

May 17, 1996 / Vol. 45 / No. 19

- **389** Tetrodotoxin Poisoning Associated With Eating Puffer Fish Transported from Japan — California, 1996
- **392** AIDS Associated with Injecting-Drug Use United States, 1995
- **398** Mosquito-Transmitted Malaria Michigan, 1995
- 400 Mercury Poisoning Associated with Beauty Cream — Texas, New Mexico, and California, 1995–1996

Tetrodotoxin Poisoning Associated With Eating Puffer Fish Transported from Japan — California, 1996

MORBIDITY AND MORTALITY WEEKLY REPORT

On April 29, 1996, three cases of tetrodotoxin poisoning occurred among chefs in California who shared contaminated fugu (puffer fish) brought from Japan by a coworker as a prepackaged, ready-to-eat product. The quantity eaten by each person was minimal, ranging from approximately ¹/₄ to 1¹/₂ oz. Onset of symptoms began approximately 3–20 minutes after ingestion, and all three persons were transported by ambulance to a local emergency department (ED). This report summarizes the investigation of these cases by the San Diego Department of Environmental Health (SDEH) and the Food and Drug Administration (FDA).

Case Reports

Case 1. A 23-year-old man ate a piece of fugu "the size of a quarter" (approximately 1/4 oz). Approximately 10–15 minutes later, he had onset of tingling in his mouth and lips followed by dizziness, fatigue, headache, a constricting feeling in his throat, difficulty speaking, tightness in his upper chest, facial flushing, shaking, nausea, and vomiting. His legs weakened, and he collapsed. On examination in the ED, his blood pressure was 150/90 mmHg; heart rate, 117 beats per minute; respiratory rate, 22 per minute; temperature, 99.3 F (37.4 C); and oxygen saturation, 99% on room air.

Case 2. A 32-year-old man ate three bites of fugu (approximately $1\frac{1}{2}$ oz) over 2–3 minutes. While eating his third bite, he noticed tingling in his tongue and right side of his mouth followed by a "light feeling," anxiety, and "thoughts of dying." He felt weak and collapsed. At the ED, his blood pressure was 167/125 mmHg; heart rate, 112 beats per minute; respiratory rate, 20 per minute; and oxygen saturation, 96% on room air.

Case 3. A 39-year-old man ate approximately $\frac{1}{4}$ oz of fugu after eating a full meal. Approximately 20 minutes after eating the fugu, he had onset of dizziness and mild chest tightness. At the ED, his blood pressure was 129/75 mmHg; heart rate, 84 beats per minute; respiratory rate, 22 per minute; temperature, 97.2 F (36.2 C); and oxygen saturation, 97% on room air.

Diagnosis and Treatment

A presumptive diagnosis of tetrodotoxin poisoning in all three men was based on clinical presentation in the ED and the history of recent consumption of fugu. All were

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES / Public Health Service

Tetrodotoxin Poisoning — Continued

treated with intravenous hydration, gastric lavage, and activated charcoal. Symptoms gradually resolved, and the men were discharged the following day with no residual symptoms.

Follow-Up Investigation

The chef who brought the fugu from Japan failed to declare this item through customs. The remaining fugu was obtained for toxin analysis at FDA. SDEH contacted health authorities in Japan and relayed the product label information for identification of the product manufacturer to assist in their local follow-up investigation.

Reported by: P Tanner, San Diego Dept of Environmental Health; G Przekwas, R Clark, MD, San Diego Regional Poison Center, Univ of California at San Diego Medical Center; M Ginsberg, MD, San Diego County Health Dept; S Waterman, MD, State Epidemiologist, California Dept of Health Svcs. Food and Drug Administration. Div of Environmental Hazards and Health Effects, National Center for Environmental Health; Div of Field Epidemiology, Epidemiology Program Office, CDC.

Editorial Note: The order Tetraodontoidea includes ocean sunfishes, porcupine fishes, and fugu, which are among the most poisonous of all marine life (1). These species inhabit the shallow waters of the temperate and tropical zones and can be exported from China, Japan, Mexico, the Philippines, and Taiwan. The liver, gonads, intestines, and skin of these fish contain tetrodotoxin, a powerful neurotoxin that can cause death in approximately 60% of persons who ingest it (2). Other animals (e.g., California newt and the eastern salamander) also possess tetrodotoxin in lethal quantities (3) (Table 1).

Tetrodotoxin is heat-stable and blocks sodium conductance and neuronal transmission in skeletal muscles. Paresthesias begin 10–45 minutes after ingestion, usually as tingling of the tongue and inner surface of the mouth. Other common symptoms include vomiting, lightheadedness, dizziness, feelings of doom, and weakness. An ascending paralysis develops, and death can occur within 6–24 hours, secondary to respiratory muscle paralysis. Other manifestations include salivation, muscle twitching, diaphoresis, pleuritic chest pain, dysphagia, aphonia, and convulsions. Severe poisoning is indicated by hypotension, bradycardia, depressed corneal reflexes, and fixed dilated pupils. Diagnosis is based on clinical symptoms and a history of ingestion. Treatment is supportive, and there is no specific antitoxin (6). Despite the high death rate associated with tetrodotoxin poisoning, the three persons described in this report survived probably because of the small amount of toxin ingested and rapid stomach evacuation by the ED.

Although personal importation of fugu into the United States is prohibited, FDA has permitted fugu to be imported and served in Japanese restaurants by certified fugu chefs on special occasions. A cooperative agreement with the Japanese Ministry of Health and Welfare ensures fugu is properly processed and certified safe for consumption before export by the government of Japan. If cleaned and dressed properly, the fugu flesh or musculature is edible and considered a delicacy by some persons in Japan, who may pay the equivalent of \$400 U.S. for one meal. Despite careful preparation, fugu remains a common cause of fatal food poisoning in Japan, accounting for approximately 50 deaths annually (7).

Although arriving travelers are required to declare all food products brought into the United States, control measures rely primarily on the traveler. Other foodborne outbreaks in the United States have occurred after consumption of illegally imported Tetrodotoxin Poisoning — Continued

| Type of poisoning | Type of toxin | Source | Symptom onset | Clinical syndrome |
|-------------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------|--|
| Ciguatera | Ciguatoxin | Coral reef fish, barracuda, red snapper, and grouper | 1 to 4 hours | Abdominal pain, diarrhea, vomiting, cold-to-hot sensory reversal, paresthesias, myalgias, and weakness |
| Amnesic shellfish | Domoic acid | Mussels, clams, crabs, and anchovies | 15 minutes to 38 hours | Vomiting, diarrhea, headache, myoclonus, hemiparesis, seizures, coma, and permanent loss of short-term memory |
| Scombroid | Histidine | Tuna, mahi mahi, bonita, mackerel, bluefish, and skipjack | Minutes to 4 hours | Severe headache, dizziness, nausea, vomiting, flushed skin, urticaria, and wheezing |
| Neurotoxic shellfish | Neurotoxin | Mussels and most plankton feeders | Minutes to 3 hours | Diarrhea, vomiting, ataxia, and paresthesias |
| Paralytic shellfish | Saxitoxin | Mussels and clams | ≤30 minutes | Vomiting, diarrhea, facial paresthesias, and respiratory paralysis |

TABLE 1. Types of food poisoning associated with naturally occurring toxins in seafoods, by selected characteristics*

* Adapted from references 4 and 5.

food products (8). Persons who travel to countries where fugu is served should be aware of the potential risk of eating this fish.

References

- 1. Halstead BW. Dangerous marine animals: that bite-sting-shock-are non-edible. Cambridge, Maryland: Cornell Maritime Press, 1959.
- Ellenhorn MJ, Barceloux DG. Medical toxicology: diagnosis and treatment of human poisoning. New York: Elsevier Science Publishing Company, Inc., 1988.
- 3. Bradley SG, Kilka LJ. A fatal poisoning from the Oregon roughskinned newt (*Taricha granulosa*). JAMA 1981;246:247.
- 4. Kim S. Food poisoning: fish and shellfish. In: Olson KR, ed. Poisoning and drug overdose. 2nd ed. Norwalk, Connecticut: Appleton and Lange, 1994.
- 5. Gellert GA, Ralls J, Brown C, Huston J, Merryman R. Scombroid fish poisoning: underreporting and prevention among noncommercial recreational fishers. West J Med 1992;157:645–7.
- 6. Anonymous. Poisindex toxicologic managements. Vol 88: tetrodotoxin. Englewood, Colorado: Micromedex, Inc., 1974–1996.
- 7. Torda TA, Sinclair E, Ulyatt DB. Puffer fish (tetrodotoxin) poisoning: clinical record and suggested management. Med J Aust 1973;1:599–602.
- 8. CDC. Cholera associated with food transported from El Salvador—Indiana, 1994. MMWR 1995; 44:385–6.

AIDS Associated with Injecting-Drug Use — United States, 1995

Injecting-drug use is the second most frequently reported risk behavior for infection with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) (1). As of December 31, 1995, of 513,486 cases of acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) reported to CDC, 184,359 (36%) were directly or indirectly associated with injecting-drug use. Injectingdrug-user (IDU)–associated AIDS cases include persons who are IDUs* (n=161,891), their heterosexual sex partners (n=18,710), and children (n=3,758) whose mothers were IDUs or sex partners of IDUs (1). This report characterizes persons with and trends in IDU-associated AIDS reported to CDC through 1995 from the 50 states, the District of Columbia, and the U.S. territories.

IDU-associated AIDS cases reported in 1995 were analyzed by sex, race/ethnicity, state, and region[†]. Trends in IDU-associated AIDS among adolescents and adults (aged \geq 13 years) were evaluated using the estimated incidence of AIDS-defining opportunistic illness (AIDS-OI), which adjusts for the 1993 expansion of the AIDS surveillance case definition and for reporting delays and anticipated reclassification of cases initially reported with no identified risk (*1,2*). Trends in estimated AIDS-OI incidence were analyzed by quarter for January 1990–June 1995 (the most recent date for which AIDS-OI incidence can be reliably estimated). For inter-area and intergroup comparisons, estimated IDU-associated AIDS-OI incidence rates (per 100,000 population) among adolescents and adults for July 1994–June 1995 were calculated using 1994 Bureau of the Census population estimates by race/ethnicity and region.

