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## Perspectives in Disease Prevention and Health Promotion

## Final Results: Medicare Influenza Vaccine Demonstration Selected States, 1988-1992

Pneumonia and influenza (P\&I) are the sixth leading cause of death in the United States (1), and persons aged $\geq 65$ years and persons with chronic conditions (e.g., lung or heart disease, diabetes, or cancer) are at greatest risk for P\&I. During major epidemics, hospitalization rates for persons at highest risk may increase twofold to fivefold (2). However, only $30 \%$ of persons aged $\geq 65$ years responding to CDC's National Health Interview Survey for 1989 reported having received the influenza vaccine during the previous year (3). In 1988, the Health Care Financing Administration (HCFA) and CDC began a congressionally mandated 4-year demonstration project to evaluate the cost-effectiveness to Medicare of providing influenza vaccine to Medicare beneficiaries. This report presents final results of the Medicare Influenza Vaccine Demonstration conducted during 1988-1992.

Using intervention and comparison areas in Arizona, Illinois, Massachusetts, Michigan, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, and Texas and the entire state of Oklahoma (total Medicare population: approximately 2 million), the demonstration sought to 1 ) increase the provision of annual influenza vaccination among Medicare beneficiaries and 2) measure the accrued benefits of vaccination in terms of reduced morbidity and mortality and the difference in the cost to Medicare of health services use. Levels of vaccination coverage were assessed at baseline and annually at all sites. The cost-effectiveness indices were calculated using morbidity and mortality data from the demonstration and published studies and compared with costeffectiveness of other Medicare benefits.

In intervention areas, influenza vaccine was supplied without cost to Medicare providers by local health departments using computerized vaccine monitoring and distribution systems. Providers were reimbursed for administration of vaccine. Before the 1990-91 and 1991-92 influenza seasons, the HCFA sent letters to all Medicare beneficiaries living in the intervention areas urging them to be vaccinated. The letters contained specific program information and a local telephone number for obtaining information. In addition, intervention sites undertook varied activities directed to both providers and patients to promote and distribute vaccine to Medicare beneficiaries (4).
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## Vaccination Coverage

The number of doses of vaccine administered during the 4-year demonstration and the percentage of the Medicare population vaccinated in the intervention areas increased from 477,316 (26\%) during 1989-90 (the first full year of the project) to 995,884 (51\%) during 1991-92. Because some Medicare beneficiaries received influenza vaccines from sources not reimbursed by Medicare, annual surveys were conducted to accurately estimate vaccine coverage in each intervention and comparison site. For 1991-92, the overall vaccine coverage estimate for the 10 intervention sites was 59\%, compared with $46 \%$ overall vaccine coverage in the comparison sites with no enhanced vaccine delivery or promotion activities. Four intervention sites exceeded $60 \%$ vaccination coverage. The increase in influenza vaccination coverage in comparison sites was approximately the same as that in the rest of the United States during this period (CDC, unpublished data, 1993).

## Vaccine Effectiveness

Three case-control studies of influenza vaccine effectiveness in preventing hospitalization for pneumonia were conducted during the demonstration. In aggregate, these studies estimated that influenza vaccine was $31 \%-45 \%$ effective in preventing hospitalization for any pneumonia during the 1989-90, 1990-91, and 1991-92 influenza seasons (5-7; HCFA, unpublished data, 1993 ).

## Cost-Effectiveness

Simulation models were used to calculate Medicare hospital payment savings by incorporating a range of vaccination rates (from $35 \%$ to $60 \%$ or an increase from the $30 \%$ baseline rate of $5 \%-30 \%$ ) and a range of influenza vaccine effectiveness estimates in reducing pneumonia hospitalizations and deaths (from 5\% to 70\%). Total net costs to Medicare were calculated by subtracting savings in hospital payments from vaccine program costs (i.e., vaccine purchase, distribution, and administration). A severe influenza season was defined as one with P\&I morbidity and mortality substantially above expected thresholds; a mild season was defined as one in which P\&I morbidity and mortality did not exceed expected thresholds. Hospital payment costs were averaged over 10 years by weighting estimates of single-year savings for severe and mild years. At a 40\% vaccination rate and vaccine effectiveness rates of $40 \%$ and $20 \%$ in severe and mild years, respectively, Medicare coverage of the vaccine would increase net Medicare expenditures per beneficiary by an estimated 11\$ or approximately $\$ 3.4$ million (Table 1). At a vaccination rate of $40 \%$ and vaccine effectiveness rates of $42 \%$ and $21 \%$, an influenza vaccine benefit would incur zero net costs. At higher levels of vaccine effectiveness and/or vaccine coverage, an influenza vaccine benefit would generate savings for Medicare.

Estimated net costs per year of life gained by a Medicare influenza vaccine benefit compared favorably with other preventive services now covered by Medicare. Assuming the vaccine is $40 \%$ effective both for reducing hospitalization and for averting deaths and the vaccination rate among Medicare beneficiaries is $40 \%$, influenza vaccine would cost $\$ 145$ per year of life gained, substantially below the cost of other preventive interventions. The Office of Technology Assessment estimated that pneumococcal vaccine would cost at least $\$ 1853$ per year of healthy life gained (a slightly different measure of added years of life that adjusts for disability days) (8). The esti-
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mated cost of a year of life gained through cervical cancer screening is \$1600-\$2900 (9).

Because of these generally favorable results, influenza vaccine was made a covered benefit for all M edicare part B beneficiaries on May 1, 1993.
Reported by: R Schmitz, PhD, D Kidder, PhD, A Schwartz, P Cook, MPH, Abt Associates Inc, Cambridge, Massachusetts. Office of Research and Demonstrations, Health Care Financing Administration. National Immunization Program, CDC.
Editorial Note: The M edicare Influenza Vaccine Demonstration increased annual influenza vaccine coverage and measured both health and economic benefits of influenza vaccine for Medicare. The perspective of the payer used in this study was important in securing coverage for this benefit; however, it differs from cost-effectiveness studies of prevention strategies that usually use a societal perspective and include all direct costs, not just those of the payer. In this study, only the costs paid by Medicare were included. Other costs, such as those incurred by patients for travel or by providers for patient's visits or vaccine administration above the amount paid by Medicare, were not included.

In the last year of the demonstration, influenza vaccination levels exceeded the national health objective for the year 2000 of $60 \%$ vaccine coverage among noninstitutionalized persons aged $\geq 65$ years (objective 20.11) (10) in four of 10 intervention sites and overall vaccination levels in the demonstration (59\%) nearly reached this objective. Vaccination rates were well beyond the rate of $40 \%$ shown to incur zero net

TABLE 1. Cost to Medicare of influenza vaccinedelivery and savings to Medicare, based on severe and mild influenza seasons* - Medicare Influenza Vaccine Demonstration, 1988-1992

| Category | Cost per beneficiary | Category S | Basis for calculating savings per beneficiary |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | evere season | Mild season |
| Vaccine | \$0.80 | Cost per P\&I' ${ }^{\text { }}$ |  |  |
| Administration and claims processing |  | admission | \$5308.00 | \$5308.00 |
|  | 1.15 | No. P\&I admissions | 0.016 | 0.015 |
| Distribution | 0.28 | Effectiveness | 40\% | 20\% |
| Outreach | 0.20 | Vaccination rate |  |  |
| Adverse medical |  | above baseline | 10\% | 10\% |
| outcomes | $<0.01$ | Probability of |  |  |
| Total | \$2.43 | severe/mild season | 40\% | 60\% |
|  |  | Total savings in hospital payments (10-year annual average) | $\text { ge) }{ }^{5} \quad \$ 1.37$ | \$0.95 |
|  |  | Total savings per beneficiary" |  | 32 |

*A severe influenza season was defined as one with pneumonia andinfluenza (P\&l) morbidity and mortality substantially above expected thresholds; a mild season was defined as one in which P\&I morbidity and mortality did not exceed expected thresholds.
$\dagger$ Pneumonia and influenza.
§Savings are calculated as the product of the cost per P\&l admission, the number of P\&l admissions per beneficiary, the effectiveness of the vaccine, the vaccination rate above baseline, and the probability of a severe or mild season.
ISum of savings based on probability of a mild or severe season.

