

- 469 Medical-Care Expenditures Attributable to Cigarette Smoking — United States, 1993
- **472** Risk for Traumatic Injuries from Helicopter Crashes During Logging Operations — Southeastern Alaska, January 1992–June 1993
- **481** Rapid Assessment of Vectorborne Diseases During the Midwest Flood — United States, 1993
- 483 Adult Blood Lead Epidemiology and Surveillance — United States, 1992–1994
 485 Notices to Readers

Current Trends

MORBIDITY AND MORTALITY WEEKLY REPORT

Medical-Care Expenditures Attributable to Cigarette Smoking — United States, 1993

Cigarette smoking is the most important preventable cause of morbidity and premature mortality in the United States; however, approximately 48 million persons aged \geq 18 years are smokers (1), and approximately 24 billion packages of cigarettes are purchased annually (2). Each year, approximately 400,000 deaths in the United States are attributed to cigarette smoking (3) and costs associated with morbidity attributable to smoking are substantial (4). To provide estimates for 1993 of smokingattributable costs for selected categories of direct medical-care expenditures (i.e., prescription drugs, hospitalizations, physician care, home-health care, and nursinghome care), the University of California and CDC analyzed data from the 1987 National Medical Expenditures Survey (NMES-2) and from the Health Care Financing Administration (HCFA). This report summarizes the results of the analysis.

The NMES-2 is a population-based longitudinal survey of the civilian, noninstitutionalized U.S. population (5). A cohort of 35,000 persons in 14,000 households was selected for face-to-face interviews four times during February 1987–May 1988. Respondents provided data about sociodemographic factors, health insurance coverage, use of medical care, and medical-care expenditures. Information also was collected about self-reported health status and health-risk behaviors including smoking, safetybelt nonuse, and obesity. The Medical Provider Survey, a supplement to NMES-2, provided confirmation of self-reported medical-care costs and supplied information about costs that survey respondents were unable to report.

To estimate costs attributable to smoking, respondents were categorized as never smokers, former smokers with less than 15 years' exposure, former smokers with 15 or more years' exposure, and current smokers. First, the effect of smoking history on the presence of smoking-related medical conditions (i.e., heart disease, emphysema, arteriosclerosis, stroke, and cancer) was determined. Second, for each of the medical-care expenditure categories, the probability of having any expenditures and the level of expenditures were estimated as a function of smoking, medical conditions, and health status (6). All models controlled for age, race/ethnicity, poverty status,

Cigarette Smoking — Continued

marital status, education level, medical insurance status, region of residence, safetybelt nonuse, and obesity. Data were weighted to project the estimated costs of smoking-attributable medical care to the noninstitutionalized U.S. population. These costs were then adjusted for 1993 by applying the category-specific smokingattributable percentages to national health-care expenditure data for 1993 reported by HCFA (7). Nursing-home costs were estimated by applying the smoking-attributable percentage of hospital expenditures for persons aged \geq 65 years to total nursing-home expenditures reported by HCFA. Costs of smoking-attributable medical care also were categorized by source of payment (i.e., self pay, private insurance, Medicare, Medicaid, other federal, other state, and other).

In 1987, the total medical-care expenditures for the five expense categories reported on NMES-2 was \$308.7 billion; of this total, an estimated \$21.9 billion (7.1%) was attributable to smoking (Table 1). Hospital expenses accounted for most (\$11.4 billion) costs attributable to smoking, followed by ambulatory physician care* (\$6.6 billion) and nursing-home care (\$2.2 billion). Public funding (i.e., Medicare, Medicaid, and other federal and state sources) paid for 43.3% of the medical-care expenditures attributable to smoking (Table 2). The distribution of expenditures by source of payment varied substantially by age group. For persons aged \geq 65 years, public funding accounted for 60.6% of smoking-attributable costs, compared with 31.2% for persons aged <65 years.

When the smoking-attributable percentages derived from NMES-2 were applied to HCFA national health-care expenditure data (6), estimated smoking-attributable costs for medical care in 1993 were \$50.0 billion. Of these costs, \$26.9 billion were for hospital expenditures, \$15.5 billion for physician expenditures, \$4.9 billion for nursing-home expenditures, \$1.8 billion for prescription drugs, and \$900 million for home-health-care[†] expenditures.

Reported by: JC Bartlett, MPH, School of Public Health, LS Miller, PhD, School of Social Welfare, Univ of California–Berkeley; DP Rice, ScD, WB Max, PhD, Institute for Health and Aging, Univ of California–San Francisco. Office on Smoking and Health, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion; Public Health Practice Program Office, CDC.

Editorial Note: The findings in this report indicate that cigarette smoking accounts for a substantial and preventable portion of all medical-care costs in the United States. For each of the approximately 24 billion packages of cigarettes sold in 1993, approximately \$2.06 was spent on medical care attributable to smoking. Of the \$2.06, approximately \$0.89 was paid through public sources.

From 1987 to 1993, the more than twofold increase in estimated direct medical-care costs attributable to smoking primarily reflect the substantial increase in medical-care expenditures during this period (7). In addition, the 1993 HCFA estimate of national health-care expenditures included expenses not covered by NMES-2 (e.g., hospitalization and other medical-care costs for persons too ill to respond to NMES-2).

This analysis controlled for potential confounders such as sociodemographic status, health insurance status, and risk behaviors other than smoking. Previous estimates assumed the difference in medical-care use between smokers and nonsmokers was primarily attributable to smoking and did not account for other associated risk factors that may result in excessive medical expenditures (4).

^{*}Includes hospital-based outpatient and emergency care and care in physicians' offices.

[†]In 1993, HCFA excluded all but Medicare- and Medicaid-certified care in this category.

	ing	
%)	6	
.6)	nt	

Cigarette Smok

TABLE 1. Amount* and percentage of total medical-care expenditures attributable to cigarette smoking, by age group and expenditure category — United States, 1987[†]

Age	Physici	an§	Prescript drugs	tion S	Hospita	al	Home-healt	th care [¶]	Nursing-ho	me care	Total	ي ا
group (yrs)	Amount	(%)	Amount	(%)	Amount	(%)	Amount	(%)	Amount	(%)	Amount	(%)
19–64	\$5,185	(8.3)	\$224	(1.8)	\$ 6,995	(8.2)	\$ 371	(4.9)	NA**	_	\$12,775	(7.6)
≥65	\$1,439	(5.9)	\$303	(3.9)	\$ 4,358	(6.6)	\$ 861	(8.6)	\$2,156	(6.6)	\$ 9,117	(6.5)
Total	\$6,624	(7.7)	\$527	(2.6)	\$11,353	(7.5)	\$1,232	(7.0)	\$2,156	(6.6)	\$21,892	(7.1)

*In millions. Based on reported medical-care expenditures of \$308.7 billion during 1987.

[†]Weighted data.

⁵Includes hospital-based outpatient and emergency care and care in physicians' offices. ¹Includes Medicare- and Medicaid-certified services and other reported services.

**Not applicable.

TABLE 2. Amount* and percentage of total medical-care expenditures attributable to cigarette smoking, by age group and source of payment — United States, 1987[†]

Aae	Self p	ay	Priva insura	te nce	Medic	are	Medic	aid	Other fe	deral	Other st	tate	Othe	r	Tota	al
group (yrs)	Amount	(%)	Amount	(%)	Amount	(%)	Amount	(%)	Amount	(%)	Amount	(%)	Amount	(%)	Amount	(%)
19–64	\$2,274	(17.8)	\$6,119	(47.9)	\$ 728	(5.7)	\$1,086	(8.5)	\$1,571	(12.3)	\$600	(4.7)	\$396	(3.1)	\$12,775	(100)
≥65	\$2,325	(25.5)	\$1,185	(13.0)	\$3,756	(41.2)	\$1,158	(12.7)	\$ 520	(5.7)	\$ 91	(1.0)	\$82	(0.9)	\$ 9,117	(100)
Total [§]	\$4,599	(21.0)	\$7,304	(33.4)	\$4,485	(20.4)	\$2,244	(10.2)	\$2,091	(9.5)	\$692	(3.2)	\$478	(2.2)	\$21,892	(100)

* In millions.

[†] Weighted data.

[§] Numbers may not add to totals because of rounding.

Cigarette Smoking — Continued

The smoking-attributable costs described in this report are underestimated for two reasons. First, the cost estimates do not include all direct medical costs attributable to cigarette smoking (e.g., burn care resulting from cigarette-smoking-related fires, perinatal care for low-birthweight infants of mothers who smoke, and costs associated with diseases caused by exposure to environmental tobacco smoke). Second, the indirect costs of morbidity (e.g., due to work loss and bed-disability days) and loss in productivity resulting from the premature deaths of smokers and former smokers were not included in these estimates. In 1990, estimated indirect losses associated with morbidity and premature mortality were \$6.9 billion and \$40.3 billion, respectively (*3*); these estimates suggest that the total economic burden of cigarette smoking is more than twice as high as the direct medical costs described in this report.

References

- 1. CDC. Cigarette smoking among adults—United States, 1992, and changes in the definition of current cigarette smoking. MMWR 1994;43:342–6.
- 2. US Department of Agriculture. Tobacco situation and outlook report. Washington, DC: US Department of Agriculture, Economic Research Service, Commodity Economics Division, June 1994; publication no. TBS-227.
- 3. CDC. Cigarette smoking-attributable mortality and years of potential life lost—United States, 1990. MMWR 1993;42:645–9.
- Herdman R, Hewitt M, Laschover M. Smoking-related deaths and financial costs: Office of Technology Assessment Estimates for 1990—OTA testimony before the Senate Special Committee on Aging. Washington, DC: US Congress, Office of Technology Assessment Testimony, May 6, 1993.
- 5. National Center for Health Services Research and Health Technology Assessment. National Medical Expenditure Survey. Methods II. Questionnaires and data collection methods for the household survey and the Survey of American Indians and Alaska Natives. Rockville, Maryland: US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, National Center for Health Services Research and Health Technology Assessment, September 1989; DHHS publication no. (PHS)89-3450.
- 6. Duan W, Manning WG, Morris CN, Newhouse JP. A comparison of alternative models for the demand for medical care. Journal of Business and Economic Statistics 1983;1:115–26.
- 7. Burner ST, Waldo DR, McKusick DR. National health expenditures: projections through 2030. Health Care Financ Rev 1992;14(1).

Epidemiologic Notes and Reports

Risk for Traumatic Injuries from Helicopter Crashes During Logging Operations — Southeastern Alaska, January 1992–June 1993

Helicopters are used by logging companies in the Alaska panhandle to harvest timber in areas that otherwise are inaccessible and/or unfeasible for conventional logging (because of rugged terrain, steep mountain slopes, environmental restrictions, or high cost). The National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB) investigated six helicopter crashes related to transport of logs by cable (i.e., long-line logging*) that occurred in

^{*}A typical long-line logging helicopter carries an approximately 200-foot load cable (i.e., longline), which is attached by a hook to the underside of the helicopter. A second hook is fixed to the free end of the cable, where a choker cable (an apparatus designed to cinch or "choke" around suspended logs) is connected to one to four logs per load.

Helicopter Crashes — Continued

southeastern Alaska during January 1992–June 1993 and resulted in nine fatalities and 10 nonfatal injuries. This report presents case investigations of these incidents.

Incident Reports

Incident 1. On February 23, 1992, a helicopter crashed while transporting nine loggers. The copilot and five loggers died; five others were seriously injured. The NTSB investigation revealed that a long-line attached to the underside of the helicopter became tangled in the tail rotor during a landing approach, causing an in-flight separation of the tail section (1). Passenger flights with long-line and external attachments are illegal (2) and violate industry safety standards.

Incident 2. On March 6, 1992, a helicopter crashed while preparing to pick up a load of logs with a long-line. The pilot and copilot were seriously injured. According to the pilot and copilot, the engine failed, and the pilot immediately released the external log load and attempted autorotation[†].

