs, and new cases* of elevated BLLS - 25 states, ${ }^{\dagger} 1995$ and 1996

| Highest BLL ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{dL}$ ) | 1996 |  |  |  | 1995 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. reports ${ }^{\S}$ | No. persons\\| | New cases |  | No reports ${ }^{\S}$ | No. persons ${ }^{\\|}$ | New cases |  |
|  |  |  | No. | (\%) |  |  | No. | (\%) |
| 25-39 | 20,335 | 9,884 | 4,900 | (50) | 21,754 | 9,888 | 4,705 | (48) |
| 40-49 | 4,228 | 2,037 | 855 | (42) | 5,629 | 2,560 | 1,078 | (42) |
| 50-59 | 847 | 492 | 244 | (50) | 1,061 | 527 | 235 | (45) |
| $\geq 60$ | 484 | 259 | 190 | (73) | 499 | 256 | 171 | (67) |
| Total | 25,894 | 12,672 | 6,189 | (49) | 28,943 | 13,231 | 6,189 | (47) |

${ }^{*}$ A new case is defined as at least one report of a BLL $\geq 25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dL}$ in an adult appearing in state surveillance data during the current year who was not recorded in the immediately preceding year. In 1995, new cases were not reported for Illinois, Michigan, and South Carolina; data for those states were estimated based on proportions from the other states and the number of reports, persons, or unassigned new cases. Also in 1995, new cases for Alabama, New Hampshire, and Vermont were missing; 1994 data were used as an estimate. In 1996, new cases were not reported for Illinois, Michigan, New Hampshire, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, and Vermont; new cases for those states were estimated based on proportions from the other states and the number of reports, persons, or unassigned new cases.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Alabama, Arizona, California, Connecticut, Illinois, lowa, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Washington, and Wisconsin. To compare data for the same 25 states, Minnesota and Ohio data for 1996 were added to previously published 1995 data for 23 states (1). The 1995 data also have been updated with actual Pennsylvania data for reported persons and new cases, which replace the estimates previously used.
§In 1995, data for Alabama and Vermont were missing; 1994 data were used as estimates. In 1996, fourth quarter data for Illinois were missing; 1995 fourth quarter data were used as an estimate.
IIndividual reports are categorized according to the highest reported BLL for the person during the given year. In 1995, data for Alabama and Vermont were missing; 1994 data were used as an estimate. In 1995 and 1996, the number of persons was not reported by Michigan; the number of persons was estimated based on the proportions from the other states and the number of reports from Michigan. In 1996, fourth quarter data for Illinois were missing; 1995 fourth quarter data were used as an estimate.
by the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) for medical removal from the workplace, which increased by $7 \%$ from 406 in 1995 to 434 in 1996. In comparison, from 1994 to 1995, the number of reports of BLLs $\geq 25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dL}$ decreased by $1 \%$, the number of persons with BLLs $\geq 25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dL}$ increased by $8 \%$, and the number of new cases decreased by $3 \%$.
Reported by: JP Lofgren, MD, Alabama Dept of Public Health. K Schaller, Arizona Dept of Health Svcs. S Payne, MA, Occupational Lead Poisoning Prevention Program, California Dept of Health Svcs. BC Jung, MPH, Connecticut Dept of Public Health. M Lehnherr, Occupational Disease Registry, Div of Epidemiologic Studies, Illinois Dept of Public Health. R Gergely, lowa Dept of Public Health. A Hawkes, MD, Occupational Health Program, Bur of Health, Maine Dept of Human Svcs. E Keyvan-Larijani, MD, Lead Poisoning Prevention Program, Maryland Dept of Health and Mental Hygiene. R Rabin, MSPH, Div of Occupational Hygiene, Massachusetts Dept of Public Health. M Scoblic, MN, Michigan Dept of Public Health. M Falken, PhD, Minnesota Dept of Health. L Thistle-Elliott, MEd, Div of Public Health Svcs, New Hampshire State Dept of Health and Human Svcs. B Gerwel, MD, Occupational Disease Prevention Project, New Jersey State Dept of Health and Senior Svcs. D Grogin, MS, New Mexico Dept of Health. R Stone, PhD,
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New York State Dept of Health. S Randolph, MSN, North Carolina Dept of Environment, Health, and Natural Resources. A Migliozzi, MSN, Bur Health Risk Reduction, Ohio Dept of Health. E Rhoades, MD, Oklahoma State Dept of Health. A Sandoval, MS, State Health Div, Oregon Dept of Human Resources. J Gostin, MS, Occupational Health Program, K Ramaswamy, MSc, Bur of Epidemiology, Div of Environmental Health, Pennsylvania Dept of Health. M Stoeckel, MPH, Rhode Island Dept of Health. A Gardner-Hillian, Div of Health Hazard Evaluations, South Carolina Dept of Health and Environmental Control. P Schnitzer, PhD, Bur of Epidemiology, Texas Dept of Health. W Ball, PhD, Bur of Epidemiology, Utah Dept of Health. L Toof, Div of Epidemiology and Health Promotion, Vermont Dept of Health. J Kaufman, MD, Washington Dept of Health. J Tierney, Div of Health, Wisconsin Dept of Health and Family Svcs. T Klietz, Wyoming Dept of Health. Div of Surveillance, Hazard Evaluations, and Field Studies, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, CDC.
Editorial Note: The data reported for 1996 suggest a continued decline in the overall number of detected cases of elevated BLLs among adults, which is consistent with the overall decline reported during 1993-1995 (3). Declines in the number of detected cases may reflect improved efforts of the various participating states, and lead-using industries within them, to identify lead-exposed workers and prevent new lead exposures. Alternatively, this decline may reflect diminished compliance with OSHA requirements for blood lead monitoring and/or a reduction in the size of the workforce in lead-using industries. Variation in nationwide reporting totals also may result from 1) changes in the roster of participating states, 2) changes in staffing and funding in state-based surveillance programs, and 3) state-specific differences in worker BLL testing by lead-using industries. The increase in reports for the first quarter of 1997 is an exception to this trend of decreasing reports. However, this increase may represent variation in quarterly reporting rather than changes in adult lead exposures; continued surveillance is required before this first quarter increase can be adequately interpreted.

The findings in this report document the continuing hazard of lead exposures as an occupational health problem in the United States. The ABLES program seeks to enhance surveillance for this preventable condition by expanding the number of participating states, reducing variability in reporting, and distinguishing between new and recurring elevated BLLs in adults. The effort, described below, by the Bureau of Epidemiology of the Pennsylvania Department of Health (PDH) to improve the adult BLL reporting capability for Pennsylvania is an example of surveillance enhancement fostered by the ABLES program.

During 1994-1995, Pennsylvania provided numbers of BLL reports $\geq 25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dL}$, but did not report numbers of persons or new cases. Because Pennsylvania accounted for approximately $27 \%$ of all elevated BLLs reported, it was important to estimate the numbers of persons and new cases for Pennsylvania rather than omit this substantial portion of the data from the nationwide totals. Therefore, the estimated numbers of persons and new cases for Pennsylvania were based on the number of BLL reports from Pennsylvania and the proportions of persons and new cases to total BLL reports among the other ABLES states. These estimates, identified as such, were included in the yearly totals previously reported for the states in the ABLES program for 1994 and 1995 (1). With the assistance of the PDH's Bureau of Epidemiology, analysis of the database for Pennsylvania for 1994 and 1995 has determined the actual numbers of persons and new cases with BLLs $\geq 25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dL}$ : for 1994, a total of 2005 persons (compared with 2938 estimated previously) and 1089 new cases (compared with 1328); for

## ABLES - Continued

1995, a total of 2897 persons (compared with 3481) and 1779 new cases (compared with 1562). The following corrections in the MMWR ABLES nationwide totals reported previously for 1994 (1) and 1995 (1) result from the addition of these updated Pennsylvania data: in 1994, the nationwide number of persons with BLLs $\geq 25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dL}$ (reported as 12,137 ) should be 11,204 , and the number of new cases (reported as 5619 ) should be 5380 ; in 1995, the total number of persons with BLLs $\geq 25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dL}$ (reported as 12,664 ) should be 12,080 , and the number of new cases (reported as 4993) should be 5210 .
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TThe number of new cases for 1996 in this report (Table 2) still contains an estimate for Pennsylvania. Because of a change in computer databases, actual data for Pennsylvania will be provided for 1996 and for future years.