IDU-Associated AIDS Cases Reported in 1995

Of 74,180 AIDS cases reported in 1995, a total of 25,860 (35%) were associated with injecting-drug use. Among persons with IDU-associated AIDS, 14,057 (54%) were heterosexual males, 5204 (20%) were female, 3425 (13%) were men who have sex with men (MSM), 2849 (11%) were male and female heterosexual sex partners of IDUs, and 325 (1%) were children whose mothers were either IDUs or sex partners of IDUs. Among persons with IDU-associated AIDS, 50% (12,832) were black, 25% (6509) white, and 24% (6319) Hispanic; Asians/Pacific Islanders (74) and American Indians/Alaskan Natives (88) each accounted for <1% of cases.

When analyzed by sex and sexual orientation, 66% (7125 of 10,777) of AIDS cases reported among women and 85% (14,985 of 17,686) among heterosexual men with an identified exposure category were IDU-associated. In comparison, 10% (3425 of 34,096) of AIDS cases among MSM had a history of injecting-drug use.

IDU-associated AIDS accounted for >50% of cases reported from Delaware (204 [65%] of 314 cases), Puerto Rico (1647 [63%] of 2604), Connecticut (1018 [61%] of 1676), Maryland (1382 [52%] of 2680), and Rhode Island (121 [52%] of 232) and

^{*}Defined as any person who injected drugs at least once after 1977.

[†]Northeast=Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, and Vermont; Midwest=Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, Ohio, South Dakota, and Wisconsin); South=Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia; West=Alaska, Arizona, California, Colorado, Hawaii, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Oregon, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming; U.S. territories=Guam, Puerto Rico, U.S. Pacific Islands, and U.S. Virgin Islands.

accounted for 49% from New York (6130 of 12,394) and New Jersey (2155 of 4364). By region, the Northeast accounted for 44% (11,284) of IDU-associated AIDS cases, followed by the South (29%, 7481), West (13%, 3351), Midwest (8%, 2091), and U.S. territories (6%, 1653).

Trends in IDU-Associated AIDS-OI Cases Among Adolescents and Adults, 1990–1995

During January 1990–June 1995, the quarterly number of estimated IDU-associated AIDS-OI cases among adolescents and adults increased 48%, from approximately 4200 cases to approximately 6300 cases (Figure 1). However, most of this increase occurred during the early 1990s (annual increases of 17% during 1990–1991 compared with 4% during 1993–1994). Among female and heterosexual male IDUs, increases in annual AIDS-OI cases were substantial in the early 1990s and smaller in subsequent years (Figure 2). In comparison, the number of cases among MSM IDUs peaked in 1992 (approximately 1000 per quarter) and subsequently declined (Figure 2). Among heterosexual sex partners of IDUs, the number of cases increased steadily throughout the 1990s: cases among heterosexual sex partners of IDUs during 1990–1991 increased 23% among women and 19% among men; during January–June 1994 and January–June 1995, increases were 9% among women and 17% among men.

Among non-Hispanic black IDUs, cases increased from January–June 1990 to January–June 1995 by 59% (from approximately 1800 cases to 2800 cases). During





*Estimates are adjusted for delays in reporting and reclassification of cases initially reported without risk (1).

FIGURE 2. Estimated number of incident cases* of AIDS-defining opportunistic illness among injecting-drug users (IDUs), by risk-exposure group and quarter year of diagnosis — United States, January 1990–June 1995[†]



*Estimates are adjusted for delays in reporting and reclassification of cases initially reported without risk (1).

[†]Points represent quarterly incidence; line represents "smoothed" incidence (2).

[§]Men who have sex with men.

January–June 1995, the estimated quarterly number of cases among non-Hispanic blacks (approximately 2800 cases) was more than twice that among non-Hispanic whites (approximately 1300 cases) and Hispanics (approximately 1200 cases) (Figure 3)[§]. From January–June 1990 through January–June 1995, the estimated number of cases in the South increased 62% (from 1000 to 1700 per quarter) and in the West by 56% (from approximately 460 to 700 cases per quarter). In comparison, during 1990–1993, AIDS-OI cases increased approximately 37% (from 1700 to 2400 per quarter) in the Northeast and 68% (from 240 to 400 per quarter) in the Midwest and have remained stable.

Estimated IDU-Associated AIDS-OI Rates Among Adolescents and Adults, July 1994–June 1995

During July 1994–June 1995, the estimated annual incidence rate of IDU-associated AIDS-OI among adolescents and adults was 11.1 cases per 100,000 population. In all regions, estimated IDU-associated AIDS-OI rates were higher for non-Hispanic blacks and Hispanics than non-Hispanic whites (Table 1). Rates were nearly 14-fold higher for non-Hispanic black men (78.7 cases per 100,000 population) and nearly 17-fold higher for non-Hispanic black women (31.8) than for non-Hispanic white men (5.8) and non-

[§]Numbers for other racial/ethnic groups were too small for meaningful analysis.

FIGURE 3. Estimated number of incident cases* of AIDS-defining opportunistic illness among injecting-drug users (IDUs), by race/ethnicity[†] and quarter year of diagnosis — United States, January 1990–June 1995[§]



* Estimates are adjusted for delays in reporting and reclassification of cases initially reported without risk (1).

[†]Numbers for other racial/ethnic groups were too small for meaningful analysis.

[§]Points represent quarterly incidence; line represents "smoothed" incidence (2).

Hispanic white women (1.9). Rates for Hispanic men (44.7) and Hispanic women (15.0) were eightfold higher than rates among non-Hispanic whites.

Rates varied substantially by region and were highest in the Northeast (Table 1): of the 13 states with rates \geq 10 cases per 100,000 population, six were located in the Northeast (New York [39.4 cases per 100,000 population], New Jersey [32.3], Connecticut [24.7], Massachusetts [12.5], Rhode Island [12.4], and Pennsylvania [10.1]), six in the South (Delaware [27.8], Maryland [26.2], Florida [19.3], Georgia [12.2], South Carolina [10.6], and Louisiana [10.5]), and one in the West (Nevada [10.6]). Rates also were high in the District of Columbia (91.9) and Puerto Rico (46.4). *Reported by: Local, state, and territorial health depts. Div of HIV/AIDS Prevention, National Center for STD, HIV, and TB Prevention (proposed), CDC.*

Editorial Note: The findings in this report underscore three important trends in the AIDS epidemic. First, although annual increases in the number of cases associated with IDUs continue to occur, these increases have been progressively smaller while AIDS incidence among heterosexual partners of IDUs has continued to increase steadily. Second, IDU-associated AIDS has disproportionately increased among heterosexual minorities, particularly among blacks. Finally, although the highest rates of IDU-associated AIDS-OI continued to occur in the Northeast, the numbers of cases in the South and West continued to increase while increases in the Northeast have slowed.

| TABLE 1. Estimated annual number and rate* of injecting-drug-use-associated AIDS-defining opportunistic illness among | 2 |
|--|-----|
| persons aged ≥13 years, by race/ethnicity [†] and region [§] — United States, July 1994–June 1995 [¶] | Tec |

| | Nort | heast | Mid | west | So | uth | W | est | Total | | |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Race/Ethnicity | No. | Rate | No. | Rate | No. | Rate | No. | Rate | No. | Rate | |
| White, non-Hispanic Black, non-Hispanic Hispanic | 1,900 4,900 2,800 | (5.8) (117.3) (87.6) | 550 1,100 180 | (1.4) (23.4) (12.1) | 1,700 5,300 600 | (3.2) (41.0) (12.0) | 1,400 850 550 | (4.7) (38.7) (6.6) | 5,600 12,100 4,200 | (3.5) (50.9) (21.9) | |
| īotal | 10,400 | (24.6) | 2,000 | (4.0) | 7,900 | (10.7) | 3,100 | (6.8) | 23,300 | (11.1) | |
| [†] Numbers for other r [§] Northeast=Connectio Midwest=Illinois, Inc South=Alabama, Ark Oklahoma, South Ca Montana, Nevada | acial/ethnic cut, Maine diana, Iowa (ansas, Del arolina, Te | c groups we , Massachus a, Kansas, M aware , Dist nnessee, Te o, Oregon | ere too sma setts, New Aichigan, M rict of Colur xas, Virgini Itab Wasbi | II for meani Hampshire, linnesota, M mbia, Florid a, and Wes | ngful analys , New Jerse 1issouri, Nel a, Georgia, I t Virginia; V Wyoming | sis. ey, New Yor praska, Nort Kentucky, Lo Vest=Alaska | rk, Pennsylv h Dakota, (buisiana, Ma , Arizona, (| vania, Rhod Dhio, South Iryland, Mis California, C | e Island, an Dakota and sissippi, Nor olorado, Ha | d Vermo Wiscons th Carolir waii, Idah | |
| [†] Numbers for other r [§] Northeast=Connectic Midwest=Illinois, Inc South=Alabama, Ark Oklahoma, South Ca Montana, Nevada, N [®] Estimates are adjust risk. | acial/ethnie cut, Maine diana, Iowa (ansas, Del arolina, Te Jew Mexico red for dela | c groups we , Massachu: a, Kansas, N aware , Dist nnessee, Te o, Oregon, L ays in repor | ere too sma setts, New Aichigan, M rict of Colur xas, Virgini Jtah, Washi ting of AIDS | II for meani Hampshire, Iinnesota, M mbia, Florid a, and Wes ngton, and S cases and | ngful analys , New Jerse lissouri, Nel a, Georgia, I st Virginia; V Wyoming. anticipated | sis. ey, New Yor oraska, Nort Kentucky, Lo Vest=Alaska redistributio | rk, Pennsylv h Dakota, (ouisiana, Ma , Arizona, (on of cases | vania, Rhod Dhio, South aryland, Mis California, C initially rep | e Island, an Dakota and sissippi, Nor olorado, Ha orted with n | d Vermo Wiscons th Carolir waii, Idah o identifi | |

396

Vol. 45 / No. 19

MMWR

Injecting-Drug Use — Continued

Rates of IDU-associated AIDS in this report were calculated using the total adolescent and adult population. Because the number of IDUs in the United States is unknown, rates of AIDS among IDUs could not be calculated. However, findings of HIV seroprevalence studies among IDUs entering drug-treatment centers are consistent with the results of this report: HIV seroprevalence has been consistently highest among IDUs in the Northeast (27%), intermediate in the South (12%), and lowest in the Midwest (7%) and West (3%) (3). In addition, HIV seroprevalence has been consistently higher among non-Hispanic black and Hispanic IDUs than among non-Hispanic white IDUs (3). Racial differences in the number and rate of IDU-associated AIDS cases probably reflect socioeconomic, behavioral, and other risk factors related to injecting-drug use.