Incident 3. On November 10, 1992, a helicopter crashed while attempting to land at a logging site, sustaining substantial damage. The solo pilot was not injured. NTSB investigation revealed that the helicopter's long-line had snagged on a tree stump during the landing and that the company had no documented training program (1).

Incident 4. On February 19, 1993, a helicopter crashed from a 200-foot hover after transporting two logs to a log-drop area. The pilot and copilot were killed. NTSB investigation revealed in-flight metal fatigue of a flight-control piston rod.

Incident 5. On May 2, 1993, a helicopter crashed during an attempted emergency landing after using a long-line to lift a log 1200 feet above ground level followed by rapid descent to a 75-foot hover. The pilot died, and a logger on the ground was injured. NTSB investigation revealed an in-flight separation of the tail rotor and tail rotor gear box from the helicopter. The company had been using a flight procedure that would have heavily loaded the helicopter drive train (1).

Incident 6. On May 8, 1993, a helicopter crashed after attempting to lift a log from a logging site with a long-line. The pilot and copilot sustained minor injuries, but the aircraft was substantially damaged. NTSB investigation found that the engine failed because machine nuts had come loose from the engine or its housing and became caught in the engine. The helicopter crashed when the pilot attempted autorotation.

Investigation Findings

Statewide occupational injury surveillance in Alaska through a federal-state collaboration was established in mid-1991, with 1992 being the first full year of comprehensive population-based occupational fatality surveillance for Alaska. During the time these incidents occurred, an estimated 25 helicopters in Alaska were capable of conducting long-line logging operations; approximately 20 were single-engine models from one manufacturer (Federal Aviation Administration [FAA], unpublished data, 1993). Approximately 50 helicopter pilots were employed in long-line logging operations in southeastern Alaska (FAA and Alaska Department of Labor, unpublished

[†]Autorotation allows a helicopter to make an unpowered descent by maximizing on the windmilling effect and orientation of the main rotor—forward airspeed and altitude can be converted to rotor energy to reduce the rate of descent. Successful autorotation depends on helicopter airspeed and altitude when the maneuver is attempted (3). Most helicopters conduct long-line logging operations with minimal or no forward airspeed at less than 400 feet above ground level, while optimal conditions for autorotation require an altitude of at least 500 feet above ground level and airspeed of more than 60 knots per hour.

Helicopter Crashes — Continued

data, 1993). Using these denominators, the events in this report are equivalent to an annual crash rate of 16% (six crashes per 25 helicopters per 18 months), 0.24 deaths per long-line helicopter in service per year (nine deaths per 25 helicopters per 18 months), and an annual fatality rate for long-line logging helicopter pilots of approximately 5000 deaths per 100,000 pilots (four pilot deaths per 50 pilots per 18 months).[§] In comparison, during 1980–1989, the U.S. fatality rate for all industries was 7.0 per 100,000 workers per year; Alaska had the highest overall occupational fatality rate of any state (34.8 per 100,000 per year) for the same period (4).

According to NTSB investigations to determine probable cause, all six crashes involved "...improper operational and/or maintenance practices" that reflected a lack of inspections of long-line helicopter logging operations (1). In incidents 4, 5, and 6, investigative evidence also indicated that log loads routinely exceeded weight and balance limits for the aircraft. Following increased inspections, no additional loggingrelated helicopter crashes were reported through June 30, 1994.

Reported by: G Bledsoe, Occupational Injury Prevention Program, Section of Epidemiology, Div of Public Health, JP Middaugh, State Epidemiologist, Alaska Dept of Health and Social Svcs; D Study, Labor Standards and Safety Div, Occupational Safety and Health, Alaska Dept of Labor. National Transportation Safety Board, Anchorage, Alaska. Alaska Activity, Div of Safety Research, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, CDC.

Editorial Note: The incidents in this report demonstrate that long-line helicopter logging is a technology application with an unusually high risk for occupational fatalities. General aviation regulations restrict the number of hours pilots can fly during given time periods; however, long-line helicopter logging involves carrying loads outside the rotorcraft, and there are no legal limitations on crew flight hours. Although flightcrew work schedules and daily flight hours vary greatly by logging company, flight-crew duty periods can exceed 10 hours per day for 10 consecutive days.

Helicopter logging operations often place heavy demands on helicopter machinery and associated equipment. The highly repetitive lift/transport/drop cycles are frequently conducted at or beyond maximum aircraft capacity in remote areas, where rugged terrain, extremely steep mountain slopes (as great as 70 degrees), and adverse weather conditions prevail. Complex operations under such circumstances may increase the likelihood of both human error and machine failure (5). In addition, conditions are unfavorable for successful autorotation during most helicopter long-line logging operations.

Regardless of where helicopter logging operations are conducted, the jurisdictional responsibility for inspection rests with the FAA office nearest the main or registered corporate office for the helicopter company (in all of the cases in this report, these offices were in the contiguous United States). This necessitates travel of great distances to conduct helicopter logging inspections, and remote operations may escape or evade inspection for long periods. The NTSB has recommended that operational and maintenance oversight responsibilities for remote sites be assigned to the nearest FAA office (1).

[§]These rates refer to the period of intense collaborative investigation and may not represent incidence over a longer period of time; however, they accurately reflect the high risk of helicopter long-line logging during that interval.

Helicopter Crashes — Continued

In response to these incidents, the Alaska Federal-State Interagency Collaborative Working Group on the Prevention of Occupational Traumatic Injuries[¶] met in a special session on July 8, 1993, to discuss approaches for reducing the number of such crashes and ameliorating the outcome of crash injuries. Based on these and other findings, the working group made the following recommendations (6):

- All helicopter logging pilots and ground crews should receive specific training in long-line operations.
- Companies should follow all manufacturers' recommendations for more frequent helicopter maintenance (because of intensity of use) and for limits on maximum allowable loads.
- Companies should establish and observe appropriate limits on helicopter-crew flight time and duty periods.
- Companies should consider using multi-engine rotorcraft.
- Specific industrywide operating standards and procedures should be developed.
- Companies should provide training in on-site emergency medical care for helicopter logging crews at all work locations.
- State, regional, and local agencies involved in emergency medical services education should make low-cost emergency medical training available to persons likely to work in a helicopter logging environment.
- All flights over water should include appropriate survival equipment for all crew and passengers, who should wear personal flotation devices at all times during flights over water.

References

- 1. National Transportation Safety Board. NTSB safety recommendation A-93-78 through -80. Washington, DC: National Transportation Safety Board, June 17, 1993.
- 2. Office of the Federal Register. Code of Federal Regulations, Vol 14, part 133. Washington, DC: US Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration, January, 1992.
- 3. Roland HE Jr, Detwiler JF. Fundamentals of fixed and rotary wing aerodynamics. Los Angeles: University of Southern California, November 1967.
- Jenkins EL, Kisner SM, Fosbroke DE, et al. Fatal injuries to workers in the United States, 1980– 1989: a decade of surveillance. Atlanta: US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, CDC, NIOSH, 1993.
- 5. Aircraft accident investigation manual. Los Angeles: University of Southern California, Institute of Safety and Systems Management, December 1992.
- 6. Helicopter logging: Alaska's most dangerous occupation? State of Alaska Epidemiology Bulletin, August 16, 1993; bulletin no. 32.

[¶]Representatives from the Alaska Department of Health and Social Services, Alaska Department of Labor, FAA, CDC's National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, NTSB, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, U.S. Coast Guard, and the U.S. Forest Service.



FIGURE I. Notifiable disease reports, comparison of 4-week totals ending July 2, 1994, with historical data — United States

*Ratio of current 4-week total to mean of 15 4-week totals (from previous, comparable, and subsequent 4-week periods for the past 5 years). The point where the hatched area begins is based on the mean and two standard deviations of these 4-week totals.

	Cum. 1994		Cum. 1994
AIDS* Anthrax Botulism: Foodborne Infant Other Brucellosis Cholera Congenital rubella syndrome Diphtheria Encephalitis, post-infectious	37,529 33 33 7 38 9 3 - 56 192 300	Measles: imported indigenous Plague Poliomyelitis, Paralytic [§] Psittacosis Rabies, human Syphilis, primary & secondary Syphilis, congenital, age < 1 year Tetanus Toxic shock syndrome Trickinger	135 544 7
Gonormea <i>Haemophilus influenzae</i> (invasive disease) [†] Hansen Disease Leptospirosis Lyme Disease	183,399 604 54 12 2,159	Tuberculosis Tuberculosis Tularemia Typhoid fever Typhus fever, tickborne (RMSF)	24 10,404 24 180 130

TABLE I. Summary — cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, cumulative, week ending July 2, 1994 (26th Week)

*Updated monthly; last update June 28, 1994. [†]Of 564 cases of known age, 163 (29%) were reported among children less than 5 years of age. [§]No cases of suspected poliomyelitis have been reported in 1994; 3 cases of suspected poliomyelitis have been reported in 1993; 4 of the 5 suspected cases with onset in 1992 were confirmed; the confirmed cases were vaccine associated.