## Characteristics of Community Report Cards - United States, 1996

Efforts to improve community health require methods to compile local health data, establish local priorities, and monitor health-related activities. Community health report cards (i.e., health assessments or health profiles) are central to these efforts. In 1995, the UCLA Center for Healthier Children, Families, and Communities initiated a 3 -year project to enhance community health improvement efforts through the design and use of effective community report cards. During the first year of the project, the project examined the construction and application of report cards. This report summarizes the results of the first year, which indicate great diversity in the targets, processes, and formats of community report cards.

A total of 250 public health officials, national and state public health organizations, public and private organizations with an interest in community health improvement, and others at the national, regional, and state levels were sent letters requesting that they identify persons responsible for developing community health report cards. A total of 115 communities that were developing or had completed report cards were identified. A self-administered questionnaire was mailed to contacts in each of the 115 communities asking about 1) the report card development process, including community participation; 2) report card design and content; and 3) links between the report card and community health-improvement activities. Respondents also were asked to provide a copy of their most recent community health report card.

Of the 115 communities, 85 had ever produced a report card; 65 ( $76 \%$ ) returned a questionnaire and a copy of their report card. Most questionnaires were completed by the coordinator or director of the community report card project.

Community Report Cards - Continued
Report cards were received from 30 states; 11 were received from California and six each from Connecticut and Florida. Fourteen (22\%) were compiled from state-level data, eight ( $12 \%$ ) from multicounty-, 28 ( $43 \%$ ) from county-, and 14 ( $22 \%$ ) from city- or town-level data; one report card covered four zip code areas. Thirty-five ( $54 \%$ ) of the reports included only health-related indicators; 15 (23\%) included data about crime, transportation, education, and environment. Fifteen (23\%) focused on a specific issue or population subgroup (e.g., children or adolescents) rather than on the total population.

Of the 65 respondents, 49 ( $75 \%$ ) reported their programs had initiated development of report cards in 1992 or later; 51 ( $79 \%$ ) were planning to produce another report card, and 36 ( $55 \%$ ) planned to produce report cards at minimal intervals of 1-2 years. Twenty-seven (42\%) reported using a pre-existing format (e.g., APEX, PATCH, or Model Communities 2000) to guide in development of report cards. Most (57\%) developed report cards based on the experience of others; of these, $30 \%$ developed report cards based on the experience of other states, and $24 \%$ used programs within the same state. Barriers to producing report cards included difficulty collecting data at the local level (32\%) and lack of data ( $29 \%$ ).

Forty-one ( $63 \%$ ) collected some data from local residents. Of those report cards using primary data, $49 \%$ used a research firm or outside consultant and $32 \%$ used the local health department to collect data. Trend data was used in $74 \%$ of reports. The data were compared with other benchmarks (i.e., state or national) in $89 \%$ of the reports.

Respondents identified three major report card uses: identifying areas of need ( $31 \%$ ), formulating public policy ( $32 \%$ ), and providing an up-to-date database ( $26 \%$ ). Forty ( $62 \%$ ) respondents reported that the community report card was part of a wider community health improvement effort, and an additional $20 \%$ said they were planning to link their report card to health-improvement activities. Of those report cards that were part of a wider community health effort, 28 ( $70 \%$ ) used indicators linked to specific health-improvement activities. Report cards were disseminated through newspaper reports (42 [65\%]), a mailing to community organizations (43 [66\%]), and presentation of reports to local organizations (41 [63\%]) and to local government (36 [55\%]).
Reported by: JE Fielding, MD, N Halfon, MD, C Sutherland, PhD, Center for Healthier Children, Families, and Communities, University of California, Los Angeles. Div of Public Health Systems, Public Health Practice Program Office, CDC.
Editorial Note: The findings in this report document the diversity in approaches to producing community report cards. Report cards have been produced at the national level to assess performance of discrete components of the health system (e.g., Health Plan and Employer Data and Information Set [HEDIS]). However, their primary objective is monitoring the health outcomes of patients and the specific performance of organizations (e.g., managed-care organizations) rather than assessing the health status of communities. This project, through comprehensive analysis of existing report cards and in-depth case studies in selected communities, will identify the most effective approaches for communities to design and use report cards and to improve community health processes, activities, and outcomes.

CDC assists communities and states in collecting and analyzing health relevant data, establishing priorities, and developing effective action plans. This project is es-

FIGURE I. Selected notifiable disease reports, comparison of provisional 4-week totals ending July 12, 1997, with historical data - United States

*Ratio of current 4-week total to mean of 154 -week totals (from previous, comparable, and subsequent 4 -week periods for the past 5 years). The point where the hatched area begins is based on the mean and two standard deviations of these 4-week totals.

## TABLE I. Summary - provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, cumulative, week ending July 12, 1997 (28th Week)

|  | Cum. 1997 |  | Cum. 1997 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anthrax | - | Plague | 2 |
| Brucellosis | 31 | Poliomyelitis, paralytic | - |
| Cholera | 3 | Psittacosis | 21 |
| Congenital rubella syndrome | 2 | Rabies, human | 2 |
| Cryptosporidiosis* | 643 | Rocky Mountain spotted fever (RMSF) | 124 |
| Diphtheria | 5 | Streptococcal disease, invasive Group A | 888 |
| Encephalitis: California* | 4 | Streptococcal toxic-shock syndrome* | 22 |
| eastern equine* | - | Syphilis, congenital ${ }^{\text {¹ }}$ | 125 |
| St. Louis* | 1 | Tetanus | 23 |
| western equine* | 1 | Toxic-shock syndrome | 63 |
| Hansen Disease | 53 | Trichinosis | 3 |
| Hantavirus pulmonary syndrome* ${ }^{\text {+ }}$ | 7 | Typhoid fever | 142 |
| Hemolytic uremic syndrome, post-diarrheal* | 22 | Yellow fever | - |

## -:no reported cases

*Not notifiable in all states.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Updated weekly from reports to the Division of Viral and Rickettsial Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases (NCID).
${ }^{5}$ Updated monthly to the Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention-Surveillance and Epidemiology, National Center for HIV, STD, and TB Prevention (NCHSTP), last update June 24, 1997.
${ }^{4}$ Updated from reports to the Division of STD Prevention, NCHSTP.