Because IDU-associated risk for AIDS is probably underreported, the findings in this report represent minimum estimates. Multiple overlapping risk behaviors are associated with HIV/AIDS and account for variations in the epidemiology of this disease. For example, through 1995, 17% of the 128,696 heterosexual men and women IDUs with AIDS also reported having heterosexual contact with an HIV-positive person or a person with other risks for HIV (1,4), emphasizing the strong links between heterosexually acquired AIDS and injecting-drug use. In addition, preliminary findings of a study at six sites to verify exposure risk indicated that 21% (120 of 569) of men and 15% (136 of 877) of women initially reported as having heterosexual contact with an HIV-positive partner or a partner at high risk for HIV infection had an additional risk; of these, most (56% of 120 men and 88% of 136 women) had injected drugs (5).

Measures for reducing the occurrence of IDU-associated AIDS include preventing the initiation of injecting-drug use, increasing the number of IDUs in drug treatment, encouraging safer injecting practices among IDUs, and promoting safer sexual behaviors among IDUs and their sex partners (6,7). For example, in Connecticut, partial repeal of needle prescription and drug paraphernalia laws in 1992 allowing purchase of needles and syringes by IDUs without a prescription and possession of this equipment without medical need decreased the sharing of syringes by IDUs (8). In addition, some jurisdictions have implemented needle and syringe exchange programs to provide IDUs with sterile injection equipment and access to drug-abuse treatment and some services (9). Persons who continue to inject drugs should be screened periodically for HIV infection and advised of measures that may reduce risks for infection (10).

References

- 1. CDC. HIV/AIDS surveillance report, 1995. Atlanta: US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, 1996. (Vol 7, no. 2).
- CDC. Update: trends in AIDS diagnosis and reporting under the expanded surveillance definition for adolescents and adults—United States, 1993. MMWR 1994;43:826–31.
- Prevots RD, Allen DM, Lehman JS, et al. Trends in human immunodeficiency seroprevalence among injection drug users entering drug treatment centers, United States, 1988–1993. Am J Epidemiol 1996;143:733–42.
- 4. CDC. Heterosexually acquired AIDS—United States, 1993. MMWR 1994;43:155-60.
- Klevens M, Fleming PL, Neal JJ, et al. Does misclassification of HIV exposure impact AIDS trends among heterosexuals in the United States? [Abstract]. Vancouver, British Columbia: XI International Conference on AIDS 1996 (in press).

- Roehrich L, Wall TL, Sorenson JL. Behavioral interventions for in-treatment injection drug users. In: Diclemente RJ, Peterson JL, eds. Preventing AIDS: theories and methods of behavioral interventions. New York: Plenum Press, 1994:189–208.
- 7. Des Jarlais DC, Friedman SR, Sotheran JL, et al. Continuity and change within an HIV epidemic: injecting drug users in New York City, 1984 through 1992. JAMA 1994;271:121–7.
- 8. Groseclose SL, Weinstein B, Jones TS, et al. Impact of increased legal access to needles and syringes on practices of injecting-drug users and police officers—Connecticut, 1992–1993. Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes and Human Retrovirology 1995;10:82–9.
- 9. CDC. Syringe-exchange programs—United States, 1994–1995. MMWR 1995;44:684–91.
- 10. US Preventive Services Task Force. Guide to clinical preventive services. 2nd ed. Baltimore, Maryland: Williams and Wilkins, 1996:591.

Mosquito-Transmitted Malaria — Michigan, 1995

During the 19th and early 20th centuries, malaria was endemic in many areas of the United States. Although indigenous transmission was interrupted by the 1940s (1), recent outbreaks in New Jersey, New York, and Texas have underscored the potential for reintroduction of mosquitoborne transmission of malaria in the United States (2–4). This report summarizes the investigation of a case of *Plasmodium vivax* malaria diagnosed during September 1995 in a resident of Michigan with no history of international travel; the findings of the investigation indicated that the route of transmission was probably through the bite of a locally infected *Anopheles* spp. mosquito.

Case Investigation

On September 3, 1995, a 31-year-old man was hospitalized because of a 5-day history of fever, chills, sweats, and headache. On physical examination, the only abnormality identified was a temperature of 103 F (39.4 C). A complete blood count on admission identified neutropenia (white blood cell count: 3100/mm³ [normal: 4000-10,000/mm³]) and thrombocytopenia (platelet count: 69,000/mm³ [normal: 150,000-450,000/mm³]). On September 6, examination of the patient's peripheral blood smear identified intracellular red blood cell parasites consistent with *Plasmodium* spp. The diagnosis of *P. vivax* infection was confirmed by slide examination, serologic tests, and DNA amplification using polymerase chain reaction. The patient responded to treatment with chloroquine and primaquine and was discharged on September 9.

The patient had no history of travel outside the United States, receipt of blood transfusions, injecting-drug use, or previous malaria infection. He lived in a suburban area, approximately 5 miles from the Detroit Metropolitan-Wayne County Airport. The patient cited three locations where he had been outdoors at night and could have been exposed to anopheline mosquitoes: a rural campground in southeast Michigan where he slept outdoors on August 18–19, a suburban golf course south of Detroit where he played golf regularly in the evening, and his backyard.

On September 19, adult female *A. quadrimaculatus* and *A. punctipennis*, both competent vectors for malaria, were recovered from dry ice-baited CDC light traps placed overnight at the campground. In addition, anopheline larvae were identified in a small swamp 10–15 meters from the site where the patient had camped. No adult or larval anophelines were recovered from the golf course or the yard. Weather data for three cities in the area indicated that the average evening temperature in August 1995 was 75.6 F (24.2 C), exceeding the 30-year average by 5.9 F (3.3 C).

Malaria — Continued

Active Case Detection and Investigation

A survey of laboratories, infection-control practitioners, infectious disease physicians, and local health departments was conducted to identify all cases of malaria diagnosed by physicians in residents of the area during June 1, 1995–September 19, 1995. Because the patient's campsite was adjacent to an automobile racetrack visited by persons from states in the surrounding region, the survey included 16 counties in southern Michigan, three in northwestern Ohio, and three in northeastern Indiana. The survey identified 10 additional cases of malaria that had been diagnosed in persons living in Michigan and two in Indiana; all 12 of those persons had histories of recent travel to malaria-endemic countries. The species identified were *P. vivax* (six cases), *P. falciparum* (five), and *P. malariae* (one). Only two of the 10 additional cases in Michigan had been reported to public health authorities by September 19.

Possible sources of infection for the case described in this report also included infected anopheline mosquitoes inadvertently transported to Michigan on aircraft ("airport malaria") (5) and unrecognized or unreported malaria infections among recent immigrants, migrant workers, and travelers from malaria-endemic countries. Followup investigation of the diagnosed malaria cases in the survey area did not establish epidemiologic links with the case in this report. Authorities at the Detroit airport reported that no direct flights into Detroit originate from known malaria-endemic areas. Based on information provided by the U.S. Immigration and Naturalization Service, of the 8736 immigrants to the Detroit metropolitan statistical area in 1994, 42% had arrived from countries with areas of endemic malaria transmission. In addition, an estimated 26,000 migrant farm workers enter Michigan each summer, including some who may have arrived from malaria-endemic areas of Mexico and Central America.

Reported by: J Sunstrum, MD, Oakwood Hospital, Dearborn; D Lawrenchuk, MD, K Tait, MPH, Wayne County Health Dept; W Hall, MD, D Johnson, MD, K Wilcox, MD, State Epidemiologist, Michigan Dept of Community Health; E Walker, PhD, Michigan State Univ, East Lansing. Malaria Section, Epidemiology Br, Div of Parasitic Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases; Div of Field Epidemiology, Epidemiology Program Office, CDC.

Editorial Note: The findings of this investigation indicate that the patient probably acquired his malaria infection in Michigan, most likely at the campground. This conclusion is based on at least five factors. First, the patient had no history of foreign travel or other possible exposures identified. Second, he had camped within a few meters of an *Anopheles* spp. breeding site 11 days before the onset of illness—a period consistent with the 8–14-day incubation period of *P. vivax* malaria. Third, the above-average temperatures in southeastern Michigan during August 1995 may have facilitated malaria transmission because warmer external temperatures shorten the reproductive (sporogonic) cycle of the parasite and prolong *Anopheles* spp. survival (1). Fourth, potential sources of mosquito infection include an average of 30 cases of imported malaria reported annually in Michigan and unrecognized or unreported cases among immigrants, migrant workers, and travelers from malaria-endemic countries. Fifth, because no airline flights arriving at the Detroit airport originated in areas where malaria is known to be endemic, transmission was unlikely to have occurred from infected anophelines inadvertently transported to Michigan on aircraft (5).