## Vaccine Demonstration - Continued

costs in the cost-effectiveness analysis and would generate savings for Medicare if achieved nationally.

The demonstration's success in vaccine delivery resulted from focused interventions to overcome common barriers to adult vaccination, including the absence of a comprehensive vaccine delivery system, limited reimbursement mechanisms, and lack of vaccination programs where adults congregate. No statutory requirements mandating vaccination of Medicare beneficiaries were necessary to implement this program (4). The results of the cost-effectiveness analysis varied because of the variability of influenza from season to season in causing disease outcomes and the difficulty of attributing these outcomes to influenza. Nonetheless, provision of influenza vaccine was cost-effective for Medicare and may be cost-saving, depending on the effectiveness of the vaccine and the level of vaccination coverage.

Health-care providers such as physicians, hospitals, skilled-nursing facilities, home health agencies, and public health departments can now bill Medicare for reimbursement for the cost of influenza vaccine and the cost of its administration. The procedure codes for billing are 90724 and Q0124, respectively. Additional information for healthcare providers in each state is available from the state's Medicare intermediary or carrier.

Implementation of this benefit should substantially improve influenza vaccine coverage among all Medicare beneficiaries, and thus reduce the high levels of morbidity and mortality attributed to influenza. However, both the public and health-care providers need to be educated about the major health burden of influenza-related illness and the necessity of vaccination to prevent it.
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## Epidemiologic Notes and Reports

## Tuberculosis Among Pregnant Women New York City, 1985-1992

From 1985 through 1992, the number of reported tuberculosis (TB) cases increased 20\% in the United States (1). During 1985-1990, TB cases increased 44\% among persons aged $25-44$ years and $27 \%$ among children (aged $<15$ years) (2), indicating that TB may be an increasing problem among reproductive-aged women (3,4). To determine the prevalence of active TB during pregnancy, the medical records from 1985 through 1992 of two public hospitals in New York City were reviewed. This report summarizes the results of the survey.

The populations served by these two hospitals are largely inner-city, indigent, and minority populations with a high prevalence of both TB and human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection. Active TB was defined as a positive culture for tubercle bacilli (sputum, urine, or spinal fluid specimens), regardless of smear findings for acid-fast bacilli. Sixteen pregnant women with active TB ( 12 from one hospital) were identified; TB was diagnosed in five among 40,388 births ( 12.4 per 100,000 births) at these hospitals during 1985-1990, and in 11 among 11,595 births (94.8) during 1991-1992.

Five of the 16 women had received prenatal care before TB diagnosis: two, after a positive skin test and further evaluation, and three, after admission to the emergency department with TB-related symptoms. The 11 remaining women had received no prenatal care before TB diagnosis; these women's pregnancies were confirmed when they were admitted to the emergency department with symptoms associated with TB.

Of the 16 women, TB was diagnosed in one during the first trimester of her pregnancy; in seven, during the second trimester; and in eight, during the third trimester. A Mantoux tuberculin intradermal test was positive for six of the 15 women who were tested. Ten of the 16 women had pulmonary TB; six had extrapulmonary TB (two had tuberculous meningitis; one, mediastinal; one, renal; one, gastrointestinal; and one, pleural).

Seven of 11 women tested for HIV were HIV positive. Seven of the 16 women were drug users (defined as current use of cocaine or heroin). Six of the seven women who were HIV positive were drug users or were described by their physicians as injectingdrug users (IDUs): two women were cocaine users, three were IDUs, and one was both a cocaine user and IDU. Six of the seven women who were HIV positive and five of the six women who were drug users had received no prenatal care at the time their TB was diagnosed.

Thirteen of the 16 patients were successfully treated with isoniazid (INH), ethambutol (EMB), and rifampin (RIF). Two women with TB of the central nervous system received pyrazinamide (PZA). One woman with pulmonary TB (cavitary) received additional PZA because of persistent positive sputum cultures after 5 months of therapy with INH, EMB, and RIF. The remaining 10 women became asymptomatic on initial therapeutic regimens: eight had negative repeat cultures, and two required invasive biopsies and were not recultured.
Reported by: F Margono, MD, A Garely, MD, Saint Vincent's Hospital, New York. J Mroueh, MD, H Minkoff, MD, Health and Science Center at Brooklyn, State Univ of New York. HIV Section,

FIGURE I. Notifiable disease reports, comparison of 4-week totals ending August 7, 1993, with historical data - United States

*The large apparent decrease in reported cases of measles(total) reflects dramatic fluctuations in the historical baseline. (Ratio (log scale) for week thirty-one is 0.02966 ).
${ }^{\dagger}$ Ratio of current 4-week total to mean of 154 -week totals (from previous, comparable, and subsequent 4-week periods for the past 5 years). The point where thehatched area begins is based on the mean and two standard deviations of these 4-week totals.

## TABLE I. Summary - cases of specified notifiable diseases, United States, cumulative, week ending August 7, 1993 (31st Week)

|  | Cum. 1993 |  | Cum. 1993 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AIDS* | 67,732 | Measles: imported | 29 |
| Anthrax |  | indigenous | 177 |
| Botulism: Foodborne | 8 | Plague | 3 |
| Infant | 15 | Poliomyelitis, Paralytic§ |  |
| Other | 2 | Psittacosis | 32 |
| Brucellosis | 56 | Rabies, human |  |
| Cholera | 15 | Syphilis, primary \& secondary | 15,411 |
| Congenital rubella syndrome | 6 | Syphilis, congenital, age <1 yearn | 677 |
| Diphtheria |  | Tetanus | 19 |
| Encephalitis, post-infectious | 98 | Toxic shock syndrome | 140 |
| Gonorrhea | 223,223 | Trichinosis | 8 |
| Haemophilus influenzae (invasive disease) ${ }^{\dagger}$ | 754 | Tuberculosis | 11,670 |
| Hansen Disease | 99 | Tularemia | 74 |
| Leptospirosis | 21 | Typhoid fever | 187 |
| Lyme Disease | 3,256 | Typhus fever, tickborne (RMSF) | 196 |

[^0]${ }^{\dagger}$ Of 695 cases of known age, 228 (33\%) were reported among children less than 5 years of age.
§ No cases of suspected poliomyelitis have been reported in 1993; 10 cases of suspected poliomyelitis were reported in 1992; 6 of the 9 suspected cases with onset in 1991 were confirmed; the confirmed cases were vaccine associated.
${ }^{9}$ Reports through first quarter of 1993.

