



- 757 National Disability Awareness Month, 1993
- 757 Prevalence of Work Disability United States, 1990
- **760** Prevalence of Mobility and Self-Care Disability — United States, 1990
- **768** Comprehensive Delivery of Adult Vaccination — Minnesota, 1986–1992

MORBIDITY AND MORTALITY WEEKLY REPORT

770 Notice to Readers

National Disability Awareness Month, 1993

October is National Disability Awareness Month. During this month, employers, volunteer organizations, churches, schools, and other groups are encouraged to provide seminars and job fairs that promote understanding of disabilities and emphasize a person's abilities rather than disabilities. This issue of *MMWR* includes reports of assessments of work disability, mobility disability, and self-care disability in the United States. Additional information about National Disability Awareness Month is available from The President's Committee on Employment of People with Disabilities, 131 F Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20004-1107; telephone (202) 376-6200.

Current Trends

Prevalence of Work Disability — United States, 1990

Work disability, defined as the inability to perform work as a result of a physical, mental, or other health condition, costs approximately \$111.6 billion each year in direct and indirect medical costs and lost wages (1). National health objectives for the year 2000 are to increase the span of healthy life for persons in the United States and to reduce the proportion of persons experiencing disability from chronic conditions (as defined by CDC's National Health Interview Survey) to a maximum of 8% (baseline: 9.4% in 1988) (objective 17.2) (2). This report presents national and state-specific prevalence rates of work disability in the United States for 1990 and compares rates with those for 1980 (3).

Data on work disability among U.S. residents have been collected by the Bureau of the Census since 1970. In this analysis, rates of work disability were calculated for persons aged 16–64 years using data from the 1990 census. Work disability was defined on the census questionnaire as the inability to perform work resulting from a physical, mental, or other health condition of 6 months' duration or longer; categories are nonsevere (limitation in the type or amount of work a person can perform) and severe (inability to perform work of any type).

In 1990, an estimated 12.8 million persons aged 16–64 years had a work disability: 6.6 million were severe and 6.2 million, nonsevere. Rates of work disability varied

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES / Public Health Service

Work Disability — Continued

widely among the states, ranging from 61.8 (New Jersey) to 126.2 (West Virginia) per 1000 population. Prevalence rates were highest in West Virginia, Kentucky (114.3), Arkansas (111.7), Louisiana (102.9) and Mississippi (109.8) (Table 1).

From 1980 to 1990, the prevalence of work disability declined nationally, from 85.2 to 81.5 per 1000 persons, and rates of severe and nonsevere work disability decreased by 3.9% and 4.7%, respectively. Rates of work disability declined for the District of Columbia and 29 states, primarily in the South, and increased for 21, primarily in the Midwest and West. For states with high rates of severe disability in 1980, rates remained high in 1990. The five states with the highest rates of severe disability also had high rates of nonsevere work disability.

Reported by: MP LaPlante, PhD, Disability Statistics, Rehabilitation Research, and Training Center, Institute for Health and Aging, Univ of California at San Francisco. National Institute on Disability and Rehabilitation Research, US Dept of Education. Applications Br, Div of Surveillance and Epidemiology, Epidemiology Program Office; Disabilities Prevention Program, Office of the Director, National Center for Environmental Health; and National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, CDC.

Editorial Note: Although age-specific, all-cause mortality in the United States has steadily decreased since the late 1940s, self-reported disability increased from 1962 through 1984 (4). In addition, even though the findings in this report indicate national declines from 1980 to 1990 in the estimated rate of work disability, the proportion of U.S. residents affected by work disability and the variability in rates of work disability among states remain high. These findings are consistent with other studies (1,4).

		No. persons with any work		. .	No. persons with any work
State	Rate	disability	State	Rate	disability
Alabama	96.8	245,000	Montana	97.0	47,000
Alaska	66.3	23,000	Nebraska	71.4	68,000
Arizona	83.1	188,000	Nevada	83.4	66,000
Arkansas	111.7	159,000	New Hampshire	72.7	53,000
California	74.2	1,422,000	New Jersey	61.8	311,000
Colorado	78.4	167,000	New Mexico	88.3	82,000
Connecticut	63.8	136,000	New York	74.3	866,000
Delaware	77.4	33,000	North Carolina	87.3	371,000
District of			North Dakota	69.7	26,000
Columbia	84.0	35,000	Ohio	90.1	618,000
Florida	86.6	676,000	Oklahoma	101.6	195,000
Georgia	88.4	368,000	Oregon	100.1	178,000
Hawaii	65.9	44,000	Pennsylvania	82.6	617,000
Idaho	90.4	54,000	Rhode Island	85.8	55,000
Illinois	68.9	500,000	South Carolina	91.1	199,000
Indiana	79.0	277,000	South Dakota	78.1	32,000
lowa	75.8	128,000	Tennessee	97.3	304,000
Kansas	72.0	108,000	Texas	76.0	813,000
Kentucky	114.3	265,000	Utah	72.9	72,000
Louisiana	102.9	266,000	Vermont	79.0	29,000
Maine	101.5	79,000	Virginia	75.4	299,000
Maryland	70.5	221,000	Washington	90.9	280,000
Massachusetts	72.0	284,000	West Virginia	126.2	142,000
Michigan	90.4	536,000	Wisconsin	73.2	224,000
Minnesota	73.9	204,000	Wyoming	72.7	20,000
Mississippi Missouri	109.8 85.4	171,000 271,000	Overall	81.5	12,821,000

TABLE 1. Rate* of work disability among persons aged 16–64 years and estimated numbers of persons with any work disability, by state — United States, 1990

*Per 1000 persons.

Source: Bureau of the Census, 1990.

Vol. 42 / No. 39

MMWR

Work Disability — Continued

Potential explanations for the declining trend in work disability and for the statespecific variability include changing patterns in self-reporting of health conditions, variations in categorization of functional disability based on job benefits and conditions (e.g., job retraining or reassignment, vocational rehabilitation, early retirement, or workers' compensation) (5), demographic factors (e.g., age, socioeconomic status, educational level, and marital status), and economic factors (e.g., the rate of unemployment in a particular state, opportunities for employment for persons with disabilities, and retirement patterns) (4-9).

In this report, the finding that rates of work disability increased in nearly half the states from 1980 to 1990 may reflect the change in age distribution in the United States. Age is a strong determinant of work disability: as the average age of the population increases there is usually a concomitant increase in the prevalence of work disability (4). In addition, the finding that states with the highest prevalence of severe work disability also had high rates of nonsevere work disability suggests that similar factors may influence rates of severe and nonsevere work disability.

The state-specific estimates of work disability in this report can provide guidance to states in planning and monitoring efforts to reduce the impact of work disabilities. These efforts should include collaboration among national, state, and local public health officials along with business and industry leaders to evaluate policies on job training or reassignment, vocational rehabilitation, and workers' compensation to ensure optimal retraining and rehabilitation of persons with disabilities. This level of collaboration is essential in implementing the guidelines of the Americans with Disabilities Act*.