In the United States, mosquitoborne malaria has not occurred this far north since 1972. From 1957 through 1994, a total of 76 cases of locally acquired malaria were reported in the United States; of these, *P. vivax* was the species identified most frequently (59 [80%]) (1). Since 1986, local outbreaks of malaria have been identified in

Malaria — Continued

California, Florida, New Jersey, New York, and Texas (2–4,6–8). The outbreaks in California and Florida were associated with rural exposure, while those in New Jersey, New York, and Texas occurred in suburban or urban environments.

Although the investigation in Michigan did not identify any additional locally acquired cases, only 20% of the imported cases identified by active detection efforts had been reported through passive surveillance by the time of the investigation. The detection of local outbreaks—which could indicate endemic mosquitoborne transmission of malaria—requires sensitive and timely surveillance. The findings of this investigation underscore the need for enhanced surveillance systems for malaria and the role of laboratory-based case reporting to ensure the prompt identification, reporting, and investigation of all malaria cases. The Michigan Department of Community Health is planning a system of laboratory-based electronic disease reporting for laboratory-confirmed notifiable diseases.

The increasing number of persons in the United States who travel to or immigrate from malaria-endemic areas increases the likelihood of imported cases and locally acquired malaria. Therefore, health-care providers should consider malaria in the differential diagnosis of persons with unexplained fever, particularly during the summer months, initiate appropriate treatment on diagnosis, and promptly report cases to public health officials. Persons can protect themselves from the bites of mosquitoes that transmit malaria and other infectious diseases by using insect repellents containing N,N diethylmethyltoluamide (DEET), wearing long-sleeved clothing, and sleeping in a screened enclosure or under an insecticide-impregnated mosquito net.

References

- 1. Zucker JR. Changing patterns of autochthonous malaria transmission in the United States: a review of recent outbreaks. Emerging Infectious Diseases 1996;2:37–43.
- 2. Brook JH, Genese CA, Bloland PB, Zucker JR, Spitalny KC. Malaria probably locally acquired in New Jersey. N Engl J Med 1994;331:22–3.
- 3. Layton M, Parise ME, Campbell CC, et al. Mosquito-transmitted malaria in New York City, 1993. Lancet 1995;346:729–31.
- 4. CDC. Local transmission of *Plasmodium vivax* malaria—Houston, Texas, 1994. MMWR 1995; 44:295–303.
- 5. Isaacson M. Airport malaria: a review. Bull World Health Organ 1989;67:737-43.
- 6. Maldonado YA, Nahlen BL, Roberto RR, et al. Transmission of *Plasmodium vivax* malaria in San Diego County, California, 1986. Am J Trop Med Hyg 1990;42:3–9.
- 7. CDC. Transmission of *Plasmodium vivax* malaria—San Diego County, California, 1988 and 1989. MMWR 1990;39:91–4.
- 8. CDC. Mosquito-transmitted malaria—California and Florida, 1990. MMWR 1991;40:106–8.

Mercury Poisoning Associated with Beauty Cream — Texas, New Mexico, and California, 1995–1996

The Texas Department of Health (TDH), New Mexico Department of Health (NMDH), and San Diego County Health Department (SDCHD) recently investigated three cases of mercury poisoning among persons who had used a beauty cream produced in Mexico. The investigations implicated the beauty cream as the source of the mercury. The cream, marketed as "Crema de Belleza—Manning," lists "calomel" (mercurous

Mercury Poisoning — Continued

chloride) as an ingredient and was found to contain 6%–8% mercury by weight. This report summarizes the ongoing investigation of these and other possible cases.

Case 1

In September 1995, a previously healthy 15-year-old boy who resided in Texas near the Mexico border had onset of fatigue, weakness, insomnia, myalgias of his extremities, severe headache, sore throat, cough, constipation, and paresthesias of his feet and hands. On September 16, a physician in Piedras Negras, Mexico, prescribed symptomatic treatment for the paresthesia and cough. Subsequent problems included loss of taste, weight loss of approximately 15 pounds, and progressive weakness in his arms and legs. A neurologist in Piedras Negras performed an electromyogram and measured nerve-conduction velocities that were consistent with a demyelinating polyneuropathy.

In early November 1995, the patient was evaluated at a hospital in San Antonio, Texas, where a magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scan of his brain was normal. Findings on examination by a pediatric neurologist included intact cranial nerve function, diffusely decreased deep tendon reflexes, and mild weakness of the lower extremities. On November 3, his blood lead and urine arsenic levels were normal; however, a urine mercury level was 178 μ g/L (normal range: 0–20 μ g/L), and chelation therapy was initiated on December 7.

TDH conducted an environmental assessment of the patient's home in mid-December and did not detect mercury in indoor air, indoor paint, or soil. Family members reported that they ate fish from Mexico once or twice per year and denied hobbies at home or school known to be associated with mercury exposure. However, a container of cream ("Crema de Belleza—Manning") that was used regularly by the patient for treatment of acne had "calomel" listed as an ingredient. Elevated mercury levels (approximately 6% by weight) were confirmed in that container and in a second previously unopened container of the cream. The patient had been using the cream daily since June and was advised to discontinue use.

Case 2

In April 1996, a neurologist in El Paso, Texas, diagnosed mercury poisoning in a 35-year-old woman who resided in New Mexico; urinary mercury levels were $355 \mu g/g$ creatinine (normal: 0–25 $\mu g/g$ creatinine). Beginning in September 1995, the patient had onset of symptoms progressing to paresthesias (left forearm, right leg, and ear), irritability, and insomnia by March 1996. A collaborative investigation by the NMDH and TDH indicated that the woman had used "Crema de Belleza—Manning" for approximately 10 years and had no other known exposures to mercury. She was immediately advised to discontinue use of the cream.

Case 3

On May 7, 1996, SDCHD identified mercury poisoning in a 33-year-old woman who resided in San Diego, California; urinary mercury levels were $143 \mu g/g$ creatinine. During 1992–1996, the woman had had weekly severe migraine headaches of 3–4 days' duration, irritability, fatigue, short-term memory loss, night blindness, and inability to eat products from tin cans because of overt metal taste. Since 1990, the patient had been using "Creme de Belleza—Manning" daily on her face, hands, and chest and had

Mercury Poisoning — Continued

no other known exposures to mercury. She was immediately advised to discontinue use of the cream.

Follow-Up Investigation and Control Measures

TDH and the California Department of Health Services (CDHS) are investigating additional cases of possible mercury poisoning related to the use of "Crema de Belleza— Manning." On April 19, TDH issued press releases recommending that persons discontinue use of "Crema de Belleza—Manning" and that persons with potential manifestations of mercury poisoning or who were exposed to the product consult their physicians. Physicians were advised to contact local poison-control centers regarding the medical management of patients exposed to mercury. In addition, because the cream is considered hazardous waste, TDH recommends that cream be disposed of in a manner consistent with the proper disposal of hazardous household waste such as batteries or paint. CDHS will issue similar recommendations. For disposal instructions, commercial retailers with remaining stock can contact Paul Thomas, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, telephone (214) 665-6707.

During April 22–30, 1996, the Mexican Secretary of Health seized 35,000 containers of "Crema de Belleza—Manning" in the State of Tampaulipas, Mexico, for testing at the National Public Health Laboratory. Laboratory analyses confirmed high levels of mercury (approximately 8% by weight) in the cream. As a result, the Mexican Secretary of Health issued an epidemiologic alert to all northern border states of Mexico to enhance surveillance for cases of acute or chronic mercury intoxication.

Reported by: JF Villanacci, PhD, R Beauchamp, MD, DM Perrotta, PhD, Bur of Epidemiology; K Hendricks, MD, Bur of Communicable Disease Control; M Rodriguez, MD, Office of Border Health; RJ Dutton, PhD, Environmental and Consumer Health; K Sutton, MS, Public Health Region 8; J Duran, Public Health Region 9/10; DM Simpson, MD, State Epidemiologist, Texas Dept of Health. K Richards, Office of Border Health; D Nelson, Div of Epidemiology, Evaluation, and Planning; F Crespin, MD, Public Health Div; CM Sewell, DrPh, State Epidemiologist, New Mexico Dept of Health. M Bartzen, M Ginsberg, MD, San Diego County Health Dept, San Diego; L Senini, Office of Border Health, F Nava, S Richardson, S Waterman, MD, State Epidemiologist, California Dept of Health Svcs. MG Lombera, MD, MA Ruíz, MD, P Cravioto, MS, Director General of Epidemiology, Ministry of Health; O Saldate, National Laboratory of Public Health, Ministry of Health; G Flores, MD, Health Svcs of Tampaulipas, Mexico. Environmental Hazards Epidemiology Section, Health Studies Br, Div of Environmental Hazards and Health Effects, National Center for Environmental Health; Div of Field Epidemiology, Epidemiology Program Office, CDC.

Editorial Note: Although the product associated with these three reported cases of mercury poisoning is sold primarily in Mexico, the ongoing investigation also is assessing reports that the product may be sold in the United States in some border-area shops. Furthermore, some U.S. residents residing in the border-area frequently travel to Mexico to purchase pharmaceuticals for use in the United States.

The product label is printed in Spanish and lists "calomel" (i.e., mercurous chloride) as an ingredient, but does not indicate the concentration. Because mercury compounds are readily absorbed through the skin, Food and Drug Administration regulations restrict the use of these compounds as cosmetic ingredients: specifically, mercury can be used only as a preservative in eye-area cosmetics at concentrations not exceeding 65 ppm (0.0065%); no effective and safe nonmercurial substitute preservative is available for use in such cosmetics.*

^{*21} CFR 700.13.