MMWR

Properting Area Modeline Promary Figure Prostate Figure Correct Figure Correct Fig			Aseptic	Enceph	nalitis			Нер	oatitis (V	/iral), by t	type		
Lum. Lum. <thlum.< th=""> Lum. Lum. <thl< th=""><th>Reporting Area</th><th>AIDS*</th><th>Menin- gitis</th><th>Primary</th><th>Post-in- fectious</th><th>Gono</th><th>rrhea</th><th>Α</th><th>В</th><th>NA,NB</th><th>Unspeci- fied</th><th>Legionel- losis</th><th>Lyme Disease</th></thl<></thlum.<>	Reporting Area	AIDS*	Menin- gitis	Primary	Post-in- fectious	Gono	rrhea	Α	В	NA,NB	Unspeci- fied	Legionel- losis	Lyme Disease
UNITED STATES 37,529 2,806 2,74 56 18.399 192,66 10,055 5,12 2,12 2,19 7,24 2,117 Maine 2 4 6 27 18 19 4 15 2 4 Maine 2 46 27 18 18 6 - - 2 2 VI 217 7 - - 12 14 2 - - - 2 2 14 14 16 7 2 - - 2 2 2 14 14 16 7 2 2 10 14 16 16 7 7 10 13 2 10 13 13 2 60 12 16 10 10 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 14 13		Cum. 1994	Cum. 1994	Cum. 1994	Cum. 1994	Cum. 1994	Cum. 1993	Cum. 1994	Cum. 1994	Cum. 1994	Cum. 1994	Cum. 1994	Cum. 1994
NEW ENGLAND 1.500 91 88 3 4.009 3.476 162 194 71 15 2.0 4172 Maine 49 91 - 2 448 27 8 162 77 8 16 6 - 2 9 NH, 33 8 - 2 1 4.02 77 8 16 6 - 2 9 NH, 33 8 - 2 1 4.231 190 73 44 53 14 12 1 6	UNITED STATES	37,529	2,805	274	56	183,399	192,686	10,055	5,542	2,121	219	724	2,159
Maine 49 9 1 - 48 40 14 9 - - - 2 Mass. B12 31 5 - 1.467 1.402 70 142 53 14 14 76 RAS. B12 36 2 1 2.31 190 13 14 12 1 6.56 Conn. 554 - - 2.295 1.803 55 21 - - - 2.30 MUD.ATLANTC 1.025 103 12 1 4.005 4.215 2.82 2.09 121 1 2.3 30 N2 ChTRAL 3.249 4.33 71 10 35,743 3.9471 16.40 585 61 63 57 6 Nich 527 16 3.941 13.34 106 16 3.37 1 Wich 527 16 8.977 1.475 203	NEW ENGLAND	1,590	91	8	3	4,099	3,476	162	194	71	15	20	417
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Maine	49	9	1	-	48	40	14	9 10	-	-	-	2
Mass. B12 31 5 - 1,467 1,402 70 142 53 14 14 76 Conn. 54 - - - 2,295 1,803 55 21 - - - 2,705 Mib.ATLANTV. 1052 103 12 1 4005 2,715 284 558 3 98 1,33 N. CIV 1052 103 12 1 4005 4,715 284 558 3 984 1,33 11 - 2,377 1,41 - 5 12 1,407 1,407 1,407 1,407 1,417 1,417 1,314 1,31 1,31 13 13 13 14 - 1,33 14 - 1,33 14 - 1,34 1,31 1,31 1,31 1,31 1,31 1,31 1,31 1,31 1,31 1,31 1,31 1,31 1,31 1,31 1,31	Vt.	32 21	° 7	-	-	40	14	2	- 10	-	-	-	2
K.I. 122 36 2 1 241 190 13 4 12 1 6 984 MID. ATLANTIC 8992 209 21 8 19,523 22,466 554 217 1 2370 MID. ATLANTIC 8,992 209 21 8 19,523 22,466 584 555 217 1 1 2370 3041 1164 196 111 - 1 3963 20 60 200 6	Mass.	812	31	5	-	1,467	1,402	70	142	53	14	14	76
Contr Jond Jond <t< td=""><td>R.I.</td><td>122</td><td>36</td><td>2</td><td>1</td><td>231</td><td>190</td><td>13</td><td>4 21</td><td>12</td><td>1</td><td>6</td><td>58 270</td></t<>	R.I.	122	36	2	1	231	190	13	4 21	12	1	6	58 270
		0 002	200	- 21	-	2,275	1,003	50/	550	255	-	-	1 210
N.Y. City 4, 639 20 1 6,289 7,73 79 41	Upstate N.Y.	1,052	103	12	1	4,905	4,215	282	209	121	1	23	986
N.J. 2.39/ . . . 2.571 3.041 154 195 111 . 15 120 E.N. CENTRAL 3.249 433 71 10 35,743 38,641 940 585 163 5 2.13 311 Ohio 580 103 19 1 11.304 10,015 352 44,13 - 98 2.11 Min. 527 156 2 - 4,4027 3,967 10.81 10.49 116 3 3.71 1 Wis. 1507 14 4 - 3,121 3,075 13.04 196 114 3 3.77 1 Wis. 1507 14 14 4 - 3,121 3,075 144 14 17 - - 3.7 1 Mon. 363 50 5 2 5,338 5,119 2.09 215 60 1 411 20 5 2.5 3.50 1.18 16 7 1 7 <td< td=""><td>N.Y. City</td><td>4,639</td><td>20</td><td>1</td><td>-</td><td>6,289</td><td>7,173</td><td>79</td><td>41</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>3</td></td<>	N.Y. City	4,639	20	1	-	6,289	7,173	79	41	-	-	-	3
The NCENTRAL 3, 249 and 33 and 71 and 35, 743 and 74 and 75 and	N.J. Pa	2,357 944	- 86	- 8	-7	2,571 5758	3,041	154 69	195 113	111 23	- 2	15 60	120 209
	ΕΝ ΓΕΝΤΡΑΙ	3 249	433	71	, 10	35 743	38 641	940	585	163	5	213	207
ind. 360 69 2 - 4,042 3,987 170 103 4 - 57 6 Mich. 527 165 21 6 8,399 8,065 134 196 116 3 37 1 Wis. 180 153 16 3 9,411 10,369 498 303 88 6 77 39 Minn. 213 15 2 - 1583 1,118 104 39 7 1 -7 Iowa 29 46 - - 649 818 27 16 7 4 21 1 1 0 8 5 5 2 5,238 5,919 209 215 60 14 40 1 2 3 5 5 5 2 5,238 5,919 209 215 60 14 41 20 3 5 6 33 5 6 33 5 5 3 3 5 6 33 5	Ohio	580	103	19	1	11,304	10,015	352	94	13	-	98	21
III. 1 0.02 82 25 3 8.879 1.8.475 203 99 27 2 5 3 Wis. 180 14 4 - 3.121 3.079 81 94 3 - 16 3 91 Wis. CENTRAL 800 14 4 - 3.121 3.069 488 134 94 3 - 16 3 91 92.05 93 88 6 77 7 39 Mon. 213 15 2 - 1.583 1.118 104 39 7 1 - 7 7 39 Mo. 23.63 50 5 2 5.238 5,919 209 215 60 1 41 20 3 Mom. 210 36 2 - 7.84 669 116 818 10 - 2 3 Math. 107 36 2 2 2.527 7.17 88 17 18 5	Ind.	360	69	2	-	4,042	3,987	170	103	4	-	57	6
	III. Mich	1,602	82 165	25 21	3 6	8,877	13,475	203 134	98 196	27 116	2	5 37	3 1
W.N. CENTRAL 830 153 16 3 9411 10,369 498 808 6 77 39 Iown 213 15 2 - 694 818 27 16 7 4 21 1 Mo. 363 50 5 2 5238 579 209 215 60 1 41 20 N. Dak. 18 1 2 - 744 24 1 - - - 3 - - 484 72 15 4 - 100 8 5 5 2 5 11 4 1 - - 0 8 5 5 0 669 14 1 - - 0 6 12 2 5 7 16 16 18 10 - 1 3 6 10 2 3 1 - 16 6 11 4 1 - 1 10 10 10 10 1 10	Wis.	180	14	4	-	3,121	3,079	81	94	3	-	16	-
Minn. 213 15 2 - 1,118 104 39 7 1 - 7 Mo. 363 50 5 2 5,238 5,919 209 215 60 1 41 20 N. Dak. 18 1 2 - 14 24 1 - - - 3 - S. Dak. 9 - 2 - 74 424 1 - - - - - 3 - S. Dak. 9 - 2 - 7.766 1.861 663 18 10 - 2 3 3 1 0.0 8 1 3.62 1.20 1.20 1.20 3.50 19 - 1 9 1.20 1.2 4.33 3 3 1 1.25 2.562 10 10 1 12 24 33 W.a. 23 8 1 - 3.562 2.863 7.3 61 18 2 2	W.N. CENTRAL	830	153	16	3	9,411	10,369	498	303	88	6	77	39
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Minn.	213	15	2	-	1,583	1,118	104	39	7	1	- 01	7
N. Dak. 18 1 2	Mo.	363	40 50	- 5	2	5,238	5,919	209	215	60	4	41	20
S. Dax. 9 - 2 - 90 143 17	N. Dak.	18	1	2	-	14	24	1	-	-	-	3	-
Kans.150362.1,7861,86168181023S. ATLANTIC8,992667552251,12150,9676621,9335919178241Del.1,079861229,5277,717881731855091D.C.76318-13,6322,562102052Va.656841456,5075,6637361182433W.C.6639727112,58412,420581519-194Ga.1,0562714,66023467148-7054Fia.4,018307-1311,57511,94621,50723654240223-94Ala.315714-7,8207,418813781833-510Tenn.315349-6,6896,7388045838112066Ala.315714-7,8207,41841378183333333	S. Dak. Nebr	48	- 5	2	- 1	96	145 484	17	- 15	-	-	- 10	- 8
S. ATLANTIC 8.992 657 55 22 51,121 50,967 662 1,293 359 19 178 241 Md 1,079 86 12 2 9,527 7,717 88 173 18 5 50 91 D.C. 763 18 - 1 3,632 2,552 10 20 - - 5 33 W.Va. 23 8 1 - 366 2,88 5 15 19 - 1 9 N.C. 6612 17 - - 6,156 4,442 20 22 3 - 70 54 Ga. 1,056 27 1 - - 4,640 23 467 18 10 277 7 ES. CENTRAL 1,031 185 22 1 2,187 2,216 34 7 3 - 5 0 6 Ka. 131 18 2 24 1 4 - 7,820 7	Kans.	150	36	2	-	1,786	1,861	68	18	10	-	2	3
Del. 122 13 784 669 11 4 1 - 669 1 1 4 6 1 6 69 1 1 4 7 6 763 18 - 1 3.632 2.562 10 20 5 5 2 2 74. 666 84 14 5 6.507 5.663 73 61 18 2 4 33 74 74 74 75 74 74 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75	S. ATLANTIC	8,992	657	55	22	51,121	50,967	662	1,293	359	19	178	241
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Del.	122	13	-	-	784	669	11	4 172	1	-	-	6
Va.656841456.5075.8637361182433N.C.6639727112.58412.4205814534-1235S.C.612176.1564.84220223-94Ga.1.0562714.66023467148-7054Fla.4.018307-1311.57511.94637438611812277E.S. CENTRAL1.03118522121.87621.507236542400223619Ky.16160912.2172.285934713-510Tenn.315319-6.6896.738804583811206Ala.315714-7.8207.41841378183333322Miss.240205.1505.066223-33322Miss.134193.3543.01229124143322Ark.134193.3543.01229124143 <td>D.C.</td> <td>763</td> <td>18</td> <td>-</td> <td>1</td> <td>3,6327</td> <td>2,562</td> <td>10</td> <td>20</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>5</td> <td>2</td>	D.C.	763	18	-	1	3,6327	2,562	10	20	-	-	5	2
W Va. 23 8 1 - 356 248 5 15 19 - 1 9 9 1 2 1 35 S.C. 612 17 - 6156 4,842 20 22 3 - 9 4 Ga. 1.056 27 1 - 6156 4,842 20 22 3 - 9 4 Fla. 4.018 307 - 13 11,575 11,946 374 386 118 12 27 7 E.S. CENTRAL 1.031 185 22 1 21,876 21,507 236 542 402 2 36 19 Ky. 161 60 9 1 2217 2285 93 47 13 - 5 10 Tenn. 315 34 9 - 6689 6,738 80 458 381 1 20 6 Ala. 315 71 4 - 7,820 7,418 41 37 8 1 8 3 Miss. 240 20 - 5,5150 5,066 22 - 7 3 3 - Atk. 134 19 - 3,354 3012 29 12 4 1 4 3 Atk. 134 19 - 3,354 3012 29 12 4 1 4 3 Atk. 136 13 2 - 66020 5,884 73 90 67 1 3 - Otal. 156 - 7 - 1,969 2,402 126 157 130 1 8 23 Atk. 336 25 16 1 11,206 10,135 1,221 380 31 43 3 22 MOUNTAIN 1,242 84 4 2 4,188 5,694 1,948 269 213 26 44 5 Mont. 15 - 7 - 38 22 14 12 4 - 14 - Otal. 156 - 7 - 38 22 14 12 4 - 14 - Otal. 156 - 7 - 38 22 14 12 4 - 14 - Otal. 156 - 7 - 38 22 14 12 4 - 14 - Otal. 156 - 7 - 1,969 2,402 126 157 130 1 8 23 Fex. 3,068 265 16 1 11,206 10,135 1,221 380 31 43 3 22 MOUNTAIN 1,242 84 4 2 4,188 5,694 1,948 269 213 26 44 5 Mont. 15 - 7 - 38 22 14 12 4 - 14 - Otal. 15 - 7 - 38 22 14 12 4 - 14 - Otal. 15 - 7 - 3 99 8 164 46 48 1 1 1 Wyo. 12 2 - 2 38 44 14 13 74 - 3 1 Otal. 15 - 7 - 3 99 8 164 46 48 1 1 1 Wyo. 12 2 - 2 38 44 11 13 74 - 3 1 Otal. 15 - 7 - 3 99 8 164 46 48 1 1 1 Wyo. 12 2 - 2 38 44 12 12 4 - 14 - Otal. 15 - 7 - 3 99 8 164 46 48 1 1 Wyo. 12 2 - 2 - 2 38 44 11 13 74 - 3 1 Otal. 15 - 7 - 3 99 8 164 46 48 1 1 Wyo. 12 2 - 2 - 2 38 44 12 12 4 - Otal. 15 - 7 - 3 - Nev. 203 13 3 - 612 933 123 25 9 2 12 - Vah. 46 9 4 145 71 196 25 15 - 3 - Otal. 15 - 7 - 1 - Otal. 13 - 426 255 15 - 3 - Otal. 15 - 7 Otal. 13 - 426 255 15 - 3 - Otal. 15 - 7 Otal. 14 - 7,18 35 34 1 5 - Otal. 15 Otal. 15 Otal. 16 - 1 0 Otal. 16 Otal. 16 Otal. 16 Otal. 17 Otal. 17 Otal. 17 Otal. 18 22 3 45 3 . Otal. 10,02 23 93 32 41 Otal. 10,02 16 - 3 263 244 35 156 62 3 Otal. 10,02 16 Otal. 16 Otal. 16 Otal. 10 Otal. 16 Otal. 10 Otal.	Va.	656	84	14	5	6,507	5,863	73	61	18	2	4	33