TABLE II. Cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending
August 7, 1993, and August 1, 1992 (31st Week)

| Reporting Area | AIDS* | Aseptic Meningitis | Encephalitis |  | Gonorhea |  | Hepatitis (Viral), by type |  |  |  | Legionellosis | Lyme Disease |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Primary | Post-infectious |  |  | A | B | NA,NB | $\begin{gathered} \text { Unspeci- } \\ \text { fied } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1993 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1993 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1993 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1993 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1993 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1992 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1993 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1993 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1993 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1993 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1993 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1993 \end{aligned}$ |
| UNITED STATES | 67,732 | 5,213 | 353 | 98 | 223,223 | 292,951 | 12,526 | 7,166 | 2,760 | 363 | 671 | 3,256 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 3,232 | 123 | 11 | 5 | 4,876 | 6,043 | 282 | 315 | 316 | 9 | 25 | 795 |
| Maine | 94 | 16 | 1 | - | 52 | 56 | 8 | 9 |  | - | 4 | 4 |
| N.H. | 67 | 17 | - | 2 | 43 | 75 | 13 | 54 | 250 | 2 | 2 | 31 |
| V t. | 14 | 17 | 3 |  | 16 | 15 | 3 | 5 | 2 |  |  | 3 |
| Mass. | 1,818 | 52 | 5 | 3 | 1,737 | 2,212 | 154 | 195 | 57 | 7 | 15 | 79 |
| R.I. | 219 | 21 | 2 |  | 228 | 434 | 53 | 16 | 7 | - | 4 | 126 |
| Conn. | 1,020 | - | - | - | 2,800 | 3,251 | 51 | 36 | - | - | - | 552 |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 15,598 | 371 | 29 | 6 | 25,705 | 31,339 | 652 | 824 | 193 | 4 | 132 | 1,788 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 2,373 | 168 | 22 | 3 | 4,747 | 6,431 | 212 | 238 | 113 | 1 | 39 | 1,048 |
| N.Y. City | 8,289 | 104 | 1 | - | 6,768 | 10,640 | 177 | 121 | 1 | - | 3 | 3 |
| N.J. | 2,991 |  | - | - | 4,435 | 4,464 | 178 | 230 | 56 | - | 18 | 349 |
| Pa. | 1,945 | 99 | 6 | 3 | 9,755 | 9,804 | 85 | 235 | 23 | 3 | 72 | 388 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 5,419 | 699 | 92 | 20 | 43,111 | 55,176 | 1,349 | 841 | 409 | 9 | 182 | 25 |
| Ohio | 938 | 231 | 31 | 4 | 12,356 | 16,256 | 183 | 136 | 31 | - | 95 | 17 |
| Ind. | 634 | 94 | 11 | 8 | 4,556 | 5,027 | 457 | 135 | 8 | 1 | 36 | 4 |
| III. | 1,939 | 133 | 18 | 2 | 12,862 | 18,285 | 323 | 142 | 34 | 2 | 8 | 2 |
| Mich. | 1,379 | 228 | 26 | 6 | 10,013 | 13,051 | 130 | 262 | 308 | 6 | 36 | 2 |
| Wis. | 529 | 13 | 6 | - | 3,324 | 2,557 | 256 | 166 | 28 | - | 7 | - |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 2,428 | 303 | 16 | - | 11,802 | 15,561 | 1,523 | 387 | 90 | 10 | 46 | 89 |
| Minn. | 511 | 51 | 7 | - | 1,521 | 1,761 | 271 | 42 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 47 |
| Iowa | 141 | 59 | 1 | - | 602 | 1,009 | 26 | 15 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 6 |
| Mo. | 1,374 | 76 | - | - | 6,706 | 8,571 | 966 | 279 | 64 | 5 | 11 | 7 |
| N. Dak. | 1 | 8 | 3 | - | 29 | 53 | 56 |  | - |  | 1 | 2 |
| S. Dak. | 22 | 7 | 3 | - | 164 | 102 | 12 | - | - | - |  |  |
| Nebr. | 135 | 7 | - | - | 476 | 982 | 131 | 11 | 8 | - | 22 | 4 |
| Kans. | 244 | 95 | 2 | - | 2,304 | 3,083 | 61 | 40 | 10 | - | 5 | 23 |
| S. ATLANTIC | 14,279 | 1,239 | 64 | 40 | 60,419 | 90,319 | 754 | 1,361 | 357 | 47 | 122 | 442 |
| Del. | 253 | 32 | 3 | - | 823 | 1,047 | 8 | 107 | 72 | - | 9 | 218 |
| Md. | 1,630 | 113 | 14 | - | 9,610 | 8,925 | 106 | 172 | 7 | 5 | 28 | 77 |
| D.C. | 896 | 24 |  | - | 3,034 | 3,924 | 5 | 30 |  | - | 13 | 2 |
| Va . | 1,049 | 118 | 24 | 4 | 7,192 | 10,587 | 93 | 91 | 22 | 20 | 3 | 32 |
| W. Va. | 46 | 13 | 10 | - | 369 | 516 | 9 | 26 | 16 | - | 1 | 3 |
| N.C. | 790 | 105 | 12 | - | 14,638 | 14,917 | 40 | 185 | 40 | - | 15 | 57 |
| S.C. | 933 | 17 | - | - | 6,191 | 6,692 | 9 | 25 | - | 1 | 12 | 4 |
| Ga. | 1,854 | 75 | 1 | - | 4,660 | 27,454 | 63 | 120 | 51 | - | 23 | 27 |
| Fla. | 6,828 | 742 | - | 36 | 13,902 | 16,257 | 421 | 605 | 149 | 21 | 18 | 22 |
| E.S. CENTRAL | 1,796 | 333 | 16 | 5 | 25,943 | 28,015 | 153 | 749 | 531 | 1 | 29 | 13 |
| Ky. | 213 | 121 | 9 | 4 | 2,726 | 2,846 | 74 | 52 | 9 | - | 11 | 3 |
| Tenn. | 731 | 82 | 5 | - | 7,852 | 9,187 | 31 | 631 | 508 | - | 13 | 8 |
| Ala. | 531 | 87 | 1 | - | 9,296 | 9,094 | 32 | 63 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Miss. | 321 | 43 | 1 | 1 | 6,069 | 6,888 | 16 | 3 | 10 | - | 3 | - |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 6,957 | 591 | 26 | 2 | 26,475 | 31,748 | 1,204 | 962 | 157 | 110 | 20 | 26 |
| Ark. | 267 | 30 | 1 | - | 5,128 | 4,689 | 31 | 35 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| La. | 921 | 41 | 1 | - | 6,915 | 8,978 | 46 | 127 | 61 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Okla. | 590 | 1 | 6 | - | 2,120 | 3,214 | 81 | 168 | 53 | 7 | 11 | 13 |
| Tex. | 5,179 | 519 | 18 | 2 | 12,312 | 14,867 | 1,046 | 632 | 41 | 99 | 5 | 12 |
| MOUNTAIN | 2,948 | 318 | 16 | 4 | 6,448 | 7,294 | 2,446 | 350 | 186 | 55 | 48 | 13 |
| Mont. | 22 | - | - | 1 | 42 | 63 | 57 | 4 | 2 | - | 5 | - |
| Idaho | 52 | 7 | - | - | 106 | 65 | 110 | 29 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Wyo. | 31 | 5 | - | - | 55 | 32 | 11 | 16 | 55 | - | 5 | 8 |
| Colo. | 985 | 82 | 6 | - | 1,932 | 2,659 | 617 | 48 | 34 | 32 | 5 | - |
| N. Mex. | 240 | 57 | 3 | 2 | 559 | 531 | 219 | 135 | 58 | 2 | 3 | - |
| Ariz. | 992 | 110 | 5 | - | 2,440 | 2,556 | 849 | 54 | 10 | 8 | 9 |  |
| Utah | 197 | 15 | 1 | - | 204 | 161 | 519 | 33 | 21 | 11 | 6 | 2 |
| Nev. | 429 | 42 | 1 | 1 | 1,110 | 1,227 | 64 | 31 | 6 | 1 | 14 | 2 |
| PACIFIC | 15,075 | 1,236 | 83 | 16 | 18,444 | 27,456 | 4,163 | 1,377 | 521 | 118 | 67 | 65 |
| Wash. | 1,008 | - | 1 | - | 2,318 | 2,453 | 463 | 130 | 115 | 7 | 9 | 1 |
| Oreg. | 575 | 158 | 7 | ${ }^{-}$ | 1,048 | 982 | 59 | 22 | 10 | - ${ }^{-}$ | $5{ }^{-}$ | 1 |
| Calif. | 13,233 | 1,158 | 78 | 16 | 14,417 | 23,312 | 3,111 | 1,201 | 385 | 108 | 52 | 62 |
| Alaska | 47 | 11 | 3 |  | 320 | 424 | 477 | 7 | 9 |  |  |  |
| Hawaii | 212 | 67 | 1 | - | 341 | 285 | 53 | 17 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 1 |
| Guam |  | 2 | - | - | 38 | 48 | 2 | 2 | - | 1 | - | - |
| P.R. | 1,950 | 31 | - | - | 296 | 119 | 53 | 219 | 34 | 2 | - | - |
| V.I. | 34 |  | - | - | 70 | 63 |  | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Amer. Samoa |  | - | - | - | 30 | 26 | 13 | - | - | - | - | - |
| C.N.M.I. | - | 2 | - | - | 50 | 51 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |

C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands
*Updated monthly; last update J uly 31, 1993.