References

- 1. Ycas MA. Trends in the incidence and prevalence of work disability. In: Thompson-Hoffman S, Storck IF, eds. Disability in the United States: a portrait fromnational data. New York: Springer, 1991:161–83.
- 2. Public Health Service. Healthy people2000: national health promotion and disease prevention objectives—full report, with commentary. Washington, DC: US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, 1991; DHHS publication no. (PHS)91-50212.
- 3. LaPlante MP. Disability statistics report: state estimates of disability in America. Washington, DC: US Department of Education, Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services/ National Institute on Disability and Rehabilitation Research, 1993 (no. 3).
- 4. Wolfe BL, Haveman R. Trends in the prevalence of work disability from 1962 to 1984, and their correlates. Milbank Q 1990;68:53–80.
- 5. West J, ed. The Americans with Disabilities Act: from policy to practice. New York: Milbank Memorial Fund, 1991.
- 6. Kemna HJMJ. Working conditions and the relationship between schooling and health. J Health Econ 1987;6:189–210.
- Lando ME, Cutler RR, Gamber E. Data book: 1978 survey of disability and work. Washington, DC: US Department of Health and Social Services, Social Security Administration, 1982; SSA publication no. 13-11745.
- 8. Chirikos TN, Nestel G. Economic determinants and consequences of self-reported work disability. J Health Econ 1984;3:117–36.
- 9. Chirikos TN. Accounting for the historical rise in work disability prevalence. Milbank Q 1986;64:271–301.

^{*}Public Law 101-336.

Epidemiologic Notes and Reports

Prevalence of Mobility and Self-Care Disability — United States, 1990

An estimated 43 million persons in the United States have a disability* (1); the estimated annual economic impact of disabilities—representing loss of wages, medical-care expenditures, and additional household expenditures—is approximately \$176.7 billion (2). The Institute of Medicine recently recommended surveillance and systematic collection of information at the national and state levels to assist in program planning and evaluation for state-based programs for the prevention of disabilities and secondary conditions (i.e., health conditions resulting from a disability) (3). To characterize state-specific disability patterns and better plan for funding of disability services, the National Institute of Disability and Rehabilitation Research (NIDRR) and CDC assessed data from the 1990 census on two forms of disability: difficulty with mobility and self-care activities. This report summarizes the results of the assessment for persons aged \geq 16 years.

In the 1990 census, more than 41 million persons completed the "long form," which included questions about disability. Census respondents were asked, "Because of a health condition that has lasted 6 or more months, does this person have any difficulty 1) going outside the home alone (e.g., shopping or visiting a doctor's office) or 2) taking care of his or her own personal needs (e.g., bathing, dressing, or getting around inside the home)" (4). Persons who answered yes to the first part were considered to have a mobility disability. Persons who answered yes to the second part were considered to have a self-care disability.

In 1990, 13.2 million persons (70.5 per 1000 population) aged \geq 16 years had some mobility or self-care disability. Among persons aged \geq 65 years, an estimated 5.9 million reported having either a mobility or self-care disability (201.1 per 1000); approximately 29% of these persons reported both types of disability. The prevalence of mobility disability for respondents aged \geq 16 years was 43.2 per 1000; for persons aged 16–64 years and aged \geq 65 years, the prevalences were 21.9 and 156.0, respectively. The prevalence of self-care disability for respondents aged \geq 16 years was 47.7; for persons aged 16–64 years and \geq 65 years, the prevalences were 34.2 and 119.2, respectively (Table 1).

The median state-specific prevalence of mobility disability was 40.4 per 1000 population (range: 19.6–65.2); and self-care disability, 44.2 (range: 21.8–71.9). For persons aged 16–64 years, the median prevalence for mobility disability was 20.1 (range: 12.0–35.6); and self-care disability, 30.6 (range: 15.9–58.8). In comparison, for persons aged \geq 65 years, the median rate of mobility disability was 145.9 (range: 104.5–221.5); and self-care disability, 113.6 (range: 69.4–169.3).

Prevalence rates of mobility or self-care disability were highest in Mississippi, Alabama, the District of Columbia, West Virginia, and Arkansas. The mobility or self-care disability rate in Mississippi (104.1 per 1000 population) was more than three times that in Alaska (32.7), the lowest ranking state. Among persons aged \geq 65 years, the rate

^{*}Limitation in actions or activities because of a physical, mental, or other healthcondition as defined by the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (ADA) (Public Law 101-336) (1).

Mobility and Self-Care Disability — Continued

State Mobility Self-care Mobility Self-care Mobility Self-care Alabama 29.8 46.5 212.1 158.5 59.9 Alaska 12.8 17.6 129.8 90.5 19.6 Arizona 20.4 29.7 130.3 93.4 39.1	Self-care 65.0 21.8 40.6 58.5 48.5 31.6
Alabama29.846.5212.1158.559.9Alaska12.817.6129.890.519.6Arizona20.429.7130.393.439.1	65.0 21.8 40.6 58.5 48.5 31.6
Alaska 12.8 17.6 129.8 90.5 19.6 Arizona 20.4 29.7 130.3 93.4 29.1	21.8 40.6 58.5 48.5 31.6
Arizona 20 / 20 7 130 3 03 / 20 1	40.6 58.5 48.5 31.6
	58.5 48.5 31.6
Arkansas 29.0 38.9 191.4 143.3 59.5	48.5 31.6
California 21.3 38.0 147.2 115.9 38.2	31.6
Colorado 16.2 22.5 135.5 94.2 31.4	
Connecticut 16.4 28.8 136.7 115.1 36.0	42.9
Delaware 19.9 33.1 144.6 106.5 38.8	44.2
District of Columbia 25.9 58.8 170.2 145.9 47.5	71.8
Florida 24.0 37.1 133.2 111.8 48.8	54.1
Georgia 24.5 39.9 198.4 143.5 47.0	53.3
Hawaii 16.4 31.6 126.3 111.4 33.1	43.7
Idaho 15.6 17.8 122.8 78.5 32.9	27.6
Illinois 20.8 34.7 154.8 117.7 41.8	47.7
Indiana 19.9 30.5 152.8 111.9 40.7	43.3
lowa 15.7 22.8 127.6 102.6 36.7	37.8
Kansas 15.6 25.5 131.8 98.5 36.0	38.3
Kentucky 32.6 36.7 207.0 138.8 60.5	53.0
Louisiana 29.9 47.2 197.5 152.6 54.2	62.5
Maine 19.9 23.7 144.9 98.9 40.6	36.2
Maryland 18.5 36.6 156.5 116.8 37.2	47.4
Massachusetts 19.8 26.9 146.1 112.2 40.3	40.8
Michinan 23.1 33.3 158.5 117.4 43.6	46.0
Minnesota 14.1 20.1 124.5 94.8 31.2	31.6
Mississippi 34.4 52.8 221.5 169.3 65.2	71 9
Missouri 21.9 31.1 160.7 121.6 46.1	46.9
Montana 163 198 1193 784 338	29.7
Nebraska 1/13 213 1155 855 322	327
Nevada 18.5 28.7 125.2 06.7 33.0	38.0
New Hampshire 14.7 18.0 130.8 05.1 30.8	20 5
New Hampsine 14.7 10.7 10.0 75.1 30.0	50.6
New Jersey 20.5 37.2 140.2 117.1 41.2	JU.U 11 6
New Merico 22.5 34.1 147.2 1000 40.6	530
North Carolina 24.2 38.0 186.3 136.0 48.0	53.0
North Datota 22.6 15.0 104.5 75.4 27.6	33.0 26 7
Obio 21.0 21.1 160.7 121.6 16.1	20.7
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	40.7
Oragon 101 220 1222 062 201	47.3
Deppendentia 21.0 21.6 152.0 10.0 30.1	30.0
Peninsylvania 21.7 31.0 132.0 110.7 40.3	40.0
Riloue Isidilu 21.1 30.1 143.1 114.0 43.1	40.4 41 E
South Calolina 27.0 47.0 104.3 140.0 50.3	01.0
South Dakota 15.5 20.9 105.2 09.4 32.5	30.1 E1 0
Terinessee 27.5 34.6 171.1 130.5 34.3	31.Z
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	40.7
Uldli 13.7 10.7 137.3 72.2 29.0	20.2 25.5
VEITIUTIL 14.0 10.0 131.0 82.0 31.3	∠0.0
Virginia 19.5 30.8 168.6 123.3 39.8	43.4
Washington 17.5 21.9 131.2 94.0 34.6 West Virginia 25.4 20.5 143.0 (7.0)	32.8 50 1
vvest virginia 35.0 38.5 208.5 143.8 67.8 Missersin 10.0 22.1 124.0 20.2 21.7	38.I
Wisconsin 16.9 22.1 124.8 96.9 34.7 Wyoming 12.0 16.0 121.3 82.1 27.0	34.5 25 1
Overall 21.9 34.2 156.0 121.3 02.1 27.0	23.1 47 7