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	vv. va. N.C.	23 663	8 97	27	- 1	356 12,584	288	5 58	15	34	-	12	35
Ga. 1,056 27 1 4,660 23 467 148 - 70 54 Fla. 4,018 307 - 13 11,575 11,946 374 386 118 12 27 7 E.S. CENTRAL 1,031 185 22 1 21,876 21,507 236 542 402 2 36 19 Ky. 161 60 9 1 2,217 2,285 93 47 13 - 5 10 Tenn. 315 34 9 - 6,689 6,738 80 458 381 1 20 6 Ala. 315 71 4 - 7,820 7,418 41 37 8 1 8 1 8 3 Miss. 240 20 5,150 5,066 22 3 - 3 Miss. 240 20 5,150 5,066 22 3 - 3 Miss. 240 20 5,150 5,066 22 3 - 3 Miss. 240 20 6,020 5,884 73 90 67 1 3 - 3 Char 134 19 3,354 3,012 29 12 4 1 4 3 Ark. 134 19 6,020 5,884 73 90 67 1 8 23 Tex. 3,068 265 16 1 11,206 10,135 1,221 380 31 43 3 22 MOUNTAIN 1,242 84 4 2 4,188 5,694 10,48 269 213 26 44 5 MONT. 15 38 22 14 14 2 4 - 14 - Idaho 30 3 39 98 164 46 48 1 1 1 Colo. 472 26 1 - 1,342 1,901 190 18 20 8 8 - N.Mex. 92 6 499 471 588 110 35 7 1 3 Ariz. 349 30 1,475 2,154 659 20 8 8 2 - NMex. 92 6 499 471 588 110 35 7 1 3 Ariz. 349 30 1,475 7,158 110 35 7 1 3 Ariz. 349 30 1,475 7,158 110 35 7 1 3 Ariz. 349 30 1,475 7,158 110 35 7 1 3 Ariz. 349 30 1,475 7,158 110 35 7 1 3 Ariz. 349 30 1,475 7,178 35 34 1 5 	S.C.	612	17	-	-	6,156	4,842	20	22	3	-	9	4
The second seco	Ga. Fla	1,056	27 307	1	- 13	- 11 575	4,660	23	467	148 118	- 12	70 27	54
L.3L.3L.3L.3L.21L.3	ES CENTRAL	1 021	105	22	13	21 076	21 507	226	542	402	2	27	10
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Ky.	1,031	60	9	1	2,217	2,285	230	47	13	-	5	10
Ala.3 15714-7,8207,81841378183Miss.240205,1505,066223-W.S. CENTRAL3,97229718122,54921,4331,449639232461848Ark.134193,3543,01229124143La.614132-6,0205,88473906713-Okla.1561,9692,4021261571301823Tex.3,06826516111,20610,1351,2213803143322MOUNTAIN1,24284424,1885,6941,94826921326445Mont.15382214124-14-Idaho303399816446481111Wyo.122-23844141374-3-Nex.92649947158811035713Nex.926145711962515-3 </td <td>Tenn.</td> <td>315</td> <td>34</td> <td>9</td> <td>-</td> <td>6,689</td> <td>6,738</td> <td>80</td> <td>458</td> <td>381</td> <td>1</td> <td>20</td> <td>6</td>	Tenn.	315	34	9	-	6,689	6,738	80	458	381	1	20	6
	Ala. Miss	315 240	71 20	4	-	7,820	7,418	41 22	37	8	1	8	3
Ark.13419 </td <td>W.S. CENTRAL</td> <td>3.972</td> <td>297</td> <td>18</td> <td>1</td> <td>22,549</td> <td>21,433</td> <td>1.449</td> <td>639</td> <td>232</td> <td>46</td> <td>18</td> <td>48</td>	W.S. CENTRAL	3.972	297	18	1	22,549	21,433	1.449	639	232	46	18	48
La. 614 13 2 $ 6,020$ $5,884$ 73 90 67 1 3 $-$ Okla. 156 $ 1,969$ $2,402$ 126 157 130 1 8 23 MOUNTAIN $1,242$ 84 4 2 $4,188$ $5,694$ $1,948$ 269 213 26 44 5 MOUNTAIN $1,242$ 84 4 2 $4,188$ $5,694$ $1,948$ 269 213 26 44 5 Mont. 15 $ 38$ 22 14 12 4 $ 14$ $-$ Idaho 30 3 $ 39$ 98 164 46 48 1 1 1 Colo. 472 26 1 $ 1,342$ $1,901$ 190 18 20 8 8 $-$ N. Mex. 92 6 $ 499$ 471 588 110 35 7 1 3 Ariz. 349 30 $ 1,475$ $2,154$ 659 20 8 8 2 $-$ Nev. 203 13 3 $ 612$ 933 123 25 9 2 12 $-$ PACIFIC $7,631$ 696 59 6 $14,889$ $18,193$ $3,576$ $1,159$ 338 97 40 41 Wash. 489 $-$ <td< td=""><td>Ark.</td><td>134</td><td>19</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>3,354</td><td>3,012</td><td>29</td><td>12</td><td>4</td><td>1</td><td>4</td><td>3</td></td<>	Ark.	134	19	-	-	3,354	3,012	29	12	4	1	4	3
DAIA. 130 - - - - 1,206 12,0 130 130 1 0 23 Tex. 3,068 265 16 1 1,206 10,135 1,221 380 31 43 3 223 MOUNTAIN 1,242 84 4 2 4,188 5,694 1,948 269 213 26 44 5 Mont. 15 - - 38 22 14 12 4 - 14 - Idaho 30 3 - - 39 98 164 46 48 1 1 1 Wyo. 12 2 - 2 38 44 14 13 74 - 3 1 3 Colo. 472 26 1 - 1,342 1,901 190 18 20 8 8 - 3 Nt Mex. 92 6 - - 1,475 2,154 659 20 8 8 <td>La.</td> <td>614</td> <td>13</td> <td>2</td> <td>-</td> <td>6,020</td> <td>5,884</td> <td>73</td> <td>90 157</td> <td>67</td> <td>1</td> <td>3</td> <td>-</td>	La.	614	13	2	-	6,020	5,884	73	90 157	67	1	3	-
MOUNTAIN1,24284424,1885,6941,94826921326445Mont.15382214124-14-Idaho30339981644648111Wyo.122-23844141374-31Colo.472261-1,3421,901190182088-N. Mex.92649947158811035713Ariz.349301,4752,15465920882-Utah694145711962515-3-Nev.203133-612933123259212-Oreg.3241,3861,927178353415-Oreg.3244706611982461Calif.6,69761158512,23915,0983,0511,070293933241Alaska26131-4262551157 <tr< td=""><td>Tex.</td><td>3,068</td><td>- 265</td><td>16</td><td>- 1</td><td>11,206</td><td>10,135</td><td>1,221</td><td>380</td><td>31</td><td>43</td><td>о 3</td><td>23</td></tr<>	Tex.	3,068	- 265	16	- 1	11,206	10,135	1,221	380	31	43	о 3	23
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	MOUNTAIN	1.242	84	4	2	4,188	5.694	1.948	269	213	26	44	5
Idaho 30 3 - - 39 98 164 46 48 1 1 1 1 Wyo. 12 2 - 2 38 44 14 13 74 - 3 1 Colo. 472 26 1 - 1,342 1,901 190 18 20 8 8 - N. Mex. 92 6 - - 499 471 588 110 35 7 1 3 Ariz. 349 30 - - 1,475 2,154 659 20 8 8 2 - Utah 69 4 - - 145 71 196 25 15 - 3 - Nev. 203 13 3 - 612 933 1,23 25 9 2 12 - PACIFIC 7,631 696 59 6 14,889 18,193 3,576 1,159 338 97 <t< td=""><td>Mont.</td><td>15</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>38</td><td>22</td><td>14</td><td>12</td><td>4</td><td>-</td><td>14</td><td>-</td></t<>	Mont.	15	-	-	-	38	22	14	12	4	-	14	-
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Idaho Wyo	30 12	3	-	- 2	39	98 44	164 14	46	48 74	1	1	1
N. Mex.92649947158811035713Ariz.349301,4752,15465920882-Utah694145711962515-3-Nev.203133-612933123259212-PACIFIC7,63169659614,88918,1933,5761,159338974041Wash.4891,3861,927178353415-Oreg.3244706611982461Calif.6,69761158512,23915,0983,0511,070293933241Alaska26131-4262551157Hawaii9572-13682523423523P.R.1,01216-326324435156623V.I.121161-1P.R.1,01216-2326324435156	Colo.	472	26	1	-	1,342	1,901	190	18	20	8	8	-
All2. 349 30 $ 1,475$ $2,154$ 059 20 6 6 2 $-$ Nev. 203 13 3 $ 145$ 71 196 25 15 $ 3$ $-$ PACIFIC $7,631$ 696 59 6 $14,889$ $18,193$ $3,576$ $1,159$ 338 97 40 41 Wash. 489 $ 1,386$ $1,927$ 178 35 34 1 5 $-$ Oreg. 324 $ 470$ 661 198 24 6 1 $ -$ Calif. $6,697$ 611 58 5 $12,239$ $15,098$ $3,051$ $1,070$ 293 93 32 41 Alaska 26 13 1 $ 426$ 255 115 7 $ -$ Hawaii 95 72 $ 1$ 368 252 34 23 5 2 3 $-$ Guam 1 7 $ 677$ 611 12 $ 4$ 2 $-$ P.R. $1,012$ 16 $ 3$ 263 244 35 156 62 3 $ -$ V.I. 12 $ 11$ 61 $ 1$ $ -$ Remer. Samoa $ 1$	N. Mex.	92	6	-	-	499	471	588	110	35	7	1	3
Nev. 203 13 3 - 612 933 123 25 9 2 12 - PACIFIC 7,631 696 59 6 14,889 18,193 3,576 1,159 338 97 40 41 Wash. 489 - - - 1,386 1,927 178 35 34 1 5 - Oreg. 324 - - - 470 661 198 24 6 1 - - - Calif. 6,697 611 58 5 12,239 15,098 3,051 1,070 293 93 32 41 Alaska 26 13 1 - 426 255 115 7 - - - - Hawaii 95 72 - 1 368 252 34 23 5 2 3 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Utah	349 69	30 4	-	-	1,475	2,154	009 196	20 25	15	- -	2	-
PACIFIC 7,631 696 59 6 14,889 18,193 3,576 1,159 338 97 40 41 Wash. 489 - - - 1,386 1,927 178 35 34 1 5 - Oreg. 324 - - - 470 661 198 24 6 1 - - - Calif. 6,697 611 58 5 12,239 15,098 3,051 1,070 293 93 32 41 Alaska 26 13 1 - 426 255 115 7 - - - - Hawaii 95 72 - 1 368 252 34 23 5 2 3 - Guam 1 7 - - 67 61 12 - - 4 2 - P.R. 1,012 16 - 3 263 244 35 156 62 <t< td=""><td>Nev.</td><td>203</td><td>13</td><td>3</td><td>-</td><td>612</td><td>933</td><td>123</td><td>25</td><td>9</td><td>2</td><td>12</td><td>-</td></t<>	Nev.	203	13	3	-	612	933	123	25	9	2	12	-
Wash. 489 1,3861,927178353415-Oreg. 324 470 661 198 24 61Calif. $6,697$ 611 585 $12,239$ $15,098$ $3,051$ $1,070$ 293 93 32 41 Alaska 26 13 1- 426 255 115 7Hawaii 95 72 -1 368 252 34 23 52 3 -Guam1767 61 12 4 2 -P.R. $1,012$ 16 - 3 263 244 35 156 62 3 V.I. 12 11 61 -1Amer. Samoa23 45 3	PACIFIC	7,631	696	59	6	14,889	18,193	3,576	1,159	338	97	40	41
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Wash. Oreg	489 324	-	-	-	1,386 470	1,927	178 198	35 24	34	1	5	-
Alaska 26 13 1 - 426 255 115 7 -	Calif.	6,697	611	58	5	12,239	15,098	3,051	1,070	293	93	32	41
Trawan 73 72 - 1 300 232 34 23 5 2 3 - Guam 1 7 - - 67 61 12 - - 4 2 - P.R. 1,012 16 - 3 263 244 35 156 62 3 - - V.I. 12 - - 11 61 - 1 - - - Amer. Samoa - - 18 22 4 - - - - C.N.M.I. - - - 23 45 3 - - -	Alaska	26	13	1	- 1	426	255	115	7	-	-	-	-
Guann I / - - 0/ 61 12 - - 4 2 - P.R. 1,012 16 - 3 263 244 35 156 62 3 - - V.I. 12 - - 11 61 - 1 - - - Amer. Samoa - - - 18 22 4 - - - C.N.M.I. - - - 23 45 3 - - -	nawali	40	/2	-	I	308	252	34	23	5	∠	ა ი	-
V.I. 12 - - 11 - - - - Amer. Samoa - - - 18 22 4 - - - C.N.M.I. - - - 23 45 3 - - -	Buam P.R.	1,012	/ 16	-	- 3	67 263	61 244	12 35	- 156	62	4	2	-
Amer. Samoa	V.I.	12	-	-	-	11	61		1		-	-	-
	Amer. Samoa C.N.M.I.	-	-	-	-	18 23	22 45	4	-	-	-	-	-