TABLE II. Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending July 12, 1997, and July 13, 1996 (28th Week)

| Reporting Area | AIDS |  | Chlamydia |  | Escherichia coli 0157:H7 |  | Gonorrhea |  | Hepatitis C/NA,NB |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | NETSS ${ }^{\dagger}$ | PHLIS ${ }^{\text { }}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & \text { 1997* } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1996 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1997 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1996 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1997 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1997 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1997 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cum. } \\ 1996 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1997 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1996 \end{aligned}$ |
| UNITED STATES | 30,463 | 34,369 | 217,409 | 213,016 | 765 | 338 | 136,957 | 157,349 | 1,615 | 1,913 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 1,277 | 1,475 | 8,796 | 8,612 | 71 | 29 | 2,958 | 3,340 | 32 | 51 |
| Maine | 28 | 22 | 501 | U | 8 | - | 29 | 24 |  | - |
| N.H. | 17 | 42 | 397 | 385 | 5 | 3 | 58 | 77 | 6 | 5 |
| Vt. | 23 | 10 | 207 | 235 | 4 | 1 | 26 | 33 | - | 15 |
| Mass. | 467 | 739 | 3,783 | 3,467 | 45 | 25 | 1,183 | 1,126 | 22 | 28 |
| R.I. | 85 | 94 | 1,069 | 1,079 | 1 | - | 243 | 278 | 4 | 3 |
| Conn. | 657 | 568 | 2,839 | 3,446 | 8 | - | 1,419 | 1,802 | - | - |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 9,745 | 9,522 | 29,982 | 35,597 | 46 | 13 | 17,640 | 21,975 | 178 | 160 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 1,645 | 1,163 | N | N | 29 | 4 | 2,799 | 3,823 | 138 | 127 |
| N.Y. City | 4,978 | 5,302 | 15,751 | 19,371 | 8 | - | 7,050 | 8,525 |  | 3 |
| N.J. | 1,973 | 1,869 | 4,573 | 6,864 | 9 | 7 | 3,214 | 4,285 | - | - |
| Pa . | 1,149 | 1,188 | 9,658 | 9,362 | N | 2 | 4,577 | 5,342 | 40 | 30 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 2,041 | 2,762 | 30,983 | 45,989 | 134 | 41 | 19,254 | 29,969 | 301 | 276 |
| Ohio | 396 | 618 | 6,377 | 10,876 | 33 | 15 | 4,185 | 7,611 | 8 | 10 |
| Ind. | 361 | 389 | 4,673 | 5,007 | 27 | 10 | 3,129 | 3,336 | 9 | 7 |
| III. | 765 | 1,203 | 5,798 | 12,975 | 34 | - | 2,822 | 8,750 | 38 | 53 |
| Mich. | 386 | 401 | 9,672 | 11,421 | 40 | 6 | 7,202 | 7,726 | 246 | 206 |
| Wis. | 133 | 151 | 4,463 | 5,710 | N | 10 | 1,916 | 2,546 | - | - |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 565 | 811 | 12,044 | 16,375 | 136 | 65 | 5,737 | 7,610 | 85 | 52 |
| Minn. | 101 | 157 | U | 2,702 | 66 | 27 | U | 1,099 | 3 | - |
| Iowa | 70 | 57 | 2,406 | 1,980 | 20 | 9 | 663 | 504 | 19 | 25 |
| Mo. | 237 | 398 | 5,995 | 7,003 | 17 | 22 | 3,984 | 4,547 | 52 | 13 |
| N. Dak. | 7 | 9 | 434 | 508 | 5 | 3 | 32 | 14 | 2 | - |
| S. Dak. | 4 | 8 | 669 | 688 | 8 | - | 71 | 97 |  |  |
| Nebr. | 61 | 55 | 508 | 1,079 | 13 | - | 129 | 226 | 2 | 5 |
| Kans. | 85 | 127 | 2,032 | 2,415 | 7 | 4 | 858 | 1,123 | 7 | 9 |
| S. ATLANTIC | 7,504 | 8,521 | 46,873 | 27,500 | 86 | 39 | 45,466 | 50,858 | 161 | 98 |
| Del. | 144 | 165 | U | 1,148 | 3 | 3 | 616 | 762 | - | - |
| Md. | 950 | 1,022 | 3,790 | U | 7 | 3 | 6,981 | 5,224 | 10 | 1 |
| D.C. | 538 | 599 | N | N | - | - | 1,576 | 2,374 | - | - |
| Va . | 651 | 542 | 5,857 | 5,802 | N | 18 | 4,188 | 5,104 | 17 | 8 |
| W. Va. | 57 | 65 | 1,613 | 1,131 | N | - | 500 | 383 | 9 | 7 |
| N.C. | 428 | 466 | 9,891 | U | 22 | 12 | 9,457 | 9,888 | 30 | 29 |
| S.C. | 410 | 439 | 6,739 | U | 1 | - | 6,020 | 5,992 | 26 | 15 |
| Ga . | 965 | 1,279 | 6,323 | 6,923 | 25 | - | 7,106 | 11,652 | U | - |
| Fla. | 3,361 | 3,944 | 12,660 | 12,496 | 27 | 3 | 9,022 | 9,479 | 69 | 38 |
| E.S. CENTRAL | 1,022 | 1,132 | 17,381 | 15,926 | 50 | 7 | 17,370 | 16,808 | 189 | 351 |
| Ky. | 177 | 173 | 3,545 | 3,648 | 16 | - | 2,234 | 2,150 | 9 | 20 |
| Tenn. | 418 | 444 | 6,500 | 6,896 | 25 | 7 | 5,415 | 5,931 | 124 | 273 |
| Ala. | 237 | 323 | 4,345 | 4,387 | 6 | - | 6,163 | 6,911 | 6 | 2 |
| Miss. | 190 | 192 | 2,991 | 995 | 3 | - | 3,558 | 1,816 | 50 | 56 |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 3,187 | 3,354 | 28,882 | 11,115 | 28 | 5 | 18,067 | 10,515 | 195 | 187 |
| Ark. | 120 | 144 | 676 | 945 | 4 | 1 | 1,410 | 2,227 |  | 4 |
| La. | 545 | 834 | 4,627 | 3,725 | 4 | 3 | 4,301 | 3,973 | 118 | 110 |
| Okla. | 166 | 139 | 4,006 | 4,012 | 2 | 1 | 2,489 | 2,487 | 4 | 1 |
| Tex. | 2,356 | 2,237 | 19,573 | 2,433 | 18 | - | 9,867 | 1,828 | 73 | 72 |
| MOUNTAIN | 881 | 1,014 | 12,695 | 13,382 | 89 | 45 | 3,925 | 4,148 | 214 | 337 |
| Mont. | 22 | 14 | 477 | 659 | 5 | - | 20 | 14 | 12 | 10 |
| Idaho | 28 | 23 | 790 | 832 | 13 | 8 | 59 | 56 | 28 | 85 |
| Wyo. | 13 | 3 | 294 | 346 | 5 | - | 27 | 17 | 88 | 106 |
| Colo. | 210 | 298 | 1,896 | 1,023 | 33 | 16 | 1,091 | 954 | 24 | 31 |
| N. Mex. | 79 | 56 | 1,870 | 2,191 | 5 | 4 | 652 | 450 | 33 | 40 |
| Ariz. | 227 | 281 | 5,158 | 5,966 | N | 13 | 1,558 | 2,029 | 22 | 37 |
| Utah | 68 | 102 | 847 | 822 | 25 |  | 124 | 160 | 3 | 12 |
| Nev. | 234 | 237 | 1,363 | 1,543 | 3 | 4 | 394 | 468 | 4 | 16 |
| PACIFIC | 4,241 | 5,778 | 29,773 | 38,520 | 125 | 91 | 6,540 | 12,126 | 260 | 401 |
| Wash. | 380 | 380 | 4,748 | 5,308 | 25 | 22 | 1,001 | 1,151 | 17 | 35 |
| Oreg. | 162 | 279 | 2,086 | 2,976 | 38 | 40 | 323 | 448 | 4 | 6 |
| Calif. | 3,643 | 5,016 | 21,315 | 28,729 | 58 | 26 | 4,755 | 10,020 | 156 | 246 |
| Alaska | 22 | 14 | 769 | 563 | 4 | - | 214 | 240 | - | 2 |
| Hawaii | 34 | 89 | 855 | 944 | N | 3 | 247 | 267 | 83 | 112 |
|  | 2 | 4 | 31 | 225 | N | - | 3 | 39 | - | 6 |
| P.R. | 1,021 | 1,047 | U | U | 23 | U | 343 | 347 | 63 | 97 |
| V.I. | 52 | 14 | N | N | N | U | - | - | - | - |
| Amer. Samoa | - | - | - | - | N | U | - | - | - | - |
| C.N.M.I. | 1 | - | N | N | N | U | 16 | 11 | 2 | - |
| N : Not notifiable <br> C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands <br> *Updated monthly to the Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention-Surveillance and Epidemiology, National Center for HIV, STD, and TB Prevention <br> last update June 24, 1997. <br> ${ }_{\S}^{\dagger}$ National Electronic Telecommunications System for Surveillance. <br> ${ }^{\S}$ Public Health Laboratory Information System. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE II. (Cont'd.) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States,
weeks ending July 12, 1997, and July 13, 1996 (28th Week)