TABLE 1. Rate* of mobility disability or self-care disability among persons aged ≥16 years, by age group and state — United States, 1990

*Per 1000 persons.

Source: Bureau of the Census, 1990.

CASES CURRENT DISEASE DECREASE INCREASE 4 WEEKS Aseptic Meningitis 1,522 Encephalitis, Primary 116 Hepatitis A 1,323 Hepatitis **B** 726 Hepatitis, Non-A, Non-B 368 Hepatitis, Unspecified 43 Legionellosis 95 Malaria 71 Measles, Total* 9 Meningococcal Infections 97 Mumps 64 Pertussis 624 Rabies, Animal 732 Rubella 6 0.03125 0.0625 0.125 0.25 0.5 1 2 4 Ratio(Log Scale) † \square BEYOND HISTORICAL LIMITS

FIGURE I. Notifiable disease reports, comparison of 4-week totals ending October 2, 1993, with historical data — United States

*The large apparent decrease in reported cases of measles(total) reflects dramatic fluctuations in the historical baseline. (Ratio (log scale) for week thirty-nine is 0.01907).

[†]Ratio of current 4-week total to mean of 15 4-week totals (from previous, comparable, and subsequent 4-week periods for the past 5 years). The point where thehatched area begins is based on the mean and two standard deviations of these 4-week totals.

	Cum. 1993		Cum. 1993
AIDS* Anthrax Botulism: Foodborne Infant Other Brucellosis Cholera Congenital rubella syndrome Diphtheria Encephalitis, post-infectious Gonorrhea Haemophilus influenzae (invasive disease) [†]	76,755 - 13 46 2 65 16 6 - 137 283,362 875	Measles: imported indigenous Plague Poliomyelitis, Paralytic [§] Psittacosis Rabies, human Syphilis, primary & secondary Syphilis, congenital, age < 1 year [¶] Tetanus Toxic shock syndrome Trichinosis Tuberculosis	51 200 8 43 1 19,384 1,493 33 182 9 15,649
Hansen Disease Leptospirosis Lyme Disease	127 30 5,133	Tularemia Typhoid fever Typhus fever, tickborne (RMSF)	101 247 367

TABLE I. Summary — cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, cumulative, week ending October 2, 1993 (39th Week)

*Updated monthly; last update September 18, 1993. [†]Of 829 cases of known age, 268 (32%) were reported among children less than 5 years of age. [§]Two (2) cases of suspected poliomyelitis have been reported in 1993; 4 of the 5 suspected cases with onset in 1992 were confirmed; the confirmed cases were vaccine associated.

[¶]Reports through second quarter of 1993.

	l l		Encephalitis				Нер	oatitis (\	/iral), by t	type		-
Reporting Area	AIDS*	Menin- gitis	Primary	Post-in- fectious	Gono	orrhea	А	В	NA,NB	Unspeci- fied	Legionel- losis	Lyme Disease
	Cum. 1993	Cum. 1993	Cum. 1993	Cum. 1993	Cum. 1993	Cum. 1992	Cum. 1993	Cum. 1993	Cum. 1993	Cum. 1993	Cum. 1993	Cum. 1993
UNITED STATES	76,755	8,773	609	137	283,362	370,113	15,877	8,963	3,639	469	903	5,133
NEW ENGLAND	3,990	290	15	8	6,245	7,762	370	357	425	13	48	1,425
Maine N H	113 83	29 41	2	- 2	/0 47	80 87	14 33	10 80	4 344	- 3	5	8 49
Vt.	48	32	4	-	19	20	4	7	2	-	1	5
Mass.	2,211	112	7	4	2,262	2,784	176	196	67	10	35	152
Conn.	1,287	- 10	-	-	3,523	4,259	79	20 44	o -	-	-	213 998
MID. ATLANTIC	17,869	602	44	8	32,715	41,970	791	977	281	5	180	2,609
Upstate N.Y.	2,827	322	31	5	6,663	8,607	281	308	187	1	56	1,398
N.Y. City N.J.	9,679	104	-	-	9,014 3,400	14,920	218	269	63	-	3 28	3 594
Pa.	2,088	176	12	3	13,638	12,620	115	279	30	4	93	614
E.N. CENTRAL	6,092	1,480	141	26	54,104	69,591	1,801	1,101	472	12	236	71
Ohio Ind	1,196	534 161	51 17	4 11	16,862	20,790	228 508	150 183	32 10	- 1	125 41	32 14
III.	2,135	300	26	3	13,587	22,265	571	201	53	5	12	8
Mich.	1,468	452	37	8	13,334	16,580	161	314	343	6	47	17
WIS.	2 4 2 4	55	10	-	4,300	3,300	1 01/	200	34 120	-	11	- 120
Minn.	531	68	25	-	1,793	2,247	320	51	4	4	1	52
lowa Mo	149	109	4	2	1,207	1,266	42	27	8 05	2	10	7
N. Dak.	1,403	12	2	0 -	6,564 38	58	63	- 352	- 95	-	19	2
S. Dak.	22	19	5	-	193	135	14	-	-	-	-	-
Kans.	164 293	161	3	-	476 2,769	3,729	64	48	8 13	-	34 7	4 35
S. ATLANTIC	16,266	1,885	153	54	75,129	111,013	914	1,707	502	66	161	707
Del.	294	56	3	-	1,094	1,339	9	128	103	-	10	338
D.C.	2,043	31	21	-	3,678	4,787	126	206 35	- 17	5	40 13	122
Va.	1,275	232	34	6	8,954	12,786	109	111	29	31	6	58
w.va. N.C	55 961	22 192	70 22	-	493	661 18.557	18	30 241	23 57	-	3 21	41 73
S.C.	959	24	-	-	8,163	8,284	12	40	3	1	18	8
Ga. Fla	2,175 7 492	120 1 019	1	48	4,660 16,613	32,356 20 406	70 501	168 748	83 187	- 29	28 22	34 31
E.S. CENTRAL	2.027	576	27	7	33.717	36.833	217	952	736	1	37	20
Ky.	248	244	9	6	3,611	3,624	86	63	10	-	14	7
Tenn.	813	134 135	7	-	10,179	11,600	57 47	800	712	- 1	15	10
Miss.	356	63	10	1	7,933	8,763	27	6	10	-	6	-
W.S. CENTRAL	7,691	978	43	2	34,274	40,338	1,600	1,252	224	136	23	49
Ark.	294	53 72	1	-	6,546 0 114	5,802 11 177	43	49 170	4	2	3	2
Okla.	623	1	7	-	3,191	4,132	127	244	77	10	11	20
Tex.	5,747	852	30	2	15,423	19,227	1,370	789	45	121	6	26
MOUNTAIN Mont	3,248 23	535	23	4	8,417	9,390 88	3,070 58	434	253 2	64	57	21
Idaho	56	10	-	-	128	83	162	35	-	2	1	2
Wyo. Colo	33	5 174	- 11	-	65 2 690	45 3 439	12 721	21 54	82 41	- 36	6	9
N. Mex.	250	104	4	2	711	701	286	161	78	2	5	2
Ariz. Utab	1,043	150	6	-	3,089	3,169	1,138	72 41	13	12 11	12	- 3
Nev.	518	55	1	1	1,411	1,605	102	46	13	1	15	5
PACIFIC	16,948	1,872	138	18	23,701	33,481	5,300	1,692	618	160	89	93
Wash. Oreg	1,153 620	-	1	-	2,914	3,016 1 255	626 73	176 26	150 11	9	10	4
Calif.	14,887	1,755	132	18	18,721	28,311	3,951	1,463	445	148	72	86
Alaska Hawaii	49 230	16 101	4	-	462 308	502 397	588 62	8 10	9 3	- 3	- 7	- 1
Guam	2.57	2	-	-	30	577	2	2	-	5 1	-	-
P.R.	2,265	43	-	-	390	169	71	313	73	2	-	-
V.I. Amer. Samoa	35	-	-	-	79 37	78 34	- 16	4	-	-	-	-
C.N.M.I.	-	3	-	-	60	61	-	1	-	1	-	-