TABLE II. Cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks endingJuly 2, 1994, and July 3, 1993 (26th Week)

N: Not notifiable U: Unavailable *Updated monthly; last update June 28, 1994. C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands

			Measle	s (Rube	eola)		Menin-								
Reporting Area	Malaria	Indig	enous	Impo	orted*	Total	gococcal Infections	Mu	mps	F	Pertussi	s		Rubella	9
	Cum. 1994	1994	Cum. 1994	1994	Cum. 1994	Cum. 1993	Cum. 1994	1994	Cum. 1994	1994	Cum. 1994	Cum. 1993	1994	Cum. 1994	Cum. 1993
UNITED STATES	419	4	544	1	135	199	1,529	34	739	36	1,499	1,756	12	186	118
NEW ENGLAND	30	-	10	-	10	57	72	-	14	7	160	356	7	122	1
N.H.	2	-	1	-	- -	-	6	-	4	-	38	95	-	-	-
Vt. Mass	1 11	-	- 1	-	1 4	31 16	2 28	-	-	- 6	27 72	44 175	- 6	- 120	-
R.I.	5	-	4	-	2	1	-	-	1	1	4	3	-	1	-
	8 59	- 2	3 120	-	- 15	9 12	23	-	0 62	-	204	33	1	0	- 27
Upstate N.Y.	20	-	14	-	-	1	53	1	17	5	120	78	-	8	6
N.Y. City N.J.	11 17	2	12 109	-	2 11	4	10 36	2	2	-	61 8	21 39	1	1	15 7
Pa.	10	-	4	-	2	-	45	-	37	-	115	186	-	-	9
E.N. CENTRAL	43 7		54 15	-	40	13	229 65	1 1	127 40	3	223 75	355 106	2	10	2 1
Ind.	11	-	-	-	1	-	37	-	6	-	36	28	-	-	-
III. Mich.	12	-	17	-	38	8	82 27	-	48 29	-	45 22	76 17	- 2	3	-
Wis.	1	-	3	-	-	-	18	-	4	-	45	128	-	-	1
W.N. CENTRAL Minn.	23 7	-	115	-	42	3	111 8	2	36 4	3	77 39	96 43	-	-	1
lowa Mo.	4 10	-	6 108	-	1 40	-1	13 54	2	10 18	-1	6 17	1 32	-	-	- 1
N. Dak.	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	2	-	3	3	-	-	-
Nebr.	- 1	-	-	-	- 1	-	8	-	2	1	5	5	-	-	-
Kans.	1	-	1	-	-	2	20	-	-	1	7	11	-	-	-
Del.	90 3	-	-	-	2	- 22	265	8	1	6	170	145	2	9	6
Md.	43		1	-	1	4	20	6	31	1	53 4	47	-	-	2
Va.	9	-	1	-	1	1	43	1	25	2	17	17	-	-	-
W. Va. N.C.	- 2	-	-	-	-	-	10 40	-	3 26	-	2 44	3 25	-	-	-
S.C.	2	-	-	-	-	-	11	-	6	-	10	5	-	-	-
Fla.	14	-	23	-	-	17	85	1	13	3	28	34	2	9	4
E.S. CENTRAL	12	-	28	-	-	1	103	-	15	1	84	75	-	-	-
Ky. Tenn.	3	-	- 28	-	-	-	28 24	-	- 6	-	52 16	12 34	-	-	-
Ala. Miss	2	-	-	-	-	1	45	-	3	- 1	13	23	-	-	-
WS CENTRAL	י 19	2	- 9	1	- 6	- 1	194	9	168	1	52	33	-	- 7	- 12
Ark.	1	-	-	-	1	-	32	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-
La. Okla.	3 2	-	-	-	-	-	23 19	-	22	-	6 20	5 12	-	4	1
Tex.	13	2	9	1†	4	-	120	9	128	-	16	13	-	3	10
MOUNTAIN Mont.	17	-	139	-	12	2	101 3	1	46	2	110 3	128	-	4	5
Idaho Wyo	2	-	-	-	-	-	14	-	5 1	-	23	16	-	1	1
Colo.	5	-	13	-	1	2	15	-	2	2	31	58	-	-	-
N. Mex. Ariz.	3 1	-	-	-	-	-	11 38	N	N 24	-	9 33	21 19	-	-	- 1
Utah	4	-	126	-	-	-	11	1	7	-	9	13	-	2	2
PACIFIC	י 127	-	43	-	8	- 87	4 310	10	160	- 8	2 319	244	-	י 25	54
Wash.	4	-	-	-	-	-	22	-	4	-	15	23	-	-	-
Oreg. Calif.	/ 106	-	43	-	- 6	-71	48 233	N 10	N 145	2	25 272	212	-	- 22	32
Alaska Hawaii	-	-	-	-	- 2	- 14	2	-	2	-	- 7	3	-	1 2	1
Guam	1	- U	- 211	U	-	2	1	U	4	U	-	-	U	∠ 1	- 20
P.R.	2	-	13	-	-	286	6	-	2	-	1	1	-	-	-
Amer. Samoa	-	-	-	-	-	- 1	-	-	- 1	-	- 1	2	-	-	-
C.N.M.I.	1	U	26	U	-	1	-	U	2	U	-	-	U	-	-

TABLE II. (Cont'd.) Cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending July 2, 1994, and July 3, 1993 (26th Week)

*For measles only, imported cases include both out-of-state and international importations. N: Not notifiable U: Unavailable [†] International [§] Out-of-state

MMWR

Cum. 1994 Cum. 1993 Cum. 1994 Cum. 1994 Cum. 1993 Cum. 1994 Cum. 1994 <t< th=""><th>bies, nimal</th></t<>	bies, nimal
UNITED STATES 10,807 13,466 108 10,404 10,443 24 180 130 2, NEW ENGLAND 118 189 2 216 225 - 13 5 Maine 4 3 - - 5 - - - N.H. 1 18 - 11 10 - - - Vt. - 1 1 3 3 - - - Mass. 47 86 1 110 135 9 5 R.I. 9 6 - 18 34 - 1 - Conn. 57 75 - 74 38 - 3 - MID. ATLANTIC 640 1,330 18 1,880 2,184 - 49 - V.Y. City 295 6666 - 1,238 1,339 29 - <t< th=""><th>ım. 994</th></t<>	ım. 994
NEW ENGLAND 118 189 2 216 225 - 13 5 Maine 4 3 - - 5 - <td>937</td>	937
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	923
N.T.11011133Mass.47861110135-95R.I.96-1834-1-Conn.5775-7438-3-MID. ATLANTIC6401,330181,8802,184-49-Upstate N.Y.891168112316-6-N.Y. City295666-1,2381,339-29-N.J.104202-368205-14-Pa.15234610162324E.N. CENTRAL1,3902,264221,0351,11013221	- 96
Mass. 47 86 1 110 135 - 9 5 R.I. 9 6 - 18 34 - 1 - Conn. 57 75 - 74 38 - 3 - MID. ATLANTIC 640 1,330 18 1,880 2,184 - 49 - Upstate N.Y. 89 116 8 112 316 - 6 - N.Y. City 295 666 - 1,238 1,339 - 29 - N.J. 104 202 - 368 205 - 14 - Pa. 152 346 10 162 324 - - - E.N. CENTRAL 1,390 2,264 22 1,035 1,110 1 32 21	80
Conn. 57 75 - 74 38 - 3 - MID. ATLANTIC 640 1,330 18 1,880 2,184 - 49 - Upstate N.Y. 89 116 8 112 316 - 6 - N.Y. City 295 666 - 1,238 1,339 - 29 - N.J. 104 202 - 368 205 - 14 - Pa. 152 346 10 162 324 - - - E.N. CENTRAL 1,390 2,264 22 1,035 1,110 1 32 21	360
MID. ATLANTIC 640 1,330 18 1,880 2,184 - 49 - Upstate N.Y. 89 116 8 112 316 - 6 - N.Y. City 295 666 - 1,238 1,339 - 29 - N.J. 104 202 - 368 205 - 14 - Pa. 152 346 10 162 324 - - - E.N. CENTRAL 1,390 2,264 22 1,035 1,110 1 32 21	382
Upstate N.Y. 89 116 8 112 316 - 6 - N.Y. City 295 666 - 1,238 1,339 - 29 - N.J. 104 202 - 368 205 - 14 - Pa. 152 346 10 162 324 - - - E.N. CENTRAL 1,390 2,264 22 1,035 1,110 1 32 21	316
N.J. 104 202 - 368 205 - 14 - Pa. 152 346 10 162 324 - - - E.N. CENTRAL 1,390 2,264 22 1,035 1,110 1 32 21	79
Pa. 152 346 10 162 324 E.N. CENTRAL 1,390 2,264 22 1,035 1,110 1 32 21	149
E.N. CENTRAL 1,390 2,264 22 1,035 1,110 1 32 21	88
Obio 560 614 7 160 151 4 12	20
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4
III. 388 887 4 523 583 - 17 5	3
Wich. 161 330 9 230 215 1 3 2 Wis. 154 239 - 35 46 - 6 -	6
W.N. CENTRAL 604 879 17 269 231 12 - 10	102
Minn. 25 39 1 55 30	12
Mo. 521 702 5 125 1 Mo. 521 702 5 125 120 8 - 2	45 9
N. Dak 2 - 4 5	4
S. Dak 1 - 15 10 1 - 6 Nebr - 10 2 10 12 1 - 1	11
Kans. 30 85 2 40 29 2	21
S. ATLANTIC 3,137 3,501 6 1,982 2,141 - 26 59	957
Del. 13 69 21 - 1 - Md 117 192 - 160 181 - 4 4	21 305
D.C. 128 194 - 53 82 - 1 -	2
Va. 397 316 1 176 237 - 5 4	196
N.C. 899 991 1 237 262 - 21	42 89
S.C. 374 538 - 202 213 2	88
Fla. 446 609 4 672 722 - 14 3	21
E.S. CENTRAL 1,876 1,873 2 659 767 - 2 9	93
Ky. 108 156 1 167 185 - 1 -	4
Ala. 359 406 - 211 237 1 6	34 55
Miss. 920 771 - 74 116 2	-
W.S. CENTRAL 2,474 2,596 1 1,285 872 7 9 18	363
Ark. 260 310 - 142 82 6 - 2 La. 907 1.215 - 14 - 4 -	15 43
Okla. 83 184 1 136 80 1 1 13	19
lex. 1,224 887 - 993 /10 - 4 3	286
MOUNTAIN 146 124 4 230 269 3 6 8 Mont 1 1 - 9 5 1 - 4	39
Idaho 5 - 1 6 7	-
Wyo 4 - 3 2 2 Colo 73 35 1 1 42 - 2 1	12
5000 75 55 1 1 1 1 2 1 2 1	2
Ariz. 30 52 - 108 116 - 1 1	23
Nev. 23 12 - 44 51 - 2 -	2
PACIFIC 422 710 36 2,848 2,644 1 43 -	124
Wash. 32 28 - 151 131 - 3 -	-
Oreg. 17 30 - 81 33 1	- 95
Alaska 3 3 - 33 33	29
Hawaii 1 2 3 145 140 - 2 -	-
Guam 4 2 - 18 33 - 1 - PR 159 279 - 33 111	- 43
V.I. 22 27 - 2	-
Amer. Samoa 1 - - 3 1 - 1 - <th< td=""><td>-</td></th<>	-