# TABLE II. (Cont'd.) Cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending August 7, 1993, and August 1, 1992 (31st Week) 

| Reporting Area | Malaria | Measles (Rubeola) |  |  |  |  | Menin- <br> gococcal <br> Infections Mumps |  |  | Pertussis |  |  | Rubella |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Indigenous |  | Imported* |  | Total <br> 1992. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1993 \end{aligned}$ | 1993 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1993 \end{aligned}$ | 1993 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1993 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1993 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1993 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1993 \end{aligned}$ | 1993 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1993 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1992 \end{aligned}$ | 1993 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cum. } \\ & 1993 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1992 \end{aligned}$ |
| UNITED STATES | 608 | - | 177 | 4 | 29 | 2,072 | 1,558 | 15 | 1,055 | 118 | 2,060 | 1,211 | 4 | 134 | 125 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 44 | - | 47 | - | 4 | 54 | 91 | - | 8 | 9 | 463 | 95 | - | 1 | 6 |
| Maine | 1 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 5 | - | - | 1 | 9 | 4 | - | 1 | 1 |
| N.H. | 6 | - | - | - | - | 13 | 12 | - | - |  | 213 | 29 | - |  |  |
| Vt. | 1 | - | 30 | - | 1 | - | 4 | - | - | 3 | 51 | 3 | - | - | - |
| Mass. | 19 | - | 8 | - | 2 | 14 | 50 | - | 2 | 5 | 148 | 40 | - |  |  |
| R.I. | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | 21 | 1 | - | 2 | - | 3 |  | - | - | 4 |
| Conn. | 15 | - | 9 | - | - | 4 | 19 | - | 4 | - | 39 | 19 | - | - | 1 |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 96 | - | 7 | - | 3 | 194 | 192 | 2 | 80 | 13 | 245 | 63 | 3 | 39 | 10 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 35 | - | - | - | 1 | 110 | 88 | - | 27 | 7 | 97 | 30 | 2 | 8 | 7 |
| N.Y. City | 24 | - | 2 | - | - | 48 | 19 | - | - | - | 7 | 9 | . | 15 |  |
| N.J. | 27 | - | 5 | - | 2 | 36 | 30 | - | 8 |  | 26 | 24 |  | 11 | 3 |
| Pa. | 10 | - | - | - | - | - | 55 | 2 | 45 | 6 | 115 | - | 1 | 5 |  |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 31 | - | 12 | - | 1 | 43 | 238 | - | 147 | 17 | 315 | 136 | - | 2 | 9 |
| Ohio | 9 | - | 5 | - | - | 6 | 73 | - | 57 | 16 | 158 | 29 | - | 1 |  |
| Ind. | 3 | - | - | - | - | 20 | 40 | - | 3 | - | 35 | 17 | - | - | - |
| III. | 14 | - | 3 | - | - | 10 | 65 | - | 35 | - | 33 | 21 | - | - | 8 |
| Mich. | 5 | - | 4 | - | 1 | 4 | 41 | - | 49 | 1 | 21 | 6 | - | - | 1 |
| Wis. | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 19 | - | 3 | - | 68 | 63 | - | 1 | - |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 18 | - | 1 | - | 2 | 11 | 100 | - | 31 | 24 | 162 | 102 | - | 1 | 7 |
| Minn. | 4 | - | - | - | - | 10 | 6 | - | 1 | 19 | 83 | 33 | - | - | - |
| Iowa | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 16 | - | 7 | 1 | 2 | 3 | - | - | 2 |
| Mo. | 5 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 38 | - | 18 | - | 48 | 42 | - | 1 | 1 |
| N. Dak. | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 |  | 4 | - | 3 | 10 |  |  |  |
| S. Dak. | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | 2 | 5 | 5 | - | - | - |
| Nebr. | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | 8 | - | 1 | - | 8 | 5 | - | - |  |
| Kans. | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | - | 26 | - |  | 2 | 13 | 4 | - | - | 4 |
| S. ATLANTIC | 180 | - | 17 | - | 3 | 119 | 298 | 7 | 343 | 25 | 239 | 81 | - | 8 | 12 |
| Del. | 2 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 11 | - | 4 | 1 | 7 | 3 | - | 2 |  |
| Md. | 19 | - | - | - | 2 | 16 | 33 | 4 | 62 | 5 | 79 | 14 | - | 2 | 4 |
| D.C. | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - |  |
| Va . | 17 | - | - | - | 1 | 14 | 26 | - | 16 | 3 | 27 | 6 | - | - |  |
| W. Va. | 2 | - | - | - | - |  | 11 | 2 | 11 | 2 | 11 | 4 | - | - | 1 |
| N.C. | 88 | - | - | - | - | 24 | 55 | - | 195 | 3 | 38 | 14 | - | - |  |
| S.C. | 1 | - | - | - | - | 29 | 26 | - | 14 | - | 8 | 8 | - | - | 2 |
| Ga. | 9 | - | - | - | - | - | 65 | - | 14 | - | 12 | 8 | - | - |  |
| Fla. | 37 | - | 17 | - | - | 35 | 66 | 1 | 27 | 11 | 55 | 23 | - | 4 | 5 |
| E.S. CENTRAL | 19 | - | 1 | - | - | 459 | 96 | - | 36 | 4 | 93 | 20 | - | - | 1 |
| Ky. | 2 | - | - | - | - | 442 | 19 | - | 11 | 3 | 8 | 5 | - | - |  |
| Tenn. | 7 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 22 | - | 11 | 3 | 46 | 5 | - | - | 1 |
| Ala. | 6 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 32 | - | 20 | 1 | 36 | 13 | - | - |  |
| Miss. | 4 | - | - | - | - | 17 | 23 | - | 5 | - | 3 | 2 | - | - | - |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 14 | - | 2 | - | 3 | 1,073 | 131 | 2 | 153 | 11 | 67 | 157 | - | 16 | 6 |
| Ark. | 2 | - | - | - | - |  | 14 | - | 4 | 3 | 6 | 7 | - | - |  |
| La. | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 25 | - | 12 | - | 6 | 2 | - | 1 | - |
| Okla. | 4 | - |  |  | - | 11 | 18 | - | 8 | 8 | 36 | 24 | - | 1 |  |
| Tex. | 7 | - | 1 | - | 3 | 1,062 | 74 | 2 | 129 | - | 19 | 124 | - | 14 | 6 |
| MOUNTAIN | 22 | - | 2 | - | - | 18 | 128 | 1 | 38 | 5 | 177 | 212 | - | 5 | 5 |
| Mont. | 2 | - | - | - | - |  | 11 |  |  |  | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |
| Idaho | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 9 | - | 5 | 4 | 44 | 23 | - | 1 | 1 |
| Wyo. | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Colo. | 13 | - | 2 | - | - | 14 | 21 | - | 9 | - | 61 | 26 | - | - | - |
| N. Mex. | 5 | - |  | - | - | 1 | 4 | N | N | 1 | 25 | 44 | - | - |  |
| Ariz. | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 62 | - | 6 | - | 29 | 91 | - | 1 | 2 |
| Utah | - | - | - | - | - | - | 12 | - | 3 | - | 16 | 24 | - | 2 | 1 |
| Nev. | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 7 | 1 | 13 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 |
| PACIFIC | 184 | - | 88 | 4 | 13 | 101 | 284 | 3 | 219 | 10 | 299 | 345 | 1 | 62 | 69 |
| Wash. | 18 | - | - | - | - | 10 | 48 | - | 9 | - | 24 | 98 | - | - | 6 |
| Oreg. | 4 | - | 77 | - | - | 3 | 21 | N | N | 1 | 9 | 21 | - | 2 | 1 |
| Calif. | 157 | - | 77 | - ${ }^{+}$ | 4 | 51 | 194 | 3 | 188 | 8 | 254 | 205 | - | 35 | 41 |
| Alaska | 1 | - | - | $1{ }^{+}$ | 1 | 9 | 13 | - | 5 | - | 3 | 4 | - | 1 | - |
| Hawaii | 4 | - | 11 | $3^{\dagger}$ | 8 | 28 | 8 | - | 17 | 1 | 9 | 17 | 1 | 24 | 21 |
| Guam | 1 | U | 2 | U | - | 10 | 1 | U | 6 | U | - | - | U | - | 1 |
| P.R. | - | - | 224 |  | - | 293 | 6 | - | 2 | - | 2 | 9 | - | - | - |
| V.I. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Amer. Samoa | - | U | 1 | U | - | - | - | U | - | U | 2 | 6 | U | - | - |
| C.N.M.I. | - | U | - | U | 1 | 2 | - | U | 12 | U | - | 1 | U | - | - |