| Reporting Area | Legionellosis |  | Lyme Disease |  | Malaria |  | Syphilis(Primary \& Secondary) |  | Tuberculosis |  | Rabies, Animal <br> Cum. <br> 1997 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1997 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1996 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cum. } \\ 1997 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1996 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1997 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cum. } \\ 1996 \end{gathered}$ | Cum. 1997 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1996 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1997 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1996 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| UNITED STATES | 424 | 408 | 1,840 | 3,778 | 736 | 689 | 4,209 | 6,116 | 8,721 | 9,907 | 3,873 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 26 | 19 | 391 | 741 | 37 | 26 | 85 | 88 | 226 | 229 | 570 |
| Maine | 1 | 1 | 6 | 8 | 1 | 4 | - | - | 11 | 16 | 117 |
| N.H. | 3 | - | 7 | 14 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 9 | 8 | 23 |
| Vt. | 4 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 2 | - | - | 3 | 1 | 90 |
| Mass. | 8 | 9 | 84 | 39 | 15 | 8 | 41 | 40 | 132 | 100 | 124 |
| R.I. | 5 | 6 | 53 | 78 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 16 | 23 | 11 |
| Conn. | 5 | N | 238 | 595 | 14 | 8 | 42 | 46 | 55 | 81 | 205 |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 74 | 87 | 1,023 | 2,490 | 184 | 215 | 204 | 282 | 1,626 | 1,740 | 799 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 18 | 25 | 152 | 1,131 | 29 | 40 | 19 | 43 | 218 | 192 | 588 |
| N.Y. City | 2 | 4 | 17 | 141 | 101 | 121 | 41 | 90 | 841 | 910 | - |
| N.J. | 12 | 8 | 362 | 600 | 41 | 38 | 88 | 97 | 327 | 374 | 87 |
| Pa. | 42 | 50 | 492 | 618 | 13 | 16 | 56 | 52 | 240 | 264 | 124 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 139 | 145 | 29 | 189 | 64 | 89 | 340 | 1,027 | 873 | 1,044 | 82 |
| Ohio | 70 | 49 | 23 | 12 | 10 | 8 | 107 | 389 | 168 | 156 | 60 |
| Ind. | 25 | 34 | 5 | 9 | 7 | 6 | 70 | 130 | 81 | 102 | 10 |
| III. | 5 | 19 | 1 | 6 | 22 | 44 | 34 | 284 | 424 | 567 | 4 |
| Mich. | 33 | 28 | - | - | 20 | 19 | 72 | 109 | 143 | 169 | 8 |
| Wis. | 6 | 15 | U | 162 | 5 | 12 | 57 | 115 | 57 | 50 | - |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 37 | 23 | 25 | 67 | 27 | 16 | 75 | 211 | 280 | 244 | 252 |
| Minn. | 1 | 2 | 20 | 9 | 10 | 4 | U | 25 | 73 | 63 | 26 |
| Iowa | 9 | 3 | 1 | 10 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 13 | 32 | 34 | 90 |
| Mo. | 10 | 5 | 2 | 28 | 4 | 7 | 50 | 150 | 116 | 89 | 11 |
| N. Dak. | 2 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | 5 | 3 | 34 |
| S. Dak. | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | $\overline{-}$ | 7 | 13 | 32 |
| Nebr. | 9 | 9 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 8 | 12 | 13 | 1 |
| Kans. | 4 | 2 | 1 | 20 | 2 | 3 | 21 | 15 | 35 | 29 | 58 |
| S. ATLANTIC | 64 | 52 | 241 | 163 | 155 | 105 | 1,770 | 2,067 | 1,767 | 1,801 | 1,653 |
| Del. | 6 | 4 | 24 | 72 | 2 | 2 | 15 | 22 | 11 | 27 | 35 |
| Md. | 14 | 7 | 166 | 37 | 45 | 28 | 493 | 354 | 163 | 158 | 298 |
| D.C. | 3 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 9 | 5 | 50 | 84 | 57 | 73 | 3 |
| Va . | 12 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 34 | 19 | 145 | 237 | 165 | 149 | 330 |
| W. Va. | N | N | 1 | 7 | - | 2 | 3 | 2 | 29 | 29 | 48 |
| N.C. | 7 | 5 | 15 | 29 | 7 | 10 | 392 | 571 | 217 | 247 | 516 |
| S.C. | 2 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 7 | 218 | 227 | 183 | 197 | 83 |
| Ga. | - | 1 | 1 | - | 15 | 11 | 287 | 361 | 317 | 344 | 173 |
| Fla. | 20 | 15 | 15 | 5 | 34 | 21 | 167 | 209 | 625 | 577 | 167 |
| E.S. CENTRAL | 24 | 26 | 37 | 38 | 15 | 17 | 948 | 1,408 | 563 | 762 | 145 |
| Ky. | 2 | 2 | 4 | 13 | 3 | 3 | 85 | 76 | 97 | 127 | 19 |
| Tenn. | 16 | 12 | 18 | 12 | 4 | 7 | 407 | 458 | 154 | 268 | 83 |
| Ala. | 2 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 252 | 298 | 218 | 240 | 43 |
| Miss. | 4 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 3 | 4 | 204 | 576 | 94 | 127 | - |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 7 | 4 | 23 | 41 | 6 | 14 | 596 | 633 | 1,137 | 1,207 | 169 |
| Ark. | - | 1 | 4 | 15 | 2 | - | 66 | 152 | 118 | 107 | 25 |
| La. | 2 | - | 2 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 209 | 299 | - | 5 | 1 |
| Okla. | 2 | 3 | 5 | 3 | - | - | 60 | 108 | 97 | 89 | 66 |
| Tex. | 3 | - | 12 | 22 | - | 12 | 261 | 74 | 922 | 1,006 | 77 |
| MOUNTAIN | 26 | 26 | 9 | 4 | 41 | 29 | 84 | 73 | 291 | 348 | 67 |
| Mont. | 1 | 1 | - | - | 2 | 3 | - | - | 7 | 14 | 17 |
| Idaho | 2 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 7 | 5 | - |
| Wyo. | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | - | 2 | 2 | 3 | 18 |
| Colo. | 8 | 7 | 3 | - | 22 | 14 | 4 | 22 | 56 | 48 | - |
| N. Mex. | 1 | 1 | - | - | 5 | 1 | 8 | 4 | 16 | 52 | 4 |
| Ariz. | 7 | 7 | 1 | - | 4 | 3 | 62 | 38 | 146 | 129 | 26 |
| Utah | 5 | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 11 | 34 | 2 |
| Nev. | 1 | 5 | 1 | - | 3 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 46 | 63 | 2 |
| PACIFIC | 27 | 26 | 62 | 45 | 207 | 178 | 107 | 327 | 1,958 | 2,532 | 136 |
| Wash. | 6 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 9 | 11 | 7 | 6 | 112 | 133 | - |
| Oreg. | - | - | 9 | 10 | 11 | 13 | 5 | 4 | 91 | 94 | 2 |
| Calif. | 20 | 22 | 51 | 31 | 182 | 148 | 93 | 316 | 1,616 | 2,157 | 115 |
| Alaska | - | 1 | - | - | 3 | 2 | 1 | - | 46 | 46 | 19 |
| Hawaii | 1 | - | - | 1 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 93 | 102 | - |
| Guam | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 5 | 55 | - |
| P.R. | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | 124 | 131 | 88 | 105 | 32 |
| V.I. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Amer. Samoa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| C.N.M.I. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 1 | - | - | - |