TABLE II. (Cont'd.) Cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending July 2, 1994, and July 3, 1993 (26th Week)

U: Unavailable

	ŀ	All Cau	ises, By	/ Age (Y	'ears)		P&I [†]		ļ	All Cau	ises, B	y Age (Y	'ears)		P&I [†]
Reporting Area	All Ages	≥65	45-64	25-44	1-24	<1	Total	Reporting Area	All Ages	≥65	45-64	25-44	1-24	<1	Total
NEW ENGLAND Boston, Mass. Bridgeport, Conn. Cambridge, Mass. Fall River, Mass. Hartford, Conn. Lowell, Mass. Lynn, Mass. New Bedford, Mass. New Haven, Conn. Providence, R.I. Somerville, Mass. Springfield, Mass.	619 176 35 19 22 60 31 31 56 8 8 50 35	427 101 22 14 19 36 20 10 17 20 47 6 35 23	105 37 3 3 11 10 2 1 5 6 11 7	45 21 5 2 5 1 - 3 2 1 1 3	23 92 - 5 - 1 2 1 - 1 2	19 8 1 - - 3 - - 1 - 2	57 24 1 2 3 - 3 1 6 1 3 4	S. ATLANTIC Atlanta, Ga. Baltimore, Md. Charlotte, N.C. Jacksonville, Fla. Miami, Fla. Norfolk, Va. Richmond, Va. Savannah, Ga. St. Petersburg, Fla. Tampa, Fla. Washington, D.C. Wilmington, Del.	1,346 157 272 85 135 85 75 66 54 43 198 171 5	829 88 170 51 71 59 48 46 34 30 136 93 3	299 35 63 22 35 13 16 12 12 6 45 39 1	147 23 28 8 21 12 6 4 6 4 10 24 1	44 6 3 6 1 4 2 1 4 7 7	27 5 5 1 2 - 2 3 8 -	70 4 21 5 2 2 2 5 17 8
Worcester, Mass. MID. ATLANTIC Albany, N.Y. Allentown, Pa. Buffalo, N.Y. Camden, N.J. Elizabeth, N.J. Erie, Pa.§	65 2,497 49 18 83 24 12 39	57 1,563 30 10 53 9 7 28	3 531 12 5 16 5 3 6	1 292 3 6 3 2 2	68 1 5 5 1	4 43 4 - 3 2 - 2	7 102 6 1 - 3	E.S. CENTRAL Birmingham, Ala. Chattanooga, Tenn. Knoxville, Tenn. Lexington, Ky. Memphis, Tenn. Mobile, Ala. Montgomery, Ala. Nashville, Tenn.	758 101 62 96 76 151 87 52 133	475 56 40 65 53 100 53 32 76	164 24 13 21 11 28 18 11 38	66 8 9 8 9 8 5 14	28 6 3 1 12 1 2 2	25 7 1 3 2 7 2 3	43 2 4 9 7 10 7 1 3
New York City, N.J. Paterson, N.J. Philadelphia, Pa. Pittsburgh, Pa.§ Reading, Pa. Rochester, N.Y. Schenectady, N.Y. Scranton, Pa.§ Syracuse, N.Y. Trenton, N.J. Utica, N.Y. Yonkers, N.Y.	59 1,306 65 42 394 62 19 120 31 24 87 34 12 17	33 792 27 246 47 12 87 26 19 65 20 9 16	10 300 16 8 6 9 9 4 23 3 5 13 5 2	9 176 15 7 46 2 6 2 7 1 1	25 6 11 4 1 2 - 5 -	5 13 1 5 2 - 2 2 2 2 -	40 7 21 4 11 2 6 -	W.S. CENTRAL Austin, Tex. Baton Rouge, La. Corpus Christi, Tex. Dallas, Tex. El Paso, Tex. Ft. Worth, Tex. Houston, Tex. Little Rock, Ark. New Orleans, La. San Antonio, Tex. Shreveport, La. Tulsa, Okla.	1,471 74 51 49 190 59 127 415 73 71 207 56 99	888 44 30 36 102 41 79 222 49 47 133 32 73	310 18 15 7 46 6 28 100 12 13 39 9 17	168 11 3 23 6 7 65 7 8 21 9 5	57 1 3 15 6 14 3 1 5 5 3	48 - 2 4 6 7 14 2 2 9 1 1	63 5 2 3 6 2 21 3 7 6 5
E.N. CENTRAL Akron, Ohio Canton, Ohio Chicago, III. Cincinnati, Ohio Cleveland, Ohio Columbus, Ohio Dayton, Ohio Detroit, Mich. Evansville, Ind.	2,184 41 35 486 134 151 146 117 280 49	1,348 33 179 90 102 88 85 166 36	401 4 84 20 31 31 20 64 11	242 3 112 10 17 9 33 2	132 1 95 6 3 7 1 5	61 16 6 5 3 2 12	128 3 50 10 3 7 4 7 2	MOUNTAIN Albuquerque, N.M. Colo. Springs, Colo Denver, Colo. Las Vegas, Nev. Ogden, Utah Phoenix, Ariz. Pueblo, Colo. Salt Lake City, Utah Tucson, Ariz.	693 98 35 120 U 17 191 18 92 122	457 63 20 82 U 9 127 14 55 87	125 18 10 17 U 5 29 3 16 27	66 11 13 U 2 24 1 9 5	24 5 1 2 U - 4 9 3	21 1 3 6 U 1 7 - 3	48 3 9 8 U 3 17 2 4
Fort Wayne, Ind. Gary, Ind. Grand Rapids, Micł Indianapolis, Ind. Madison, Wis. Milwaukee, Wis. Peoria, III. Rockford, III. South Bend, Ind. Toledo, Ohio Youngstown, Ohio	54 21 172 57 146 50 48 49 96 U	33 12 32 122 45 112 40 36 36 71 U	13 4 11 33 7 25 4 7 8 20 U	6 4 5 10 4 5 1 4 3 2 U	- 1 2 3 1 2 1 - 2 2 U	2 4 2 4 1 1 U	6 - 4 135 6 - 2 1 5 U	PACIFIC Berkeley, Calif. Fresno, Calif. Glendale, Calif. Honolulu, Hawaii Long Beach, Calif. Los Angeles, Calif. Pasadena, Calif. Portland, Oreg. Sacramento, Calif. San Diego, Calif.	1,730 U 112 20 69 U 419 32 130 134 367	1,172 U 74 13 52 U 276 21 91 92 258	293 U 11 5 9 U 65 7 25 21 64	173 U 13 - 7 U 54 1 10 12 35	52 U 5 2 U 14 3 1 8 8	34 U 9 - 1 U 4 - 3 1 2	119 U 7 1 5 U 24 4 2 9 40
W.N. CENTRAL Des Moines, Iowa Duluth, Minn. Kansas City, Kans. Kansas City, Mo. Lincoln, Nebr. Minneapolis, Minn. Omaha, Nebr. St. Louis, Mo. St. Paul, Minn.	710 73 25 35 111 20 144 78 107 55	474 26 21 25 76 16 92 49 82 43	114 23 2 7 20 1 22 11 11 11	73 16 2 11 2 17 9 10	25 7 1 9 3 2	24 1 2 3 1 4 6 2	52 2 1 2 3 2 19 7 11 3	San Francisco, Cali San Jose, Calif. Santa Cruz, Calif. Seattle, Wash. Spokane, Wash. Tacoma, Wash. TOTAL	f. U 164 33 133 41 76 12,008 [¶]	U 110 23 81 32 49 7,633	U 34 6 24 6 16 2,342	U 13 3 18 7 1,272	U 5 1 2 1 2 453	U 2 8 2 2 302	U 9 4 7 5 2 682
Paterson, N.J. Philadelphia, Pa. Pittsburgh, Pa.§ Reading, Pa. Rochester, N.Y. Schenectady, N.Y. Scranton, Pa.§ Syracuse, N.Y. Trenton, N.J. Utica, N.Y. Yonkers, N.Y. E.N. CENTRAL Akron, Ohio Canton, Ohio Chicago, III. Cincinnati, Ohio Cleveland, Ohio Columbus, Ohio Dayton, Ohio Detroit, Mich. Evansville, Ind. Fort Wayne, Ind. Grand Rapids, Mict Indianapolis, Ind. Madison, Wis. Milwaukee, Wis. Peoria, III. Rockford, III. South Bend, Ind. Toledo, Ohio Youngstown, Ohio W.N. CENTRAL Des Moines, Iowa Duluth, Minn. Kansas City, Kans. Kansas City, Kans. Cit, Louis, Mo. St. Paul, Minn. Wichita, Kans.	42 394 622 19 120 311 24 87 34 121 17 2,184 411 355 486 134 151 146 50 48 49 96 U 710 73 25 355 111 20 146 50 48 49 96 U 710 73 25 355 111 20 710 73 25 355 111 20 710 73 25 355 111 20 710 710 710 710 710 710 710 71	$\begin{array}{c} 27\\ 246\\ 47\\ 12\\ 87\\ 20\\ 9\\ 16\\ 1,348\\ 33\\ 30\\ 179\\ 90\\ 102\\ 88\\ 85\\ 166\\ 333\\ 122\\ 45\\ 122\\ 122\\ 45\\ 122\\ 122\\ 45\\ 122\\ 122\\ 122\\ 122\\ 122\\ 122\\ 122\\ 12$	8 86 9 4 23 3 5 13 5 2 - 401 4 84 20 311 20 641 13 4 133 7 5 4 7 80 U 114 22 7 20 12 111 15 5	$\begin{array}{c} 7 \\ 46 \\ 2 \\ 6 \\ 2 \\ 7 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 242 \\ 3 \\ 112 \\ 10 \\ 17 \\ 9 \\ 33 \\ 2 \\ 6 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 10 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 1 \\ 4 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ U \\ 73 \\ 16 \\ 2 \\ 11 \\ 2 \\ 17 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 6 \end{array}$		5 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 4 1 2 2 2 4 1 2 2 3 3 1 4 6 2 2 3 3 1 4 6 2 2 5 5	$\begin{array}{c} 21\\ 4\\ 11\\ 2\\ 6\\ \\ 1\\ 128\\ \\ 3\\ 50\\ 10\\ 3\\ 7\\ 4\\ 7\\ 2\\ 6\\ \\ 4\\ 13\\ 5\\ 6\\ \\ 2\\ 1\\ 5\\ U\\ 5\\ 2\\ 1\\ 5\\ 2\\ 1\\ 2\\ 3\\ 2\\ 19\\ 7\\ 1\\ 3\\ 2\end{array}$	 Baton Rouge, La. Corpus Christi, Tex. Dallas, Tex. El Paso, Tex. Ft. Worth, Tex. Houston, Tex. Little Rock, Ark. New Orleans, La. San Antonio, Tex. Shreveport, La. Tulsa, Okla. MOUNTAIN Albuquerque, N.M. Colo. Springs, Colo Denver, Colo. Las Vegas, Nev. Ogden, Utah Phoenix, Ariz. Pueblo, Colo. Salt Lake City, Utah Tucson, Ariz. PACIFIC Berkeley, Calif. Fresno, Calif. Glendale, Calif. Honolulu, Hawaii Long Beach, Calif. San Jose, Calif. San Jose, Calif. San Jose, Calif. San Jose, Calif. Sant Cruz, Calif. <l< td=""><td>51 499 190 59 127 415 73 71 207 56 99 693 99 693 99 693 99 693 99 693 120 U 17 191 18 92 122 122 122 122 122 122 122 122 122</td><td>30 366 102 41 799 222 49 47 133 32 73 457 63 20 82 U 9 127 14 555 87 1,172 U 91 92 258 87 1,172 U 276 21 91 92 22 58 U 0 23 81 32 49 7,633</td><td>$\begin{array}{c} 15\\ 7\\ 46\\ 28\\ 100\\ 12\\ 13\\ 9\\ 17\\ 125\\ 18\\ 10\\ 17\\ 125\\ 29\\ 36\\ 27\\ 29\\ 3\\ 11\\ 5\\ 9\\ 15\\ 9\\ 15\\ 29\\ 21\\ 4\\ 16\\ 24\\ 66\\ 2,342\\ 2,342\\ \end{array}$</td><td>3 3 23 6 7 65 7 8 21 9 5 66 11 1 13 U 2 24 1 9 5 173 U 13 7 U 5 1 10 2 35 U 13 3 18 7 1,272</td><td>3 15 6 4 3 1 5 5 3 2 4 5 1 2 U 4 9 3 5 U 5 2 U 5 2 · U 4 3 1 8 8 U 5 1 2 1 2 4 5 1 2 U - 4 5 2 U 5 2 · U 5 2 · U 5 2 · U 5 2 · U 5 2 · U 5 · 2 · 2 · 2 · 2 · 2 · 2 · 2 · 2 · 2 ·</td><td>222 44 67 77 12 22 9 11 21 1 3 6 U 1 2 2 9 9 11 2 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 1</td><td></td></l<>	51 499 190 59 127 415 73 71 207 56 99 693 99 693 99 693 99 693 99 693 120 U 17 191 18 92 122 122 122 122 122 122 122 122 122	30 366 102 41 799 222 49 47 133 32 73 457 63 20 82 U 9 127 14 555 87 1,172 U 91 92 258 87 1,172 U 276 21 91 92 22 58 U 0 23 81 32 49 7,633	$\begin{array}{c} 15\\ 7\\ 46\\ 28\\ 100\\ 12\\ 13\\ 9\\ 17\\ 125\\ 18\\ 10\\ 17\\ 125\\ 29\\ 36\\ 27\\ 29\\ 3\\ 11\\ 5\\ 9\\ 15\\ 9\\ 15\\ 29\\ 21\\ 4\\ 16\\ 24\\ 66\\ 2,342\\ 2,342\\ \end{array}$	3 3 23 6 7 65 7 8 21 9 5 66 11 1 13 U 2 24 1 9 5 173 U 13 7 U 5 1 10 2 35 U 13 3 18 7 1,272	3 15 6 4 3 1 5 5 3 2 4 5 1 2 U 4 9 3 5 U 5 2 U 5 2 · U 4 3 1 8 8 U 5 1 2 1 2 4 5 1 2 U - 4 5 2 U 5 2 · U 5 2 · U 5 2 · U 5 2 · U 5 2 · U 5 · 2 · 2 · 2 · 2 · 2 · 2 · 2 · 2 · 2 ·	222 44 67 77 12 22 9 11 21 1 3 6 U 1 2 2 9 9 11 2 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 1	