Vol. 45 / No. 19

MMWR

Mercury Poisoning — Continued

Urinary mercury concentrations >20 μ g/L or >25 μ g/g creatinine have been associated with signs and symptoms of mercury poisoning. Chronic exposure to mercury salts can result in central nervous system toxicity, including personality changes; nervousness; irritability; tremors; weakness; fatigue; loss of memory; changes in or loss of hearing, vision, or taste (1); gingivitis; stomatitis; and excessive salivation. In children, mercury poisoning can result in the syndrome of acrodynia, which is characterized by severe leg cramps, irritability, paresthesias, excessive perspiration, pruritus, and painful redness and peeling of the palms of the hands and soles of the feet. Acute poisoning with mercury salts can result in a metallic taste, nausea, vomiting, bloody diarrhea, severe abdominal pain, and tenesmus. Renal damage may include acute tubular necrosis and excessive protein, casts, and red blood cells in the urine. Additional information about mercury poisoning is available from local poison-control centers.

Reference

 Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry. Toxicological profile for mercury. Atlanta, Georgia: US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Agency for Toxic Substances and Diseases Registry, May 1993.



FIGURE I. Selected notifiable disease reports, comparison of 4-week totals ending May 11, 1996, with historical data — United States

- * The large apparent decrease in the number of reported cases of measles (total) reflects dramatic fluctuations in the historical baseline.
- [†]Ratio of current 4-week total to mean of 15 4-week totals (from previous, comparable, and subsequent 4-week periods for the past 5 years). The point where the hatched area begins is based on the mean and two standard deviations of these 4-week totals.

| | Cum. 1996 | | Cum. 1996 |
|--|---|--|---|
| Anthrax Brucellosis Cholera Congenital rubella syndrome Cryptosporidiosis* Diphtheria Encephalitis: California* eastern equine* St. Louis* western equine* Hansen Disease Hantavirus pulmonary syndrome* [†] | 25 1 502 1 - 1 - 33 5 | HIV infection, pediatric* [§] Plague Poliomyelitis, paralytic [¶] Psittacosis Rabies, human Rocky Mountain spotted fever (RMSF) Streptococcal toxic-shock syndrome* Syphilis, congenital** Tetanus Toxic-shock syndrome Trichinosis Typhoid fever | 92 - - 48 9 - 55 55 10 102 |

TABLE I. Summary — cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, cumulative, week ending May 11, 1996 (19th Week)

*Not notifiable in all states.

¹ Updated weekly from reports to the Division of Viral and Rickettsial Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases (NCID). [§] Updated monthly to the Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention, National Center for HIV, STD, and TB Prevention (NCHSTP)

(proposed), last update April 30, 1996. [¶]No suspected cases of polio reported for 1996. **Updated quarterly from reports to the Division of STD Prevention, NCHSTP. First quarter 1996 is not yet available.

-: no reported cases

| | | | | Esche | richia | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|-------------------------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------|--------------|--|
| | AID | S* | Chlamvdia | coli O | 157:H7 PHI IS [§] | Gono | rrhea | Hepa C/N | atitis A.NB | Legion | ellosis | |
| Reporting Area | Cum. 1996 | Cum. 1995 | Cum. 1996 | Cum. 1996 | Cum. 1996 | Cum. 1996 | Cum. 1995 | Cum. 1996 | , Cum. 1995 | Cum. 1996 | Cum. 1995 | |
| UNITED STATES | 21,920 | 25,977 | 81,890 | 295 | 121 | 97,047 | 136,487 | 1,274 | 1,457 | 239 | 440 | |
| NEW ENGLAND | 878 | 1,402 | 3,438 | 27 | 16 | 2,791 | 1,919 | 45 | 41 | 13 | 4 | |
| Maine N.H. | 15 25 | 23 43 | - 224 | 3 | - 1 | 18 41 | 30 36 | - 1 | - 5 | 1 | - | |
| Vt. | 8 | 13 | - | 5 | 5 | 23 | 17 | 19 | 4 | 1 | - | |
| R.I. | 490 61 | 635 89 | 2,437 777 | 2 | - | 202 | 1,082 | 22 | 31 | 6 5 | 3 1 | |
| Conn. | 279 | 599 | - | 5 | - | 1,695 | 556 | - | - | N | Ν | |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 5,707 | 6,454 | 12,836 | 40 | 22 | 10,658 | 15,701 | 126 | 130 | 50 10 | 55 12 | |
| N.Y. City | 3,281 | 3,079 | 4,121 | - | - | 2,608 | 5,788 | 107 | 1 | - | 1 | |
| N.J. Pa | 1,143 715 | 1,607 965 | 1,902 6,813 | 12 N | 5 | 2,157 3 681 | 1,310 5 164 | - 18 | 56 10 | 7 | 13 29 | |
| F N. CENTRAL | 1.874 | 2,207 | 13,776 | 65 | 26 | 14,898 | 28,995 | 161 | 123 | 73 | 150 | |
| Ohio | 438 | 496 | 3,526 | 24 | 8 | 2,222 | 9,233 | 4 | 5 | 34 | 67 | |
| Ind. III. | 309 758 | 195 888 | 3,812 | 14 17 | 6 2 | 2,685 5.922 | 3,009 7.530 | 6 22 | - 42 | 18 2 | 36 17 | |
| Mich. | 257 | 492 | 4,101 | 10 | 10 | 2,911 | 6,837 | 129 | 76 | 16 | 14 | |
| WIS. | 112 | 136 | 2,337 | N 42 | - | 1,158 | 2,386 | - | - | 3 | 16 | |
| Minn. | 548 109 | 804 119 | 8,811 | 42 | 13 | 5,309 U | 1,104 | 90 | 25 1 | 15 | - 21 | |
| lowa Ma | 44 | 32 | 1,343 | 7 | 4 | 392 | 565 | 71 | 3 | 3 | 8 | |
| N. Dak. | 237 | 2/3 | 4,950 | 1 | - 1 | 2,920 | 4,306 | - 14 | 10 | - | 2 | |
| S. Dak. | 7 | 7 51 | 482 | 2 | - | 74 | 78 | - 1 | 1 | 2 | - 7 | |
| Kans. | 107 | 121 | 1,646 | 4 15 | 4 | 866 | 1,059 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | |
| S. ATLANTIC | 5,803 | 7,218 | 19,065 | 18 | 3 | 36,425 | 40,057 | 60 | 98 | 34 | 71 | |
| Del. Md | 114 658 | 133 1 118 | - 2 253 | - N | - 1 | 532 4 825 | 726 4 597 | 1 | - 2 | - | - 14 | |
| D.C. | 373 | 441 | 2,255 N | - | - | 1,571 | 1,776 | - | - | 1 | 3 | |
| Va. W. Va | 317 31 | 545 35 | 4,440 | N | 1 | 3,564 | 4,194 | 5 | 3 20 | 9 1 | 4 | |
| N.C. | 266 | 310 | - | 5 | 1 | 7,139 | 9,067 | 18 | 25 | 3 | 14 | |
| S.C. Ga | 283 871 | 324 888 | 4 256 | 1 | - | 4,163 8 017 | 4,236 7 594 | 13 | 4 11 | 3 | 13 | |
| Fla. | 2,890 | 3,424 | 8,116 | 5 | - | 6,454 | 7,644 | 17 | 33 | 11 | 11 | |
| E.S. CENTRAL | 776 | 816 | 9,668 | 9 | 4 | 10,687 | 15,815 | 250 | 494 | 20 | 13 | |
| Ky. Tenn. | 283 | 347 | 2,407 3,862 | N | 4 | 3,656 | 4,921 | 215 | 481 | 2 9 | 3 6 | |
| Ala. | 244 | 231 | 3,201 | 2 | - | 4,938 | 6,194 | 1 | 2 | - | 3 | |
| WISS. | 2 006 | 157 | 198 | 3 11 | - | U 6 057 | 3,033 | 24 150 | - 70 | 9 | ן ד | |
| Ark. | 2,090 | 108 | 4,302 | 5 | 2 | 1,017 | 1,756 | 130 | 1 | - | 1 | |
| La. Okla | 559 | 360 | 2,502 | N 1 | 2 | 2,813 | 4,330 | 60 55 | 45 | - 2 | 2 | |
| Tex. | 1,385 | 1,891 | - 2,000 | 1 | - | 1,828 | 5,667 | 34 | 12 | - | 1 | |
| MOUNTAIN | 648 | 820 | 5,343 | 35 | 15 | 2,507 | 3,435 | 246 | 172 | 10 | 51 | |
| Mont. Idaho | 8 10 | 8 22 | - 600 | 3 11 | 4 | 12 34 | 30 49 | 9 65 | 22 | 1 | 2 | |
| Wyo. | 2 | 5 | 268 | - | - | 10 | 18 | 80 | 69 | 2 | 2 | |
| N. Mex. | 181 | 268 | - | 12 | 5 | 626 313 | 1,101 388 | 23 | 30 26 | 4 | 23 | |
| Ariz. | 197 | 202 | 3,549 | Ň | 6 | 1,278 | 1,231 | 27 | 7 | 2 | 5 | |
| Nev. | 79 128 | 52 192 | 254 672 | 5 | - | 49 185 | 83 535 | 4 | 6 5 | - 1 | 4 10 | |
| PACIFIC | 3,590 | 3,997 | 4,391 | 48 | 9 | 6,815 | 11,323 | 146 | 296 | 22 | 62 | |
| Wash. | 313 | 416 | 3,653 | 10 | 5 | 850 | 927 145 | 26 | 73 | 1 | 5 | |
| Calif. | 3,025 | 3,282 | - | 22 | - | 5,497 | 9,682 | 48 | 193 | 21 | 52 | |
| Alaska Hawaii | 10 53 | 39 102 | N 430 | - N | - | 196 122 | 299 | 2 | 1 0 | - | - 5 | |
| Guam | 33 | 102 | 430 | N | - | 22 | 250 | - | 7 | - | - | |
| P.R. | 423 | 952 | Ň | N | U | 105 | 221 | 16 | 59 | - | - | |
| V.I. Amer. Samoa | 6 | 19 | N | N N | UU | - | 14 8 | - | - | - | - | |
| C.N.M.I. | - | - | N | N | ŭ | 11 | 11 | - | - | - | - | |