TABLE II. Cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending October 2, 1993, and September 26, 1992 (39th Week)

N: Not notifiable U: Unavailable C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands

*Updated monthly; last update September 18, 1993.

			Measle	s (Rube	eola)		Menin-									
Reporting Area	Malaria	Indig	enous	Imported*		Total	gococcal Infections	Mu	mps		Pertussi	s	Rubella			
	Cum. 1993	1993	Cum. 1993	1993	Cum. 1993	Cum. 1992	Cum. 1993	1993	Cum. 1993	1993	Cum. 1993	Cum. 1992	1993	Cum. 1993	Cum. 1992	
UNITED STATES	845	2	200	1	51	2,166	1,786	15	1,231	120	3,810	2,075	1	161	139	
NEW ENGLAND	65	-	57	-	5	63	98	-	8	12	604	181	-	1	6	
N.H.	2	-	2	-	-	4 13	5 13	-	-	3	238	43	-	-	-	
Vt. Mass	1	-	30	-	1	- 21	6 54	-	- 2	4	68 215	8	-	-	-	
R.I.	2	-	- 14	-	1	21	1	-	2	-	215	1	-	-	4	
Conn.	22	-	9	-	-	4	19	-	4	5	58	34	-	-	1	
MID. AILANTIC Upstate N.Y.	124 46	-	10	-	6 2	204 111	213 95	1	92 33	15 4	458 202	123 76	-	51 10	10 7	
N.Y. City	24	-	5	-	2	55	19	-	2	-	7	9	-	22	-	
Pa.	22	-	- 5	-	-		54 65	1	0 49	11	214	- 30	-	6	-	
E.N. CENTRAL	58	1	16	-	7	60	276	1	189	47	827	399	-	6	9	
Ohio Ind.	11 3	-1	5	-	3	6 20	80 46	-	68 3	24 7	284 93	60 27	-	1	-	
III. Mich	31	-	5	-	-	17	75	-	44	- 14	192	36	-	1	8	
Wis.	- 13	-	- -	-	3	4	40 29	-	59 15	-	185	266	-	2 1	-	
W.N. CENTRAL	22	-	1	-	2	11 10	116 7	2	40	2	356 191	171 33	-	1	8	
Iowa	3	-	-	-	-	1	23	1	8	1	28	5	-	-	3	
Mo. N. Dak.	2	-	- 1	-	-	-	44	-	23	-	101	82 13	-	1	-	
S. Dak.	2	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	8	11	-	-	-	
Kans.	1	-	-	-	2	-	27	-	1	-	16	0 19	-	-	4	
S. ATLANTIC	234	-	15	1	12	125	340	1	376	4	356	121	-	9	18	
Md.	2 33	-	-	-	- 4	16	42	-	5 67	- 1	14 108	22	-	2	- 5	
D.C.	10 23	-	-	- 1†	- 3	- 15	5 37	-	1 25	- 2	8 52	1 10	-	-	-	
W. Va.	23	-	-	-	-	-	12	-	15	-	9	7	-	-	1	
N.C. S.C.	94 4	-	-	-	-	24 29	58 31	-	197 15	-	54 13	22 10	-	-	- 7	
Ga.	15	-	-	-	-	3	76	-	14	1	25	14	-	-	-	
FIA. ES CENTRAL	24	-	14	-	5	460	108	-	37	- 5	73 251	20	- 1	5 1	5 1	
Ky.	4	-	-	-	-	400	20	-	+0	-	29	1	-	-	-	
Tenn. Ala.	9	-	- 1	-	-	-	28 34	2	13 22	4 1	158 53	6 14	1	1	1	
Miss.	5	-	-	-	-	17	26	-	11	-	11	3	-	-	-	
W.S. CENTRAL	19 3	-	7	-	3	1,101	171 18	2	178 4	4	132 10	196 14	-	17	7	
La.	2	-	1	-	-		30	-	16	-	9	7	-	1	-	
Okla. Tex.	4 10	-	- 6	-	- 3	1,090	25 98	- 2	11	2	42	28 147	-	15	-7	
MOUNTAIN	29	1	4	-	1	34	144	3	51	22	329	299	-	8	7	
Idaho	2	-	-	-	-	-	13	-	-5	-	102	4 41	-	-	-	
Wyo. Colo	- 18	-	- 2	-	- 1	1 28	2 27	- 2	2 16	- 22	1 111	- 39	-	-	- 1	
N. Mex.	5	-	-	-	-	20	4	Ň	N	-	34	73	-	-	-	
Ariz. Utah	- 1	-	- 1	-	-	- 3	70 11	1	8	-	44 27	110 30	-	2	2	
Nev.	2	-	1	-	-	-	7	-	16	-	3	2	-	1	2	
PACIFIC Wash.	270 27	-	89	-	15 -	108 10	320 60	3	251 10	9 -	497 55	561 173	-	67 -	73 6	
Oreg. Calif.	4 233	-	- 78	-	- 4	3 54	22 217	N 2	N 213	2 7	16 411	31 327	-	3 36	1 44	
Alaska	1	-	-	-	2	9	13	-	8	-	5	10	-	1	-	
Guam	5 1	-	וו ר	-	9	32 10	ර 1	1	20	-	10	20	-	21	22	
P.R.	-	-	224	-	-	339	8	-	3	-	6	12	-	-	-	
v.i. Amer. Samoa	-	-	-	-	-		-	- 1	4	-	- 2	- 6	-	-	-	
C.N.M.I.	-	U	-	U	1	2	-	U	12	U	1	1	U	-	-	

TABLE II. (Cont'd.) Cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending October 2, 1993, and September 26, 1992 (39th Week)

*For measles only, imported cases include both out-of-state and international importations. N: Not notifiable U: Unavailable [†] International [§] Out-of-state