TABLE III. Deaths in 121 U.S. cities,* week ending July 2, 1994 (26th Week)

*Mortality data in this table are voluntarily reported from 121 cities in the United States, most of which have populations of 100,000 or more. A death is reported by the place of its occurrence and by the week that the death certificate was filed. Fetal deaths are not included.

[†]Pneumonia and influenza.

⁹Because of changes in reporting methods in these 3 Pennsylvania cities, these numbers are partial counts for the current week. Complete counts will be available in 4 to 6 weeks. ¹Total includes unknown ages.

U: Unavailable.

Current Trends

Rapid Assessment of Vectorborne Diseases During the Midwest Flood — United States, 1993

Heavy spring and summer rainfall during 1993 caused the most extensive flash and riverine flooding ever recorded in the upper midwestern United States. In portions of the flood region,* standing water provided large expanses of habitat capable of producing large populations of the mosquitoes *Culex pipiens* and *Cx. tarsalis*. These species can rapidly amplify transmission of the arboviruses that cause St. Louis encephalitis (SLE) and western equine encephalitis (WEE). Although information from state health departments in the disaster area indicated minimal SLE or WEE activity in the region before the flooding, large vector populations in certain areas following the flooding increased the potential for exposure of residents and emergency workers to arboviral infection. To determine the risk for arboviral disease in the disaster area, CDC, in collaboration with state and local health departments, conducted surveillance during August–September 1993. This report summarizes the results of the surveil-lance activity.

The risk for SLE or WEE amplification was low in the northern part of the flood region because flooding occurred during late summer, vector population densities were moderate, and nighttime temperatures were below 50 F (10 C). To verify the low risk, mosquito-based surveillance was conducted in Iowa, Minnesota, Nebraska, North Dakota, and South Dakota during August 2–7. Because larger mosquito populations and higher average temperatures (that may facilitate virus amplification) were observed in the southern part of the flood region, intensive surveillance for SLE and WEE was conducted in Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, and Missouri from August 1 through September 21. Mosquitoes were collected in carbon dioxide-baited light traps and sorted by species. Known vector species were grouped into pools of up to 100 mosquitoes and tested for the presence of SLE antigen (using an antigen-capture enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay) and/or WEE virus (using a Vero cell culture plaque assay).

WEE virus was detected in one pool of *Cx. tarsalis* collected in Deuel County, South Dakota; no evidence of SLE activity was detected in any of the 186,501 mosquitoes tested from throughout the region (Table 1). In Iowa, state-based sentinel chicken surveillance revealed no evidence of SLE or WEE activity. In Illinois, state-based wild bird surveillance identified SLE virus in one of 2073 birds tested. Two human cases of SLE were reported from the nine-state area; one occurred within the disaster area. Sporadic cases of SLE frequently occur in the Midwest; these cases were not related to flooding in 1993. Because surveillance data indicated minimal risk for arboviral disease above background levels in the disaster area, contingency plans for large-scale mosquito adulticiding were not implemented.

Reported by: J Anders, Div of Microbiology, LA Shireley, MPH, State Epidemiogist, North Dakota State Dept of Health and Consolidated Laboratories. L Volmer, Office of Communicable Disease Prevention and Control, K Forsch, State Epidemiologist, South Dakota State Dept of Health. MT Osterholm, PhD, State Epidemiologist, Minnesota Dept of Health. WL Schell, JP Davis, MD, State Epidemiologist, Div of Health, Wisconsin Dept of Health and Social Svcs. WA Rowley, Dept of Entomology, Iowa State Univ; R Currier, LA Wintermeyer, MD, State Epidemiologist,

^{*}Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Wisconsin.

Vectorborne Diseases — Continued

State	No. pools	No. mosquitoes	Positive pools (SLE/WEE*)	
Illinois	618	30,900	0/†	
Iowa	20	1,230	0/0	
Kansas	139	6,258	0/0	
Minnesota	45	3,505	0/0	
Missouri	1,759	123,863	0/†	
Nebraska	31	2,082	0/0	
North Dakota	53	3,502	0/0	
South Dakota	172	15,161	0/1	
Total	2,837	186,501		

TABLE 1. Mosquitoes tested for arboviruses in states affected by the Midwest floods — August 2–7, 1993

*St. Louis encephalitis/western equine encephalitis.

[†]Not done.

Iowa Dept of Public Health. WL Kramer, TJ Safranek, MD, State Epidemiologist, Nebraska Dept of Health. D Alfano, Bur of Disease Control, Kansas Dept of Health and Environment. LD Haramis, Vector Surveillance and Control, Illinois Dept of Public Health. W Kottkamp, St. Louis County Dept of Health-Vector Control, St. Louis; CL Frazier, Southeast Missouri State Univ, Cape Girardeau; FT Satalowich, Vector Control, Missouri Dept of Health. US Navy Disease Vector Ecology and Control Center, Naval Air Station, Jacksonville, Florida; US Navy Disease Vector Ecology and Control Center, Alameda, California; US Navy Environmental and Preventive Medicine Unit No. 2, Norfolk, Virginia. US Air Force Reserve 910 AG/DOS. Emergency Response Coordination Group, Vienna, Ohio. National Center for Environmental Health; Medical Entomology/Ecology Br, Div of Vector-Borne Infectious Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases, CDC.

Editorial Note: Although natural disasters that result in flooding often are followed by a proliferation of mosquitoes, in the United States such disasters are rarely followed immediately by epidemics of arboviral disease. Surveillance data in this report confirmed that, in 1993, flood-related risk for epidemic mosquitoborne arboviral infections was low in the upper midwestern United States.

Despite the presumed low risk for mosquitoborne arboviral disease after floodrelated natural disasters, surveillance for arboviruses can assist in determining prevalence in large vector populations and the need for mosquito control. Because the 1993 Midwest flood was more widespread than previous floods in the region, the risk for arboviral disease was unknown. Surveillance provided an accurate determination of the risk for transmission of arboviral infection and obviated the expense of large-scale mosquito control. For example, the total allocation for arbovirus surveillance in the disaster area was approximately \$390,000 (range: \$32,275 [Illinois] to \$150,000 [Missouri]). If surveillance had not been implemented in the area, prophylactic mosquito control most likely would have been conducted. The estimated cost of mosquito control for the St. Louis metropolitan area alone was \$1.6 million. If other metropolitan areas in the flood region also were treated, the total estimated cost of prophylactic mosquito control would have exceeded \$10 million. These findings suggest that arbovirus surveillance programs to determine public health risk can prevent unnecessary expenditures associated with application of insecticides.

In addition to large-scale application of insecticides, the primary public health interventions to prevent mosquitoborne arboviral outbreaks include community alerts that warn residents to avoid mosquito exposure during twilight hours by staying inside

Vectorborne Diseases — Continued

screened or air-conditioned buildings or by using repellents or other personal protection measures. The decision to use large-scale application of insecticides to reduce vector population densities is complex and depends on many factors, including detection of early-season arbovirus transmission, indicating increased risk for human infection. Timely intervention, however, requires an active program of mosquito and avian surveillance and appropriate mosquito-control measures.

Reasons also may exist for emergency control of mosquitoes that are not related to disease transmission after a disaster. Pest (i.e., nonvector) mosquito species may cause severe nuisance problems that compromise emergency-response operations. CDC recommends control of pest mosquitoes when 1) emergency-response or reconstruction efforts are substantially hampered by large populations of mosquitoes, 2) normal civil services (e.g. police, fire, emergency medical services, power, and water and sewage services) in the disaster area are substantially disrupted, or 3) large nuisance mosquito populations place additional stress on the human population (1). Surveillance protocols and control methods vary by the mosquito species. Decisions to control pest mosquitoes are based on criteria that differ from those to control vector mosquitoes. No large-scale emergency control of pest mosquitoes was conducted in the 1993 flood disaster.

In the disaster area, the risk for epidemic transmission of arboviruses during 1994 is being monitored by human, bird, and mosquito surveillance. Winter snows and spring rains contributed to flooding and standing water in some areas of the midwestern United States that experienced flooding in 1993. As a result, mosquitoes in these localities may be more abundant than usual during the 1994 arbovirus transmission season.