TABLE II. Cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending
May 11, 1996, and May 13, 1995 (19th Week)

N: Not notifiable U: Unavailable -: no reported cases C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands

*Updated monthly to the Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention, National Center for HIV, STD, and TB Prevention (proposed), last update April 30, 1996. *National Electronic Telecommunications System for Surveillance. *Public Health Laboratory Information System.

| | Lyme Disease | | Malaria | | Mening | ococcal | Syp | hilis | Tuboro | ulasia | Rabies, Animal | |
|---------------------------|-----------------|------------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------------------|------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|------------|
| | Cum. | cum. | Cum. | Cum. | Cum. | Cum. | (Primary & Cum. | Cum. | Cum. | Cum. | Cum. | Cum. |
| Reporting Area | 1996 | 1995 | 1996 | 1995 | 1996 | 1995 | 1996 | 1995 | 1996 | 1995 | 1996 | 1995 |
| UNITED STATES | 1,149 | 1,781 | 333 | 360 | 1,392 | 1,327 | 3,917 | 6,035 | 5,470 | 5,959 | 1,788 | 2,451 |
| NEW ENGLAND Maine | 49 | 152 1 | 11 3 | 15 1 | 52 9 | 60 3 | 61 | 80 2 | 135 4 | 131 | 202 | 650 |
| N.H. | 1 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 23 | 78 |
| Mass. | 22 | 15 | 4 | 3 | 19 | 19 | 27 | 30 | 56 | 68 | 36 | 249 |
| R.I. Conn. | 21 5 | 34 90 | 2 | 2 8 | 20 | - 20 | - 33 | 46 | 18 54 | 16 42 | 21 62 | 92 145 |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 956 | 1,380 | 81 | 89 | 111 | 152 | 161 | 361 | 924 | 1,294 | 258 | 552 |
| Upstate N.Y. N.Y. City | 468 155 | 129 | 19 36 | 40 | 35 18 | 45 19 | 20 53 | 34 185 | 457 | 130 716 | 135 | 205 |
| N.J. Pa. | 72 261 | 148 379 | 22 4 | 20 10 | 31 27 | 37 51 | 48 40 | 73 69 | 238 122 | 233 215 | 53 70 | 135 212 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 15 | 16 | 29 | 49 | 198 | 197 | 589 | 1,002 | 652 | 526 | 15 | 3 |
| Ohio Ind. | 13 2 | 5 7 | 6 4 | 2 | 76 33 | 55 31 | 222 88 | 343 99 | 105 66 | 102 47 | 3 1 | 1 |
| III. Mich | - | 3 | 7 | 33 | 46 | 52 | 178 | 367 | 415 | 358 | - | 2 |
| Wis. | Ū | Ů | o 4 | 5 | 18 | 26 | 60 | 77 | 27 | 19 | 5 | - |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 36 | 27 | 9 | 8 | 116 | 75 14 | 162 27 | 301 17 | 137 | 211 | 171 11 | 121 |
| lowa | 16 | 1 | 1 | - | 25 | 15 | 9 | 25 | 19 | 31 | 88 | 40 |
| Mo. N. Dak. | 2 | 12 | 4 | 4 | 53 2 | 27 | 119 | 243 | 55 2 | 83 | 10 15 | 12 12 |
| S. Dak. Nebr | - | - 1 | - | - 1 | 3 10 | 3 | - 3 | - 7 | 11 7 | 8 8 | 37 2 | 28 |
| Kans. | 17 | 13 | 1 | - | 13 | 10 | 4 | 9 | 19 | 40 | 8 | 23 |
| S. ATLANTIC | 47 1 | 142 19 | 68 2 | 77 | 263 | 219 2 | 1,296 16 | 1,588 7 | 922 | 910 19 | 907 23 | 800 |
| Md. | 24 | 87 | 19 | 19 | 24 | 15 | 220 | 150 | 103 | 158 | 219 | 161 |
| Va. | - | 8 | 3 7 | 8 15 | 4 26 | 26 | 68 181 | 46 265 | 49 82 | 38 62 | 2 204 | 5 144 |
| W. Va. N.C. | 3 10 | 7 10 | 1 7 | 1 | 6 33 | 4 41 | 1 398 | 1 427 | 22 123 | 38 99 | 35 232 | 35 156 |
| S.C. | 2 | 5 | 3 | - | 29 | 30 51 | 165 | 258 | 40 | 109 | 20 | 47 |
| Fla. | 6 | 1 | 18 | 17 | 65 | 48 | 133 | 158 | 272 | 377 | 58 | 99 |
| E.S. CENTRAL | 15 | 9 1 | 7 | 8 | 90 16 | 80 23 | 987 54 | 1,537 | 406 | 493 103 | 64 17 | 101 |
| Tenn. | 5 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 7 | 23 | 372 | 305 | 74 | 165 | 23 | 41 |
| Ala. Miss. | 7 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 35 32 | 18 | 208 353 | 221 928 | 158 86 | 76 | - 24 | 51 |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 7 | 27 | 10 | 5 | 170 | 146 | 471 | 812 | 591 | 692 | 21 | 45 |
| Ark. La. | 4 | 2 | - | 1 | 22 33 | 18 20 | 208 | 406 | 20 | 12 | 3 10 | 22 |
| Okla. Tex. | 2 1 | 13 12 | - 10 | - 3 | 14 101 | 16 92 | 59 74 | 230 | 30 541 | - 603 | 8 | 14 |
| MOUNTAIN | - | 1 | 22 | 23 | 86 | 106 | 44 | 100 | 194 | 216 | 32 | 41 |
| Mont. Idaho | - | - | 1 | 2 1 | 1 10 | 2 5 | - 1 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 17 |
| Wyo. | - | - | 2 12 | - 12 | 3 | 5 | 1 15 | - 50 | 1 | 1 | 11 1 | 14 |
| N. Mex. | - | - | 1 | 3 | 18 | 22 | - | 1 | 29 | 22 | 1 | - |
| Ariz. Utah | - | - | 3 | 2 | 26 8 | 38 5 | 24 | 16 3 | 87 10 | 87 10 | 12 | - 9 |
| Nev. | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 7 | 3 | 18 | 32 | 82 | 2 | 1 |
| Wash. | 24 1 | 27 | 96 | 86 8 | 306 | 292 | 146 | 254 6 | 1,509 | 1,486 91 | 118 | 138 |
| Oreg. Calif | 7 15 | 1 25 | 8 78 | 6 64 | 59 198 | 52 186 | 3 141 | 6 241 | 35 1 306 | 21 1 283 | - 110 | - 132 |
| Alaska | - | - | 1 | 1 | 4 | 3 | - | 1 | 24 | 29 | 8 | 6 |
| Guam | - | | - 3 | - | 2 | 2 | - 2 | - | 28 | ο2 5 | - | - |
| P.R. | - | - | - | - | 2 | 12 | 48 | 123 | 20 | 53 | 10 | 27 |
| v.i. Amer. Samoa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| C.N.M.I. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 13 | - | - |

TABLE II. (Cont'd.) Cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending
May 11, 1996, and May 13, 1995 (19th Week)