TABLE III. Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases preventable by vaccination, United States, weeks ending July 12, 1997, and July 13, 1996 (28th Week)

| Reporting Area | H. influenzae, invasive |  | Hepatitis (Viral), by type |  |  |  | Measles (Rubeola) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | A |  | B |  | Indigenous |  | Imported ${ }^{\dagger}$ |  | Total |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & \text { 1997* } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cum. } \\ 1996 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1997 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cum. } \\ 1996 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1997 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1996 \end{aligned}$ | 1997 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cum. } \\ 1997 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1997 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1997 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1997 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1996 \end{aligned}$ |
| UNITED STATES | 617 | 637 | 14,151 | 14,439 | 4,468 | 5,052 | 1 | 50 | 2 | 23 | 73 | 299 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 34 | 17 | 330 | 168 | 79 | 105 | - | 9 | - | 1 | 10 | 11 |
| Maine | 3 | - | 41 | 12 | 7 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| N.H. | 4 | 9 | 19 | 8 | 5 | 8 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - |
| Vt. | 3 | - | 7 | 4 | 2 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Mass. | 21 | 7 | 134 | 83 | 33 | 32 | - | 8 | - | - | 8 | 9 |
| R.I. | 2 | 1 | 65 | 7 | 9 | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Conn. | 1 | - | 64 | 54 | 23 | 49 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 71 | 133 | 1,048 | 978 | 632 | 806 | - | 12 | - | 4 | 16 | 24 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 13 | 35 | 154 | 218 | 123 | 190 | - | 2 | - | 3 | 5 | 4 |
| N.Y. City | 20 | 35 | 376 | 308 | 230 | 288 | - | 4 | - | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| N.J. | 28 | 35 | 180 | 215 | 132 | 166 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| Pa. | 10 | 28 | 338 | 237 | 147 | 162 | - | 5 | - | - | 5 | 11 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 102 | 107 | 1,435 | 1,312 | 478 | 586 | - | 5 | - | 3 | 8 | 16 |
| Ohio | 59 | 56 | 207 | 477 | 47 | 64 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| Ind. | 10 | 7 | 161 | 168 | 53 | 80 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| III. | 22 | 32 | 308 | 332 | 118 | 180 | - | 5 | - | 1 | 6 | 3 |
| Mich. | 10 | 7 | 673 | 224 | 243 | 207 | - | 5 | - | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Wis. | 1 | 5 | 86 | 111 | 17 | 55 | U | - | U | - | - | 9 |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 30 | 24 | 1,075 | 1,130 | 243 | 253 | - | 9 | - | 2 | 11 | 16 |
| Minn. | 20 | 12 | 100 | 56 | 23 | 23 | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | 14 |
| lowa | 3 | 3 | 186 | 212 | 29 | 29 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mo. | 3 | 6 | 558 | 577 | 159 | 161 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| N. Dak. | - | - | 10 | 28 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| S. Dak. | 2 | 1 | 14 | 39 | - | ${ }^{-}$ | - | 8 | - | - | 8 | - |
| Nebr. | 1 | 1 | 48 | 84 | 11 | 18 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Kans. | 1 | 1 | 159 | 134 | 19 | 22 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| S. ATLANTIC | 120 | 113 | 902 | 578 | 671 | 661 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 5 |
| Del. | - | 1 | 16 | 6 | 4 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Md. | 47 | 38 | 145 | 106 | 102 | 84 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| D.C. | 2 | 5 | 14 | 18 | 22 | 26 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| Va . | 7 | 5 | 105 | 83 | 72 | 81 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| W. Va. | 3 | 4 | 6 | 12 | 9 | 14 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| N.C. | 17 | 18 | 108 | 73 | 134 | 188 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| S.C. | 4 | 3 | 66 | 30 | 60 | 45 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ga . | 21 | 28 | 190 | 41 | 57 | 7 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Fla. | 19 | 11 | 252 | 209 | 211 | 212 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| E.S. CENTRAL | 34 | 18 | 344 | 811 | 367 | 440 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ky. | 4 | 5 | 45 | 18 | 23 | 42 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tenn. | 22 | 7 | 212 | 561 | 236 | 256 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ala. | 8 | 5 | 52 | 103 | 40 | 30 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Miss. | - | 1 | 35 | 129 | 68 | 112 | U | - | U | - | - | - |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 31 | 29 | 2,905 | 2,764 | 556 | 599 | - | 3 | - | 1 | 4 | 12 |
| Ark. | 1 | - | 146 | 262 | 31 | 45 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| La. | 6 | 3 | 118 | 83 | 81 | 61 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Okla. | 19 | 23 | 900 | 1,161 | 20 | 24 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tex. | 5 | 3 | 1,741 | 1,258 | 424 | 469 | - | 3 | - | 1 | 4 | 12 |
| MOUNTAIN | 64 | 33 | 2,220 | 2,331 | 493 | 616 | - | 5 | - | - | 5 | 82 |
| Mont. | - | - | 52 | 68 | 5 | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Idaho | 1 | 1 | 80 | 137 | 15 | 64 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Wyo. | 1 | - | 20 | 21 | 20 | 24 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Colo. | 9 | 7 | 247 | 211 | 99 | 66 | - | - | - | - | - | 6 |
| N. Mex. | 8 | 8 | 182 | 263 | 163 | 206 | - | - | - | - | - | 6 |
| Ariz. | 26 | 12 | 1,150 | 894 | 112 | 147 | - | 5 | - | - | 5 | 8 |
| Utah | 3 | 5 | 355 | 525 | 56 | 60 | - | - | - | - | - | 56 |
| Nev. | 16 | - | 134 | 212 | 23 | 43 | U | - | U | - | - | 5 |
| PACIFIC | 131 | 163 | 3,892 | 4,367 | 949 | 986 | - | 5 | - | 7 | 12 | 133 |
| Wash. | 2 | 2 | 295 | 300 | 43 | 57 | - | - | - | - |  | 37 |
| Oreg. | 22 | 22 | 209 | 569 | 61 | 64 | - | - | - | - | - | 7 |
| Calif. | 99 | 133 | 3,292 | 3,420 | 823 | 853 | - | 2 | - | 7 | 9 | 24 |
| Alaska | 2 | 4 | 23 | 28 | 14 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | 63 |
| Hawaii | 6 | 2 | 73 | 50 | 8 | 8 | - | 3 | - | - | 3 | 2 |
| Guam | - | - | - | 6 | 1 | - | U | - | U | - | - | - |
| P.R. | - | 1 | 177 | 113 | 775 | 538 | U | - | U | - | - | 2 |
| V.I. | - | - |  | 24 |  | 21 | U | - | U | - | - | 2 |
| Amer. Samoa | - | ${ }^{-}$ | , | , | - | - | U | - | U | - | - | - |
| C.N.M.I. | 5 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 21 | 5 | U | 1 | U | - | 1 | - |
| N : Not notifiable | U: Un | ailable | -: no | orted cas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE III. (Cont'd.) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases preventable by vaccination, United States, weeks ending July 12, 1997, and July 13, 1996 (28th Week)