*For measles only, imported cases include both out-of-state and internationd importations.
N : Not notifiable
U: Unavailable
${ }^{\dagger}$ International
§ Out-of-state

TABLE II. (Cont'd.) Cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending August 7, 1993, and August 1, 1992 (31st Week)

| Reporting Area | Syphilis (Primary \& Secondary) |  | ToxicShock Syndrome | Tuberculosis |  | Tularemia | Typhoid Fever | Typhus Fever (Tick-bome) (RMSF) | Rabies Anima |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1993 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1992 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & \hline 1993 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1993 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1992 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1993 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1993 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1993 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cum. } \\ & 1993 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| UNITED STATES | 15,411 | 20,416 | 140 | 11,670 | 13,002 | 74 | 187 | 196 | 4,931 |
| NEW ENGLAND | 248 | 393 | 10 | 270 | 223 | - | 18 | 2 | 823 |
| Maine | 3 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 17 | - |  | - |  |
| N.H. | 25 | 28 | 2 | 9 | 3 | - | 1 | - | 53 |
| vt. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | - | - |  | 19 |
| Mass. | 94 | 190 | 4 | 149 | 98 |  | 12 | 2 | 309 |
| R.I. | 9 | 21 | 1 | 34 | 23 | - |  | - |  |
| Conn. | 116 | 151 | - | 68 | 79 | - | 5 | - | 442 |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 1,473 | 2,963 | 26 | 2,805 | 3,168 | 1 | 43 | 16 | 1,932 |
| Upstate N.Y. | 125 | 225 | 14 | 299 | 388 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 1,433 |
| N.Y. City | 773 | 1,665 | 1 | 1,646 | 1,885 | - | 26 | - |  |
| N.J. | 202 | 386 | - | 454 | 529 | - | 6 | 10 | 323 |
| Pa. | 373 | 687 | 11 | 406 | 366 | - | 3 | 5 | 176 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 2,320 | 3,098 | 38 | 1,179 | 1,300 | 3 | 20 | 9 | 53 |
| Ohio | 707 | 468 | 17 | 191 | 195 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 4 |
| Ind. | 196 | 155 | 1 | 125 | 101 | 1 | 1 |  | 4 |
| III. | 796 | 1,391 | 5 | 551 | 663 | - | 9 | 1 | 7 |
| Mich. | 374 | 610 | 15 | 258 | 289 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 7 |
| Wis. | 247 | 474 | - | 54 | 52 | - | 1 | - | 31 |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 962 | 813 | 9 | 257 | 306 | 25 | 2 | 9 | 221 |
| Minn. | 50 | 50 | 2 | 35 | 85 | - | - | 1 | 29 |
| lowa | 32 | 33 | 5 | 36 | 24 |  |  | 3 | 36 |
| Mo. | 774 | 627 |  | 126 | 135 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 7 |
| N. Dak. | - | 1 | - | 5 | 4 | - | - | - | 47 |
| S. Dak. | 1 |  | - | 10 | 14 | 11 | - | 2 | 32 |
| Nebr. | 10 | 21 | - | 14 | 13 | 1 | - | - | 7 |
| Kans. | 95 | 81 | 2 | 31 | 31 | 3 | - | - | 63 |
| S. ATLANTIC | 4,158 | 5,631 | 16 | 2,031 | 2,389 | 2 | 26 | 95 | 1,204 |
| Del. | 80 | 134 | 1 | 29 | 25 | - | 1 | 2 | 94 |
| Md. | 238 | 410 | - | 232 | 172 | - | 5 | 9 | 354 |
| D.C. | 228 | 249 | - | 100 | 78 | - | - | - | 11 |
| Va . | 368 | 476 | 4 | 270 | 179 | - | 3 | 5 | 221 |
| W. Va. | 8 | 12 | - | 49 | 53 | - | - | 4 | 50 |
| N.C. | 1,170 | 1,431 | 3 | 293 | 305 | 1 | - | 47 | 51 |
| S.C. | 613 | 752 | , | 249 | 242 |  | - | 7 | 99 |
| Ga. | 707 | 1,132 | 2 | 444 | 535 | - | 1 | 16 | 282 |
| Fla. | 746 | 1,035 | 6 | 365 | 800 | 1 | 16 | 5 | 42 |
| E.S. CENTRAL | 2,295 | 2,612 | 6 | 797 | 873 | 4 | 3 | 20 | 59 |
| Ky. | 187 | 89 | 2 | 231 | 234 | - | - | 5 | 10 |
| Tenn. | 650 | 728 | 1 | 144 | 235 | 3 | 1 | 11 |  |
| Ala. | 510 | 980 | 2 | 286 | 233 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 49 |
| Miss. | 948 | 815 | 1 | 136 | 171 | - | - | 2 | - |
| W.S. CENTRAL | 3,251 | 3,523 | 2 | 1,385 | 1,302 | 29 | 2 | 41 | 348 |
| Ark. | 504 | 544 | - | 120 | 103 | 18 | - | 1 | 18 |
| La. | 1,499 | 1,487 | - | - | 107 | - | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| Okla. | 241 | 177 | 2 | 167 | 95 | 8 | - | 38 | 54 |
| Tex. | 1,007 | 1,315 | - | 1,098 | 997 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 272 |
| MOUNTAIN | 136 | 238 | 9 | 278 | 341 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 90 |
| Mont. | 1 | 7 | - | 15 | - | 2 | - | - | 15 |
| Idaho | - | 1 | 1 | 8 | 14 | - | - | - | 5 |
| Wyo. | 5 | 3 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | 4 | 11 |
| Colo. | 36 | 36 | 2 | 8 | 30 | - | 5 | - | 9 |
| N. Mex. | 19 | 27 | 1 | 35 | 47 | 1 | - | - | 5 |
| Ariz. | 59 | 117 | 1 | 126 | 156 |  | 1 | - | 38 |
| Utah | 4 | 6 | 4 | 17 | 51 | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| Nev . | 12 | 41 | 1 | 67 | 43 | - | - | - | 6 |
| PACIFIC | 568 | 1,145 | 24 | 2,668 | 3,100 | 4 | 67 | - | 201 |
| Wash. | 34 | 58 | 4 | 149 | 176 | 1 | 4 | - | - |
| Oreg. | 50 | 26 | - | 69 | 78 | 2 | ${ }^{-}$ | - | - |
| Calif. | 478 | 1,052 | 20 | 2,260 | 2,656 | 1 | 61 | - | 184 |
| Alaska | 4 | 4 | - | 30 | 41 | - |  | - | 17 |
| Hawaii | 2 | 5 | - | 160 | 149 | - | 2 | - | - |
| Guam | 1 | 3 | - | 28 | 42 | - | - | - | - |
| P.R. | 334 | 191 | - | 152 | 135 | - | - | - | 28 |
| V.I. | 31 | 39 | - | 2 | 3 | - | - | - | - |
| Amer. Samoa | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - |
| C.N.M.I. | 3 | 5 | - | 19 | 38 | - | - | - | - |