Cum. 1993 Cum. 1992 Cum. 1993 Cum. 1993 <t< th=""><th>Cum. 1993 6,726 1,159 20 479 581 2,574 1,966 329 279 91 5 9 16 16</th></t<>	Cum. 1993 6,726 1,159 20 479 581 2,574 1,966 329 279 91 5 9 16 16
UNITED STATES 19,384 25,476 182 15,649 16,855 101 247 367 NEW ENGLAND 290 503 13 385 346 - 22 5 Maine 4 5 3 30 19 - - - N.H. 26 35 3 9 14 - 1 - Vt. 1 1 1 5 6 - - Mass. 108 253 5 214 180 - 15 5 R.I. 12 24 1 44 23 - - Graph 190 195 102 104 102 104 10	6,726 1,159 79 20 479 581 2,574 1,966 329 279 91 5 9 16 16
NEW ENGLAND 290 503 13 385 346 - 22 5 Maine 4 5 3 30 19 - - - N.H. 26 35 3 9 14 - 1 - Vt. 1 1 1 5 6 - - Mass. 108 253 5 214 180 - 15 5 R.I. 12 24 1 44 23 - - -	1,159 79 20 479 581 2,574 1,966 329 279 91 5 9 16 16 16
Maine 4 5 3 30 19 - </td <td>79 20 479 581 2,574 1,966 329 279 91 5 9 16 16</td>	79 20 479 581 2,574 1,966 329 279 91 5 9 16 16
Min 10 1 1 1 5 6 - - Mass. 108 253 5 214 180 - 15 5 R.I. 12 24 1 44 23 - - -	20 479 581 2,574 1,966 329 279 91 5 9 16 16
Mass. 108 253 5 214 180 - 15 5 R.I. 12 24 1 44 23 - - - Comm 120 195 - - - - -	479 581 2,574 1,966 329 279 91 5 9 16 16
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	581 2,574 1,966 329 279 91 5 9 16 16
CUIII. 139 185 - 83 104 - 6 -	2,574 1,966 329 279 91 5 9 16 16
MID. ATLANTIC 1,748 3,530 30 3,470 4,038 1 49 25	1,966 329 279 91 5 9 16 16
Upstate N.Y. 155 284 15 328 546 1 11 5	329 279 91 5 9 16 16
N.T. City 647 $1,991$ 1 $2,044$ $2,320$ $ 26$ $ -$ N.J. 223 440 $ 591$ 698 $ 9$ 10	279 91 5 9 16 16
Pa. 523 815 14 507 474 - 3 10	91 5 9 16 16
E.N. CENTRAL 2,779 3,771 36 1,454 1,639 4 30 12	5 9 16 16
Ohio 883 593 12 235 240 - 6 8 Jpd 251 211 1 161 134 1 1 1	16 16
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	16
Mich. 462 704 17 340 375 1 6 2	/11-
WIS. 339 360 - 07 03 - I - WIN.CENITDAL 1.200 1.104 1.2 250 405 2.2 1.7	40
W.N. CENTRAL 1,209 1,100 12 339 405 33 2 17 Minn. 59 68 2 43 114 1	282
lowa 54 38 5 39 34 6	58
Mo. 982 857 2 196 177 14 2 7 N Dak 1 1 - 5 8	15 51
S. Dak. 1 11 18 15 - 2	38
Nebr. 10 24 - 14 16 1	7
Kalis. 102 110 3 51 50 5 - 1 S ATLANITIC E 122 4 072 22 2 100 2 144 2 20 172	1 5 9 0
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1,569
Md. 278 490 1 294 273 - 8 10	479
U.C. 261 305 - 124 89 Va. 491 564 6 309 273 - 4 8	14 298
W. Va. 12 15 - 61 73 6	71
N.C. 1,449 1,876 3 401 406 1 2 104 S.C. 757 927 - 312 313 - 10	80 124
Ga. 860 1,386 2 582 649 - 3 26	362
Fla. 937 1,247 9 981 1,049 1 21 7	46
E.S. CENTRAL 3,023 3,298 9 988 1,077 5 7 48	170
Tenn. 841 878 3 145 283 3 2 27	72
Ala. 639 1,184 2 379 315 1 3 4	83
Miss. 1,289 1,115 2 181 195 9	-
W.S. CENTRAL 4,423 4,604 2 1,697 1,877 39 4 78 Ark 589 675 - 148 152 23 - 4	450 28
La. 1,942 1,868 155 - 1 1	5
Okla. 319 272 2 115 117 13 - 69 Tex 1573 1789 - 1434 1453 3 3 4	56 361
MOLINITAINI 105 270 11 200 454 11 0 10	1/0
Mont. 1 7 - 15 - 5 - 1	21
Idaho - 1 1 9 18	6
VV y 0 , $I = 3$, $3 = 2$, $8Colo 54 44 2 32 44 - 5 1$	18 25
N. Mex. 24 36 1 46 64 1 1 -	9
Ariz. 82 138 1 171 200 - 2 -	52 4
Nev. 12 41 2 81 66 1	13
PACIFIC 595 1,414 47 3,816 3,855 6 86 -	263
Wash. 49 70 7 199 218 1 6 -	-
Calif. 478 1.300 40 3.301 3.299 3 77 -	246
Alaska 8 4 - 40 49	17
Hawaii 5 8 - 19/ 191 - 3 -	-
Guam 2 3 - 31 58 PR 399 266 - 185 200	- 35
VI. 34 52 - 2 3	-
Amer. Samoa - - - 2 - 1 - C.N.M.L 3 5 - 25 48 - -	-

TABLE II. (Cont'd.) Cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending October 2, 1993, and September 26, 1992 (39th Week)