N: Not notifiable U: Unavailable -: no reported cases

| | H. influ | uenzae, | | Hepatitis (vi | ral), by type | Measles (Rubeola) | | | | |
|---------------------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|-------------------|--------|--------------|--------|---------------------|
| | inva | sive | | A | E | 3 | Ind | igenous | lm | ported [†] |
| Reporting Area | Cum. 1996* | Cum. 1995 | Cum. 1996 | Cum. 1995 | Cum. 1996 | Cum. 1995 | 1996 | Cum. 1996 | 1996 | Cum. 1996 |
| UNITED STATES | 497 | 512 | 9,205 | 9,312 | 3,063 | 3,539 | 4 | 95 | 2 | 14 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 12 | 28 | 110 | 70 | 54 | 80 | - | 5 | - | 1 |
| Maine N H | 2 | 1 | 10 3 | 13 4 | 2 | 2 | ū | - | ū | - |
| Vt. | - | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Mass. R I | 3 | 7 | 60 3 | 23 10 | 17 4 | 26 7 | - | 3 | - | 1 |
| Conn. | - | 13 | 32 | 17 | 27 | 35 | - | 1 | - | - |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 73 | 54 | 596 | 574 | 473 | 480 | 1 | 4 | - | 4 |
| Upstate N.Y. N.Y. Citv | 21 10 | 14 14 | 151 258 | 117 279 | 225 | 117 | 1 | - 4 | - | - 3 |
| N.J. | 25 | 8 | 123 | 82 | 88 | 126 | - | - | - | - |
| Pa. | 1/ | 18 | 64 700 | 96 | 43 | /2 | - | - | - | 1 |
| Ohio | 47 | 93 48 | 372 | 698 | 330 46 | 421 | - | 3 2 | - | 3 - |
| Ind. | 2 | 14 | 130 | 57 | 52 | 93 | - | - | - | - |
| Mich. | 3 | 24 7 | 122 | 256 146 | 152 | 150 | - | - | - | 2 |
| Wis. | 5 | - | 43 | 94 | 24 | 28 | - | 1 | - | - |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 20 | 29 11 | 684 | 524 | 183 | 236 | - | 4 | - | 1 |
| lowa | 6 | 2 | 168 | 26 | 68 | 15 | - | - | - | - |
| Mo. N. Dak | 5 | 13 | 311 | 378 | 82 | 171 | - | - | - | - |
| S. Dak. | 1 | - | 34 | 10 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Nebr. Kaps | 1 | 1 | 77 50 | 12 | 6 17 | 14 16 | - | - | - | - |
| | 121 | 137 | 301 | 393 | 405 | 482 | | 2 | _ | |
| Del. | 1 | - | 5 | 6 | 1 | 3 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Md. D.C. | 29 3 | 39 | 77 15 | 75 | 111 14 | 105 9 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Va. | 4 | 13 | 54 | 71 | 52 | 34 | - | - | - | - |
| W. Va. N.C. | 4 14 | 6 18 | 10 42 | 10 49 | 11 129 | 21 116 | - | - | - | - |
| S.C. | 3 | - | 29 | 13 | 38 | 19 | - | - | - | - |
| Ga. Fla. | 58 5 | 29 32 | 62 | 4 I 125 | 5 44 | 49 126 | - | - | - | - |
| E.S. CENTRAL | 8 | 4 | 726 | 493 | 291 | 375 | - | - | - | - |
| Ky. | 2 | 1 | 9 510 | 24 | 21 | 42 | - | - | - | - |
| Ala. | 5 | 3 | 84 | 44 | 20 | 46 | - | - | - | - |
| Miss. | 1 | - | 114 | 31 | 61 | - | U | - | U | - |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 16 | 23 | 1,629 | 972 67 | 319 | 370 | - | - | - | 2 |
| La. | - | 1 | 47 | 32 | 40 | 64 | - | - | - | - |
| Okla. Tex | 15 1 | 15 3 | 673 689 | 198 675 | 46 202 | 44 250 | - | - | - | - 2 |
| MOUNTAIN | 59 | 44 | 1.327 | 1.602 | 389 | 287 | 2 | 9 | - | 1 |
| Mont. | - | - | 50 | 24 | 4 | 9 | - | - | - | - |
| idano Wyo. | 30 | 2 | 118 | 58 | 50 14 | 36 8 | - | - | - | - |
| Colo. | 5 | 7 | 146 | 202 | 54 | 49 | 1 | 2 | - | 1 |
| N. Mex. Ariz. | 9 | 6 13 | 193 393 | 300 442 | 64 | 33 | 1 | - 3 | - | - |
| Utah | 5 | 5 | 344 | 360 | 47 | 23 | - | - | - | - |
| Nev. | 2 117 | 9 100 | 2 024 | 2 4 2 2 | 14 610 | 000 | - | 4 | - | - |
| Wash. | 1 | 4 | 214 | 215 | 44 | 60 | - | 4 | - | - |
| Oreg. | 17 | 12 | 437 | 708 | 31 540 | 43 | 1 | 1 | - 1 | - |
| Alaska | - | - 02 | 2,322 | 2,432 | 2 | 5 | - | 62 | - | - |
| Hawaii | 2 | 2 | 35 | 64 | 2 | 6 | - | - | - | 1 |
| Guam PR | - 1 | - 2 | 2 33 | 2 10 | - 137 | - 110 | U | - 1 | U | - |
| V.I. | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | U | - | U | - |
| Amer. Samoa C.N.M.I. | - 10 | 2 | - 1 | 5 14 | - 5 | - 6 | U U | - | U U | - |

TABLE III. Cases of selected notifiable diseases preventable by vaccination, United States, weeks ending May 11, 1996, and May 13, 1995 (19th Week)

*Of 106 cases among children aged <5 years, serotype was reported for 26 and of those, 5 were type b.

[†]For imported measles, cases include only those resulting from importation from other countries.

N: Not notifiable U: Unavailable -: no reported cases

| | Measles (Rul | peola), cont'd. | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|--------------|-----------------|------|---------|----------|--------|--------------|--------------|------|--------------|--------------|--|
| | Тс | otal | | Mump | S | | Pertussi | s | | Rubella | а | |
| Reporting Area | Cum. 1996 | Cum. 1995 | 1996 | Cum. | Cum. | 1996 | Cum. 1996 | Cum. 1995 | 1996 | Cum. 1996 | Cum. 1995 | |
| UNITED STATES | 109 | 191 | 14 | 230 | 327 | 59 | 968 | 977 | 2 | 66 | 32 | |
| NEW ENGLAND | 6 | 4 | - | - | 4 | 1 | 169 | 151 | 1 | 8 | 6 | |
| Maine | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | 8 | 17 | - | - | - | |
| N.H. | - | - | U | - | - | U | 17 | 9 | U | - | 1 | |
| VI. Mass | 4 | - 2 | - | - | - | - 1 | 134 | 3 115 | - | 2 | - 2 | |
| R.I. | - | 2 | - | - | | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Conn. | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 3 | 7 | - | 2 | 3 | |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 8 | 3 | 1 | 27 | 49 | 6 | 87 | 87 | - | 4 | 3 | |
| Upstate N.Y. | | - | 1 | 8 | 14 | 6 | 48 | 50 | - | 3 | - | |
| N.Y. City N.J. | - | 3 | | 4 | 7 | - | - 14 | 6 | - | - | 2 | |
| Pa. | 1 | - | - | 15 | 21 | - | 25 | 17 | - | - | - | |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 6 | 9 | 5 | 62 | 57 | 1 | 129 | 107 | - | 3 | - | |
| Ohio | 2 | - | 3 | 26 | 18 | 1 | 55 | 36 | - | - | - | |
| Ind. | - 1 | - | - 2 | 5 13 | 5 16 | - | 10 | 23 | - | - 1 | - | |
| Mich. | 2 | 7 | - | 18 | 18 | - | 12 | 23 | - | 2 | - | |
| Wis. | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 5 | 12 | - | - | - | |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 5 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 22 | 1 | 41 | 65 | - | 1 | - | |
| Minn. | 5 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 31 | 24 | - | - | - | |
| lowa Mo | - | - 1 | - | - | 4 12 | - | 2 | 1 15 | - | 1 | - | |
| N. Dak. | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 4 | 5 | - | | - | |
| S. Dak. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 7 | - | - | - | |
| Nebr. | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | |
| Kans. | - | - | - | - | - | _ | 3 | 10 | - | - | _ | |
| S. AILANTIC | 2 | 1 | 1 | 24 | 54 | 5 | 110 | 96 | 1 | 12 | 5 | |
| Md. | 1 | - | - | 9 | 13 | 2 | 45 | 10 | - | - | - | |
| D.C. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | |
| Va. | - | - | - | 3 | 13 | - | 5 | 7 | - | - | - | |
| N C. | - | - | - | - | - 16 | - | 25 | 49 | - | - | - | |
| S.C. | - | - | - | 3 | 5 | - | 5 | 10 | - | 1 | - | |
| Ga. | - | - | 1 | 2 | | 2 | 4 | - | - | - | - | |
| | - | I | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 17 | 13 | - | 10 | 5 | |
| E.S. CENTRAL | - | - | - | 10 | 10 | 1 | 36 | 26 | - | 2 | - | |
| Tenn. | - | - | - | 1 | | 1 | 23 | 4 | - | - | - | |
| Ala. | - | - | - | 4 | 4 | - | 1 | 20 | - | - | - | |
| Miss. | - | - | U | 5 | 6 | U | 4 | - | N | N | N | |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 2 | 2 | 2 | 11 | 21 | - | 19 | 48 | - | 1 | 2 | |
| Ark. | - | 2 | - | - | 5 | - | 2 | 6 1 | - | - 1 | - | |
| Okla. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 7 | - | - | - | |
| Tex. | 2 | - | 2 | 3 | 10 | - | 10 | 34 | - | - | 2 | |
| MOUNTAIN | 10 | 57 | 1 | 19 | 12 | 7 | 120 | 232 | - | 2 | 3 | |
| Mont. | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 4 | 3 | - | - | - | |
| Wvo. | - | - | - | - | 2 - | 4 | 40 | - | - | - | - | |
| Colo. | 3 | 17 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 18 | 33 | - | - | - | |
| N. Mex. | - | 29 | N | N | N | 1 | 26 | 23 | - | - | - | |
| Ariz. Litah | 3 | 10 | - | 2 | 1 | - | 4 | 94 7 | - | - | 3 | |
| Nev. | 4 | 1 | - | 15 | 7 | - | 17 | 2 | - | 1 | - | |
| PACIFIC | 70 | 114 | 3 | 74 | 98 | 37 | 257 | 165 | - | 33 | 13 | |
| Wash. | 4 | 15 | - | 8 | 6 | 15 | 87 | 30 | - | 1 | - | |
| Oreg. | 1 | 1 | N | N FO | N | - | 25 | 11 | - | - | 1 | |
| Alaska | ∠ 62 | - 97 | 2 | 50 2 | 80 11 | - 22 | 137 | - 115 | - | 30 | - | |
| Hawaii | 1 | 1 | 1 | 14 | 1 | - | 8 | 9 | - | 2 | 1 | |
| Guam | - | - | U | 2 | 3 | U | - | - | U | - | - | |
| P.R. | 1 | 3 | | 1 | 1 | - | - | 7 | | - | - | |
| V.I. Amer Samoa | - | - | U | - | 1 | U | - | - | U | - | - | |
| C.N.M.I. | - | - | U | - | - | Ŭ | - | - | U | - | - | |

TABLE III. (Cont'd.) Cases of selected notifiable diseases preventable by vaccination, United States, weeks ending May 11, 1996, and May 13, 1995 (19th Week)