TABLE III. Deaths in 121 U.S. cities,* week ending August 7, 1993 (31st Week)

| Reporting Area | All Causes, By Age (Years) |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P\&्1 }{ }^{\dagger} \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | Reporting Area | All Causes, By Age (Years) |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P\&İ } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Ages | $\geq 65$ | 45-64 | 25-44 | 1-24 | $<1$ |  |  | All Ages | $\geq 65$ | 45-64 | 25-44 | 1-24 | $<1$ |  |
| NEW ENGLAND | 581 | 399 | 90 | 58 | 22 | 12 | 42 | S. ATLANTIC | 1,263 | 734 | 283 | 159 | 43 | 43 | 42 |
| Boston, Mass. | 162 | 98 | 29 | 19 | 10 | 6 | 24 | Atlanta, Ga. | 151 | 91 | 30 | 23 | 5 | 2 | 4 |
| Bridgeport, Conn. | 36 | 25 | 5 | 4 | 2 |  | 3 | Baltimore, Md. | 218 | 132 | 52 | 24 | 7 | 3 | 10 |
| Cambridge, Mass. | 11 | 7 | 4 | - | - |  | - | Charlotte, N.C. | 77 | 44 | 21 | 8 | - | 4 | 2 |
| Fall River, Mass. | 21 | 18 | 2 | 1 | - |  | - | J acksonville, Fla. | 109 | 76 | 18 | 9 | 2 | 4 | 4 |
| Hartford, Conn. | 54 | 36 | 10 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 4 | Miami, Fla. | 110 | 57 | 32 | 15 | 3 | 3 | - |
| Lowell, Mass. | 21 | 18 | 1 | 2 |  |  | - | Norfolk, Va. | 50 | 27 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 1 |
| Lynn, Mass. | 17 | 15 | 1 | 1 | - |  | - | Richmond, Va. | 63 | 36 | 17 | 4 | - | 6 | 3 |
| New Bedford, Mass. | 23 | 19 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | Savannah, Ga. | 57 | 32 | 12 | 12 | - | 1 | 4 |
| New Haven, Conn. | 41 | 28 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | St. Petersburg, Fla. | 48 | 35 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| Providence, R.I. | 43 | 33 | 5 | 5 | - |  | 3 | Tampa, Fla. | 150 | 88 | 32 | 19 | 7 | 4 | 6 |
| Somerville, Mass. | 9 | 5 | 3 | 1 |  |  | - | Washington, D.C. | 206 | 95 | 54 | 34 | 12 | 10 | 5 |
| Springfield, Mass. | 55 | 33 | 9 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 1 | Wilmington, Del. | 24 | 21 | 2 | 1 | - |  | - |
| Waterbury, Conn. | 35 | 26 | 5 | 4 | - |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Worcester, Mass. | 53 | 38 | 8 | 4 | - | 3 | 3 | E.S. CENTRAL Birmingham, Ala. | 702 89 | 462 62 | 141 | 68 | 15 1 | 16 | 45 3 |
| MID. ATLANTIC | 2,446 | 1,516 | 520 | 291 | 62 | 57 | 104 | Chattanooga, Tenn. | 52 | 36 | 11 | 3 | - | 2 | 2 |
| Albany, N.Y. | 55 | 35 | 13 | 2 | 5 | - | 2 | Knoxville, Tenn. | 77 | 43 | 20 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| Allentown, Pa. | 35 | 26 | 5 | 3 | 1 | - | - | Lexington, Ky. | 72 | 49 | 10 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| Buffalo, N.Y. | 100 | 68 | 25 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | Memphis, Tenn. | 169 | 111 | 34 | 18 | 5 | I | 19 |
| Camden, N.J. | 22 | 10 | 5 | 2 | 4 |  | 1 | Mobile, Ala. | 85 | 53 | 22 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| Elizabeth, N.J. | 23 | 14 | 2 | 7 | - |  | 3 | Montgomery, Ala. | 50 | 35 | 9 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Erie, Pa.§ | 42 | 31 | 5 | 4 | - | 2 | 3 | Nashville, Tenn. | 108 | 73 | 21 | 10 | 1 | 3 | 9 |
| J ersey City, N.J . | r 37 | 23 795 | 6 | 7 181 | 31 | 35 | 3 47 | W.S. CENTRAL |  | 620 | 211 | 104 |  |  |  |
| New York City, N.Y. | 1,338 | 795 | 293 | 181 | 34 | 35 | 47 | Austin, Tex. | 1,007 59 | 620 31 | 211 | 104 | 49 3 | 4 | 43 |
| Newark, N.J . | 84 | 32 | 20 | 23 | 4 | 5 | 8 | Baton Rouge, La. | 43 | 28 | 11 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 2 |
| Paterson, N.J . | 20 | 11 | 4 | 3 37 | 1 | 1 | 4 13 | Corpus Christi, Tex. | 39 | 26 | 10 | 3 | - | - | 4 |
| Philadelphia, Pa. | 297 | 188 | 65 | 37 | 2 | 3 | 13 4 | Corpus Christi, Tex. Dallas, Tex. | 191 | 106 | 43 | 27 | 12 | 3 | 2 |
| Pittsburgh, Pa.§ Reading, Pa. | 73 | 46 | 16 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 4 | El Paso, Tex. | $\begin{array}{r}191 \\ 52 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 106 36 | 43 | 27 | 12 | 3 | 3 |
| Rochester, N.Y. | 128 | 93 | 23 | 7 | 5 | - | 3 | Ft. Worth, Tex. | 119 | 72 | 23 | 13 | 7 | 4 | 5 |
| Schenectady, N.Y. | 23 | 20 | 3 | . | - | - |  | Houston, Tex. | U | U | U | U | U | U | U |
| Scranton, Pa.§ | 24 | 22 | 2 |  |  |  | 4 | Little Rock, Ark. | 63 | 38 | 15 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| Syracuse, N.Y. | 93 | 67 | 19 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 5 | New Orleans, La. | 117 | 69 | 22 | 11 | 7 | 5 | - |
| Trenton, N.J. | 24 | 13 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | San Antonio, Tex. | 189 | 118 | 39 | 21 | 9 | 2 | 9 |
| Utica, N.Y. | 21 | 18 | 2 | 1 | - | - | 1 | Shreveport, La. | 43 | 25 | 14 | 2 | 2 | - | 4 |
| Yonkers, N.Y. | U | U | U | U | U | U | U | Tulsa, Okla. | 92 | 71 | 14 | 4 | 3 | - | 7 |
| E.N. CENTRAL | 2,206 | 1,293 | 449 | 246 | 155 | 63 | 113 | MOUNTAIN | 731 | 483 | 135 | 67 | 28 | 18 | 34 |
| Akron, Ohio | 2,206 | 1,292 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 113 | Albuquerque, N.M. | 69 | 45 | 12 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Canton, Ohio | 26 | 18 | 7 | $11{ }^{-}$ | - | 1 | 7 | Colo. Springs, Colo. | 91 | 67 | 16 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 5 |
| Chicago, III. | 573 | 230 | 117 | 112 | 89 | 25 | 14 | Denver, Colo. | 98 | 67 | 16 | 12 | $\frac{1}{3}$ | 2 |  |
| Cincinnati, Ohio | 97 | 68 | 17 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 9 | Las Vegas, Nev. | 118 | 78 | 20 | 16 | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| Cleveland, Ohio | 148 | 90 | 25 | 21 | 6 | 6 | 3 | Ogden, Utah | 183 | 114 | 41 | 15 | 9 | 1 | 12 |
| Columbus, Ohio | 171 | 105 | 45 | 14 | 5 | 2 | 10 | Phoenix, Ariz. | 183 | 114 11 | 41 4 | 15 | 9 1 | 4 | 12 |
| Dayton, Ohio | 103 | 77 | 19 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 7 | Pueblo, Colo. | 17 | 11 | 4 16 | 1 | 1 | $\overline{3}$ |  |
| Detroit, Mich. | 212 | 116 | 41 | 27 | 19 | 9 | 10 | Salt Lake City, Utah | 76 | 45 | 16 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 5 |
| Evansville, Ind. | 46 | 32 | 11 | 3 | - |  | 4 | Tucson, Ariz. | 109 | 78 | 15 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 4 |
| Fort Wayne, Ind. | 60 | 45 | 11 | 4 | 1 | - | 3 | PACIFIC | 2,025 | 1,280 | 367 | 256 | 79 | 36 | 94 |
| Gary, Ind. | 12 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 1 | $\bar{\square}$ | 4 | Berkeley, Calif. | 2,025 | 1,280 | 5 | 3 | - | 1 | 3 |
| Grand Rapids, Mich. | 49 | 32 | 8 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 4 | Fresno, Calif. | 117 | 73 | 24 | 9 | 7 | 4 | 4 |
| Indianapolis, Ind. | 179 | 114 | 35 | 17 | 9 | 4 | 11 | Glendale, Calif. | 28 | 23 | 4 | 1 | - | - |  |
| Madison, Wis. | 37 | 22 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | Honolulu, Hawaii | 73 | 47 | 16 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 5 |
| Milwaukee, Wis. | 114 | 78 | 25 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 10 | Long Beach, Calif. | 91 | 58 | 13 | 11 | 6 | 3 | 12 |
| Peoria, III. | 46 | 37 | 7 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 |  | 626 | 371 | 112 | 100 | 31 | 8 | 21 |
| Rockford, III. | 52 | 32 | 16 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Pasadena, Calif. | 22 | 14 | 3 | 3 | 2 | - | 1 |
| South Bend, Ind. | 44 | 34 | 6 | 3 | 1 | - | 6 | Portland, Oreg. | 139 | 95 | 25 | 14 | 4 | 1 | 2 |
| Toledo, Ohio | 108 | 63 | 32 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 9 | Sacramento, Calif. | 152 | 96 | 32 | 15 | 6 | 3 | 4 |
| Youngstown, Ohio | 70 | 53 | 9 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 2 | San Diego, Calif. | 132 | 88 | 20 | 15 | 6 | 1 | 7 |
| W.N. CENTRAL | 750 | 533 | 127 | 46 | 23 | 21 | 43 | San Francisco, Calif. | 161 | 84 | 39 | 30 | 5 | 2 | 3 |
| Des Moines, lowa | 117 | 84 | 22 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 5 | San J ose, Calif. | 149 | 98 | 27 | 20 | 2 | 2 | 15 |
| Duluth, Minn. | 29 | 25 | 3 | - | - |  | 1 | Santa Cruz, Calif. | 35 149 | 27 | $2{ }^{4}$ | 4 | 5 | 5 | 2 |
| Kansas City, Kans. | 34 | 25 | 7 | 2 | $\overline{-}$ | - | 1 | Seattle, Wash. | 149 | 102 | 22 | 15 | 5 | 5 | 4 |
| Kansas City, Mo. | 98 | 64 | 20 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 8 | Spokane, Wash. Tacoma, Wash. | 50 83 | 36 59 |  | 4 | 1 | 2 | 6 |
| Lincoln, Nebr. | 53 | 38 | 11 | 4 | - |  | 6 | Tacoma, Wash. | 83 | 59 | 14 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 5 |
| Minneapolis, Minn. | 128 | 92 | 21 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 10 | TOTAL | 11,711 ${ }^{\text {¹ }}$ | 7,320 | 2,323 | 1,295 | 476 | 286 | 560 |
| Omaha, Nebr. | 78 | 56 | 12 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| St. Louis, Mo. | 114 | 75 | 21 | 8 | 6 | 4 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| St. Paul, Minn. | 61 | 47 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wichita, Kans. | 38 | 27 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^1]
## Tuberculosis - Continued