U: Unavailable

	All Causes, By Age (Years)						All Causes, By Age (Years)						P&I [†]		
Reporting Area	All Ages	≥65	45-64	25-44	1-24	<1	Total	Reporting Area	All Ages	≥65	45-64	25-44	1-24	<1	Total
NEW ENGLAND Boston, Mass. Bridgeport, Conn. Cambridge, Mass. Fall River, Mass. Hartford, Conn. Lowell, Mass. New Bedford, Ma New Haven, Conr Providence, R.I. Somerville, Mass. Springfield, Mass Waterbury, Conn.	627 165 50 35 46 29 U ss. 24 4 . 45 67 10 42 37 45	425 95 314 28 27 21 U 18 21 53 8 37 36 36	115 42 11 8 4 14 4 U 4 10 4 2 2 4 6	62 19 3 3 U 2 9 8 - 3 7 1	14 4 2 - 2 1 U - 2 2 - - 1	11 5 2 - - - U 3 - - 3 - 1	50 15 4 2 1 2 - U 2 2 11 1 5 4	S. ATLANTIC Atlanta, Ga. Baltimore, Md. Charlotte, N.C. Jacksonville, Fla. Miami, Fla. Norfolk, Va. Richmond, Va. Savannah, Ga. St. Petersburg, Fla. Tampa, Fla. Washington, D.C. Wilmington, Del. E.S. CENTRAL	1,033 158 162 61 100 120 51 U 42 49 166 107 17 731	606 93 88 40 71 68 25 U 30 37 100 41 13 481	213 28 38 14 13 33 7 U 8 2 40 29 1 141	149 26 31 5 11 16 10 U 3 4 17 24 2 68	33 4 3 1 2 3 4 U - 3 4 9 - 26	30 7 2 1 3 - 5 U 1 3 4 3 1 5 15	62 7 21 4 10 - 1 U 2 - 12 5 - 47
MID. ATLANTIC Albany, N.Y. Allentown, Pa. Buffalo, N.Y. Camden, N.J. Elizabeth, N.J. Erie, Pa.§	2,233 52 28 100 43 15 48	1,433 35 20 71 21 12 32	418 11 2 19 10 3 13	280 3 4 5 6 - 2	62 2 3 4 -	40 3 - 2 2 - 1	81 2 3 1 3 2	Birmingham, Ala. Chattanooga, Tenn. Knoxville, Tenn. Lexington, Ky. Memphis, Tenn. Mobile, Ala. Montgomery, Ala. Nashville, Tenn.	121 65 63 71 182 70 45 114	71 49 44 62 104 56 26 69	29 10 12 7 40 9 13 21	11 4 5 1 27 4 4 12	4 1 2 1 6 - 2 10	6 1 - 5 1 - 2	1 4 7 16 6 1 5
Jersey City, N.J. Newark, N.J. Paterson, N.J. Philadelphia, Pa. Pittsburgh, Pa.§ Reading, Pa. Rochester, N.Y. Schenectady, N.Y. Scranton, Pa.§ Syracuse, N.Y. Trenton, N.J. Utica, N.Y. Yonkers, N.Y.	48 Y. 1,317 53 25 102 54 U 125 14 34 93 38 38 17 27	27 817 25 18 63 40 U 88 29 69 22 14 18	9 252 10 3 19 9 U 24 15 9 3 4	10 190 13 4 13 1 U 12 1 2 5 5 5	2 39 4 - 3 1 U - 1 3 - -	19 1 4 3 U 1 - 1 2 1	2 31 4 11 3 U 9 1 1 5 - 3	W.S. CENTRAL Austin, Tex. Baton Rouge, La. Corpus Christi, Tex Dallas, Tex. El Paso, Tex. Houston, Tex. Houston, Tex. Little Rock, Ark. New Orleans, La. San Antonio, Tex. Shreveport, La. Tulsa, Okla.	1,364 77 12 . 63 183 80 102 315 68 117 204 57 86	804 56 8 433 95 54 58 161 44 53 136 40 56	273 3 14 33 12 27 91 12 10 37 12 20	159 11 2 31 6 11 45 6 23 18 3 3	79 4 2 12 5 5 14 3 21 7 1 3	49 3 12 3 1 4 3 10 6 1 4	44 6 3 1 15 3 9 2 4
E.N. CENTRAL Akron, Ohio Canton, Ohio Chicago, III. Cincinnati, Ohio Cleveland, Ohio Dayton, Ohio Detroit, Mich. Evansville, Ind. Fort Wayne, Ind. Gary, Ind. Grand Rapids, Mi Indianapolis, Ind. Madison, Wis. Milwaukee, Wis. Peoria, III. Rockford, III. South Bend Ind	2,114 56 51 394 154 132 161 114 243 59 67 667 16 ch. 43 190 36 111 11 44 45	1,334 48 37 152 101 87 108 82 140 43 49 10 29 131 25 85 31 29 32	392 6 8 75 30 27 37 46 10 13 1 6 32 8 16 5 11 5	214 1 82 12 9 11 4 38 5 2 4 5 15 1 9 3 4 3	115 2 72 6 7 1 3 8 1 1 - 3 4 - 1 3 1 3	57 1 3 13 5 2 4 - 10 - 2 - 8 2 - 2 1	103 3 13 13 7 9 7 5 2 2 5 12 3 6 3 5 1	MOUNTAIN Albuquerque, N.M. Colo. Springs, Colo Denver, Colo. Las Vegas, Nev. Ogden, Utah Phoenix, Ariz. Pueblo, Colo. Salt Lake City, Utah Tucson, Ariz. PACIFIC Berkeley, Calif. Fresno, Calif. Glendale, Calif. Honolulu, Hawaii Long Beach, Calif. Pasadena, Calif. Pasadena, Calif.	835 91 129 150 18 174 26 91 118 1,737 21 93 314 74 88 389 30 110	552 69 20 79 94 41 3 95 20 72 90 1,127 16 59 8 53 54 245 23	162 14 10 28 3 40 4 19 323 2 15 2 15 2 15 74 3 2	76 4 5 14 16 1 22 2 6 6 178 3 13 13 1 4 9 44 1	30 4 1 4 8 1 9 - 1 2 62 5 2 1 4 17 -	15 2 4 - 8 - 1 43 - 1 43 - 1 4 6 3	56 3 2 12 5 2 18 2 7 5 105 1 8 9 9 12 3
Toledo, Ohio Youngstown, Ohio W.N. CENTRAL Des Moines, Iowa Duluth, Minn. Kansas City, Kans Kansas City, Kans Kansas City, Mo. Lincoln, Nebr. Minneapolis, Min Omaha, Nebr. St. Louis, Mo. St. Paul, Minn.	106 51 795 68 19 . 35 110 35 n. 186 87 138 71	72 43 574 55 15 23 80 26 131 57 101 57	25 6 131 9 2 5 17 8 33 21 18 7	4 1 48 2 1 3 5 1 12 5 10 5	1 20 1 2 3 5 2 5	4 21 1 2 5 4 2 4 2	4 - 39 2 2 2 4 - 12 11 5	Sacramento, Calif. San Diego, Calif. San Francisco, Calif. San Jose, Calif. Santa Cruz, Calif. Seattle, Wash. Spokane, Wash. Tacoma, Wash. TOTAL	f. 142 160 29 127 52 77 11,469 ¹¹	105 98 77 104 26 87 41 55 7,336	21 39 31 39 - 22 9 15 2,168	14 20 18 25 11 10 - 4 1,234	3 8 6 3 4 2 6 1 - 441	4 8 6 2 1 3 281	3 13 14 17 17 4 5 6 587

TABLE III. Deaths in 121 U.S. cities,* week ending October 2, 1993 (39th Week)

*Mortality data in this table are voluntarily reported from 121 cities in the United States, most of which have populations of 100,000 or more. A death is reported by the place of its occurrence and by the week that the death certificate was filed. Fetal deaths are not included.

[†]Pneumonia and influenza.

⁹Because of changes in reporting methods in these 3 Pennsylvania cities, these numbers are partial counts for the current week. Complete counts will be available in 4 to 6 weeks. ¹Total includes unknown ages.

U: Unavailable.

Mobility and Self-Care Disability - Continued

of mobility or self-care disability in Mississippi (276.9) was twice as high as in South Dakota (133.0), the lowest ranking state.

Reported by: MP LaPlante, PhD, Disability Statistics, Rehabilitation Research, and Training Center, Institute for Health and Aging, Univ of California at San Francisco. National Institute on Disability and Rehabilitation Research, US Dept of Education. Applications Br, Div of Surveillance and Epidemiology, Epidemiology Program Office; and Disabilities Prevention Program, Office of the Director, National Center for Environmental Health, CDC.

Editorial Note: Although several national surveys that provide disability estimates differ in the aspects and focus of disability measures, the definitions used in those surveys are all within the framework of activity limitations (*5*). The findings in this report are consistent with previous estimates (*6*) indicating that a substantial proportion of persons in the United States have mobility and self-care disabilities.

Disability traditionally has connoted limitations in ability to perform life activities because of an impairment (1) (i.e., loss of mental, anatomical, or physiological structure or function as a result of active disease, residual losses from formerly active disease, or congenital losses or injury not associated with active disease [7]). The ADA defines disability as either a person with a physical or mental impairment that substantially limits one or more of the major life activities, a person with a medical record of such an impairment, or a person regarded as having such an impairment.