| Reporting Area | Meningococcal Disease |  | Mumps |  |  | Pertussis |  |  | Rubella |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cum. } \\ 1997 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cum. } \\ 1996 \end{gathered}$ | 1997 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1997 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cum. } \\ 1996 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1997 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cum. } \\ 1997 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1996 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1997 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1997 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cum. } \\ 1996 \end{gathered}$ |
| UNITED STATES | 2,045 | 1,977 | 3 | 330 | 394 | 71 | 2,598 | 2,025 | - | 65 | 138 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 127 | 81 | - | 7 | 1 | 4 | 533 | 437 | - | - | 24 |
| Maine | 13 | 9 | - | - | - | - | 6 | 15 | - | - | - |
| N.H. | 13 | 3 | - | - | - | 2 | 64 | 19 | - | - | - |
| Vt. | 2 | 3 | - | - | - | 1 | 174 | 10 | - | - | 2 |
| Mass. | 65 | 30 | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 266 | 388 | - | - | 20 |
| R.I. | 9 | 8 | - | 4 | - | - | 12 | - | - | - | - |
| Conn. | 25 | 28 | - | 1 | - | - | 11 | 5 | - | - | 2 |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 178 | 219 | - | 30 | 55 | - | 174 | 132 | - | 3 | 7 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 45 | 54 | - | 6 | 16 | - | 53 | 68 | - | 1 | 3 |
| N.Y. City | 31 | 32 | - | - | 13 | - | 40 | 19 | - | 2 | 2 |
| N.J. | 42 | 48 | - | - | 2 | - | 5 | 7 | - | - | 2 |
| Pa . | 60 | 85 | - | 24 | 24 | - | 76 | 38 | - | - | - |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 295 | 281 | 1 | 35 | 87 | 2 | 191 | 266 | - | 4 | 3 |
| Ohio | 114 | 97 | 1 | 17 | 28 | 1 | 78 | 85 | - | - | - |
| Ind. | 34 | 40 | - | 4 | 5 | - | 30 | 19 | - | - | - |
| III. | 87 | 83 | - | 7 | 17 | 1 | 29 | 62 | - | 1 | 1 |
| Mich. | 36 | 29 | - | 7 | 36 | - | 31 | 23 | - | - | 2 |
| Wis. | 24 | 32 | U | - | 1 | U | 23 | 77 | U | 3 | - |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 151 | 151 | - | 12 | 6 | 6 | 155 | 81 | - | - | - |
| Minn. | 20 | 15 | - | 5 | 2 | - | 101 | 52 | - | - | - |
| Iowa | 34 | 32 | - | 6 | - | 2 | 18 | 3 | - | - | - |
| Mo. | 72 | 61 | - | - | 2 | 4 | 23 | 15 | - | - | - |
| N. Dak. | 1 | 3 | - | - | 2 | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - |
| S. Dak. | 4 | 8 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | - | - | - |
| Nebr. | 7 | 13 | - | 1 | - | - | 4 | 3 | - | - | - |
| Kans. | 13 | 19 | - | - | - | - | 5 | 5 | - | - | - |
| S. ATLANTIC | 366 | 309 | - | 46 | 56 | 18 | 259 | 199 | - | 33 | 29 |
| Del. | 5 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 13 | - | - | - |
| Md. | 35 | 36 | - | 4 | 18 | - | 79 | 68 | - | - | - |
| D.C. | 1 | 4 | - | - | - | 1 | 3 | - | - | - | 1 |
| Va . | 34 | 35 | - | 6 | 7 | 6 | 31 | 23 | - | 1 | 2 |
| W. Va. | 14 | 13 | - | - | - | 1 | 5 | 2 | - | - | - |
| N.C. | 66 | 52 | - | 7 | 11 | - | 68 | 34 | - | 22 | 15 |
| S.C. | 41 | 39 | - | 10 | 5 | - | 11 | 9 | - | 9 | 1 |
| Ga. | 69 | 90 | - | 4 | 2 | - | 7 | 13 | - | - | - |
| Fla. | 101 | 38 | - | 15 | 13 | 10 | 55 | 37 | - | 1 | 10 |
| E.S. CENTRAL | 157 | 138 | - | 16 | 16 | 4 | 59 | 151 | - | - | 2 |
| Ky. | 37 | 20 | - | 3 |  | 1 | 13 | 128 | - | - | - |
| Tenn. | 59 | 42 | - | 3 | 1 | 1 | 23 | 13 | - | - | - |
| Ala. | 45 | 40 | - | 6 | 3 | 2 | 15 | 5 | - | - | 2 |
| Miss. | 16 | 36 | U | 4 | 12 | U | 8 | 5 | U | - | N |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 202 | 225 | - | 34 | 30 | 2 | 64 | 70 | - | 4 | 7 |
| Ark. | 25 | 26 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 11 | 2 | - | - | - |
| La. | 40 | 42 | - | 11 | 11 | 1 | 12 | 5 | - | - | 1 |
| Okla. | 23 | 22 | - | - | - | - | 10 | 5 | - | - | - |
| Tex. | 114 | 135 | - | 23 | 18 | - | 31 | 58 | - | 4 | 6 |
| MOUNTAIN | 117 | 116 | 1 | 44 | 18 | 18 | 743 | 191 | - | 5 | 6 |
| Mont. | 8 | 5 | - | - | - | - | 9 | 7 | - |  | - |
| Idaho | 8 | 16 | - | 2 | - | 10 | 520 | 60 | - | 1 | 2 |
| Wyo. | 1 | 3 | - | 1 | - | - | 5 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Colo. | 33 | 19 | - | 3 | 3 | 5 | 150 | 44 | - | - | 2 |
| N. Mex. | 18 | 20 | N | N | N | 3 | 35 | 33 | - | - | - |
| Ariz. | 32 | 29 | 1 | 30 | 1 | - | 18 | 12 | - | 4 | 1 |
| Utah | 11 | 11 | , | 6 | 3 | - | 4 | 9 | - | , | - |
| Nev. | 6 | 13 | U | 2 | 11 | U | 2 | 25 | U | - | 1 |
| PACIFIC | 452 | 457 | 1 | 106 | 125 | 17 | 420 | 498 | - | 16 | 60 |
| Wash. | 55 | 59 | 1 | 13 | 17 | 15 | 207 | 205 | - | 3 | 12 |
| Oreg. | 92 | 82 | - | - | - | - | 18 | 33 | - | - | 1 |
| Calif. | 302 | 309 | - | 81 | 89 | 2 | 188 | 247 | - | 8 | 44 |
| Alaska | 1 | 5 | - | 2 | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Hawaii | 2 | 2 | - | 10 | 17 | - | 6 | 12 | - | 5 | 3 |
| Guam | - | 4 | U | 1 | 4 | U | - | - | U | - | - |
| P.R. | 8 | 10 | 1 | 5 | 1 |  | - | 2 | U | - | - |
| V.I. | - | - | U | - | 1 | U | - | - | U | - | - |
| Amer. Samoa | - | - | U | - |  | U | - | - | U | - | - |
| C.N.M.I. | - | - | U | 4 | - | U | - | - | U | - | - |