Reference

1. CDC. Emergency mosquito control associated with Hurricane Andrew—Florida and Louisiana, 1992. MMWR 1993;42:240–2.

Notice to Readers

Adult Blood Lead Epidemiology and Surveillance — United States, 1992–1994

CDC's National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health Adult Blood Lead Epidemiology and Surveillance program (ABLES) monitors elevated blood lead levels (BLLs) among adults in the United States (1). Twenty-two states currently report surveillance results to ABLES. Beginning in 1993, ABLES began detecting both new cases and persons with multiple reports over time. In this report, ABLES provides data for the first quarter of 1994 and compares annual data for 1993 and 1992.

During January 1–March 31, 1994, the number of reports of elevated BLLs increased over those reported for the same period in both 1992 and 1993 in all reporting categories (Table 1); this increase is consistent with the increase from 1992 to 1993 in total annual BLL reports (2). The number of reports of adults with elevated BLLs reflects monitoring practices by employers. Variation in national quarterly reporting totals, especially first-quarter totals, may result from 1) changes in the number of par-

Notices to Readers - Continued

ticipating states; 2) timing of receipt of laboratory BLL reports by state-based surveillance programs; and 3) interstate differences in worker BLL testing by lead-using industries.

The reported number of adults with elevated BLLs increased from 8886 in 1992 to 11,240 in 1993 (Table 2); this increase resulted in part from a net gain of two reporting states (three additions and one deletion) to ABLES in 1993. A total of 6584 new case reports* accounted for 59% of the total cases (11,240) reported during 1993.

*At least one report of an adult with an elevated BLL (≥25 µg/dL) who had not been reported previously in 1992. Of the newly reported cases in 1993, 257 (4%) were reported by new ABLES states (for which all cases are considered new).

TABLE 1. Reports of elevated blood lead levels (BLLs) among adults — 22 states,* first quarter, 1992–1994

Reported BLL	First qua	rter, 1994	Reports, first quarter	Reports, first quarter
(μ g/dL)	No. reports	No. persons [†]	1993 [§]	1992 [¶]
25-39	4086	3295	3360	3475
40–49	1370	1014	846	904
50–59	275	202	162	221
≥60	116	86	79	86
Total	5847	4597	4447	4686

*Reported by Alabama, Arizona, California, Connecticut, Illinois, Iowa, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Washington, and Wisconsin.

[†]Individual reports are categorized according to the highest reported BLL for the individual during the given quarter.

[§]Data for first quarter 1993 were reported from 17 states (Alabama, Connecticut, Illinois, Iowa, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Texas, Utah, Vermont, and Wisconsin). Data on number of persons with elevated BLLs are unavailable.

[¶]Data for first quarter 1992 were reported from 12 states (Alabama, California, Connecticut, Illinois, Iowa, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Jersey, New York, Oregon, Texas, and Wisconsin). Data on number of persons with elevated BLLs are unavailable.

TABLE 2. Reports of new cases of elevated blood lead levels (BLLs) among adults — 20 states*, 1993

Highest BLL			New o	ases§
(μ̃g/dL)	No. reports*	No. persons [†]	No.	(%)
25-39	17,045	8,041	4,693	(58)
40–49	5,189	2,293	1,288	(56)
50–59	1,208	627	419	(67)
≥60	583	279	184	(66)
Total	24,025	11,240	6,584	(59)

*Reported by Alabama, Arizona, California, Connecticut, Illinois, Iowa, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Washington, and Wisconsin.

[†]Individual reports are categorized according to the highest reported BLL for the individual during the given year.

[§]Reported by Alabama, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Illinois, Iowa, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Jersey, New York, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Texas, Utah, and Wisconsin.

Notices to Readers — Continued

Fifty-two percent of persons reported in 1992 were reported again to the system during 1993. Reasons for repeat reports of elevated BLLs include 1) recurring exposure resulting from lack of existing control measures and inapropriate worker-protection practices; 2) routine tracking of elevated employee BLLs below the medical removal limits; and 3) increased employer monitoring during medical removal. Increased testing of workers in construction trades—as new workplace medical-monitoring programs are established to comply with new Occupational Safety and Health Administration regulations (*3*)—also may partially explain increases in reports of elevated BLLs.

These data suggest that work-related lead exposure is an ongoing occupational health problem in the United States. By expanding the number of participating states, reducing variability in reporting, and distinguishing between new and recurring elevated BLLs in adults, ABLES can enhance surveillance for this preventable condition.

Reported by: NH Chowdhury, MBBS, Alabama Dept of Public Health. C Fowler, MS, Arizona Dept of Health Svcs. FJ Mycroft, PhD, Occupational Health Br, California Dept of Health Svcs. BC Jung, MPH, Connecticut Dept of Public Health and Addiction Svcs. M Lehnherr, Occupational Disease Registry, Div of Epidemiologic Studies, Illinois Dept of Public Health. R Gergely, Iowa Dept of Public Health. E Keyvan-Larijani, MD, Lead Poisoning Prevention Program, Maryland Dept of the Environment. R Rabin, MSPH, Div of Occupational Hygiene, Massachusetts Dept of Labor and Industries. A Carr, MBA, Bur of Child and Family Svcs, Michigan Dept of Public Health. D Solet, PhD, Div of Public Health Svcs, New Hampshire State Dept of Health and Human Svcs. B Gerwel, MD, Occupational Disease Prevention Project, New Jersey Dept of Health. R Stone, PhD, New York State Dept of Health. S Randolph, MSN, North Carolina Dept of Environment, Health, and Natural Resources. E Rhoades, MD, Oklahoma State Dept of Health. M Barnett, MS, State Health Div, Oregon Dept of Human Resources. J Gostin, MS, Occupational Health Program, Div of Environmental Health, Pennsylvania Dept of Health. R Marino, MD, Div of Health Hazard Evaluations, South Carolina Dept of Health and Environmental Control. D Perrotta, PhD, Bur of Epidemiology, Texas Dept of Health. D Beaudoin, MD, Bur of Epidemiology, Utah Dept of Health. L Toof, Div of Epidemiology and Health Promotion, Vermont Dept of Health. J Kaufman, MD, Washington State Dept of Labor and Industries. D Higgins, Wisconsin Dept of Health and Social Svcs. Div of Surveillance, Hazard Evaluations, and Field Studies, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, CDC.

References

- 1. CDC. Surveillance of elevated blood lead levels among adults—United States, 1992. MMWR 1992;41:285–8.
- 2. CDC. Adult blood lead epidemiology and surveillance—United States, fourth quarter, 1993. MMWR 1994;43:246–7.
- 3. Office of the Federal Register. Code of federal regulations: occupational safety and health standards. Subpart Z: toxic and hazardous substances—lead. Washington DC: Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Administration, 1993. (29 CFR section 1926, part II).

Notice to Readers

Availability of Version 6 of Epi Info

The Epi Info computer programs produced by CDC and the World Health Organization provide public-domain software for word processing, database management, and statistics work in public health; more than 40,000 documented copies of Version 5 are in use in 117 countries. Version 6 of Epi Info was released in June 1994.

Notices to Readers - Continued

Version 6 features a configurable pull-down menu, facilities for producing and using hypertext (active text), additional statistics, and many programming improvements. As with previous versions, it runs on IBM*-compatible computers under DOS and requires 640 K of memory (RAM), although use of a hard disk is recommended.

A 600-page manual is included on the disks and is available in printed form. Copies of Epi Info and a companion program for geographic mapping (Epi Map) are available from USD, Inc., 2075A West Park Place, Stone Mountain, GA 30087; telephone (404) 469-4098; fax (404) 469-0681. There are charges for Epi Info and Epi Map.

Epi Info and Epi Map are available on the worldwide Internet using the following access information: Site: FTP.CDC.GOV; User ID: anonymous; Directory for Epi Info: /PUB/EPI/EPIINFO; Directory for Epi Map: /PUB/EPI/EPIMAP. The compressed files occupy 3–4 megabytes for each product.

Notice to Readers

NIOSH Alert: Request for Assistance in Preventing Organic Dust Toxic Syndrome

CDC's National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) periodically issues alerts on workplace hazards that have caused death, serious injury, or illness to workers. One such alert, *Request for Assistance in Preventing Organic Dust Toxic Syndrome* (1), was recently published and is available to the public.*

This alert warns agricultural workers who inhale contaminated organic dust that they can develop serious respiratory illness. One of the most common illnesses is organic dust toxic syndrome (ODTS), a respiratory and systemic illness that can follow exposures to heavy concentrations of organic dusts contaminated with microorganisms. An estimated 30%–40% of workers exposed to such organic dusts will develop ODTS. The alert describes four incidents in which 29 agricultural workers developed ODTS. Also described are the various medical conditions that ODTS includes and the health effects associated with the syndrome. The alert provides recommendations for minimizing the risk for exposure to organic dusts and for the use of respirators.

Reference

 NIOSH. Request for assistance in preventing organic dust toxic syndrome. Cincinnati: US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, CDC, 1994; DHHS publication no. (NIOSH)94-102.

^{*}Use of trade names and commercial sources is for identification only and does not imply endorsement by the Public Health Service or the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

^{*} Single copies of this document are available without charge from the Publications Office, Division of Standards Development and Technology Transfer, NIOSH, CDC, Mailstop C-13, 4676 Columbia Parkway, Cincinnati, OH 45226-1998; telephone (800) 356-4674 ([513] 533-8328 for persons outside the United States); fax (513) 533-8573.

1995 Symposium on Statistical Methods

CDC and the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry; the Atlanta chapter of the American Statistical Association; the Biostatistics Division, Emory University School of Public Health; and the Department of Statistics, University of Georgia, will cosponsor a statistical methods symposium entitled "Small Area Statistics in Public Health: Design, Analysis, Graphic and Spatial Methods" January 25–26, 1995, in Atlanta. A short course, "Geographic Information Systems: Concepts and Perspectives for Small Area Analysis in Public Health," will be offered January 24, 1995, in conjunction with the symposium.

The symposium will include invited plenary presentations and contributed papers. Abstracts will be accepted in the following areas: "borrowed strength" methods for small-area estimation; use of small-area statistics in environmental health issues; small-area statistics and ethnic subpopulations; estimation and forecasting from small samples; detection of temporal and spatial trends in disease patterns; geographic information systems; mapping and graphic methods for public health research; and confidentiality and data-accessibility issues. Abstracts should be post-marked no later than August 1, 1994.

Abstract, registration, and cost information is available from CDC's Division of Surveillance and Epidemiology, Epidemiology Program Office, Mailstop C-08, 1600 Clifton Road, NE, Atlanta, GA 30333; telephone (404) 639-0080. Additional information regarding scientific content of the symposium is available from the Chair, 1995 CDC and ATSDR Symposium on Statistical Methods, telephone (404) 488-4300 (Internet: SJS1@CEHEHL1.EM.CDC.GOV).

MMWR

The Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR) Series is prepared by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and is available on a paid subscription basis from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402; telephone (202) 783-3238.

The data in the weekly *MMWR* are provisional, based on weekly reports to CDC by state health departments. The reporting week concludes at close of business on Friday; compiled data on a national basis are officially released to the public on the succeeding Friday. Inquiries about the *MMWR* Series, including material to be considered for publication, should be directed to: Editor, *MMWR* Series, Mailstop C-08, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA 30333; telephone (404) 332-4555.

All material in the *MMWR* Series is in the public domain and may be used and reprinted without special permission; citation as to source, however, is appreciated.

Director, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention David Satcher, M.D., Ph.D.	Acting Editor, <i>MMWR</i> (weekly) Scott F. Wetterhall, M.D., M.P.H.					
Acting Deputy Director, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention	Managing Editor, <i>MMWR</i> (weekly) Karen L. Foster, M.A.					
Claire V. Broome, M.D.	Writers-Editors, MMWR (weekly)					
Acting Director, Epidemiology Program Office	David C. Johnson					
Barbara R. Holloway, M.P.H.	Patricia A. McGee					
Editor, MMWR Series	Darlene D. Rumph-Person					
Richard A. Goodman, M.D., M.P.H. Caran R. Wilbanks						
ALLS Covernment Drinting Office, 100	4 522 170 (05015 Decise IV)					

☆U.S. Government Printing Office: 1994-533-178/05015 Region IV