Women's Health and Fertility Br, Div of Reproductive Health, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, CDC.
Editorial Note: The findings in this report document an increase in active TB among pregnant inner-city women in two hospitals in New York City. Many of these women had TB diagnosed after presentation with TB-related symptoms. These findings underscore the need for TB screening in high-risk communities. Because of their high rate of TB and their inadequate use of prenatal and general health care, special attention should be given to minority urban populations and some populations of recent immigrants from countries with high prevalences of TB $(2,5)$.

HIV infection is an important risk factor for the development of clinical TB in an adult coinfected with Mycobacterium tuberculosis (6). Thus, screening for TB should focus on populations at high risk for HIV infection and acquired immunodeficiency syndrome, including IDUs and persons already infected with HIV.

TB-related symptoms can mimic the physiologic changes that occur during pregnancy (i.e., increased respiratory rate and fatigue). Consequently, pregnant women in high-risk groups and women from areas with a high prevalence of both HIV infection and TB should be routinely asked about contact with infectious TB patients, and tuberculin skin testing should always be considered for these women. Because prenatal or peripartum care is often the only contact many high-risk women have with the healthcare system, screening for TB and HIV counseling and testing should be offered at this time.

The most appropriate method of screening for TB infection is the tuberculin skin test (Mantoux technique). Pregnancy does not measurably alter the response to a tuberculin test; subsequent investigation of tuberculin reactors, and persons with symptoms of TB, should facilitate the diagnosis and treatment of TB in pregnant women.

Because approximately $10 \%$ of immunocompetent and $40 \%$ of HIV-infected persons with active TB are negative by the tuberculin skin test, a negative result should never rule out the possibility of active disease ( 3,6-8). Factors such as age, poor nutrition, immunosuppression by disease or drugs, viral infections, and overwhelming TB can decrease tuberculin reactivity (3). Anergy to tuberculin has been reported among adults with HIV infection; therefore, a thorough investigation to detect active TB should be undertaken for all persons with clinical features compatible with TB, regardless of the results of the tuberculin skin test ( 7), and for all pregnant women at risk for or with known HIV infection.

To rule out active $T B$, routine chest roentgenogram with proper shielding of the abdomen should be performed after the 12th week of gestation for women with a positive tuberculin skin test $(3,7)$. A chest roentgenogram should be performed sooner if the woman has symptoms suggestive of pulmonary TB, even if the tuberculin skin test is negative (3,4). Moreover, a comprehensive and systematic diagnostic approach, including appropriate examination of specimens for mycobacteria, should be followed for all patients with HIV infection and pulmonary disease (7). A complete review of systems and physical examination should be conducted to exclude extrapulmonary TB.