Efforts to clarify definitions and taxonomic schemes for disability have been conducted by the Public Health Service Task Force on Improving Medical Criteria for Disability Determination (Public Health Service, unpublished data, 1992) and by CDC, in collaboration with Statistics Canada, as a World Health Organization (WHO) collaborating center for the revision of WHO's *International Classification of Impairments, Disabilities, and Handicaps (8)*. These efforts should assist in improving the systematic collection, analysis, and dissemination of information about impairments, limitations, and disabilities. This information will clarify the roles of prevention and early intervention and guide programs addressing the needs of persons with disabilities; such programs include CDC's Disabilities Prevention Program, National Center for Environmental Health; the U.S. Department of Education's NIDRR; and the National Institutes of Health's National Center for Medical Rehabilitation Research.

The 1990 census estimates included in this report represent one assessment of disability, but additional data are needed, such as the causes of these limitations and the extent to which these limitations are determined by personal impairments, by environmental barriers, or both. Efforts to compile these data should focus on the systematic collection of area-specific information about impairments, limitations, and disabilities. This information can be used for the development of public policy and program evaluation. The state-specific estimates of mobility and self-care limitations described in this report can guide states in prioritizing efforts for programs designed to prevent disabilities and secondary conditions in persons with disabilities.

References

- 1. LaPlante MP. The demographics of disability. In: West J, ed. The Americans with Disabilities Act: from policy to practice. New York: Milbank Memorial Fund, 1991:55–77.
- 2. Chirikos TN. Aggregate economic losses from disability in the United States: a preliminary assay. Milbank Q 1989;67(suppl 2):59–91.
- 3. Pope AM, Tarlov AR, eds. Disability in America: toward a national agenda for prevention. Washington, DC: National Academy Press, 1991.

Mobility and Self-Care Disability — Continued

- 4. CDC. Prevalence of work disability—United States, 1990. MMWR 1993;42:757–9.
- LaPlante MP. Disabilities statistics report: state estimates of disability in America. Washington, DC: US Department of Education, Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services/ National Institute on Disability and Rehabilitation Research, 1993 (no. 3).
- LaPlante MP. Disability Statistics Abstract: People with disabilities in basic life activities in the US. Washington DC: US Department of Education, Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services/National Institute on Disability and Rehabilitation Research, 1992 (no. 3).
- 7. Nagi SZ. Disability concepts revisited: implications to prevention. In: Pope AM, Tarlov, AR, eds. Disability in America: toward a national agenda for prevention. Washington, DC: National Academy Press, 1991:309–27.
- 8. World Health Organization. International classification of impairments, disabilities, and handicaps. Geneva: World Health Organization, 1980.

Effectiveness in Disease and Injury Prevention

Comprehensive Delivery of Adult Vaccination — Minnesota, 1986–1992

Despite the availability of safe and effective vaccines, many adults still suffer from vaccine-preventable diseases. For example, each year an estimated 40,000–60,000 adults die as a result of pneumococcal infection and influenza (1). In addition, from 1985 through 1992, 433 (92.7%) of 467 cases of tetanus occurred among adults (CDC, unpublished data, 1993). Although up to 90% of influenza-related deaths occur among persons aged \geq 65 years, the 1991 National Health Interview Survey indicated that, during the preceding year, only 41% and 20% of persons aged \geq 65 years reported receiving influenza vaccine and pneumococcal vaccine, respectively (CDC, unpublished data, 1991). This report describes the efforts of the Hennepin County (Minneapolis) Community Health Department (HCCHD) (1990 population: 1.1 million) to provide comprehensive vaccination services to persons aged \geq 62 years.

Since 1979, HCCHD has conducted an annual influenza vaccination program in 14 clinics for persons aged \geq 62 years and for persons with high-risk conditions. From 1979 through 1992, the number of influenza vaccine doses delivered by the clinics increased from 1010 to 5649; 5% of the approximately 110,000 persons aged \geq 62 years in the county were vaccinated through these clinics in 1992. Because the prevalence of immunity to tetanus and diphtheria is low among persons aged \geq 65 years (*2*), in 1986 HCCHD initiated a plan for comprehensive vaccination services to older persons, including the provision of tetanus-diphtheria (Td) toxoids beginning in 1986 and pneumococcal vaccine beginning in 1991. Td toxoid doses were offered to all persons aged \geq 62 years and to persons who were certain that they had not been vaccinated within at least 7 years. Pneumococcal vaccine was offered to all older persons who had never received this vaccine.

In 1986, of 3399 persons who received influenza vaccine, 707 (20.8%) received a Td toxoid. From 1986 through 1992, a total of 2489 older persons in the county received Td boosters. In 1991, of 4911 persons vaccinated against influenza, 993 (20.2%) also received pneumococcal vaccine. In 1992, of 5649 persons vaccinated against influenza, 720 (12.8%) received pneumococcal vaccine. An assessment for duplicate

Adult Vaccination — Continued

administration of pneumococcal vaccine in 1991 and 1992 indicated that of the 1713 doses administered at HCCHD clinics, only three (0.2%) were repeat doses; none of these persons reported adverse reactions to the vaccine.

To ensure efficient delivery of vaccines in the clinics, each type of vaccine (influenza, Td, and pneumococcal) was color-coded on all signs and U.S. Public Health Service Important Information Statements and Vaccine Information pamphlets, and color-coded posters were displayed for each type of vaccine listing the vaccine's indications and contraindications. In addition, nurses adhered to a protocol for informing patients about specific vaccinations.

To prevent repeat administration of pneumococcal vaccine, a three-part record keeping system was established: 1) all persons vaccinated against pneumococcal disease were given a Minnesota vaccination record card and asked to provide their primary health-care provider with this information; 2) a color-coded sticker was placed on the back of the patient's Medicare card as an additional record of vaccination; and 3) the names and dates of birth of all persons vaccinated were entered into a county public health department computer data base and made accessible at subsequent clinics.

Reported by: JE Braun, MS, Minnesota Dept of Health; KL Nichol, MD, Veterans Administration Medical Center; J Monson, VM Thelen, Hennepin County Community Health Dept, Minneapolis. National Immunization Program, CDC.

Editorial Note: Previous reports have identified at least three principal barriers to achievement of high vaccination levels among adults: 1) missed opportunities to vaccinate during contacts with health-care providers for unrelated reasons in offices, outpatient clinics, and hospitals (1); 2) lack of comprehensive vaccine-delivery systems in the public and private sectors (1); and 3) patient and provider fears concerning adverse events following vaccination (3). In contrast, receipt of vaccination, physician or nurse recommendations for influenza vaccination, and expressed intention to adhere to physician or nurse recommendations for influenza vaccination (3).

The findings in this report indicate that, from 1986 through 1992, by using a strategy of consistent reminders and providing comprehensive clinic-based vaccination services, HCCHD increased delivery of influenza and pneumococcal vaccines and Td toxoids among persons aged \geq 65 years. Simultaneous administration of vaccines, accelerated patient flow, and reduced confusion among older persons concerning the availability of the vaccines all appeared to contribute to this increase. The approach of HCCHD is consistent with the Standards for Adult Immunization Practice that encourages providers to administer simultaneously all vaccine doses for which a person is eligible at the time of each visit (4).