TABLE IV. Deaths in 122 U.S. cities,* week ending
July 12, 1997 (28th Week)

| Reporting Area | All Causes, By Age (Years) |  |  |  |  |  | P\&I ${ }^{\dagger}$ Total | Reporting Area | All Causes, By Age (Years) |  |  |  |  |  | P\& ${ }^{\dagger}{ }^{\dagger}$ Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { Ages } \end{gathered}$ | >65 | 45-64 | 25-44 | 1-24 | <1 |  |  | All Ages | >65 | 45-64 | 25-44 | 1-24 | <1 |  |
| NEW ENGLAND | 558 | 395 | 103 | 41 | 10 | 9 | 34 | S. ATLANTIC | 1,218 | 772 | 267 | 106 | 46 | 27 | 60 |
| Boston, Mass. | 149 | 90 | 41 | 12 | 3 | 3 | 9 | Atlanta, Ga. | 102 | 64 | 20 | 11 | 5 | 2 | 1 |
| Bridgeport, Conn. | 36 | 27 | 6 | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | Baltimore, Md. | 226 | 140 | 55 | 20 | 8 | 3 | 26 |
| Cambridge, Mass. | 13 | 11 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 | Charlotte, N.C. | 102 | 58 | 32 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 4 |
| Fall River, Mass. | 22 | 17 | 4 | 1 | - |  |  | Jacksonville, Fla. | 128 | 87 | 24 | 10 | 2 | 5 | 3 |
| Hartford, Conn. | 50 | 33 | 11 | 2 | 4 | - | 5 | Miami, Fla. | 83 | 54 | 19 | 8 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Lowell, Mass. | 21 | 19 | - | 2 | - |  | 1 | Norfolk, Va. | 57 | 32 | 11 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 2 |
| Lynn, Mass. | 12 | 10 | 1 | 1 | $\overline{-}$ | - | 1 | Richmond, Va. | 83 | 56 | 15 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 7 |
| New Bedford, Mass. | 20 | 17 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | - | Savannah, Ga. | 42 | 31 | 5 | 5 | - | 1 | 6 |
| New Haven, Conn. | 37 | 27 | 5 | 4 | 1 | $\overline{-}$ | 3 | St. Petersburg, Fla. | 62 | 42 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 7 |
| Providence, R.I. | 57 | 41 | 11 | 3 | - | 2 | 7 | Tampa, Fla. | 166 | 117 | 31 | 15 | 3 | - | 7 |
| Somerville, Mass. | 7 | 4 | 3 | - | $\overline{-}$ |  | - | Washington, D.C. | 143 | 78 | 36 | 12 | 15 | 2 | 2 |
| Springfield, Mass. | 44 | 26 | 11 | 4 | 1 | 2 | - | Wilmington, Del. | 24 | 13 | 11 | - | - | - | - |
| Waterbury, Conn. | 30 | 23 | 2 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Worcester, Mass. | 60 | 50 | 6 | 3 | - | 1 | 5 | E.S. CENTRAL <br> Birmingham, Ala. | $\begin{aligned} & 813 \\ & 128 \end{aligned}$ | 526 88 | 178 30 | 65 | 25 | 18 | 37 8 |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 2,271 | 1,518 | 442 | 205 | 59 | 47 | 103 | Chattanooga, Tenn. | 83 | 59 | 12 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 5 |
| Albany, N.Y. | 47 | 33 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | Knoxville, Tenn. | 74 | 47 | 15 | 8 | 4 | - | 3 |
| Allentown, Pa. | 24 | 16 | 6 | 2 | - |  | 1 | Lexington, Ky. | 64 | 38 | 17 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 7 |
| Buffalo, N.Y. | 89 | 64 | 13 | 7 | 3 | 2 | - | Memphis, Tenn. | 186 | 118 | 49 | 11 | 6 | 2 | 9 |
| Camden, N.J. | 41 | 20 | 7 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 4 | Mobile, Ala. | 105 | 69 | 18 | 14 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Elizabeth, N.J. | 19 | 11 | 6 | 1 | 1 | - |  | Montgomery, Ala. | 36 | 23 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Erie, Pa. | 46 | 40 | 4 | 2 | - |  | 2 | Nashville, Tenn. | 137 | 84 | 31 | 11 | 4 | 7 | - |
| Jersey City, N.J. | 16 | 11 | 3 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New York City, N.Y. | 1,263 | 847 | 251 | 123 | 26 | 16 | 53 | W.S. CENTRAL Austin, Tex. | 1,425 80 | 871 53 | 321 17 | 132 7 | 55 | 46 | 49 |
| Newark, N.J. | 59 | 27 | 13 | 14 | 3 | 2 | 2 | Austin, Tex. Baton Rouge, La. | 80 | 53 33 | 17 | 4 | 1 4 | 2 | 1 |
| Paterson, N.J. | 24 | 13 179 | 76 | 4 | 13 | 11 | 19 | ${ }_{\text {Baton Rouge, }}^{\text {Corpus Christi, Tex. }}$ | 48 | 33 26 | 15 10 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Philadelphia, Pa. Pittsburgh, Pa.s | 301 54 | 179 37 | 70 10 | 28 | 13 2 | 11 2 | 19 3 | Corpus Christi, Tex. Dallas, Tex. | 217 | 117 | 51 | 26 | 7 | 16 | 1 |
| Pittsburgh, Pa.§ Reading, Pa. | 54 11 | 37 8 | 10 | 3 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 1 | Dallas, Tex. EI Paso, Tex. | 217 86 | 117 57 | 51 13 | 26 9 | 7 | 16 1 | 1 4 |
| Rochester, $\mathrm{N} . \mathrm{Y}$. | 136 | 105 | 21 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 8 | Ft. Worth, Tex. | 110 | 60 | 31 | 13 | 4 | 2 | 3 |
| Schenectady, N.Y. | 16 | 13 | 2 | 1 | - | - | 1 | Houston, Tex. | 349 | 224 | 84 | 23 | 12 | 6 | 21 |
| Scranton, Pa. | 25 | 22 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | Little Rock, Ark. | 49 | 28 | 11 | 6 | 1 | 3 | - |
| Syracuse, N.Y. | 59 | 40 | 13 | 3 | - | 3 | 4 | New Orleans, La. | 91 | 51 | 21 | 13 | 5 | 1 | - |
| Trenton, N.J. | 26 | 21 | 1 | 3 | - | 1 | 1 | San Antonio, Tex. | 198 | 124 | 37 | 21 | 6 | 10 | 5 |
| Utica, N.Y. | 15 | 11 | 3 | 1 | - |  | 1 | Shreveport, La. | 37 | 25 | 9 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 2 |
| Yonkers, N.Y. | U | U | U | U | U | U | U | Tulsa, Okla. | 108 | 73 | 22 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 5 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 2,007 | 1,350 | 391 | 153 | 56 | 56 | 105 | MOUNTAIN | 947 | 612 | 181 | 87 | 32 | 26 | 57 |
| Akron, Ohio | 51 | , 35 | 12 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 5 | Albuquerque, N.M. | 121 | 71 | 29 | 12 | 8 | 1 | 1 |
| Canton, Ohio | 55 | 40 | 11 | 3 | - | 1 | 2 | Boise, Idaho | 40 | 25 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Chicago, III. | 375 | 236 | 81 | 34 | 12 | 11 | 33 | Colo. Springs, Colo. | 56 | 38 | 13 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| Cincinnati, Ohio | U | U | U | $\cup$ | U | U | U | Denver, Colo. | 96 | 56 | 14 | 14 | 4 | 8 | 7 |
| Cleveland, Ohio | 136 | 93 | 30 | 6 | 1 | 6 |  | Las Vegas, Nev. | 196 | 127 | 45 | 20 | 3 | - | 8 |
| Columbus, Ohio | 206 | 124 | 50 | 17 | 3 | 12 | 10 | Ogden, Utah | 28 | 24 | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 3 |
| Dayton, Ohio | 139 | 106 | 21 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 12 | Phoenix, Ariz. | 187 | 114 | 33 | 23 | 5 | 5 | 20 |
| Detroit, Mich. | 239 | 131 | 59 | 32 | 7 | 10 | 13 | Pueblo, Colo. | 28 | 18 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 1 |
| Evansville, Ind. | 35 | 26 | 5 | - | 1 | 3 | 2 | Salt Lake City, Utah | 81 | 57 | 15 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| Fort Wayne, Ind. | 44 | 36 | 3 | 4 | 1 | - | 3 | Tucson, Ariz. | 114 | 82 | 20 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 9 |
| Gary, Ind. | 12 | 4 | 5 | - | 3 | $\overline{-}$ | - | PACIFIC | 1,630 | 1,157 | 279 | 124 | 43 | 27 | 128 |
| Grand Rapids, Mich. | 58 | 42 | 11 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 4 | Berkeley, Calif. | , 23 | 19 | 3 | 12 | - | 1 | 3 |
| Indianapolis, Ind. | 195 | 128 | 37 | 19 | 5 | 6 | 9 | Fresno, Calif. | 95 | 51 | 24 | 12 | 5 | 3 | 10 |
| Lansing, Mich. | 57 | 34 | 14 | 3 | 6 | - | 1 | Glendale, Calif. | 25 | 20 | 2 | 2 | - | 1 | 1 |
| Milwaukee, Wis. | 126 | 91 | 22 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 5 | Honolulu, Hawaii | 61 | 47 | 7 | 6 | 1 | - | 7 |
| Peoria, III. | 43 | 37 | 3 | 2 | - | 1 | 5 | Long Beach, Calif. | 77 | 48 | 18 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 17 |
| Rockford, III. | 49 | 38 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | Los Angeles, Calif. | 579 | 429 | 92 | 41 | 14 | 3 | 26 |
| South Bend, Ind. | 42 | 34 | 5 | 1 | 2 | - | - | Pasadena, Calif. | 26 | 21 | 3 | - | - | 2 | 4 |
| Toledo, Ohio | 71 | 57 | 8 | 3 | 3 | - | 1 | Portland, Oreg. | 86 | 61 | 16 | 6 | 3 |  | 4 |
| Youngstown, Ohio | 74 | 58 | 8 | 4 | 4 | - | 3 | Sacramento, Calif. | U | U | U | U | U | U | U |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 644 | 443 | 106 | 50 | 27 | 13 | 40 | San Diego, Calif. | 140 | 101 | 23 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 20 |
| Des Moines, lowa | U | U | U | U | U | U | U | San Francisco, Calif | 125 | 83 | 25 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 10 |
| Duluth, Minn. | U | U | U | U | U | U | U | San Jose, Calif. | 121 | 86 | 20 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 11 |
| Kansas City, Kans. | 43 | 27 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 2 | Santa Cruz, Calif. | 33 | 20 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| Kansas City, Mo. | 113 | 74 | 20 | 9 | 5 | - | 2 | Seattle, Wash. | 121 | 75 | 25 | 11 | 6 | 4 | 4 |
| Lincoln, Nebr. | 37 | 27 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | Spokane, Wash. | 68 | 53 |  | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Minneapolis, Minn. | 141 | 94 | 22 | 16 | 5 | 4 | 10 | Tacoma, Wash. | 50 | 43 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| Omaha, Nebr. | 71 | 54 | 13 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | TOTAL | 11,513 | 7,644 | 2,268 | 963 | 353 | 269 | 613 |
| St. Louis, Mo. | 123 | 81 | 22 | 8 | 9 | 3 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| St. Paul, Minn. | 65 | 47 | 14 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wichita, Kans. | 51 | 39 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{*}$ Mortality data in this table are voluntarily reported from 122 cities in the United States, most of which have populations of 100,000 or more. A death is reported by the place of its occurrence and by the week that the death certificate was filed. Fetal deaths are not included.
${ }_{\$}^{\dagger}$ Pneumonia and influenza.
${ }^{\S}$ Because of changes in reporting methods in this Pennsylvania city, these numbers are partial counts for the current week. Complete counts will be available in 4 to 6 weeks.
TTotal includes unknown ages.