The Advisory Council for the Elimination of Tuberculosis recommends initial treatment for nonpregnant patients with four drugs: INH, RIF, PZA, and EMB or streptomycin (SM) (1). For pregnant women, this regimen is modified to exclude SM be-
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cause it may cause congenital ototoxicity, and PZA, because the risk for teratogenicity has not been determined (1,3,9). Pregnant women with drug-susceptible organisms can be treated safely with INH, RIF, and EMB (1,3), but treatment must be continued for 9 months ( 1,3 ). If resistance to other drugs is probable and susceptibility to PZA is likely, the risks and benefits of PZA should be weighed carefully, and its use should be considered.
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## Update: Hantavirus Disease - United States, 1993

Since the recognition of acute hantavirus-associated respiratory disease in the United States in May 1993, laboratory evidence of acute hantavirus infection has been confirmed in 30 persons in the southwestern United States; 20 (67\%) of these persons have died. Of those 30 persons, 23 resided in the four-corners region (14 in New Mexico, six in Arizona, and three in Colorado). Previously reported cases outside the four-corners states occurred in a Nevada resident (1) and a Texas resident (2), neither of whom had traveled to the four-corners area, and a resident of another state who had traveled to and presumably was infected in the four-corners area (3). This report summarizes the other four confirmed cases and describes two cases under investigation; all of these cases occurred outside the four-corners area during July 1992August 1993.

## Confirmed Cases

Louisiana. During J une 1993, a 58-year-old Louisiana bridge inspector who had not traveled to the four-corners area died following an illness characterized by bilateral interstitial infiltrates and hypoxemia. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) evidence of hantavirus infection was found in lung tissue, and nucleotide sequence analysis of
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viral genetic material PCR-amplified from the lung suggests the presence of a previously unrecognized hantavirus most closely related to but distinct from both the Prospect Hill virus and the virus circulating in the four-corners area.

Nevada. In August 1993, a 51-year-old central Nevada resident rapidly developed bilateral interstitial infiltrates and hypoxemia over 12 hours following a 6-day illness characterized initially by fever, myalgia, nausea, and vomiting, which progressed to coughing and shortness of breath. The patient, who developed high-titered immunoglobulin M ( $\lg \mathrm{M}$ ) antibodies to hantavirus, had not traveled to the four-corners area. As of August 11, the patient remained hospitalized.

Califomia. Two cases have been confirmed in California. In the first, in J uly 1993, a 27-year-old field biologist, who was working on the eastern slope of the California Sierra Nevada mountain range, had acute onset of an illness characterized by 2 days of fever, myalgia, and headache. The patient developed rapidly progressive bilateral interstitial infiltrates and hypoxemia and died the following day. Hantavirus infection was confirmed by IgM serology, PCR, and a positive immunohistochemical stain for hantavirus antigen on lung tissue. The second case was in a 29-year-old ranch worker on the California coast who died of rapidly progressive respiratory failure during September 1992, following 3 days of fever, myalgia, and cough. Recent immunohistochemical staining of preserved autopsy tissues revealed hantavirus antigen. Neither person had recently traveled to the four-corners area.

## Other Investigations

CDC is assisting state health departments in other investigations, including 1) a California man who had serologic evidence of past hantavirus infection following recovery from a hantavirus-compatible illness during April 1993 and 2) a 16-year-old Oregon youth in whom hantavirus antigen was identified by immunohistochemical staining of lung tissue saved from autopsy in J uly 1992. The California man, but not the Oregon teenager, had traveled to a four-corners state during the month before onset of illness.
Reported by: J Bertman, MD, Mono County Health Dept, Bridgeport; H Meyers, MD, Orange County Health Dept, Santa Ana; A Chovil, Santa Barbara County Dept of Health, Santa Barbara; R J ackson, MD, GW Rutherford, III, MD, State Epidemiologist, California Dept of Health Svcs. C Ward, MD, TB Callister, MD, H Hayes, Nye Regional Medical Center, Tonopah; LM Oksenholt, DO, D Jones, MD, S Parker, MD, Reno; D Nelson, AF DiSalvo, MD, State Health Laboratory, D Kwalick, MD, State Health Officer, Div of Health, Nevada State Dept of Human Resources. K Hedberg, MD, D Fleming, MD, State Health Div, Oregon Dept of Human Resources. KJ Steier, DO, Dept of Medicine, EA Conway Medical Center, Louisiana State Univ, Monroe; L McFarland, DrPH, State Epidemiologist, Office of Public Health, Louisiana Dept of Health and Hospitals. Div of Field Epidemiology, Epidemiology Program Office;National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health; Div of Bacterial and Mycotic Diseases, Div of Vector-Borne Infectious Diseases, Scientific Resources Program, and Div of Viral and Rickettsial Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases, CDC.
Editorial Note: Newly recognized cases of acute illness with evidence of hantavirus infection in Louisiana, Nevada, and California, along with previously recognized cases in Nevada and Texas, further demonstrate that hantavirus-associated respiratory illness is not confined to the four-corners area of the southwestern United States. Distinctive hantavirus nucleotide sequences have been identified from a person with acute illness in Louisiana; this information, together with confirmation of human disease in areas of Texas (2) and Louisiana outside the known range of Peromyscus
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maniculatus (4)—the implicated reservoir in the four-corners area-suggests the existence of an additional hantavirus with a different rodent reservoir in the south central United States (3,5,6 ). The continued occurrence of hantavirus disease underscores the importance of minimizing risk for exposure to rodents and their excreta. Interim recommendations for hantavirus infection risk reduction have been developed (7). This document contains specific recommendations for reducing rodent shelter and food sources in and around the home, recommendations for eliminating rodents inside the home and preventing them from entering the home, precautions for preventing hantavirus infection while rodent-contaminated areas are being cleaned up, prevention measures for persons who have occupational exposure to wild rodents, and precautions for campers and hikers. Investigations of cases of recognized and suspected human hantavirus disease and potential rodent reservoirs are ongoing.
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## Notice to Readers

## Announcement of Meeting on Research Case Definition for Chronic Fatigue Syndrome

CDC will sponsor a meeting to address the research case definition for chronic fatigue syndrome (CFS) on September 27, 1993, in Atlanta. The meeting will be open to public health officials, researchers, and the public. The purpose of the meeting is to review data from population and clinical studies related to use of the CFS research case definition.

Additional information is available from CDC's CFS Research Program, Division of Viral and Rickettsial Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases, M ailstop A-15, 1600 Clifton Road, NE, Atlanta, GA 30333; telephone (404) 639-1338; fax (404) 6393163.

## Erratum: Vol. 42, No. 29

In the article "Schistosomiasis in U.S. Peace Corps Volunteers-M alawi, 1992," on page 567 in the editorial note, the first paragraph, second sentence, should read "S. mansoni and S. japonicum primarily affect the gastrointestinal tract; chronic infection can lead to hepatosplenomegaly, variceal bleeding, and cirrhosis."

## Erratum: Vol. 42, No. 23

In the article "Mortality Trends and Leading Causes of Death Among Adolescents and Young Adults—United States, 1979-1988," in Table 1 on page 460, the percentage change in other injury death rates for 15-19-year-olds should be -36.5 , and the percentage change in other injury death rates for 20-24-year-olds should be -35.1.

Reported cases of measles, by state - United States, weeks 26-30, 1993
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[^0]:    *Updated monthly; Iast update J uly 31, 1993.

[^1]:    *Mortality data in this table are voluntarily reported from 121 cities in the United States, most of which have populations of 100,000 or more. A death is reported by the place of its occurrence and by the week that the death certificate was filed. Fetal deaths are not included
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Pneumonia and influenza.
    ${ }^{\S}$ Because of changes in reporting methods in these 3 Pennsylvania cities, these numbers are partial counts for the current week. Complete counts will be available in 4 to 6 weeks.
    9 Total includes unknown ages.
    U: Unavailable.