The program initiated by HCCHD and efforts by other public health departments to overcome barriers to adult vaccination (5,6) are practical examples of approaches necessary to achieve the national health objectives for the year 2000. These objectives include: 1) reducing epidemic-related pneumonia and influenza-related deaths among persons aged \geq 65 years (objective 20.2); 2) increasing to at least 60% pneumococcal and influenza vaccination levels among noninstitutionalized, high-risk populations (objective 20.11); and 3) increasing to at least 90% the proportion of public health departments that provide adult vaccinations (objective 20.16) (7). In 1991, of the 63 city and state health departments receiving federal vaccination grant funds to enable adult

Adult Vaccination - Continued

vaccination, 23 (36.5%) provided pneumococcal vaccine, 31 (49.2%) provided influenza vaccine, and 63 (100%) provided Td toxoids (CDC, unpublished data, 1992).

Increased vaccination coverage among adults and achievement of the national health objectives for vaccination will require multifaceted strategies, including publicly supported delivery mechanisms that reduce cost and accessibility constraints, collaboration between the public and private sectors to improve awareness of the national health objectives and vaccine delivery, and ongoing evaluation of current programs. The recent coverage of influenza vaccine by Medicare is an example of an attempt to remove a cost constraint and improve influenza vaccination levels among Medicare beneficiaries (*8*). National Adult Immunization Week (October 24–30, 1993) emphasizes the importance of appropriately vaccinating all adults and focuses attention on efforts that promote prevention and control of vaccine-preventable diseases. Additional information is available from the National Coalition for Adult Immunization, 4733 Bethesda Avenue, Suite 750, Bethesda, MD 20814; fax (301) 907-0878.

References

- 1. Williams WW, Hickson MA, Kane MA, Kendal AP, Spika JS, Hinman AR. Immunization policies and vaccine coverage among adults: the risk for missed opportunities. Ann Intern Med 1988;108:616–25.
- 2. Crossley K, Irvine P, Warren JB, Lee BK, Mead K. Tetanus and diphtheria immunity in urban Minnesota adults. JAMA 1979;242:2298–300.
- 3. Nichol KL, Lofgren RP, Gapinski J. Influenza vaccination: knowledge, attitudes, and behavior among high-risk outpatients. Arch Intern Med 1992;152:106–10.
- 4. CDC. Public health burden of vaccine-preventable diseases among adults: standards for adult immunization practice. MMWR 1990;39:725–9.
- 5. CDC. Successful strategies in adult immunization. MMWR 1991;40:700-3,709.
- 6. CDC. State and local influenza immunization program activities. MMWR1988;37:705-7.
- 7. Public Health Service. Healthy people 2000: national health promotion and disease prevention objectives. Washington, DC: US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, 1991; DHHS publication no. (PHS)91-50213:122–3.
- 8. CDC. Final results: Medicare Influenza Vaccine Demonstration—selected states, 1988–1992. MMWR 1993;42:601–4.

Notice to Readers

Progress in the Development of Hantavirus Diagnostic Assays — United States

Through September 29, 1993, a total of 39 persons in 11 states, including one recently identified in Montana, with confirmed acute hantavirus respiratory illness have been reported to CDC (1,2); 25 (64%) of these cases have been fatal. The diagnosis of confirmed hantavirus infection has been based on the presence in serum of immunoglobulin M (IgM) antibodies or rising titers of immunoglobulin G (IgG) antibodies that cross-react to four previously identified hantaviruses (Hantaan, Seoul, Puumala, and Prospect Hill), immunohistochemical staining of tissues, or a positive polymerase chain reaction (PCR) test in tissues.

Genetic recombinant-derived proteins have been produced in vitro from viral genomic sequences amplified from tissues obtained from patients who died with con-

Notice to Readers

firmed hantavirus illness. These proteins have been adapted to assays for homologous antiviral antibodies in patients with suspected hantavirus infection and in rodent hosts. At the University of New Mexico (UNM), Western blot assays with N and G1 proteins have been developed that successfully detected antibodies in serum from all 16 patients with confirmed disease who were tested; in nine of nine persons tested, antibodies were detected on the first day of hospital admission. The serum specimens did not react with the G1 proteins of Prospect Hill or Puumala viruses, the two hantaviruses that are most cross-reactive with the new hantavirus. No false-positive reactions occurred in 20 control serum specimens.

At CDC, purified recombinant N protein has been used as an antigen for IgG enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs) that demonstrated higher specific optical density values compared to Prospect Hill virus, the viral antigen previously identified as most reactive with serum specimens obtained from patients and rodents in the southwestern United States. Preliminary results with these ELISAs suggest that the use of homologous antigen may moderately increase the estimates of prevalence among infected rodents; no additional cases in humans have been recognized through the use of these assays. Negative tests on 232 serum specimens obtained from patients with adult respiratory distress syndrome not associated with hantavirus infections confirmed the specificity of the recombinant N protein antigen. Initial application of this same purified recombinant protein in IgM assays indicates that the protein is reactive in this format.

Reverse transcription and PCR amplification of genomic sequences from human blood obtained during the early phases of disease also has been attempted in collaborative studies between UNM and CDC. Stored peripheral blood mononuclear cells obtained early in the course of disease from seven patients with confirmed disease were PCR positive; four of the seven corresponding plasma specimens from these patients also were positive. All four stored blood clots available from these patients were positive as well.

Cases of acute hantavirus disease continue to be confirmed in the United States. The diagnostic findings of the prototypic tests described in this report suggest that it may be possible to rapidly diagnose infection in patients with suspected hantavirus disease. Use of recombinant-derived homologous antigens, which has preceded the isolation of the virus in cell culture, should improve the sensitivity and specificity of results obtained with available heterologous antigens. However, these hantavirus assays are for experimental use only and none have been approved by the Food and Drug Administration for use in the United States. These newer assays will require standardization and systematic evaluation with larger numbers of specimens before approval for marketing and broader usage are considered. Until assays are approved, health providers caring for patients with suspected hantavirus illness should forward specimens for testing to CDC through their respective state health departments.

Reported by: B Hjelle, MD, S Jenison, MD, N Torrez-Martinez, Univ of New Mexico School of Medicine, Albuquerque. TA Damrow, PhD, State Epidemiologist, Montana State Dept of Health and Environmental Sciences. Div of Viral and Rickettsial Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases, CDC.

References

1. CDC. Update: hantavirus disease—United States, 1993. MMWR 1993;42:612-4.

2. CDC. Update: hantavirus-associated illness—North Dakota, 1993. MMWR 1993;42:707.

The Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR) Series is prepared by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and is available on a paid subscription basis from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402; telephone (202) 783-3238.

The data in the weekly *MMWR* are provisional, based on weekly reports to CDC by state health departments. The reporting week concludes at close of business on Friday; compiled data on a national basis are officially released to the public on the succeeding Friday. Inquiries about the *MMWR* Series, including material to be considered for publication, should be directed to: Editor, *MMWR* Series, Mailstop C-08, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA 30333; telephone (404) 332-4555.

All material in the *MMWR* Series is in the public domain and may be used and reprinted without special permission; citation as to source, however, is appreciated.

Acting Director, Centers for Disease Control
and PreventionManag
KarWalter R. Dowdle, Ph.D.WritersActing Director, Epidemiology Program Office
Barbara R. Holloway, M.P.H.Dav
PateEditor, MMWR Series
Richard A. Goodman, M.D., M.P.H.Dav
Car

Managing Editor, *MMWR* (weekly) Karen L. Foster, M.A. Writers-Editors, *MMWR* (weekly) David C. Johnson Patricia A. McGee Darlene D. Rumph Caran R. Wilbanks

☆U.S. Government Printing Office: 1993-733-131/83037 Region IV