## Community Report Cards - Continued

tablishing a baseline for developing and using these report cards at the local and state level. The preliminary findings suggest that some U.S. communities are using community report cards for developing public policy, establishing funding priorities, and developing programs with substantial community participation and support. During the second year of the project, eight report cards in communities with links to healthimprovement activities and with broad community involvement will be analyzed to identify critical factors contributing to their effectiveness. During the third year, a technical-assistance manual will be produced to assist communities in designing report cards and in improving community health processes, activities, and outcomes.

Barriers for developing report cards include lack of data, constraints to obtaining reliable and valid local data, and the time required to develop report cards. Userfriendly software and other design tools may assist communities in producing report cards in a timely manner using the best available data.

## Notice to Readers

## Availability of Morbidity and Mortality Tables on the World-Wide Web

Morbidity and mortality tables from the MMWR are now available on the WorldWide Web at http://www2.cdc.gov:81/mmwr/mmwr.htm. This feature permits viewing of previously published data from MMWR Tables II-IV for any week in 1996 and for any week to date in 1997. Users can view tabular data for all reporting areas for one specific week or data for one specific reporting area for all weeks of 1996 and all weeks to date of 1997.
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[^0]:    * Acquired immunodeficiency syndrome; amebiasis; anthrax; aseptic meningitis; botulism; brucellosis; chancroid; cholera; congenital rubella syndrome; diphtheria; primary encephalitis; Escherichia coli 0157:H7; gonorrhea; granuloma inguinale; Haemophilus influenzae; hepatitis A; hepatitis B; hepatitis, non-A, non-B; hepatitis, unspecified; legionellosis; leprosy; leptospirosis; Lyme disease; lymphogranuloma venereum; malaria; measles; meningococcal infection; mumps; pertussis; plague; poliomyelitis; psittacosis; rabies, animal; rabies, human; rheumatic fever; Rocky Mountain spotted fever; rubella; salmonellosis; shigellosis; syphilis; syphilis, congenital; tetanus; toxic-shock syndrome; trichinosis; tuberculosis; tularemia; typhoid fever; and yellow fever.

[^1]:    *Alabama, Arizona, California, Connecticut, Illinois, lowa, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Washington, and Wisconsin.

[^2]:    ${ }^{\dagger}$ To compare estimates for first quarter data for 1997 and 1996 for a constant roster of 28 states, first quarter 1997 data for New Mexico, Rhode Island, and Wyoming were added to the previously reported totals for the first quarter of 1996 (1), and estimates for first quarter 1996 data for Illinois, which discontinued reporting at the end of 1996, were included in the first quarter totals for 1997.
    § To compare data for the same 25 states in both years, 1996 annual data for Minnesota and Ohio were added to previously published data for 23 states in 1995 (1). The 1995 data have been updated with corrected Pennsylvania data for reported persons and new cases.