N: Not notifiable U: Unavailable -: no reported cases

| | ŀ | All Cau | ses, By | Age (Y | 'ears) | | P&I [†] | | 4 | All Cau | ises, B | y Age (Y | 'ears) | ars) | | |
|---|---|--|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Reporting Area | All Ages | ≥65 | 45-64 | 25-44 | 1-24 | <1 | Total | Reporting Area | All Ages | ≥65 | 45-64 | 25-44 | 1-24 | <1 | Total | |
| NEW ENGLAND Boston, Mass. Bridgeport, Conn. Cambridge, Mass. Fall River, Mass. Hartford, Conn. Lowell, Mass. Lynn, Mass. New Bedford, Mass. New Haven, Conn. Providence, R.I. Somerville, Mass. Springfield, Mass. | 516 154 35 14 28 37 15 9 5. 23 32 60 2 39 39 | 371 96 26 26 12 9 20 22 47 1 27 | 93 37 5 2 7 1 - 1 7 7 1 8 4 | 35 11 4 1 - 3 2 2 2 3 - 4 | 10 4 - 1 - 3 - 1 | 76 | 22 7 4 - 1 - 4 4 4 - | S. ATLANTIC Atlanta, Ga. Baltimore, Md. Charlotte, N.C. Jacksonville, Fla. Miami, Fla. Norfolk, Va. Richmond, Va. Savannah, Ga. St. Petersburg, Fla. Tampa, Fla. Washington, D.C. Wilmington, Del. | 1,321 197 230 77 123 115 58 71 69 44 189 129 19 | 807 114 128 45 79 64 34 50 44 33 135 67 14 | 274 39 57 26 23 26 8 13 13 7 32 27 3 | 166 25 37 4 16 20 8 4 8 2 14 28 | 34 5 7 2 2 4 2 1 2 3 2 2 | 40 14 3 3 4 2 3 5 5 5 5 | 64 5 18 1 3 4 6 1 16 4 | |
| Worcester, Mass. MID. ATLANTIC Albany, N.Y. Allentown, Pa. Buffalo, N.Y. Camden, N.J. Elizabeth, N.J. Erie, Pa.§ | 47 2,545 44 17 U 35 22 39 | 35 1,683 32 12 U 23 14 26 | 8 522 5 5 U 6 4 8 | 3 259 2 - U 2 4 4 | 1 39 2 U 1 - | - 42 3 - U 3 - 1 | 1 131 1 1 U 1 | E.S. CENTRAL Birmingham, Ala. Chattanooga, Tenn. Knoxville, Tenn. Lexington, Ky. Memphis, Tenn. Mobile, Ala. Montgomery, Ala. Nashville, Tenn. | 761 113 67 85 32 186 76 64 138 | 516 76 48 54 23 125 53 48 89 | 146 20 9 20 5 37 17 10 28 | 65 7 6 7 3 18 3 4 17 | 17 5 3 - 1 2 1 2 | 16 4 1 1 5 1 2 | 64 3 13 25 4 3 9 | |
| Jersey City, N.J. New York City, N.Y. Newark, N.J. Paterson, N.J. Philadelphia, Pa. Pittsburgh, Pa.§ Reading, Pa. Rochester, N.Y. Schenectady, N.Y. Scranton, Pa.§ Syracuse, N.Y. Trenton, N.J. Utica, N.Y. Yonkers, N.Y. | 53 1,269 80 21 500 101 14 137 33 29 98 35 18 U | 33 803 36 16 335 70 13 108 27 23 71 25 16 U | 10 287 21 2 94 23 - 19 6 6 20 4 2 U | 6 142 23 53 5 1 7 - 3 5 - U | 1 20 1 10 2 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - U | 3 17 - 8 1 - 2 - 3 1 - U | 1 43 6 32 4 2 15 4 2 8 6 1 U | W.S. CENTRAL Austin, Tex. Baton Rouge, La. Corpus Christi, Tex. Dallas, Tex. El Paso, Tex. Ft. Worth, Tex. Houston, Tex. Little Rock, Ark. New Orleans, La. San Antonio, Tex. Shreveport, La. Tulsa, Okla. | 1,445 56 30 175 92 95 381 55 112 189 64 126 | 940 36 23 54 102 66 57 233 35 61 126 45 102 | 275 10 3 11 37 14 19 80 9 28 39 14 11 | 143 9 2 3 21 8 12 39 3 18 15 5 8 | 43 1 1 6 1 4 14 4 3 6 - 2 | 44 1 9 3 15 4 2 3 - 3 | 106 4 8 4 4 4 34 5 21 10 12 | |
| E.N. CENTRAL Akron, Ohio Canton, Ohio Cincinnati, Ohio Cleveland, Ohio Cleveland, Ohio Columbus, Ohio Dayton, Ohio Detroit, Mich. Evansville, Ind. Fort Wayne, Ind. Gary, Ind. Grand Rapids, Mich Indianapolis, Ind. Madison, Wis. Milwaukee, Wis. Peoria, III. Rockford, III. South Bend, Ind. Toledo, Ohio Youngstown, Ohio | 2,022 47 37 424 148 146 148 149 197 40 37 9 9 206 U 108 40 50 388 40 50 38 99 60 | 1,370 31 32 244 108 94 107 120 31 28 8 32 139 U 85 25 34 31 77 48 | 382 92 222 27 26 34 48 7 4 6 46 U 15 100 3 12 8 | 175 52 55 14 20 6 23 1 2 1 0 0 7 1 3 1 5 3 | 50 | 442 - 17224122 - 15U1211 | 131 4 31 17 11 6 5 2 2 5 14 U 11 4 6 2 7 4 | MOUNTAIN Albuquerque, N.M. Colo. Springs, Colo Denver, Colo. Las Vegas, Nev. Ogden, Utah Phoenix, Ariz. Pueblo, Colo. Salt Lake City, Utah Tucson, Ariz. PACIFIC Berkeley, Calif. Fresno, Calif. Glendale, Calif. Honolulu, Hawaii Long Beach, Calif. Pasadena, Calif. Pasadena, Calif. Portland, Oreg. Sacramento, Calif. San Diego, Calif. | 981 109 47 120 232 30 179 222 106 136 1,892 13 85 530 68 62 545 300 127 135 35 45 30 127 | 672 85 32 85 145 26 110 15 711 103 1,295 9 63 23 49 39 366 23 88 888 888 | 197 16 8 20 56 3 41 5 20 336 3 13 5 7 103 27 24 23 | 66 5 18 11 2 2 5 11 164 1 6 43 4 12 43 4 10 4 5 0 | 30 2 1 2 12 10 - 1 2 53 1 1 19 2 4 3 2 4 | 16 17 1 6 1 44 2 1 3 14 1 5 | 78 4 5 16 1 1 9 1 10 5 125 1 8 1 4 8 16 2 6 10 19 1 | |
| W.N. CENTRAL Des Moines, Iowa Duluth, Minn. Kansas City, Kans. Kansas City, Mo. Lincoln, Nebr. Minneapolis, Minn. Omaha, Nebr. St. Louis, Mo. St. Paul, Minn. Wichita, Kans. | 760 99 30 25 85 29 170 82 107 53 80 | 549 77 28 13 58 21 125 60 73 34 60 | 119 10 1 8 11 4 27 14 24 11 9 | 49 - 4 3 9 4 7 3 7 | 17 1 1 1 5 2 3 3 | 15 2 - 1 - 4 2 3 2 1 | 42 8 9 11 4 3 2 | San Frañcisco, Calif San Jose, Calif. Santa Cruz, Calif. Seattle, Wash. Spokane, Wash. Tacoma, Wash. TOTAL | f. 152 192 25 135 46 114 12,243 [¶] | 95 128 19 92 31 86 8,203 | 30 41 2 23 4 16 2,344 | 20 16 3 11 6 9 1,122 | 6 2 - 8 3 1 293 | 1 5 1 2 2 268 | 11 18 7 4 3 7 763 | |

TABLE IV. Deaths in 121 U.S. cities,* week ending May 11, 1996 (19th Week)

*Mortality data in this table are voluntarily reported from 121 cities in the United States, most of which have populations of 100,000 or more. A death is reported by the place of its occurrence and by the week that the death certificate was filed. Fetal deaths are not included.
 ¹Pneumonia and influenza.
 §Because of changes in reporting methods in these 3 Pennsylvania cities, these numbers are partial counts for the current week. Complete counts will be available in 4 to 6 weeks.
 ¹Total includes unknown ages.
 U: Unavailable -: no reported cases

Contributors to the Production of the MMWR (Weekly)

Weekly Notifiable Disease Morbidity Data and 121 Cities Mortality Data

Denise Koo, M.D., M.P.H. Deborah A. Adams Timothy M. Copeland Patsy A. Hall Carol M. Knowles Sarah H. Landis Myra A. Montalbano

Graphics Support

Sandra L. Ford Beverly J. Holland

Desktop Publishing

Jolene W. Altman Morie M. Higgins Peter M. Jenkins

The Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR) Series is prepared by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and is available free of charge in electronic format and on a paid subscription basis for paper copy. To receive an electronic copy on Friday of each week, send an e-mail message to *lists@list.cdc.gov*. The body content should read *subscribe mmwr-toc*. Electronic copy also is available from CDC's World-Wide Web server at *http://www.cdc.gov/* or from CDC's file transfer protocol server at *ftp.cdc.gov*. To subscribe for paper copy, contact Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402; telephone (202) 512-1800.

Data in the weekly *MMWR* are provisional, based on weekly reports to CDC by state health departments. The reporting week concludes at close of business on Friday; compiled data on a national basis are officially released to the public on the following Friday. Address inquiries about the *MMWR* Series, including material to be considered for publication, to: Editor, *MMWR* Series, Mailstop C-08, CDC, 1600 Clifton Rd., N.E., Atlanta, GA 30333; telephone (404) 332-4555.

All material in the *MMWR* Series is in the public domain and may be used and reprinted without permission; citation as to source, however, is appreciated.

| Director, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention David Satcher, M.D., Ph.D. Deputy Director, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Claire V. Broome, M.D. Director, Epidemiology Program Office Stephen B. Thacker, M.D., M.Sc. | Editor, <i>MMWR</i> Series Richard A. Goodman, M.D., M.P.H. Managing Editor, <i>MMWR</i> (weekly) Karen L. Foster, M.A. Writers-Editors, <i>MMWR</i> (weekly) David C. Johnson Darlene D. Rumph-Person Caran R. Wilbanks | |
|--|---|---|
| Stephen B. Thacker, M.D., M.Sc. | Caran R. Wilbanks | _ |
| | | |

☆U.S. Government Printing Office: 1996-733-175/47002 Region IV